

ON SOME PROPERTIES OF CERTAIN HYPERSURFACES IN A K -SPACE

By

Hidemaro KÔJYÔ

Introduction. Recently Y. Tashiro [5]¹⁾ proved that an orientable hypersurface in an almost complex space has an almost contact structure and showed that the induced almost contact structure of the hypersurface in a Kählerian space is normal contact if and only if the second fundamental tensor of the hypersurface has the form $H_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta} + \mu\eta_\alpha\eta_\beta$ [5].

The purpose of the present paper is to investigate some properties of a hypersurface with analogous conditions in a K -space. §1 devoted to give the fundamental concepts of an almost Hermitian space, and we show some formulas concerning hypersurfaces in a K -space for the later use.

It is well-known that if the second fundamental tensor of a hypersurface in Euclidean space has the form $H_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta} + \mu\eta_\alpha\eta_\beta$, then $\mu=0$, that is, the hypersurface is totally umbilical [6], [7]. In §2, we shall obtain the similar properties of such a hypersurface in the special K -space. In the last section we consider of the case that a hypersurface in a K -space admits the second fundamental tensor of more general form $H_{\alpha\beta} = \lambda g_{\alpha\beta} + \mu\eta_\alpha\eta_\beta$, and we shall give properties of such a hypersurface in the special K -space.

The author likes to express his sincere thanks to Dr. Y. Katsurada and Mr. T. Nagai who gave me many valuable suggestions and constant guidances.

§ 1. Preliminaries. Let us consider a real $(2n+2)$ -dimensional almost Hermitian manifold M^{2n+2} with local coordinate system $\{x^i\}$ and let (F^i_j, g_{ij}) be the almost Hermitian structure, that is, F^i_j be the almost complex structure defined on M^{2n+2} and g_{ij} be the Riemannian metric tensor satisfying $g_{hk} = g_{ij}F^i_h F^j_k$. Then it follows that

$$(1.1) \quad F_{ij} = -F_{ji} \quad (F_{ij} = g_{ih}F^h_j)$$

A differentiable hypersurface M^{2n+1} of M^{2n+2} may be represented parametrically by the equation $X^i = X^i(u^a)$ ²⁾. If we put

1) Numbers in brackets refer to the references at the end of the paper.

2) Throughout the present paper the Latin indices are supposed to run over the range $1, 2, \dots, 2n+2$, and the Greek indices take the values $1, 2, \dots, 2n+1$.

$$X_{\alpha}^i = \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial u^{\alpha}},$$

X_{α}^i span a tangent plane of M^{2n+1} at each point, and the induced Riemannian metric tensor $g_{\alpha\beta}$ in M^{2n+1} is given by

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = g_{ij} X_{\alpha}^i X_{\beta}^j.$$

Assuming that our hypersurface is orientable, we choose the unit normal vector X^i to the hypersurface and put

$$(1.2) \quad \varphi_{\beta}^{\alpha} = F_{ij}^i X_{\beta}^j X_{\alpha}^i, \quad \xi^{\alpha} = -F_{ij}^i X_{\alpha}^i X^j, \quad \eta_{\alpha} = F_{ij}^i X_{\alpha}^i X_{\beta}^j,$$

where we put $X_{\alpha}^i = g^{\alpha\beta} g_{ij} X_{\beta}^j$ and $X_i = g_{ij} X^j$.

Then it is known that the quantities φ_{β}^{α} , ξ^{α} , η_{α} and $g_{\alpha\beta}$ satisfy the following conditions [3]:

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} \xi^{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha} = 1, & \text{rank}(\varphi_{\beta}^{\alpha}) = 2n, \\ \varphi_{\beta}^{\alpha} \xi^{\beta} = 0, & \varphi_{\beta}^{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha} = 0, \\ \varphi_{\beta}^{\alpha} \varphi_{\gamma}^{\beta} = -\delta^{\alpha}_{\gamma} + \xi^{\alpha} \eta_{\gamma}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(1.4) \quad g_{\alpha\beta} \xi^{\alpha} = \eta_{\beta}, \quad g_{\alpha\beta} \varphi_{\gamma}^{\alpha} \varphi_{\delta}^{\beta} = g_{\gamma\delta} - \eta_{\gamma} \eta_{\delta}.$$

Therefore we may consider the quantities φ_{β}^{α} , ξ^{α} , η_{α} and $g_{\alpha\beta}$ define an almost contact metric structure in M^{2n+1} . From (1.3) and (1.4) it follows that

$$(1.5) \quad \varphi_{\alpha\beta} = -\varphi_{\beta\alpha}. \quad (\varphi_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\gamma} \varphi_{\beta}^{\gamma})$$

On making use of the Gauss equations we have from (1.2)

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{cases} \varphi_{\beta;\gamma}^{\alpha} = F_{j,k}^i X_{\beta}^j X_{\gamma}^k X_{\alpha}^i + H_{\gamma}^{\alpha} \eta_{\beta} - H_{\beta\gamma} \eta^{\alpha}, \\ \eta_{\beta;\gamma}^{\alpha} = -F_{j,k}^i X_{\beta}^j X_{\gamma}^k X_{\alpha}^i + H_{\gamma}^{\alpha} \varphi_{\beta}^{\alpha}, \end{cases}$$

where $H_{\beta}^{\alpha} = g^{\alpha\gamma} H_{\beta\gamma}$ and $H_{\beta\gamma}$ denotes the covariant component of the second fundamental tensor of M^{2n+1} .

Let M^{2n+2} be a K -space, then we have the following condition [1]:

$$(1.7) \quad F_{ij,k} + F_{ik,j} = 0.$$

If we put $F_{ij,k} X_{\alpha}^i X_{\beta}^j X_{\gamma}^k = A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$, then by virtue of (1.1) and (1.7) we can find that the covariant tensor $A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ is skew-symmetric with respect to all indices, and it follows that if $A_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0$ holds good, then a K -space is necessarily a Kählerian space [10]. Moreover, after some calculations (1.6) become

3) In the present paper comma and semi-colon denotes covariant differentiation with respect to the Riemannian connection defined by g_{ij} and its induced connection respectively.

$$(1.8) \quad \varphi_{\alpha\beta;\gamma} = A_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + H_{\alpha\gamma}\eta_{\beta} - H_{\beta\gamma}\eta_{\alpha},$$

$$(1.9) \quad \eta^{\alpha}_{;\beta} = H^{\gamma}_{\beta}\varphi^{\alpha}_{\gamma} + A^{\epsilon}_{\delta\beta}\eta^{\delta}\varphi^{\alpha}_{\epsilon}. [10].$$

Then for the Nijenhuis tensors of a hypersurface in a K -space we obtain the following expressions :

$$(1.10) \quad N_{\alpha} = H_{\beta\gamma}\varphi^{\beta}_{\alpha}\eta^{\gamma},$$

$$(1.11) \quad N_{\beta\gamma} = 2A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\eta^{\alpha} - 2A_{\alpha\delta\epsilon}\eta^{\alpha}\varphi^{\delta}_{\beta}\varphi^{\epsilon}_{\gamma} + H_{\alpha\gamma}\eta^{\alpha}\eta_{\beta} - H_{\alpha\beta}\eta^{\alpha}\eta_{\gamma},$$

$$(1.12) \quad N^{\alpha}_{\beta} = 2A^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma}\eta^{\gamma} + H^{\alpha}_{\gamma}\eta^{\gamma}\eta_{\beta} - H^{\alpha}_{\beta} - \varphi^{\gamma}_{\beta}\eta^{\alpha}_{;\gamma},$$

$$(1.13) \quad N^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} = 2A^{\alpha}_{\beta\delta}\varphi^{\delta}_{\gamma} - 2A^{\alpha}_{\gamma\delta}\varphi^{\delta}_{\beta} + H^{\alpha}_{\delta}\eta_{\beta}\varphi^{\delta}_{\gamma} - H^{\alpha}_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma}\varphi^{\delta}_{\beta} + \eta^{\alpha}_{;\beta}\eta_{\gamma} - \eta^{\alpha}_{;\gamma}\eta_{\beta}.$$

§ 2. The hypersurface admitting the second fundamental tensor of the form $H_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta} + \mu\eta_{\alpha}\eta_{\beta}$. In a K -space M^{2n+2} , the induced structure $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}$ in a hypersurface M^{2n+1} satisfies the relation

$$(2.1) \quad \varphi_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{\alpha;\beta} + A_{\gamma\delta\beta}\eta^{\delta}\varphi^{\gamma}_{\alpha},$$

if and only if the second fundamental tensor $H_{\alpha\beta}$ of M^{2n+1} has the form

$$(2.2) \quad H_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta} + \mu\eta_{\alpha}\eta_{\beta},$$

where μ is a scalar field in M^{2n+1} [10].

Now, if we assume that the manifold M^{2n+2} be a K -space with constant curvature, then the hypersurface satisfies the following Codazzi equation [2]:

$$(2.3) \quad H_{\alpha\beta;\gamma} - H_{\gamma\beta;\alpha} = 0.$$

Substituting (2.2) into (2.3), we get

$$(2.4) \quad (\mu_{;\gamma})\eta_{\alpha}\eta_{\beta} - (\mu_{;\alpha})\eta_{\beta}\eta_{\gamma} + \mu(\eta_{\alpha;\gamma}\eta_{\beta} - \eta_{\gamma;\alpha}\eta_{\beta} + \eta_{\beta;\gamma}\eta_{\alpha} - \eta_{\beta;\alpha}\eta_{\gamma}) = 0.$$

Multiplying (2.4) by $\varphi^{\alpha\gamma}\eta^{\beta}$ and summing for all indices, by virtue of (1.3) it follows that

$$(2.5) \quad \mu \cdot \varphi^{\alpha\gamma}(\eta_{\alpha;\gamma} - \eta_{\gamma;\alpha}) = 0.$$

By means of (2.1) and the skew-symmetric property of the tensor $A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$, we obtain $\mu=0$. Thus we have the following theorem:

Theorem 2.1. *If the second fundamental tensor $H_{\alpha\beta}$ of a hypersurface in a K -space with constant curvature has the form*

$$H_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta} + \mu\eta_{\alpha}\eta_{\beta},$$

then $\mu=0$ and the hypersurface is umbilical.

When a K -space is an Einstein space, we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 2.2. *If the second fundamental tensor $H_{\alpha\beta}$ of a hypersurface*

in an Einstein K -space is of the form

$$H_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta} + \mu\eta_\alpha\eta_\beta,$$

then $\mu = \text{const.}$ and the mean curvature H of a hypersurface is constant.

Proof. Substituting (2.1) and (2.2) into the Codazzi equation [2]:

$$(2.6) \quad H_{\alpha\beta;\gamma} - H_{\gamma\beta;\alpha} = K_{ijkl}X^i_\gamma X^j_\alpha X^k_\beta X^l,$$

we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\mu_{;\gamma})\eta_\alpha\eta_\beta - (\mu_{;\alpha})\eta_\beta\eta_\gamma - \mu(2\eta_\beta\varphi_{\alpha\gamma} + \eta_\alpha\varphi_{\beta\gamma} - \eta_\gamma\varphi_{\beta\alpha}) \\ & + \mu(A_{\epsilon\delta\gamma}\eta^\epsilon\eta_\beta\varphi^\delta_\alpha + A_{\epsilon\delta\gamma}\eta^\epsilon\eta_\alpha\varphi^\delta_\beta - A_{\epsilon\delta\alpha}\eta^\epsilon\eta_\beta\varphi^\delta_\gamma - A_{\epsilon\delta\alpha}\eta^\epsilon\eta_\gamma\varphi^\delta_\beta) \\ & = K_{ijkl}X^i_\gamma X^j_\alpha X^k_\beta X^l. \end{aligned}$$

Since M^{2n+2} is an Einstein K -space, multiplying (2.7) by $g^{\alpha\beta}$ and summing for α and β , we get

$$(2.8) \quad (\mu_{;\gamma}) - (\mu_{;\alpha})\eta^\alpha\eta_\gamma - \mu A_{\beta;\alpha}\eta^\beta\eta_\gamma\varphi^{\delta\alpha} = 0,$$

from which by multiplying (2.8) by $\varphi^{\gamma\epsilon}\varphi_{\epsilon\lambda}$ and summing for γ , we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad (\mu_{;\gamma})\eta^\gamma\eta_\lambda = \mu_{;\lambda}.$$

Moreover, differentiating (2.9) covariantly with respect to u^α and multiplying by $\varphi^{\alpha\lambda}$ and summing for λ , by virtue of (2.1) we have the following relation:

$$(2.10) \quad (\mu_{;\gamma})\eta^\gamma\eta_\lambda = 0.$$

Hence μ is constant in M^{2n+1} from (2.9) and (2.10).

§ 3. The hypersurface admitting the second fundamental tensor of the form $H_{\alpha\beta} = \lambda g_{\alpha\beta} + \mu\eta_\alpha\eta_\beta$. In this section, we consider that a hypersurface of a K -space admits the second fundamental tensor $H_{\alpha\beta}$ of the form

$$(3.1) \quad H_{\alpha\beta} = \lambda g_{\alpha\beta} + \mu\eta_\alpha\eta_\beta.$$

where λ and μ are scalar functions.

At the first, let us consider a relation of a hypersurface, which is equivalent to (3.1).

Substituting from (3.1) in the right hand member of (1.9), we have

$$(3.2) \quad \eta_{\alpha;\beta} = \lambda\varphi_{\alpha\beta} + A_{\epsilon;\beta}\eta^\epsilon\varphi^\delta_\alpha.$$

Next, we shall show that (3.2) is the sufficient condition that $H_{\alpha\beta}$ be of the form (3.1). Multiplying (3.2) by η^β and summing for β , we get $\eta_{\alpha;\beta}\eta^\beta = 0$. From the last relation it follows that $N_\alpha = 0$, then from (1.10) we obtain the relation

$$(3.3) \quad H_{\alpha\beta}\eta^\beta = \rho\eta_\alpha,$$

for a suitable function ρ .

Moreover, by using (1.8) we have

$$(3.4) \quad \varphi_{\alpha\gamma;\beta} + \varphi_{\beta\gamma;\alpha} = 2H_{\alpha\beta}\eta_\gamma - H_{\beta\gamma}\eta_\alpha - H_{\alpha\gamma}\eta_\beta.$$

Multiplying (3.4) by η^γ and summing for γ , by virtue of (3.3) it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2}(\varphi_{\alpha\gamma;\beta} + \varphi_{\beta\gamma;\alpha})\eta^\gamma = H_{\alpha\beta} - \rho\eta_\alpha\eta_\beta,$$

from which by virtue of (1.3) and (1.6)

$$(3.5) \quad H_{\alpha\beta} = \rho\eta_\alpha\eta_\beta + \frac{1}{2}(\varphi^\gamma_\alpha\eta_{\gamma;\beta} + \varphi^\gamma_\beta\eta_{\gamma;\alpha}).$$

Solving (3.2) for $\eta_{\alpha;\beta}$ and substituting from the solution in the right hand member of (3.5), we obtain (3.1). Then we have the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. *The second fundamental tensor $H_{\alpha\beta}$ of a hypersurface in a K -space is of the form*

$$H_{\alpha\beta} = \lambda g_{\alpha\beta} + \mu\eta_\alpha\eta_\beta,$$

if and only if $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}$ satisfies the relation

$$\eta_{\alpha;\beta} = \lambda\varphi_{\alpha\beta} + A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\eta^\gamma\varphi^\delta_\alpha.$$

In particular, we have the following lemma in a constant curvature K -space:

Lemma 3.2. *If the second fundamental tensor $H_{\alpha\beta}$ of a hypersurface in a K -space with constant curvature has the form*

$$H_{\alpha\beta} = \lambda g_{\alpha\beta} + \mu\eta_\alpha\eta_\beta,$$

then

$$\lambda \cdot \mu = 0.$$

Proof. Since M^{2n+2} be a K -space with constant curvature, from (2.3) and (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda_{;\gamma})g_{\alpha\beta} - (\lambda_{;\alpha})g_{\beta\gamma} + (\mu_{;\gamma})\eta_\alpha\eta_\beta - (\mu_{;\alpha})\eta_\beta\eta_\gamma \\ & + \mu(\eta_{\alpha;\gamma}\eta_\beta + \eta_{\beta;\gamma}\eta_\alpha - \eta_{\gamma;\alpha}\eta_\beta - \eta_{\beta;\alpha}\eta_\gamma) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying this equation by $\varphi^{\alpha\gamma}\eta^\beta$ and summing for all indices, by virtue of (1.3) it follows that

$$(3.6) \quad \mu \cdot \varphi^{\alpha\gamma}(\eta_{\alpha;\gamma} - \eta_{\gamma;\alpha}) = 0.$$

Substituting (3.2) into (3.6), we have the conclusion.

Theorem 3.3 *If the second fundamental tensor $H_{\alpha\beta}$ of a hypersurface in a K-space with constant curvature has the form*

$$H_{\alpha\beta} = \lambda g_{\alpha\beta} + \mu \eta_\alpha \eta_\beta,$$

then the hypersurface must be reduced to one of the following three cases:

- (1) $H_{\alpha\beta} = \mu \eta_\alpha \eta_\beta$ for a suitable function μ , i. e. $\text{rank}(H_{\alpha\beta}) = 1$;
- (2) $H_{\alpha\beta} = \lambda g_{\alpha\beta}$ and λ is constant, i. e. the hypersurface is umbilical;
- (3) $H_{\alpha\beta} = 0$, i. e. the hypersurface is totally geodesic.

Proof. From the result of Lemma 3.2, we must have one of the following three cases; $\lambda=0$, $\mu=0$ and $\lambda=\mu=0$.

If $\lambda=0$, then we have the case (1).

If $\mu=0$, then the hypersurface is umbilical. Since in a hypersurface of constant curvature space the relation $H_{\alpha\beta;\gamma} - H_{\gamma\beta;\alpha} = 0$ is satisfied, from this relation and $H_{\alpha\beta} = \lambda g_{\alpha\beta}$, we see that λ is constant. Then we have the case (2).

If $\lambda=\mu=0$, then $H_{\alpha\beta}=0$, i. e. the hypersurface is totally geodesic.

When M^{2n+2} be a space of constant curvature, from the Gauss equation [2]:

$$K_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = H_{\alpha\gamma}H_{\beta\delta} - H_{\alpha\delta}H_{\beta\gamma} + K_{ijkl}X_\alpha^iX_\beta^jX_\gamma^kX_\delta^l,$$

we can easily obtain that the hypersurface is of constant curvature for above three cases. Thus we have the following corollary:

Corollary 3.4. *If the second fundamental tensor $H_{\alpha\beta}$ of a hypersurface in a K-space with constant curvature has the form*

$$H_{\alpha\beta} = \lambda g_{\alpha\beta} + \mu \eta_\alpha \eta_\beta,$$

then the hypersurface is also of constant curvature.

Next, we assume that M^{2n+2} be an Einstein K-space, then we have the following lemma:

Lemma 3.5. *If the second fundamental tensor $H_{\alpha\beta}$ of a hypersurface in an Einstein K-space has the form*

$$H_{\alpha\beta} = \lambda g_{\alpha\beta} + \mu \eta_\alpha \eta_\beta,$$

then

$$(3.7) \quad \lambda(2n\lambda + \mu)_{;\gamma} \eta^\gamma = 0.$$

Proof. Substituting (3.1) into (2.6) and multiplying by $g^{\alpha\beta}$ and summing for α and β , we get

$$2n(\lambda_{;\gamma}) + (\mu_{;\gamma}) + (\mu_{;\alpha})\eta^\alpha \eta_\gamma + \mu(2\eta_{\alpha;\gamma}\eta^\alpha - \eta_{\gamma;\alpha}\eta^\alpha - \eta_\gamma g^{\alpha\beta}\eta_{\beta;\alpha}) = K_{il}X_\gamma^iX_\gamma^l.$$

Since M^{2n+2} is an Einstein K -space, we obtain by means of (3.2)

$$(2n\lambda + \mu)_{;\gamma} + (\mu_{;\alpha})\eta^\alpha\eta_\gamma - \mu\eta_\gamma A_{\delta\alpha}\eta^\delta\varphi^{\delta\alpha} = 0.$$

Multiplying the above equation by $\varphi^{\gamma\beta}\varphi_{\beta\kappa}$ and summing for γ , it follows that

$$(3.8) \quad (2n\lambda + \mu)_{;\kappa} = (2n\lambda + \mu)_{;\gamma}\eta^\gamma\eta_\kappa.$$

Differentiating (3.8) covariantly with respect to u^α and multiplying by $\varphi^{\alpha\kappa}$ and summing for α and κ , we have the conclusion by means of (3.2).

Theorem 3.6. *If the second fundamental tensor $H_{\alpha\beta}$ of a hypersurface in an Einstein K -space has the form*

$$H_{\alpha\beta} = \lambda g_{\alpha\beta} + \mu\eta_\alpha\eta_\beta,$$

then the hypersurface must be reduced to one of the following four cases:

- (1) $H_{\alpha\beta} = \lambda g_{\alpha\beta} + \mu\eta_\alpha\eta_\beta$, where $2n\lambda + \mu = \text{constant}$;
- (2) $H_{\alpha\beta} = \lambda g_{\alpha\beta}$ and λ is constant, i.e. the hypersurface is umbilical;
- (3) $H_{\alpha\beta} = \mu\eta_\alpha\eta_\beta$ for a suitable function μ , i.e. $\text{rank}(H_{\alpha\beta}) = 1$;
- (4) $H_{\alpha\beta} = 0$, i.e. the hypersurface is totally geodesic.

Proof. From the result of Lemma 3.5, if $\lambda \neq 0$, then $(2n\lambda + \mu)_{;\gamma}\eta^\gamma = 0$. From this equation and (3.8), it follows that $2n\lambda + \mu = \text{constant}$. This is the case (1). Specially, if $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\mu = 0$, then $H_{\alpha\beta} = \lambda g_{\alpha\beta}$, where λ is constant. Hence we have the case (2).

From (3.7) if $\lambda = 0$, then we have the case (3). Specially, if $\lambda = \mu = 0$, then $H_{\alpha\beta} = 0$, that is, the hypersurface is totally geodesic.

References

- [1] K. YANO: The theory of Lie derivatives and its applications, North-Holland Publ., (1957).
- [2] L. P. EISENHART: Riemann Geometry, Princeton Univ. Press, (1960).
- [3] S. SASAKI: On differentiable manifolds with certain structures which are closely related to almost contact structure I, Tôhoku Math. Jour., 12 (1960), 456–476.
- [4] S. SASAKI and Y. HATAKEYAMA: On differentiable manifolds with certain structures which are closely related to almost contact structure II, Tôhoku Math. Jour., 13 (1961), 281–294.
- [5] Y. TASHIRO: On contact structure of hypersurfaces in complex manifolds, 1, Tôhoku Math. Jour., 15 (1963), 62–78.
- [6] Y. TASHIRO and S. TACHIBANA: On Fubini and C -Fubini manifolds, Kôdai Math. Sem. Rep., 15 (1963), 176–183.
- [7] M. KURITA: On normal contact metric manifolds, Jour. of Math. Soc. of Japan., 15 (1963), 304–318.

- [8] K. YANO and T. SUMITOMO: Differential geometry of hypersurfaces in a Cayley space, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh, Sec. A. 66 (1964), 216-231.
- [9] T. NAGAI and H. KÔJYÔ: On some properties of hypersurfaces with certain contact structures, Jour. Fac. Sci., Hokkaido Univ., Ser. 1, 17 (1963), 160-167.
- [10] T. NAGAI and H. KÔJYÔ: On some considerations of hypersurfaces in certain almost complex spaces, Jour. Fac. Sci., Hokkaido Univ., Ser. 1, 18 (1965), 114-123,

Department of Mathematics,
Hokkaido University

(Received July 11, 1966)