

## A generalization of P. Roquette's theorems

Dedicated to Professor Yoshie Katsurada on her 60th birthday

By Tadashige OKADA and Ryô SAITÔ

### Introduction

Throughout this paper, we assume that every ring has an identity 1, every module over a ring is unitary and a ring extension  $A/B$  has the same identity 1. For a commutative ring  $R$ , we consider only  $R$ -algebras which are finitely generated as  $R$ -modules. By [5], an  $R$ -algebra  $A$  is called left semisimple if any finitely generated left  $A$ -module is  $(A, R)$ -projective. Similarly we can define right semisimple  $R$ -algebras, and an  $R$ -algebra  $A$  is called semisimple if  $A$  is left and right semisimple. When  $R$  is indecomposable, an  $R$ -algebra  $A$  is called simple if (1)  $A$  is semisimple, (2) there exists left  $A$ -module  ${}_A E$  which is finitely generated projective completely faithful and  $(A, R)$ -irreducible ([12]). We call an  $R$ -algebra  $A$  a division  $R$ -algebra if  $A$  is semisimple and  $(A, R)$ -irreducible. Obviously division algebras are simple algebras.

The followings are well known. Let  $K$  be a field (a field means commutative field) and let  $A$  be a finite dimensional central simple  $K$ -algebra. Then there exists a central division  $K$ -algebra  $D$  such that  $A \cong (D)_n$  ( $n \times n$  full matrix ring over  $D$ ), and the free rank of  $D$  over  $K$  ( $[D:K]$ ) equals  $s^2$  where  $s$  ( $\geq 1$ ) is an integer. This  $s$  is called the Schur index of  $A$  and  $D$  is called a division algebra to which  $A$  belongs.

Let  $A$  be a division  $R$ -algebra and  $A$  be a simple  $R$ -algebra. If there exists a Morita module  ${}_A M_A$  ([9]),  $A$  is called a division  $R$ -algebra to which  $A$  belongs. By [12], any simple  $R$ -algebra belongs to some division  $R$ -algebra. Now, let  $R$  be a Hensel ring ([2], [10]) and  $A$  be a simple  $R$ -algebra. Then  $A \cong (A)_n$  where  $A$  is a division  $R$ -algebra to which  $A$  belongs. Moreover,  $A$  is uniquely determined up to isomorphisms and  $n$  is uniquely determined ([12]).

The purpose of this paper is to extend some properties with respect to the Schur index concerning fields to the case of that  $R$  is a Noetherian Hensel ring.

We prove the followings.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be a semilocal ring (not necessarily Noetherian*

and has maximal ideals of finite numbers) which has no proper idempotents (i.e.,  $R$  has no idempotents except 0 and 1),  $S$  be a commutative ring, a ring extension  $S/R$  be a finite Galois extension with Galois group  $G$ , and  $\Lambda$  be a central separable  $R$ -algebra. We put  $\Gamma = \Lambda \otimes_R S$ . Then,  $H^1(G, I(\Gamma)) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(G, U(S))$  is injective. Here,  $U(S)$  denotes the unit group of  $S$ ,  $I(\Gamma) = U(\Gamma)/U(S)$ , and  $U(\Gamma)$  denotes the unit group of  $\Gamma$ .

**THEOREM 2.7.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian Hensel ring,  $S$  be a commutative ring and a ring extension  $S/R$  be a finite Galois extension with Galois group  $G$  such that  $S$  has no proper idempotents. Let  $[c_{\sigma, \tau}] \in H^2(G, U(S))$ ,  $\Lambda = (R)_t$  and  $T = \Delta(c_{\sigma, \tau}, S, G)$  (crossed product). Then  $[c_{\sigma, \tau}]$  is contained in the image of  $\delta$  if and only if the Schur index of  $T$  (see definition 1.3.) divides  $l$ .*

**THEOREM 2.2** was proved in [11], when  $R$  is a field and  $\Lambda = (R)_t$  for an integer  $t \geq 1$ . **THEOREM 2.7** was proved in [11], when  $R$  is a field.

The authors extend their hearty thanks to Professor Y. Miyashita for his helpful suggestion and encouragement.

### § 1. The Schur indexes of central separable algebras

In this section, so far as we don't especially state, let  $R$  be a Noetherian Hensel ring with unique maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

**LEMMA 1.1.** ([6]. **THEOREM 4.**) *If  $\Lambda$  is a central separable  $R$ -algebra, then it is a central simple  $R$ -algebra.*

**PROPOSITION 1.2.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be a central separable  $R$ -algebra, and  $\Delta$  be a division  $R$ -algebra to which  $\Lambda$  belongs. Then  $\Delta$  is free  $R$ -module and  $[\Delta; R] = s^2$  ( $s$  is an integer  $\geq 1$ ).*

**PROOF.**  $\Delta$  is a central separable  $R$ -algebra ([12]. **PROPOSITION 3.**) and  $R$  is a local ring (not necessarily Noetherian). Hence  $\Delta$  is a free  $R$ -module.  $\Delta/\mathfrak{m}\Delta$  is a central division  $R/\mathfrak{m}$ -algebra ([12]. **THEOREM 8**, [1]. **COROLLARY 1.6.**).  $[\Delta; R] = [\Delta/\mathfrak{m}\Delta; R/\mathfrak{m}] = s^2$ . Q.E.D.

**DEFINITION 1.3.** *The  $s$  which is obtained in Proposition 1.2 is called the Schur index of  $\Delta$ .*

**PROPOSITION 1.4.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be a central separable  $R$ -algebra, and  $\Delta$  be a division  $R$ -algebra to which  $\Lambda$  belongs. Then a division  $R/\mathfrak{m}$ -algebra to which  $\Lambda/\mathfrak{m}\Lambda$  belongs is  $\Delta/\mathfrak{m}\Delta$ , and the Schur index of  $\Lambda$  equals the Schur index of  $\Delta/\mathfrak{m}\Delta$ .*

**PROOF.** By our assumptions,  $\Lambda \cong (\Delta)_n$  and  $\Lambda/\mathfrak{m}\Lambda \cong (\Delta/\mathfrak{m}\Delta)_n$ . Q.E.D.

When  $R$  is a field, the following PROPOSITION 1.5, 1.6 and 1.7 are well known. By  $Br(R)$ , we denote the Brauer group of  $R$ . When  $R$  is a Hensel ring (not necessarily Noetherian) with unique maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ , if we use the fact that  $Br(R) \cong Br(R/\mathfrak{m})$  ([2]), these PROPOSITIONS are easily proved. By  $[A]$ , we denote the element of  $Br(R)$  represented by the central separable  $R$ -algebra  $A$ .

PROPOSITION 1.5. For any  $[A] \in Br(R)$ ,  $[A]^s = [R]$  where  $s$  is the Schur index of  $A$ .

PROPOSITION 1.6. Let  $e$  be the exponent of  $[A] \in Br(R)$  (that is,  $e$  is the minimal integer  $n \geq 1$  such that  $[A]^n = [R]$ ), and  $p$  be a prime number such that  $p$  divides  $s$ . Then  $p$  divides  $e$ .

PROPOSITION 1.7. Let  $A$  be a central separable division  $R$ -algebra, and the Schur index of  $A = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i^{\alpha_i}$  (unique factorization to prime numbers). Then there exist central separable division  $R$ -algebras  $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$  such that  $A \cong \Delta_1 \otimes_R \dots \otimes_R \Delta_n$ , and the Schur index of  $\Delta_i$  equals a power of  $p_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ).

PROOF.  $A/\mathfrak{m}A$  is a central division  $R/\mathfrak{m}$ -algebra, and the Schur index of  $A/\mathfrak{m}A = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i^{\alpha_i}$ . Hence  $A/\mathfrak{m}A = U_1 \otimes_{R/\mathfrak{m}} \dots \otimes_{R/\mathfrak{m}} U_n$  where each  $U_i$  is a central division  $R/\mathfrak{m}$ -algebra, and the Schur index of  $U_i$  equals a power of  $p_i$ . As  $R$  is a Hensel ring, there exists a central separable division  $R$ -algebra  $\Delta_i$  such that  $\Delta_i/\mathfrak{m}\Delta_i \cong U_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ) ([12]. PROPOSITION 14, THEOREM 8.). Hence  $A/\mathfrak{m}A \cong \Delta_1/\mathfrak{m}\Delta_1 \otimes_{R/\mathfrak{m}} \dots \otimes_{R/\mathfrak{m}} \Delta_n/\mathfrak{m}\Delta_n = (\Delta_1 \otimes_R \dots \otimes_R \Delta_n) \otimes_{R/\mathfrak{m}} R/\mathfrak{m}$ , and  $A \cong \Delta_1 \otimes_R \dots \otimes_R \Delta_n$  ([12]. PROPOSITION 14.). The Schur index of  $\Delta_i$  equals that of  $U_i$ . Q.E.D.

PROPOSITION 1.8. Let  $A$  be a central separable  $R$ -algebra, and  $A$  and  $A'$  be division  $R$ -algebras such that  $A = (A)_n = (A')_n$ . Then an  $R$ -algebra isomorphism  $\beta: A \rightarrow A'$  (see introduction) is a restriction of an inner automorphism of  $A$ .

PROOF. As  $R$  is a Hensel ring,  $\beta$  can be extended to an inner automorphism of  $A$  ([3]. THEOREM 1.2). Hence there exists a  $\lambda \in U(A)$  (the unit group of  $A$  such) that  $A' = \lambda A \lambda^{-1}$ . Q.E.D.

## § 2. A generalization of P. Roquette's theorems

In this section, we state about a generalization of [11] §3.

LEMMA 2.1. Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $A$  be an  $R$ -algebra which is flat and faithful as an  $R$ -module (not necessarily finitely generated). Let  $B$  be an  $R$ -module which is finitely generated, projective and faithful.

Then the followings are true.

- (1) If  $S$  is a subset of  $A$ , then  $V_{A \otimes_R B}(S) = V_A(S) \otimes_R B$  where we can consider  $A \otimes_R B$  ( $A, A$ )-bimodule under  $(\sum_i a_i \otimes b_i)a = \sum_i a_i a \otimes b_i$  and  $a(\sum_i a_i \otimes b_i) = \sum_i a a_i \otimes b_i$ .
- (2) Moreover, let  $B$  be an  $R$ -algebra. Let  $S$  and  $T$  be subrings of  $A$  and  $B$  respectively. If  $V_A(S)$  is a finitely generated and projective  $R$ -module, then  $V_{A \otimes_R B}(S \otimes T) = V_A(S) \otimes_R V_B(T)$  where  $S \otimes T = \{\sum_i s_i \otimes t_i \in A \otimes_R B \mid s_i \in S, t_i \in T\}$ .

Here,  $V_A(S) = \{a \in A \mid as = sa \text{ for all } s \in S\}$  and  $V_{A \otimes_R B}(S \otimes T) = \{\sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \in A \otimes_R B \mid (\sum_i a_i \otimes b_i)x = x(\sum_i a_i \otimes b_i) \text{ for all } x \in S \otimes T\}$ .

PROOF. (1) First, we prove in the case that  $B$  is a free  $R$ -module.  $V_{A \otimes_R B}(S) \supset V_A(S) \otimes_R B$  is trivial. Let  $\{b_i \mid i=1, \dots, l\}$  be a free base of  $B$ . For any  $\sum_{i=1}^l a_i \otimes b_i \in V_{A \otimes_R B}(S)$  ( $a_i \in A$ ),  $(\sum a_i \otimes b_i)s = \sum s a_i \otimes b_i = \sum s a_i \otimes b_i = s(\sum a_i \otimes b_i)$ . As  $1 \otimes b_1, \dots, 1 \otimes b_l$  are linearly independent over  $A$  in  $A \otimes_R B$ ,  $a_i s = s a_i$  for all  $i=1, \dots, l$ . Hence  $a_i \in V_A(S)$ . In the case that  $B$  is a finitely generated, projective and faithful, there exists a finitely generated and free  $R$ -module  $F$  such that  $F = B \oplus B'$  (direct sum as an  $R$ -module).

$$\begin{aligned} V_{A \otimes_R B}(S) &= A \otimes_R B \cap V_{A \otimes_R F}(S) \\ &= A \otimes_R B \cap (V_A(S) \otimes_R F) \\ &= A \otimes_R B \cap \{(V_A(S) \otimes_R B) \oplus (V_A(S) \otimes_R B')\} \\ &= V_A(S) \otimes_R B. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad V_{A \otimes_R B}(S \otimes T) &= V_{A \otimes_R B}(S) \cap V_{A \otimes_R B}(T) \\ &= V_{V_A(S) \otimes_R B}(T) \\ &= V_A(S) \otimes_R V_B(T) \quad (\text{by (1).}) \end{aligned}$$

Q. E. D.

Let  $R$  be a semi local ring (not necessarily Noetherian and has maximal ideals of finite numbers) which has no proper idempotents (i.e. has no idempotents except 0 and 1),  $S$  be a commutative ring, a ring extension  $S/R$  be a finite Galois extension with Galois group  $G$ , and  $A$  be a central separable  $R$ -algebra. If we put  $\Gamma = A \otimes_R S$ ,  $\Gamma/A$  is a Galois extension with Galois group  $G$  ([8]). For a ring  $A$ , we denote the unit group of  $A$  by  $U(A)$ . Then we have a  $G$ -exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow U(S) \longrightarrow U(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{h} I(\Gamma) \longrightarrow 1$$

where  $I(\Gamma) = U(\Gamma)/U(S)$  and  $h$  is the canonical map. From this exact sequence, we obtain an exact sequence

$$(*) \quad H^1(G, U(S)) \longrightarrow H^1(G, U(\Gamma)) \longrightarrow H^1(G, I(\Gamma)) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(G, U(S))$$

([11]. § 2.).

THEOREM 2.2. (cf. [11]. § 3. COROLLARY of PROPOSITION 3.) *Under the above assumptions,  $\delta$  is injective.*

PROOF. Let  $\Delta(\Gamma, G) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus \sigma \Gamma$  and  $\Delta(S, G) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus \sigma S$  be trivial crossed products. Then  $\Delta(\Gamma, G) = \Delta \otimes_R \Delta(S, G)$ . Hence  $\Delta(\Gamma, G)$  is a central separable  $R$ -algebra ([1]. PROPOSITION 1.5.). When we put  $\mathfrak{G} = \bigcup_{\sigma \in G} \sigma U(\Gamma) \subset U(\Delta(\Gamma, G))$ ,  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a splitting extension of  $U(\Gamma)$  by  $G$  as a  $G$ -group. That is,  $GU(\Gamma) = \mathfrak{G}$ ,  $G \cap U(\Gamma) = 1$  and  $U(\Gamma) \triangleleft \mathfrak{G}$  (normal subgroup). We put  $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathfrak{H} \subset \mathfrak{G} \mid \mathfrak{H} \text{ is a } G\text{-subgroup of } \mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{H} \cap U(\Gamma) = U(S) \text{ and } \mathfrak{H}U(\Gamma) = \mathfrak{G}\}$ . That is, each element of  $\mathcal{A}$  is an extension of  $U(S)$  by  $G$  as a  $G$ -group. For  $\mathfrak{H}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}' \in \mathcal{A}$ , we define  $\mathfrak{H} \sim \mathfrak{H}'$  by existence of  $a \in U(\Gamma)$  such that  $\mathfrak{H}' = a^{-1}\mathfrak{H}a$ . It is well known that  $\mathfrak{H} \sim \mathfrak{H}'$  implies that  $\mathfrak{H}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}'$  are the same extension type. Then by [11] § 2 PROPOSITION 1, the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(G, I(\Gamma)) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^2(G, U(S)) \\ f \uparrow & & \uparrow g \\ \mathcal{A} / \sim & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \text{ext}(G, U(S)) \\ & & \downarrow f^{-1} \end{array}$$

where  $f$  is a bijection and defined by the following way. We denote an element of  $\mathcal{A} / \sim$  containing  $\mathfrak{H}$  by  $[\mathfrak{H}]$ . When a  $[\mathfrak{H}]$  is given, for any  $\sigma \in G$ , we can write  $\sigma = u_\sigma a_\sigma^{-1}$  where  $u_\sigma \in \mathfrak{H}$  and  $a_\sigma \in U(\Gamma)$ . Put  $h(a_\sigma) = b_\sigma$ . Then we can find that the  $\{b_\sigma \mid \sigma \in G\}$  is a crossed homomorphism, and when we write  $[b_\sigma] \in H^1(G, I(\Gamma))$ ,  $f([\mathfrak{H}]) = [b_\sigma]$ .  $f^{-1}$  is defined by the following way. That is, when  $[b_\sigma] \in H^1(G, I(\Gamma))$ , pick up any  $a_\sigma \in h^{-1}(b_\sigma) = \{x \in U(\Gamma) \mid h(x) = b_\sigma\} \subset U(\Gamma)$ , and put  $\mathfrak{H} = \bigcup_{\sigma \in G} \sigma a_\sigma U(S) \subset \mathfrak{G}$ , then  $\mathfrak{H} \in \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{H} \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $u_\sigma = \sigma a_\sigma$  ( $\sigma \in G$  and  $a_\sigma \in U(\Gamma)$ ), then  $u_\sigma u_\tau \equiv u_{\sigma\tau} \pmod{U(S)}$ . Hence if we put  $u_\sigma u_\tau = u_{\sigma\tau} c_{\sigma,\tau}$  ( $c_{\sigma,\tau} \in U(S)$ ), the set  $\{c_{\sigma,\tau} \mid \sigma, \tau \in G\}$  is a factor set, and  $(\delta \circ f)([\mathfrak{H}]) = [c_{\sigma,\tau}] \in H^2(G, U(S))$ .  $\alpha([\mathfrak{H}])$  is the class of the same extension type as  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}'$  be the same extension type, and by the above methods, let factor

sets  $\{c_{\sigma,\tau}\}$  and  $\{c'_{\sigma,\tau}\}$  correspond to  $\mathfrak{S}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}'$  respectively. Then  $[c_{\sigma,\tau}] = [c'_{\sigma,\tau}] \in H^2(G, U(S))$ . That is, there exists the set  $\{c_\sigma | \sigma \in G\} \subset U(S)$  such that  $c'_{\sigma,\tau} = c_{\sigma,\tau} c_\sigma c_\tau c_{\sigma\tau}^{-1}$ . Moreover  $\Delta(c_{\sigma,\tau}, S, G) \xrightarrow{\Phi} \Delta(c'_{\sigma,\tau}, S, G) (\sum_{\sigma \in G} v_\sigma s_\sigma \mapsto \sum_{\sigma \in G} v'_\sigma c_\sigma^{-1} s_\sigma)$  is an isomorphism where  $\Delta(c_{\sigma,\tau}, S, G)$  and  $\Delta(c'_{\sigma,\tau}, S, G)$  are crossed products, and  $\{v_\sigma | \sigma \in G\}$  and  $\{v'_\sigma | \sigma \in G\}$  are free  $S$ -basis of  $\Delta(c_{\sigma,\tau}, S, G)$  and  $\Delta(c'_{\sigma,\tau}, S, G)$  respectively. Then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \Phi & \\ & \Delta(c_{\sigma,\tau}, S, G) \longrightarrow \Delta(c'_{\sigma,\tau}, S, G) & \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi \\ \Delta(\Gamma, G) \supset \sum_{\sigma \in G} u_\sigma S & \xrightarrow{\Phi'} & \sum_{\sigma \in G} u'_\sigma S \subset \Delta(\Gamma, G) \end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram, and  $\Phi, \Phi', \varphi$  and  $\psi$  are  $R$ -algebra isomorphisms where  $\varphi(\sum v_\sigma s_\sigma) = \sum u_\sigma s_\sigma$  and  $\psi(\sum v'_\sigma s_\sigma) = \sum u'_\sigma s_\sigma$ . The facts that  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are isomorphisms due to the followings.  $\sum_{\sigma \in G} u_\sigma S = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus \sigma a_\sigma S \subset \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus \sigma \Gamma = \Delta(\Gamma, G)$ . If  $\sum u_\sigma s_\sigma = 0, a_\sigma s_\sigma = 0$  for all  $\sigma \in G$ . As  $a_\sigma \in U(\Gamma), s_\sigma = 0$  for all  $\sigma \in G$ . By  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ , we can identify  $\Delta(c_{\sigma,\tau}, S, G)$  with  $\sum u_\sigma S$  and  $\Delta(c'_{\sigma,\tau}, S, G)$  with  $\sum u'_\sigma S$ . Then  $\Phi'$  is the restriction map of  $\Phi$  on  $\sum_{\sigma \in G} u_\sigma S$ . As  $R$  is a semilocal ring and has no proper idempotents, by [3] THEOREM 1.2,  $\Phi$  can be extended to an inner automorphism  $\Phi^*$  of  $\Delta(\Gamma, G)$ . That is, there exists a unit element  $a \in U(\Delta(\Gamma, G))$  such that  $\Phi^*(x) = a^{-1} x a$  for all  $x \in \Delta(\Gamma, G)$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \Phi^* & \\ & \Delta(\Gamma, G) \longrightarrow \Delta(\Gamma, G) & x \mapsto a^{-1} x a \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \Delta(c_{\sigma,\tau}, S, G) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \Delta(c'_{\sigma,\tau}, S, G) \end{array}$$

By the definition of  $\Phi, \Phi$  fixes all elements of  $S$ . Hence  $a \in V_{\Delta(\Gamma, \mathcal{G})}(S)$ . On the other hand,  $\Gamma = V_{\Delta(\Gamma, \mathcal{G})}(V_{\Delta(\Gamma, \mathcal{G})}(\Gamma)) = V_{\Delta(\Gamma, \mathcal{G})}(S) \ni a$ . Because, by [7] THEOREM 2,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &= V_{\Delta(\Gamma, \mathcal{G})}(V_{\Delta(\Gamma, \mathcal{G})}(\Gamma)), \quad \text{and} \\ V_{\Delta(\Gamma, \mathcal{G})}(\Gamma) &= V_{R \otimes_{\Delta(S, \mathcal{G})} \Delta(S, \mathcal{G})}(\Delta \otimes_{\kappa} S) \\ &= R \otimes_{\Delta(S, \mathcal{G})} V_{\Delta(S, \mathcal{G})}(S) \\ &= S \quad (\text{by LEMMA 2. 1.}). \end{aligned}$$

As  $\mathfrak{S} = \bigcup_{\sigma \in G} u_\sigma U(S)$  and  $\mathfrak{S}' = \bigcup_{\sigma \in G} u'_\sigma U(S), \mathfrak{S}' = a^{-1} \mathfrak{S} a$ . That is,  $\mathfrak{S}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}'$  are con-

jugate under an element of  $U(\Gamma)$ . Hence our THEOREM follows from [11] §2 COROLLARY of PROPOSITION 1. Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 2.3. *Under the same assumptions as in THEOREM 2.2, we obtain  $H^1(G, U(\Gamma))=1$ .*

PROOF. The fact that  $H^1(G, U(S))=1$  (Hilbert's THEOREM 90, [1]. THEOREM A. 9.) and the exact sequence (\*) lead us to the conclusion. Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 2.4. *Under the same assumptions as in THEOREM 2.2, and if  $S$  has no proper idempotents, we obtain a one to one onto correspondence between the image of  $\delta$  and  $\mathfrak{X}=\{\text{isomorphism class of } T \mid R \subset S \subset T \subset \Delta(\Gamma, G), T \text{ is a central separable } R\text{-algebra such that } T \text{ contains } S \text{ as a maximal commutative subalgebra}\}$ .*

PROOF. The correspondence from an element  $[c_{\sigma, \tau}]$  of the image of  $\delta$  to an element an isomorphism class of  $T=\Delta(c_{\sigma, \tau}, S, G)$  of  $\mathfrak{X}$  gives its correspondence. For, let  $[T] \in \mathfrak{X}$  be given. As  $R$  is a semilocal ring and  $S$  has no proper idempotents, each element of  $G$  can be extended to an inner automorphism of  $T$  ([3]. THEOREM 1.2.). Hence by [1] PROPOSITION A. 13,  $T=\Delta(c_{\sigma, \tau}, S, G)=\sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus w_{\sigma} S$  where  $\{w_{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in G\}$  is a free  $S$ -base of  $T$ . If we put  $\mathfrak{H}=\bigcup_{\sigma \in G} w_{\sigma} U(S) \subset T$ , then  $\mathfrak{H} \in \mathcal{N}$ . For, if we put  $\sigma^{-1} w_{\sigma} = a_{\sigma}$ , for any  $\alpha \in S$ ,  $\alpha a_{\sigma} = \alpha \sigma^{-1} w_{\sigma} = \sigma^{-1} \alpha^{\sigma^{-1}} w_{\sigma} = \sigma^{-1} w_{\sigma} (\alpha^{\sigma^{-1}})^{\sigma} = \sigma^{-1} w_{\sigma} \alpha = a_{\sigma} \alpha$ . Hence  $a_{\sigma} \in V_{\Delta(\Gamma, G)}(S) = \Gamma$  (see PROOF of THEOREM 2.2) and  $a_{\sigma} = \sigma^{-1} w_{\sigma} \in \Gamma \cap U(\Delta(\Gamma, G)) = U(\Gamma)$ . Hence  $w_{\sigma} = \sigma a_{\sigma} (a_{\sigma} \in U(\Gamma))$ .  $\mathfrak{H} U(\Gamma) = (\bigcup_{\sigma \in G} w_{\sigma} U(S)) U(\Gamma) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in G} w_{\sigma} U(\Gamma) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in G} \sigma a_{\sigma} U(\Gamma) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in G} \sigma U(\Gamma) = \mathfrak{G}$ . For any  $\beta \in \mathfrak{H} \cap U(\Gamma)$  we can write  $\beta = w_{\sigma} s (s \in U(S))$ . Then  $\sigma$  must be 1. That is,  $\beta = w_{1,1} s = c_{1,1} s \in U(S)$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{H} \in \mathcal{N}$ . So, [11] §2 COROLLARY of PROPOSITION 1 leads us to the conclusion. Q.E.D.

LEMMA 2.5. (cf. [11]. §3. LEMMA 2.). *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian Hensel ring,  $S$  be a commutative ring which has no proper idempotents and  $S/R$  be a finite Galois extension with Galois group  $G$ . (In this case, by [10] (43, 15) and (43, 16),  $S$  is also a Hensel ring.) We put  $T=\Delta(c_{\sigma, \tau}, S, G)$ . Then there exists a right  $T$ -module  $N_T$  such that  $N_T$  is finitely generated projective and  $(T, R)$ -irreducible uniquely up to an isomorphism and  $[N : S]$  equals the Schur index of  $T$ .*

PROOF. There exists a division  $R$ -algebra  $\Delta$  such that  $T=(\Delta)_n$ . We put  $e_{ij}$  the matrix in  $(\Delta)_n$  with 1 in the  $(i, j)$ -position and zeros elsewhere. We put  $N=\sum_{j=1}^n e_{1j} \Delta$ . Then this LEMMA is similarly proved as [11] §3 LEMMA 2. Q.E.D.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian Hensel ring,  $S$  be a com-*

mutative ring which has no proper idempotents,  $S/R$  be a finite Galois extension with Galois group  $G$ ,  $\Lambda$  be a central separable  $R$ -algebra,  $\Gamma = \Lambda \otimes_R S$ ,  $[c_{\sigma, \tau}] \in H^2(G, U(S))$ ,  $T = \Delta(c_{\sigma, \tau}, S, G)$  and  $M_\Lambda$  be a finitely generated projective and  $(\Lambda, R)$ -irreducible right  $\Lambda$ -module. Then if  $[c_{\sigma, \tau}]$  is contained in the image of  $\delta$  (i.e.  $T \subset \Delta(\Gamma, G)$ ),  $s$  divides  $[M : R]$  where  $s$  is the Schur index of  $T$ .

PROOF. By the facts that  $M_\Lambda$  is a right  $\Lambda$ -module and  $S_{\Delta(S, G)}$  is a right  $\Delta(S, G)$ -module,  $M \otimes_R S$  is a right  $\Delta(\Gamma, G)$ -module. That is,  $(m \otimes s)(\sigma(\lambda \otimes s')) = m\lambda \otimes s's'$  or  $(m \otimes s)(\sigma\gamma_\sigma) = (m \otimes s')\gamma_\sigma$  ( $m \in M$ ,  $s, s' \in S$ ,  $\sigma \in G$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $\gamma_\sigma \in \Gamma$ ). There exists an integer  $n \geq 1$  such that  $\Lambda_\Lambda \cong M_\Lambda^{(n)}$  (an isomorphism as a right  $\Lambda$ -module, [12]. PROPOSITION 4.) where  $M^{(n)}$  denotes a direct sum of  $n$ -copies of  $M$ .  $M \otimes_R S$  is a finitely generated and projective right  $\Delta(\Gamma, G)$ -module.  $\Delta(\Gamma, G)$  is a finitely generated and free right  $T$ -module. For,  $\Delta(\Gamma, G) \cong V_{\Delta(\Gamma, G)}(T) \otimes_R T$  ( $vt \longleftrightarrow v \otimes t$ ) ([1]. THEOREM 3.3), this isomorphism is an  $R$ -algebra isomorphism and an isomorphism as a right  $T$ -module, and  $V_{\Delta(\Gamma, G)}(T)$  is a central separable  $R$ -algebra ([1]. THEOREM 3.3.). Hence,  $M \otimes_R S$  is a finitely generated and projective right  $T$ -module. Let  $N_T$  be a finitely generated, projective and  $(T, R)$ -irreducible right  $T$ -module. Then  $M \otimes_R S \cong N_T^{(t)}$  (an isomorphism as a right  $T$ -module for an integer  $t \geq 1$ ). Hence,  $[M : R] = [M \otimes_R S : S] = [N_T^{(t)} : S] = t[N_T : S] = ts$ . Q.E.D.

THEOREM 2.7. (cf. [11] COROLLARY OF PROPOSITION 5.) Under the same assumptions as in PROPOSITION 2.6, when  $\Lambda = (R)_l$ , we obtain that  $[c_{\sigma, \tau}]$  is contained in the image of  $\delta$  if and only if  $s$  divides  $l$ .

PROOF. In this case, as  $R$  is a division  $R$ -algebra and  $[M : R] = l$ . Hence we only require to prove if part.  $[N^{(\frac{l}{s})} : S] = \frac{l}{s}[N : S] = l$ . Hence  $N^{(\frac{l}{s})} \cong M \otimes_R S$  as a  $S$ -module. As  $N_T$  is faithful,  $T \subset \text{End}_R(N^{(\frac{l}{s})}) \cong \text{End}_R(M \otimes_R S) \cong \Delta(\Gamma, G)$ . Hence COROLLARY 2.4 leads us to the conclusion. Q.E.D.

PROPOSITION 2.8. Let  $L \supset K \supset k$  be extensions of fields such that  $L/k$  and  $K/k$  are Galois extensions (finite or infinite) with Galois groups  $G(L/k)$  and  $G(K/k)$  respectively, and let  $\Lambda$  be a central simple  $k$ -algebra. We put  $I(\Lambda \otimes_k K) = U(\Lambda \otimes_k K) / U(K)$  and  $I(\Lambda \otimes_k L) = U(\Lambda \otimes_k L) / U(L)$ . Then the following inflation map is injective.

$$H^1(G(K/k), I(\Lambda \otimes_k K)) \xrightarrow{\text{inf}} H^1(G(L/k), I(\Lambda \otimes_k L)).$$

PROOF. By THEOREM 2.2, this is easily seen. Q.E.D.

PROPOSITION 2.9. *Let  $k$  be a finite dimensional algebraic number field,  $\bar{k}$  be an algebraic closure of  $k$ ,  $\{v\}$  be the set of all valuations over  $k$ ,  $k_v$  be the completion of  $k$  by  $v$ ,  $\bar{k}_v$  be an algebraic closure of  $k_v$  and  $m$  be an integer ( $>0$ ). Then we can define canonical map*

$$\Phi_v: H^1(G(\bar{k}/k), PGL_m(\bar{k})) \longrightarrow H^1(G(\bar{k}_v/k_v), PGL_m(\bar{k}_v)).$$

Furthermore, for any  $x \in H^1(G(\bar{k}/k), PGL_m(\bar{k}))$ ,  $\Phi_v(x) = 1$  for almost all  $v$  and

$$(\Phi_v): H^1(G(\bar{k}/k), PGL_m(\bar{k})) \longrightarrow \prod_v H^1(G(\bar{k}_v/k_v), PGL_m(\bar{k}_v))$$

is injective.

PROOF. By THEOREM 2.2 and Hasse's THEOREM ([4]), this is easily proved. Q.E.D.

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University

### References

- [1] M. AUSLANDER and O. GOLDMAN: The Brauer group of a commutative ring, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 97 (1960), 367-409.
- [2] G. AZUMAYA: On maximally central algebras, Nagoya Math. J. 2 (1951), 119-150.
- [3] L. N. CHILDS and F. R. DEMEYER: On automorphisms of separable algebras, Pacific J. Math. 23 (1967), 25-34.
- [4] M. DEURING: Algebren, Springer-Verlag (1935).
- [5] A. HATTORI: Semi simple algebras over a commutative ring, J. Math Soc. Japan 15 (1963), 404-419.
- [6] A. HATTORI: Simple algebras over a commutative ring, Nagoya Math. J. 27 (1966), 611-616.
- [7] T. KANZAKI: On commutator rings and Galois theory of separable algebras, Osaka J. Math. 1 (1964), 103-115.
- [8] Y. MIYASHITA: Finite outer Galois theory of non-commutative rings, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. XIX (1966), 114-134.
- [9] Y. MIYASHITA: On Galois extension and crossed products, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. XXI (1970), 97-121.
- [10] M. NAGATA: Local rings, Interscience Publishers (1962).
- [11] P. ROQUETTE: On Galois cohomology of the projective linear group and its applications to the construction of generic splitting fields of algebras, Math. Ann. 150 (1963), 411-439.
- [12] Y. WATANABE: Simple algebras over a complete local ring, Osaka J. Math. 3 (1966), 13-20.

(Received February 29, 1972)