# COMPLETE 2-TRANSNORMAL HYPERSURFACES IN A KAEHLER MANIFOLD OF NEGATIVE CONSTANT HOLOMORPHIC SECTIONAL CURVATURE

# By

# Fumiko OHTSUKA

# §1. Introduction

The idea of constant width has been developed in a somewhat different spirit, as a topic in differential geometry, and the concept of "transnormality" has been introduced as the generalized one of constant width in a Riemannian manifold.

Let M be a connected complete hypersurface of a connected complete Riemannian manifold  $\overline{M}$ . For each  $x \in M$ , there exists, up to parametrization, a unique geodesic  $\tau_x$  of  $\overline{M}$  which intersects M orthogonally at x. M is called a transnormal hypersurface of  $\overline{M}$  if, for each pair  $x, y \in M$ , the relation  $y \in \tau_x$  implies that  $\tau_x = \tau_y$ . For a transnormal hypersurface M, we define an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on M as follows; for  $x, y \in M$ ,  $x \sim y$  means that  $y \in \tau_x$ . Then we can consider the quotient space  $\hat{M} = M/\sim$  with the quotient topology with respect to this relation. We call M an *r*-transnormal hypersurface if the natural projection of M onto  $\hat{M}$  is an r-fold covering map.

Topological structures of transnormal submanifolds are full of interest and have been investigated from various angles (for example, see [3]). On the other hand, differential geometric structures of 2-transnormal hypersurfaces in a space form have been given in [2] and [4].

Recentry, the author has studied in [5] differential geometric structures of compact 2-transnormal hypersurfaces in a complex space form. The purpose of this paper is to generalize the result in [5] to the case where 2-transnormal hypersurfaces are complete. Namely we shall prove that 2-transnormal hypersurfaces in a Kaehler manifold of negative constant holomorphic sectional curvature are tubes over some submanifolds or geodesic hyperspheres if any principal curvature is constant.

#### § 2. Preliminalies

First we shall review the definition of the function  $L_p$  on M for some point

Received August 22, 1986. Revised November 20, 1986.

## Fumiko OHTSUKA

 $p \in M$ , which plays an impotant part to investigate the properties of transnormal submanifolds.

If M is an r-transnormal hypersurface and if there exists a point  $p \in M$  satisfying the condition  $C(p) \cap M = \Phi$ , then the differential function  $L_p$  on M is defined by

$$L_p(x) = d\overline{M}(p, x)^2$$
 for any  $x \in M$ ,

where C(p) is the cut locus of p in  $\overline{M}$  and  $d_{\overline{M}}$  denotes the distance function in  $\overline{M}$ . It is well known that any transnormal hypersurface has no intersection with its focal set. Therefore, the function  $L_p$  is the Morse function.

Next we describe relevant concept and formulas used for the proof of Mair. Theorem.

From now on, let  $\overline{M}$  be a simply connected complete Kaehler manifold of negative constant holomorphic sectional curvature k (for convenience, we will assume k=-4), dim<sub>c</sub> $\overline{M}=m$  and M be a connected complete 2-transnormal real hypersurface in  $\overline{M}$ . Note that the cut locus C(p) of any point  $p \in M$  is empty because of the negativity of the holomorphic sectional curvature of  $\overline{M}$ . Then, for any point  $p \in M$ , the Morse function  $L_p$  can be defined.

Since M is 2-transnormal, for any point  $x \in M$ , there exists the unique point  $\tilde{x} \in M$  such that  $\tilde{x} \sim x$  and  $\tilde{x} \neq x$ . It is known that  $\tilde{x}$  is a critical point of  $L_x$ , which is called *an antipodal point* of x, and we call  $d_{\overline{M}}(x, \tilde{x})$  the width of M as a subset of  $\overline{M}$ , which is constant on M.

Let  $\gamma(x, \tilde{x})$  be the minimizing normal geodesic segment from x to the antipodal point  $\tilde{x}$  of x. We denote by N(x) the initial vector  $\gamma'(0)$  of  $\gamma(x, \tilde{x})$  and E(x) = JN(x), where J is the complex structure of  $\overline{M}$ . We call N(x) an inward unit normal vector at x and E(x) an almost contact structure vector at x.

Then, the Hessian H of  $L_{\bar{x}}$  at critical point x is given by

$$H(x, y) = 2d \langle \{ \coth(d) \cdot I - S_{N(x)} \} X, Y \rangle$$
  
+ 2d \cdot tanh(d) \langle E(x), X \rangle \langle E(x), Y \rangle  
for X, Y \in M\_x,

where  $d = d_{\overline{M}}(x, \tilde{x})$  and I denotes the identity transformation and S is the second fundamental tensor. See [5] for details.

In the sequel we assume that the almost contact structure vector E(x) is a principal vector with the principal curvature  $\lambda(x)$  at each point  $x \in M$ . Furthermore, we denote by  $\nu(x, X)$  the principal curvature of M at x associated with the principal vector X orthogonal to E(x). Then we have the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION 2.1** (Lemma 4.3 of [5]) At the antipodal point  $\tilde{x}$  of x,

362

(1) 
$$\lambda(\tilde{x}) = \frac{-2\sinh(2d) + \lambda(x)\cosh(2d)}{(\lambda(x)/2)\sinh(2d) - \cosh(2d)}$$
  
(2) 
$$\nu(\tilde{x}, \tilde{X}) = \frac{-\sinh(d) + \nu(x, X)\cosh(d)}{\nu(x, X)\sinh(d) - \cosh(d)}$$

where  $\bar{X}$  is the tangent vector of M at  $\tilde{x}$  given by the parallel translation of X along  $\gamma(x, \tilde{x})$  and  $d=d_{\overline{M}}(x, \tilde{x})$ .

Finally we shall consider some properties of a focal point of M. For each  $p \in M$ , let  $\gamma_p$  be the normal geodesic starting from p perpendicularly to M such that  $\gamma'(0) = N(p)$ .

PROPOSITION 2.2 A point  $x \in \overline{M}$  is a focal point of M along geodesic  $\gamma_p$  if and only if  $x = \gamma_p(r)$  where  $2 \coth(2r) = \lambda(p)$  or  $\coth(r) = \nu(p, X)$  for some nonzero principal curvature of M at p.

PROOF.  $\gamma_p(r)$  is a focal point of M along  $\gamma_p$  if and only if there exists a non-trivial (M, p)-Jacobi field along  $\gamma_p$  which vanishes at  $\gamma_p(r)$ . For a non-zero principal curvature of M at p, we can consider the (M, p)-Jacobi field

 $Y(t) = (\cosh(2t) - (\lambda(p)/2)\sinh(2t))J\gamma'(t) \quad \text{or}$  $Z(t) = (\cosh(t) - \nu(p, X)\sinh(t))X(t),$ 

where X(t) is the parallel vector field along  $\gamma_p$  with X(0) = X which is principal vector orthogonal to E(p). Then we obtain the assertion. q.e.d.

REMARK 2.1 Since any transnormal hypersurface has no intersection with its focal set, for any point  $x \in M$  the folloings are true;

$$2\cosh(2d) - \lambda(x)\sinh(2d) \neq 0$$
  
$$\cosh(d) - \nu(x, X)\sinh(d) \neq 0,$$

where d is a width of M as a subset of  $\overline{M}$ .

REMARK 2.2 From the form of Hessian of  $L_{\bar{x}}$  at critical point x, the index of  $L_{\bar{x}}$  at x is equal to the number of principal curvatures  $\lambda$  and  $\nu$  of M at x with respect to N(x) such that  $\lambda > 2 \coth(2d)$  or  $\nu > \coth(d)$ .

In the sequel, we label the principal curvatures  $\nu$  from 1 to 2m-2 as followings;  $\nu_1 \ge \nu_2 \ge \cdots \ge \nu_{2m-2}$ .

PROPOSITION 2.3 If for some point  $x \in M$ , the index of  $L_x$  at antipodal point  $\tilde{x}$  is n, then, for any point  $y \in M$ , the index of  $L_y$  at  $\tilde{y}$  is also n.

PROOF. We assume that  $\lambda(\tilde{x}) > 2 \coth(2d)$ . Then  $\nu_i(\tilde{x}) > \coth(d)$  and  $\nu_j(\tilde{x}) < \coth(d)$   $(1 \le i \le n-1, n \le j \le 2m-2)$  from Remark 2.2. In the sequel, adopt that

363

 $1 \le a \le 2m-2$ ,  $1 \le i \le n-1$  and  $n \le j \le 2m-2$ . Now we shall consider the set D of M such that

 $D = \{ y \in M; \lambda(y) > 2 \operatorname{coth}(2d), \nu_i(y) > \operatorname{coth}(d) \text{ and } \nu_j(y) < \operatorname{coth}(d) \}.$ 

Then D is open and closed. In fact, each  $\lambda$  and  $\nu_a$  being continuous on M, for any point  $x \in D$ , there exists an open neighborhood of x in M contained in D. Thus D is open. Next, for  $x \in \overline{D}$  (closure of D), let  $\{x_m\}$  be a sequence in D such that  $\lim_{m\to\infty} x_m = x$ . Then, by the continuity of  $\lambda$  and  $\nu_a$ , we have  $\lim_{m\to\infty} \lambda(x_m) = \lambda(x) \ge 2 \operatorname{coth}(2d)$ ,  $\lim_{m\to\infty} \nu_i(x_m) = \nu_i(x) \ge \operatorname{coth}(d)$  and  $\lim_{m\to\infty} \nu_j(x_m) = \nu_j$  $(x) \le \operatorname{coth}(d)$ . By Remark 2.1, we obtain that  $\lambda(x) > 2 \operatorname{coth}(2d)$ ,  $\nu_i(x) > \operatorname{coth}(d)$ and  $\nu_j(x) < \operatorname{coth}(d)$ . Thus D is closed. Hence D = M.

If  $\lambda(x) < 2 \coth(2d)$ , then it holds that  $\nu_i(x) > \coth(d)$  and  $\nu_j(x) < \coth(d)$  for  $1 \le i \le n$  and  $n+1 \le j \le 2m-2$ . By the same way as above,

$$D = \{ y \in M; \lambda(y) < 2 \operatorname{coth}(2d), \nu_i(y) > \operatorname{coth}(d) \text{ and } \nu_j(y) < \operatorname{coth}(d) \\ \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n, n+1 \leq j \leq 2m-2 \}$$

is open and closed. Hence D = M.

#### § 3. Main Theorem

Now, we shall prove the following theorem using the results prepared.

THEOREM Let  $\overline{M}$  be a simply connected complete Kaehler manifold of negative constant holomorphic sectional curvature -4 and dim<sub>c</sub>  $\overline{M}=m$ . Let M be a connected complete 2-transnormal hypersurface of  $\overline{M}$  and d be the width of M as a subset of  $\overline{M}$ . Suppose that, for a point  $x \in M$ , the index of  $L_x$  at the antipodal point  $\tilde{x}$  is  $n(\geq 1)$ . For each point  $x \in M$ , the almost contact structure vector E(x)is assumed to be a principal vector with principal curvature  $\lambda(x)$ . Let  $\nu_1(x)$  $\geq \nu_2(x) \geq \cdots \geq \nu_{2m-2}(x)$  be other principal curvatures at  $x \in M$ . Then we have followings.

- (1) For each point of M, if λ(>2coth(2d)), ν<sub>i</sub>(for 1≤i≤n-1) and ν<sub>j</sub>(for n≤j≤2m-2) are bounded from either above or below by 2coth(d), coth (d/2) and tanh(d/2) respectively, then M is a tube of radius d/2 over (2m-n-1)/2-dimensional complex totally geodesic submanifold.
- (2) For each point of M, if λ(<2coth(2d)), v<sub>i</sub>(for 1≤i≤n) and v<sub>j</sub>(for n+1≤j≤2m-2) are bounded from either above or below by 2tanh(d), coth (d/2) and tanh(d/2) respectively, then M is a tube of radius d/2 over (2m-n-1)-dimensional anti-holomorphic totally geodesic submanifold. In particular, if n=2m-1 then this implies that M is a geodesic hypersphere with radius d/2.

364

q.e.d.

**PROOF.** First we consider only the following case of (1);

$$\lambda \ge 2 \operatorname{coth}(d), \ \nu_i \ge \operatorname{coth}(d/2) \ (1 \le i \le n-1) \text{ and}$$
  
 $\nu_j \ge \tanh(d/2) \ (n \le j \le 2m-2).$ 

From Proposition 2.1 and the above assumption,

$$\lambda(\tilde{x}) = \frac{-2\sinh(2d) + \lambda(x)\cosh(2d)}{(\lambda(x)/2)\sinh(2d) - \cosh(2d)}.$$
  

$$\geq 2\coth(d)$$
  

$$= 2(1 + \cosh(2d)) / \sinh(2d).$$

Note here that  $\lambda > 2 \coth(2d)$ , i.e.  $(\lambda/2) \sinh(2d) - \cosh(2d) > 0$ . Then this inequality implies

$$\lambda(x) \leq 2(1 + \cosh(2d)) / \sinh(2d) = 2\coth(d).$$

Thus we obtain  $\lambda \equiv 2 \operatorname{coth}(d)$ .

Next we shall discuss  $\nu_a$ . To begin with, we should note that  $\nu(x, X) > \operatorname{coth}(d)$  implies  $\nu(\tilde{x}, \tilde{X}) > \operatorname{coth}(d)$ . In fact, we have the following inequality;

$$\nu(\tilde{x}, \tilde{X})\sinh(d) - \cosh(d) = 1/\{(\nu(x, X) - \coth(d))\sinh(d)\}.$$

Furthermore note that  $\nu_i > \coth(d)$  and  $\nu_j < \coth(d)$ . Then, by the same way as above together with Proposition 2.1, we get  $\nu_i \equiv \coth(d/2)$  and  $\nu_j \equiv \tanh(d/2)$ .

In seven other cases of (1) and all cases of (2), we can prove similarly that  $\lambda$  and  $\nu_a (1 \le a \le 2m-2)$  are all constant.

Now, for  $r \in \mathbf{R}$ , we consider a map  $F_r: M \longrightarrow \overline{M}$  by

$$F_r(x) = \exp(rN(x)) \qquad x \in M,$$

where N(x) denotes the inward unit normal vector at x and exp is the exponential map on the normal bundle of M. By the way, if  $\lambda = 2 \coth(d)$  or  $\nu = \coth(d/2)$ , then (M, x)-Jacobi fields Y(t) and Z(t) along  $\gamma_x$  in the proof of Proposition 2.2 vanish in t=d/2. Hence the exponential map on the normal bundle of M is degenerate at (d/2)N(x) for any point  $x \in M$  in above situation, whose nullity is n. Therefore  $F_{d/2}$  has constant rank 2m-n-1. By the inverse function theorem, for  $x_0 \in M$ , there exists an open neighborhood W of  $x_0$  such that  $F_{d/2}(W) = V$  is a (2m-n-1)-dimensional real submanifold embedded in  $\overline{M}$ . Now, from Theorem 4.2 in [1] we can get the following fact; if  $\lambda = 2 \coth(d)$ , then  $JT_p^{\perp}V \subset T_p^{\perp}V$ , that is, V is complex, or if  $\lambda \neq 2 \coth(d)$ , then  $JT_p^{\perp}V \subset T_pV$ , that is, V is anti-holomorphic, where  $T_p^{\perp}V$  is the complement of the tangent space  $T_pV$  of V at  $p \in V$ . From the completeness of M a global version can be obtained. Namely, in the case of (1) (resp. (2)) M is a tube of radius d/2 over (2m-n-1)/2-dimensional complex submanifold (resp. over (2m-n-1)-dimensional anti-holomorphic sub-

# Fumiko Ohtsuka

manifold). Furthermore also we have the following facts in general. (See section 5 in [1]); principal curvatures of  $F_r(M)$  are  $2(\lambda \coth(2r)-2)/(2\coth(2r)-\lambda)$  and  $(\nu_a \coth(r)-1)/(\coth(r)-\nu_a)$  for  $\lambda \neq 2\coth(2r)$  and  $\nu_a \neq \coth(r)$ . Hence, substituting r=d/2,  $\lambda=2\tanh(d)$  and  $\nu_a=\tanh(d/2)$ , we have that (2m-n-1)-principal curvatures of  $F_{d/2}(M)$  are all zero in any cases. So  $F_{d/2}(M)$  is totally geodesic and we can get the theorem. q.e.d.

## References

- [1] Montiel, S., Real hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 37 (1985), 515-535.
- [2] Matsuda, H. and Kitahara, H., Complete two-transnormal hypersurfaces in a space form of non-positive curvature, Ann. Sci Kanazawa uni., 11 (1974), 41-48.
- [3] Nishikawa, S., Transnormalhypersurfaces—Generalized constant width for Riemannian manifolds—, Tôhoku Math. J., **25** (1973), 451-459.
- [4] Nishikawa, S., Compact two-transnormal hypersurfaces in a space of constant curvature, J. Math Soc. Japan, **26** (1974), 625-635.
- [5] Ohtsuka, F., Compact 2-transnormal hypersurface in a Kaehler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature, Tsukuba J. Math., 10 (1986), 47-55.

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Ibaraki University Mito Ibaraki, 310 Japan