

Discrimination of the space-time V , II.

By Hyôitirô TAKENO

This paper is a continuation of [6]¹⁾. There, we have developed a theory by which we can discriminate the space-times belonging to V_I , V_{II} and V_{III} , which are not V_0 . Now, in the present paper, we first deal with the same problem assuming that the given V is V_{IV} or V_V , which is not V_0 , and then proceed to the problem of V_0 . The same notations, terminologies, numbers of sections, of equations and of references as those in [6] are used.

§ 14. Discrimination of V_{IV} .

Now we deal with the problem of discriminating V_{IV} , assuming that the given U is a U_{IV} . Just as in the case of V_{III} , we further assume that the U_{IV} is not U_0 and that u_i^1 is known. V_{IV} 's are classified into two subclasses V_{IVa} and V_{IVb} , each of which is defined by $\{\nu_1=\nu_2 \neq \nu_3=\nu_4\}$ (or $\{\nu_1=\nu_3 \neq \nu_2=\nu_4\}$) or $\{\nu_1=\nu_4 \neq \nu_2=\nu_3\}$ respectively. Corresponding to this, we classify all U_{IV} 's into two subclasses. A U_{IV} is U_{IVa} or U_{IVb} according as the two-dimensional eigenspace E_s composed of only space-like eigenvectors of K_i^j contains u_i^1 or not respectively. It goes without saying that the other two-dimensional eigenspace E_t contains space-like, null and time-like eigenvectors.

First we consider U_{IVa} 's. Determine the unit vector which is contained in E_s and orthogonal to the u_i^1 , and denote it by u_i^2 . Let v_i and w_i be an arbitrary pair of mutually orthogonal space-like and time-like unit vectors belonging to E_t . Then by the c.v. test in which $(u_i^a) = (u_i^1, u_i^2, v_i^*, w_i^*)$, where v_i^* and w_i^* are given by (5.2), we can determine whether the given U_{IVa} is a V_{IVa} or not. In the latter case, the U_{IVb} is not V .

Next we consider U_{IVb} 's. In this case, u_i^1 must belong to E_t . Since u_i^4 must be orthogonal to u_i^1 , we can easily determine it. Then, if v_i and w_i are any pair of mutually orthogonal unit space-like vectors belonging to E_s , the c.v. test in which $(u_i^a) = (u_i^1, v_i^*, w_i^*, u_i^4)$, where v_i^* and w_i^* are given by (5.1), is sufficient for the discrimination.

1) Numbers in brackets refer to the references at the end of the paper.

§ 15. Discrimination of V_V .

Lastly, we deal with the discrimination of V_V , assuming that the given U is a U_V , i.e. a U whose four principal values are the same. In other words, the U is a space-time which is an Einstein space in the sense of the differential geometry. As in the former sections, we further assume that the U_V is not U_0 and that u_i^1 is known.

Such V_V 's are studied in detail in §§ 8, 9 and 10 of [2], and the properties of their c.s. are made clear in § 10 of [3]. The most important results are as follows: Such a V_V is neither $S(A)$ nor $S(B)$. The scalar curvature K is a constant. These V_V 's are classified into the following four classes:

- (A₁) V_V 's satisfying $K=0$ and appearing in Proposition 9.2 of [2].
- (A₂) " " $K=0$ and " in (ii_{pb}) of Proposition 9.4 of [2].
- (B) " " $K<0$ and " in Proposition 10.1 of [2].
- (C) " " $K>0$ and " in (C) of § 10 of [2].

$\{\lambda\}$'s of these space-times are of the form $\{\rho_2, \rho_2, \rho_3, \rho_3, \rho_4, \rho_4\}$, where ρ_a 's are non-constant (and accordingly, non-vanishing) functions which do not satisfy $\rho_2=\rho_3=\rho_4$. In other words, $\{\lambda\}$ is of type {three double eigenvalues} or {one quadruple and one double eigenvalues}, or if we use the notations in § 13 of [6],

$$(15.1) \quad (5) \quad \{a_1, a_1, a_2, a_2, a_3, a_3\}, \quad \text{or} \quad (9) \quad \{a_1, a_1, a_1, a_1, a_2, a_2\},$$

where $a_\rho \neq a_\sigma$ when $\rho \neq \sigma$.

Now we shall show that, in the case of type (5), all u_i^a 's are determined by the use of u_i^1 and $u_{\rho|A}$'s, and that, in the case of type (9), one of u_i^a 's is determined and the remaining two are determined to within a transformation of the type (5.1) or (5.2), although in both cases the numberings of the vectors are not determined uniquely.

As is seen in § 8 of [2], the condition that a V be V_V is given, in terms of $\lambda_{\alpha\beta}$'s, by

$$(15.2) \quad \lambda_{12} = \lambda_{34}, \quad \lambda_{13} = \lambda_{24}, \quad \lambda_{14} = \lambda_{23}.$$

Hence, when $(\lambda_{12}, \lambda_{13}, \lambda_{14} \neq)$, the six-dimensional eigenspace is composed of three two-dimensional eigenspaces, each of which is of signature type $(+ -)$. The eigenvectors corresponding to, for example, λ_{12} ($=\lambda_{34}$), are given by

$$(15.3) \quad u_A = a u_{12|A} + b u_{34|A}, \quad (u_A u^A = a^2 - b^2),$$

or, in terms of four-dimensional expressions,

$$(15.4) \quad u_{ij} = a u_{[i}^1 u_{j]}^2 + b u_{[i}^3 u_{j]}^4,$$

where a and b are arbitrary scalars. Thus we have

$$(15.5) \quad 2u_{ij}^1 u^j = a u_i^2,$$

which shows that we can determine u_i^2 from u_i^1 and u_A . Similarly, we can determine u_i^3 and u_i^4 by using the eigenvectors corresponding to λ_{13} and λ_{14} respectively. We can distinguish u_i from the other two by the condition that it must be time-like. If we consider the fact that we cannot distinguish beforehand λ_{12} , λ_{13} and λ_{14} from $\{\lambda\}$ only, we can conclude that when a V_V of type (5) is given, we can determine u_i^a 's to within the interchange of u_i^2 and u_i^3 by using u_i^1 and the six-dimensional eigenvectors corresponding to a_1 , a_2 and a_3 .

Next we consider the case of (9), i.e. the case in which two of λ_{12} , λ_{13} and λ_{14} are equal. In this case by dealing with the two-dimensional eigenspace in the same way as the above, we can determine one of u_i^a 's. Then the remaining two, which are orthogonal to both u_i^1 and the determined u_i^a , are determined in the form of (5.1) or (5.2) according as the determined u_i^a is u_i^4 or u_i^2 (or u_i^3) respectively.

Now we shall show that λ_a 's are determined from ρ_a 's. As the result of §10 of [3], we can find that $(\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4)$ is a permutation of $(\lambda_2^*, \lambda_3^*, \lambda_4^*)$, where λ_a^* 's are determined from ρ_a 's by

$$(15.6) \quad (\lambda_2^* \lambda_3^* \lambda_4^*)^2 = \rho_2 \rho_3 \rho_4; \quad (\lambda_2^*)^2 = \rho_3 \rho_4 / \rho_2, \dots; \quad \lambda_3^* / \lambda_2^* = \lambda_2 / \lambda_3, \dots.$$

Thus when a U_V which is not U_0 is given, we can determine to a great extent the quantities which must be identical with u_i^a 's and λ_a 's when the U_V is a V_V . Therefore it will not be difficult to execute the c.v. test. We shall omit the discrimination theorem, which is evident, for brevity's sake.

We have completed the discriminations of V_I and V_{II} , and those of V_{III} , V_{IV} and V_V which are not V_0 .

§16. Discrimination of V_0 , 1.

As is frequently stated, when a V is not V_0 , we can determine u_i^1 as the unit space-like vector proportional to the gradient of any non-constant $\lambda_{\alpha\beta}$. But if we consider a V_0 , this method cannot be applied. This is the reason why we deal with the problem of discriminating V_0 separately. In

the present section, we shall make some preparatory investigations.

It is shown in Proposition 3.1 of [2] that V_0 's are classified into the four types (I), (II), (III) and (IV). The types (II) and (III) are further classified into subtypes $\{(\text{II}_{\rho a}), (\text{II}_{\rho b}), (\text{II}_{\rho b'})\}$ and $\{(\text{III}_{\rho a}), (\text{III}_{\rho b}), (\text{III}_{\rho b'})\}$, ($\rho=2, 3, 4$), respectively. The actual forms of (β, γ, δ) , (B, C, D) , λ_a 's and $\lambda_{a\beta}$'s in the standard coordinate system for the c.s. are given in detail in [2]. Of these V_0 's, those of type $(\text{III}_{\rho a})$ or (IV) are nothing but the flat space-time $S(B)$, which is characterized by $K_{ij}^{mn}=0$, or, in terms of c.s., $\lambda_{a\beta}=0$. Hence we shall assume hereafter that the V_0 is non-flat. In other words, the V_0 's dealt with in the following are restricted to those belonging to (I), $(\text{II}_{\rho a})$, $(\text{II}_{\rho b})$, $(\text{II}_{\rho b'})$, $(\text{III}_{\rho b})$ and $(\text{III}_{\rho b'})$.

It is also shown in the same Proposition that $\lambda_{a\beta}$'s of these space-times are given respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(I)} & \quad \{p_2^2, p_3^2, p_4^2, p_3p_4, p_4p_2, p_2p_3\}, \quad (p_2p_3p_4 \neq 0). \\ \text{(II}_{4a}) & \quad \{p_2^2, p_3^2, 0, 0, 0, p_2p_3\}, \quad (p_2p_3 \neq 0). \\ \text{(II}_{4b}) \text{ or } (\text{II}_{4b'}) & \quad \{P, P, 0, 0, 0, P\}, \quad (P = \pm p^2 \neq 0). \\ \text{(III}_{4b}) \text{ or } (\text{III}_{4b'}) & \quad \{0, 0, P, 0, 0, 0\}, \quad (P = \pm p^2 \neq 0). \end{aligned}$$

Those for $\{(\text{II}_{2a}), (\text{II}_{2b}), (\text{II}_{2b'}), (\text{II}_{3a}), (\text{II}_{3b}), (\text{II}_{3b'})\}$ and $\{(\text{III}_{2b}), (\text{III}_{2b'}), (\text{III}_{3b}), (\text{III}_{3b'})\}$ are given by the expressions similar to those for $\{(\text{II}_{4a}), (\text{II}_{4b}), (\text{II}_{4b'})\}$ and $\{(\text{III}_{4b}), (\text{III}_{4b'})\}$ respectively. The p_a 's and P are constants satisfying the conditions in brackets respectively.

The $\lambda_{a\beta}$'s in the above are written in the order $\{\lambda_{12}, \lambda_{13}, \lambda_{14}, \lambda_{34}, \lambda_{42}, \lambda_{23}\}$ respectively. If we change the orders suitably, the types of the $\{\lambda\}$'s are given by the following four:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} & \quad \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6\}, \quad (e_\rho \neq 0, \quad \rho=1, 2, \dots, 6; \quad e_1, e_2, e_3 > 0). \\ \text{(b)} & \quad \{0, 0, 0, e_1, e_2, e_3\}, \quad (e_\rho \neq 0, \quad \rho=1, 2, 3; \quad e_1, e_2 > 0). \\ \text{(c)} & \quad \{0, 0, 0, e, e, e\}, \quad (e \neq 0). \\ \text{(d)} & \quad \{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, e\}, \quad (e \neq 0). \end{aligned}$$

Here e 's are arbitrary constants satisfying the conditions in the brackets respectively.

Now we consider the problem of determining p_a 's from $\{\lambda\}$, or, in other words, from e 's. If we consider the properties of $\{\lambda\}_s$ at the same time, the results will become more useful in the discrimination theory. But we start only with the values of $\lambda_{a\beta}$'s for brevity's sake. (See the next section.)

First we deal with the case of type (a). Then the V_0 is of type (I) and its line element in the standard coordinate system stated above is given by

$$(16.1) \quad ds^2 = -dx^2 - c_2 e^{2p_2 x} dy^2 - c_3 e^{2p_3 x} dz^2 + c_4 e^{2p_4 x} dt^2, \quad (p_2 p_3 p_4 \neq 0),$$

and we have $\lambda_a = -p_a$. Our present problem is to solve

$$(16.2) \quad e_1 = q_2^2, \quad e_2 = q_3^2, \quad e_3 = q_4^2, \quad e_4 = q_3q_4, \quad e_5 = q_4q_2, \quad e_6 = q_2q_3,$$

and to determine q_a 's and then p_a 's. Here it should be noted that we use the notations q_a 's in place of p_a 's, since, as will be seen in due course, q_a 's do not necessarily coincide with p_a 's. As a matter of course, q_a 's are assumed to be real. As is easily seen, we have $q_2q_3q_4 \neq 0$. When (q_2, q_3, q_4) are solutions of (16.2), $(-q_2, -q_3, -q_4)$ are also solutions. (This corresponds to the fact that the transformation $x' = -x$, by which the V_0 is kept invariant, changes the signs of p_a 's in the line element (16.1).) Therefore we can assume without any loss of generality that we have either $(q_2, q_3, q_4 > 0)$ or (two of q_a 's are positive and the remaining one negative).

First we consider the case in which $(e_4, e_5, e_6 > 0)$ holds. Then we have $(q_2, q_3, q_4 > 0)$. By eliminating q_a 's from (16.2), we have

$$(16.3) \quad e_4 = \sqrt{e_2e_3}, \quad e_5 = \sqrt{e_3e_1}, \quad e_6 = \sqrt{e_1e_2}.$$

Conversely, when (16.3) is satisfied,

$$(16.4) \quad q_2 = \sqrt{e_1}, \quad q_3 = \sqrt{e_2}, \quad q_4 = \sqrt{e_3},$$

satisfy (16.2). Hence we have

PROPOSITION 16.1. *A necessary and sufficient condition that $\{e_\rho\}$ of type (a), in which $e_\rho > 0$ for all ρ , admit (q_a) , which satisfies $q_a > 0$ for all a , is given by (16.3).*

Next we consider the problem of the freedom of (q_a) , i. e. the problem of determining, when $\{e_\rho\}$ satisfying $e_\rho > 0$ and (16.3) is given, whether we have any solution other than that given by (16.4). If we consider the fact that the numberings of e_ρ 's are arbitrary, we can restate this problem in more precise form: Let a set of six positive constants $\{e_\rho\}$ be given, and $(1', 2', \dots, 6')$ be any permutation of $(1, 2, \dots, 6)$. Then the problem is to determine all sets of positive constants (q_2, q_3, q_4) satisfying

$$(16.2') \quad e_{1'} = q_2^2, \quad e_{2'} = q_3^2, \quad \dots, \quad e_{6'} = q_2q_3.$$

To solve this problem, we must make clear whether or not we have a solution of (16.2) of the form, for example, $(q_2 = \sqrt{e_4}, q_3 = \sqrt{e_5}, q_4 = \sqrt{e_6})$. After examining all possible cases, we obtain

PROPOSITION 16.2. *The set (q_a) stated in the above problem is determined uniquely to within the freedom of the numberings of q_a 's. If we remove the condition " $q_a > 0$ for all a ", the set obtained by changing the signs of all q_a 's also gives a solution.*

If we elucidate the first part of the Proposition in more detail, the circumstances are as follows: If we have some relations among e_ρ 's (for example, $e_1 = e_2 = \dots = e_6$), we may have some other solutions (q_a) 's (for example, $q_2 = \sqrt{e_1}$, $q_3 = \sqrt{e_4}$, $q_4 = \sqrt{e_6}$) formally different from (q_a) given by (16.4). But all solutions are the same if we disregard the numberings of q_a 's and regard each (q_a) as a set of three positive constants.

(Note that if we consider $\{\lambda\}_s$ together with $\{\lambda\}$, or, in terms of e_ρ 's, $\{e_\rho\}_s$ together with $\{e_\rho\}$, the six e_ρ 's are classified into two classes, each of which is composed of three e_ρ 's, according as the corresponding eigenvectors are minus or plus respectively, and the results take more complicated but useful forms. We omit such considerations, however, as is stated in the above.)

Next we consider the case in which two of (e_4, e_5, e_6) are negative and the remaining one is positive. In the same way as before, we can prove

PROPOSITION 16.3. *Let $\{e_\rho\}$, $(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_6 > 0; e_4, e_5 < 0)$, be given. A necessary and sufficient condition that there exist (q_a) satisfying (16.2) and $(q_2, q_3 > 0, q_4 < 0)$ is given by*

$$(16.5) \quad e_4 = -\sqrt{e_2 e_3}, \quad e_5 = -\sqrt{e_1 e_3}, \quad e_6 = \sqrt{e_1 e_2}.$$

When (16.5) holds, a set of solutions (q_a) is given by $(\sqrt{e_1}, \sqrt{e_2}, -\sqrt{e_3})$.

PROPOSITION 16.4. *When (16.5) holds, the set (q_a) satisfying $(q_2, q_3 > 0, q_4 < 0)$ and (16.2') with $(e_{1'}, e_{2'}, e_{3'}, e_{6'} > 0; e_{4'}, e_{5'} < 0)$, is determined uniquely to within the interchange of q_2 and q_3 .*

For example, if we consider the case in which $e_1 e_2 = e_3^2$ holds, $(\sqrt{e_1}, \sqrt{e_2}, -\sqrt{e_6})$ gives a set of solutions, but this is identical with the above $(\sqrt{e_1}, \sqrt{e_2}, -\sqrt{e_3})$. If we consider the fact that (16.2) and (16.2') are symmetric with respect to q_a 's, Propositions 16.2 and 16.4 can be rewritten in the following form:

PROPOSITION 16.5. *When (16.3) or (16.5) holds, the solution of (16.2) are determined uniquely to within the freedom of numberings of q_a 's and that of the change of signs of all q_a 's.*

Now we come back to the problem of the discrimination of V_0 . From the above we can conclude that, when a V_0 of type (a) is given, its $\{\lambda\}$ must be one of the following two types:

$$(a_i) \quad e_1, e_2, \dots, e_6 > 0, \quad (a_{ii}) \quad e_1, e_2, e_3, e_6 > 0; \quad e_4, e_5 < 0,$$

by changing the numberings of e_ρ 's when necessary. Then (16.3) or (16.5) must hold respectively. Thus we have

PROPOSITION 16.6. *When a U_0 is given, calculate its $\{e_\rho\}$. If it satisfies the conditions stated above, it has a possibility of being a candidate for V_0 of type (a), and if it does not satisfy, it is not V_0 and accordingly is not V .*

The actual method of the discrimination for U_0 satisfying the conditions in the Proposition will be considered in the following sections.

Lastly, we touch on a special kind of V_0 of type (a). We consider a V_0 satisfying

$$(16.6) \quad e_1 = e_2 = \cdots = e_6 = p^2 (>0), \quad \text{i. e.} \quad \{\lambda\} = \{p^2, \dots, p^2\}.$$

In this case, the V_0 satisfies

$$(16.7) \quad K_{ij}^{mn} = p^2 (\delta_i^m \delta_j^n - \delta_j^m \delta_i^n), \quad (K = -12p^2 < 0),$$

and the V_0 is nothing but the $S(A)$ whose scalar curvature is negative. (Compare this result with (8.7) of [2]. The p in the (8.7) is $-2p$ in terms of the present p .)

§ 17. Discrimination of V_0 , 2.

Now we consider V_0 whose $\{\lambda\}$ is of type (b). In this case, the V_0 belongs to $(II_{\rho a})$, and the line element is given by (16.1) with $p_\rho = 0$. The equation corresponding to (16.2) is

$$(17.1) \quad e_1 = q_2^2, \quad e_2 = q_3^2, \quad e_3 = q_2 q_3, \quad (e_4 = e_5 = e_6 = 0; \quad q_4 = 0).$$

Similarly to Propositions 16.1 and 16.2, we have

PROPOSITION 17.1. *A necessary and sufficient condition that $\{e_\rho\}$ of type (b) admit a solution of (17.1) is given by*

$$(17.2) \quad e_3^2 = e_1 e_2.$$

When this condition is satisfied, the set (q_a) is determined uniquely to within the freedom of the numberings and the change of all signs of q_a 's.

Hence, when a U_0 of type (b) satisfies the condition stated above, it has a possibility of being a candidate for V_0 of type $(II_{\rho a})$. The actual method of the discrimination will be considered in the following sections.

In the above investigations, if we consider the case in which $e_1 = e_2 = e_3$ holds, $\{e_\rho\}$ is of type (c) with $e > 0$, and the V_0 belongs to type $(II_{\rho b})$ at the same time. The V_0 is nothing but the $S(C)$ or $S(\bar{C})$. This is also seen from the fact that the line elements of $(II_{\rho b})$ given in § 3 of [2] can contain those of $(II_{\rho a})$ if we consider the special case in which $a=0$ or $b=0$ holds. In connection with these circumstances, we give the following Proposition, written for $\rho=4$:

PROPOSITION 17.2. *A necessary and sufficient condition that a V_0 of type (II_{4a}) given by $(p_2, p_3, 0)$ be of type (II_{4b}) (i.e. $S(C)$) at the same time is given by $p_2^2 = p_3^2 = p_2 p_3$.*

The proof is easy if we use the facts: (1) δ_4^z is the unique parallel vector field, and (ii) a necessary and sufficient condition that the three-dimensional space orthogonal to this vector be of constant curvature is given by $p_2^2 = p_3^2 = p_2 p_3$, together with Proposition 19.1 below.

As a matter of course, we have similar Propositions for $\rho=3$ and 2, in which cases $S(C)$ should be replaced by $S(\bar{C})$.

Thus we have completed the investigations of the problem of determining p_a 's from $\{\lambda\}$ assuming that the V_0 belongs to (I) or $(II_{\rho a})$. The discussions have been made only from the values of $\lambda_{\alpha\beta}$'s. As is stated in the last section, however, the consideration of $\{\lambda\}_s$ together with $\{\lambda\}$ will be of use in determining the numberings of p_a 's and, as a result, in the discrimination process itself. If the given U_0 is a V_0 , the six-dimensional eigenvectors corresponding to three e_ρ 's must be plus and those corresponding to the remaining three must be minus vectors. Therefore, when $\{\lambda\}_s$ does not satisfy this condition, the U_0 is not V_0 . Next, as an example, we consider a U_0 whose $\{\lambda\}$ is of type (a). When, for example, e_1 is a simple eigenvalue and the eigenvector $u_{1|A}$ is plus, the e_1 should be identified with one of p_2^2, p_3^2 and $p_2 p_3$. On the contrary, if $u_{1|A}$ is minus, the e_1 should be one of $p_4^2, p_2 p_4$ and $p_3 p_4$. It will be easily understood that such considerations are of use in determining the numberings of p_a 's. Similar circumstances also hold for all cases belonging to (a) or (b). But we stop here for brevity's sake.

§ 18. Discrimination of V_0 , 3.

Let a V_0 belong to type (I) or $(II_{\rho a})$. From the formulas in § 2, we find that such a V_0 has a c.s. satisfying

$$(18.1) \quad \lambda_2 = -p_2, \quad \lambda_3 = -p_3, \quad \lambda_4 = -p_4;$$

$$(18.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \nu_1 &= -(p_2^2 + p_3^2 + p_4^2), & \nu_2 &= -p_2(p_2 + p_3 + p_4), \\ \nu_3 &= -p_3(p_2 + p_3 + p_4), & \nu_4 &= -p_4(p_2 + p_3 + p_4). \end{aligned}$$

As a matter of course, these ν_a 's are constants, and we can determine them as the eigenvalues of the Ricci tensor K_i^j . Further, the eigenvectors of K_i^j corresponding to ν_1 are time-like, and those to ν_a 's are space-like. On the other hand, p_a 's are almost determined from $\lambda_{\alpha\beta}$'s by the methods stated in the preceding sections. If we consider these circumstances, it is evident

that (18.2) is of use in determining p_a 's more precisely.

From Proposition 3.2 of [2], we have the following table concerning the relation between the type (I) or $(II_{\rho a})$ and the classification $(V_I, V_{II}, \dots, V_V)$.

(I), $(II_{\rho a})$	V_I
(I) $V_{II a}, V_{II b}, V_{II c}, V_{II d}$	V_{II}
(I) $V_{III c}$	}
$(II_{\rho a})$ $V_{III a}, V_{III b}, V_{III c}$	
(I) $S(A)$	V_V

From this table, we find, for example, that V_0 belonging to V_I is of type (I) or $(II_{\rho a})$, and that the only V_0 belonging to V_V is $S(A)$, which is of type (I).

Now we come back to the problem of the discrimination. When the given U_0 is U_I or U_{II} , the discrimination is easy by using the theory developed in §4 and §5. In this case, the execution of the c.v. test will become much simpler if we use the values of λ_a 's obtained from (18.1) by using p_a 's almost determined by the methods studied in the preceding sections. On the other hand, $S(A)$ is characterized by (16.7), or, in terms of $\{\lambda\}$, by (16.6). Thus the method of the discrimination is evident. Hence, if it is known that the given U_0 is of type (I) or $(II_{\rho a})$, the only remaining case to be studied is that in which the U_0 belongs to U_{III} .

By virtue of the circumstances stated above, we consider in the following the V_0 's, which are of type (I) or $(II_{\rho a})$ and belong to V_{III} . The line element of such a V_0 in the coordinate system of (1.1) is given by (16.1) when the V_0 is of type (I), and by the same expression in which one of p_a 's is 0 and the remaining two are non-zero when it is of type $(II_{\rho a})$.

First we consider the case of $V_{III a}$. From the definition of $V_{III a}$, we have $\nu_1 = \nu_2 = \nu_3 \neq \nu_4$, or, in terms of p_a 's,

$$(18.3) \quad p_2^2 + p_3^2 + p_4^2 = p_2(p_2 + p_3 + p_4) = p_3(\quad) \neq p_4(\quad),$$

from which we can obtain

$$(18.4) \quad p_2 = p_3 (\equiv p), \quad p_4 = 0; \quad \nu_1 = \nu_2 = \nu_3 = -2p^2 \neq \nu_4 = 0,$$

$$(18.5) \quad \{\lambda\} = \{0, 0, 0, P, P, P\}, \quad \{\lambda\}_s = \{- - -, + + +\}, \quad (P \equiv p^2 > 0).$$

Therefore the V_0 is nothing but the $S(C)$ studied in detail in §5 of [2], and the method of its discrimination is given by Proposition 19.1 below.

Next we consider the case of $V_{III b}$. In the same way as in the above, we can obtain from $\nu_1 = \nu_3 = \nu_4 \neq \nu_2$ (or $\nu_1 = \nu_2 = \nu_4 \neq \nu_3$),

$$(18.6) \quad p_3 = p_4 (\equiv p), \quad p_2 = 0; \quad \nu_1 = \nu_3 = \nu_4 = -2p^2 \neq \nu_2 = 0,$$

and

$$(18.7) \quad \{\lambda\} = \{0, 0, 0, P, P, P\}, \quad \{\lambda\}_s = \{+ + -, + - -\}, \quad (P \equiv p^2 > 0).$$

The equation for the case $(\nu_1 = \nu_2 = \nu_4 \neq \nu_3)$ corresponding to (18.6) is evident. The V_0 is nothing but the $S(\bar{C})$, whose discrimination theorem is given by the same Proposition 19.1 below.

Lastly we deal with V_0 belonging to V_{IIIc} . In this case, we have $\nu_1 \neq \nu_2 = \nu_3 = \nu_4$, or, in terms of p_a 's,

$$(18.8) \quad p_2^2 + p_3^2 + p_4^2 \neq p_2(p_2 + p_3 + p_4) = p_3(\quad) = p_4(\quad),$$

from which we have

$$(18.9) \quad p_2 + p_3 + p_4 = 0.$$

Considering the case $p_2 p_3 p_4 \neq 0$, we can easily prove from these equations

PROPOSITION 18.1. *When a V_0 of type (I) belongs to V_{IIIc} , its $\{\lambda\}$ is of type stated in Propositions 16.3 and 16.4. Further, a necessary and sufficient condition that $\{e_\rho\}$ have a solution of (16.2) and (18.9) is given by that in Proposition 16.3 and*

$$(18.10) \quad e_3 + e_4 + e_5 = 0.$$

When this condition is satisfied, p_a 's are determined from $\lambda_{\alpha\beta}$'s uniquely to within the interchange of p_2 and p_3 and the change of all signs, if we take the $\{\lambda\}_s$ into consideration.

For example, $(p_2$ and $p_3)$ or $(p_3$ and $p_4)$ (or p_2 and p_4) are of the same sign according as the eigenvectors corresponding to e_6 , which is positive, are plus or minus vectors respectively.

Next we proceed to the case in which one of p_a 's, say p_4 , is 0. From (18.9), we have

$$(18.11) \quad p_2 = -p_3 (\equiv p) \neq 0; \quad \nu_2 = \nu_3 = \nu_4 = 0 \neq \nu_1 = 2P, \quad (P \equiv p^2),$$

$$(18.12) \quad \{\lambda\} = \{0, 0, 0, P, P, -P\}, \quad \{\lambda\}_s = \{+ + -, + - -\}.$$

Considering similarly the cases $p_3 = 0$ and $p_2 = 0$, we have

PROPOSITION 18.2. *When a V_0 of type $(II_{\rho a})$ belongs to V_{IIIc} , its $\{\lambda\}$ is of type given in (18.12). When this condition is satisfied, p_a 's are determined by $\lambda_{\alpha\beta}$'s uniquely to within the interchange of p_2 and p_3 and the change of all signs, if we consider $\{\lambda\}_s$. (It should be noted here that one of p_a 's is 0.)*

Now we shall show a theorem which is of use in discriminating V_0 which belongs to V_{IIIc} and is of type (I) or $(II_{\rho a})$. In such a V_0 , u_i^1 is known from K_i^j by considering the unit eigenvector corresponding to the simple eigenvalue.

PROPOSITION 18.3. *Let a V_0 defined by (16.1) be given. Then the equations*

$$(18.13) \quad \nabla_i u_j = -p_2^1 u_i^1 u_j, \quad u_i u^i = -1, \quad u_i^1 u^i = 0,$$

determine u_i uniquely to within its sign, provided $p_2 \neq p_3$ and $p_2 \neq p_4$. Here u_i^1 and u_i are known and unknown quantities respectively. In other words, we have $u_i = \epsilon u_i^2$ in this case. When $p_2 = p_3 = p_4$ or $p_2 = p_3 \neq p_4$ or $p_2 = p_4 \neq p_3$ holds, we have $u_i = a u_i^2 + b u_i^3 + c u_i^4$ or $u_i = a u_i^2 + b u_i^3$ or $u_i = a u_i^2 + b u_i^4$, where a , b and c are arbitrary constants satisfying $a^2 + b^2 - c^2 = 1$ or $a^2 + b^2 = 1$ or $a^2 - b^2 = 1$ respectively. Similar Propositions hold if we replace p_2 by p_3 or p_4 in (18.13), and, in the case of p_4 , the second equation by $u_i u^i = 1$.

It is evident that the existence of the arbitrariness of (a, b, c) and (a, b) correspond to the freedoms of the generalized ω - and ω -transformations of c.s. respectively. The proof is evident if we calculate the actual expression of (18.13) in the coordinate system of (16.1), and use the relation $u_i^1 = \delta_i^1$.

From the above considerations, we can conclude that when a V_0 of type (I) or $(II_{\rho a})$ is given, and it is known that it belongs to V_{IIIc} , we can determine its p_a 's, u_i^1 and u_i^a 's by using K_i^j , $\{\lambda\}$ and $\{\lambda\}_s$ and solving (18.13).

On the other hand, both V_{IIIb} and V_{IIIc} (generically called V_{III2}) are characterized by the fact that the eigenvector v_i of K_i^j corresponding to the simple eigenvalue is space-like. Further, if we use Proposition 2.1 of [2], we can determine whether a given V_{III2} is V_{IIIb} or V_{IIIc} as follows:

PROPOSITION 18.4. *A V_{III2} belongs to V_{IIIc} when and only when $v^i \nabla_i v_j = 0$ and $\nabla_i v_j \neq 0$. Thus, when $v^i \nabla_i v_j \neq 0$ or $\nabla_i v_j = 0$ holds, the V_{III2} belongs to V_{IIIb} .*

Now we come back to the problem of the discrimination. Let a U_0 belonging to U_{III} be given. We assume that it is known to belong to U_{IIIc} , by examining the sign of the magnitude of the v_i and trying the test stated in the above Proposition. Further we assume that its $\{\lambda\}$ be of type (a) or (b). (It is evident that the U_0 is neither $S(C)$ nor $S(\bar{C})$, since they belong to V_{IIIa} and V_{IIIb} respectively, and accordingly that the $\{\lambda\}$ of the given U_0 cannot be of type (c).) Then from the discussions in §16 and the present section, we can conclude that, if the U_0 is a V_0 , it must be of type (I) or

$(II_{\rho a})$, and its $\{\lambda\}$ and $\{\lambda\}_s$ must satisfy the conditions stated in Proposition 18.1 or 18.2. (If this is not the case, the U_0 cannot be a V_0 .) Determine p_a 's from $\lambda_{\alpha\beta}$'s as is stated in these Propositions, u_i^1 from K_i^j by putting $u_i^1 = v_i$, and u_i^a 's by the method stated in Proposition 18.3. Then try c.v. test. If the U_0 fails anywhere, it is not V_0 . It should be noted here that in the process of finding u_i^a 's, we may have some arbitrariness given by a and b stated in Proposition 18.3. It is evident in this case, however, that any pair of u_i^2 and u_i^3 , for example, will be of use in the c.v. test. Moreover, we cannot have the case in which $p_2 = p_3 = p_4$ holds, since the relation (18.9) must hold.

Thus we have finished the investigations concerning the discrimination of U_0 , which belongs to any of U_I , U_{II} , U_V and U_{III} , and whose $\{\lambda\}$ is of type (I) or $(II_{\rho a})$. But we will not restate the results in the form of Proposition for brevity's sake.

§ 19. Discrimination of V_0 , 4.

In the previous sections, we have completed the discussions for the cases in which $\{\lambda\}$'s are of type (a) or (b). Now we consider the case of type (c). As is stated in § 17, the case of (c), in which $e > 0$ holds, is a special case of (b), and gives $S(C)$ or $S(\bar{C})$. Now we shall give a theorem characterizing $S(C)$ and $S(\bar{C})$, which naturally includes the case $e < 0$ also.

PROPOSITION 19.1. *An $S(C)$ (or $S(\bar{C})$) is characterized by the conditions that (i) it admit one and only one parallel time-like (or space-like) vector field v_i to within an arbitrary constant multiplier, and that (ii) it be conformally flat.*

PROOF. We prove the theorem for $S(C)$. The necessity is evident by the direct calculations. (Cf. Proposition 5.6 of [2].) Conversely, we assume that (i) and (ii) are satisfied. Just as in [3.1] of [1], the line element can be brought into the form

$$(19.1) \quad ds^2 = -h_{\rho\sigma} dx^\rho dx^\sigma + dt^2, \quad v^i = v_i = \delta_i^4,$$

where $h_{\rho\sigma} = h_{\rho\sigma}(x^\tau)$ and $\rho, \sigma, \tau = 1, 2, 3$. Then it is easy to prove that the condition that the space-time be conformally flat is equivalent to that the three-dimensional space defined by $h_{\rho\sigma}$ be of constant curvature. Thus the space-time is $S(C)$. Similarly, we can prove the theorem for $S(\bar{C})$.

Thus we can conclude that when a U_0 , which belongs to $U_{III a}$ or $U_{III b}$ and whose $\{\lambda\}$ is of type (c), is given, we can determine whether it is a V_0

of type $(\text{II}_{\rho b})$ or $(\text{II}_{\rho b'})$, i. e. $S(C)$ or $S(\bar{C})$. As a matter of course, the special case of type (b) stated in the above is included in the above discussions.

Proposition 19.1 is written in an invariant form, and is of use as a discrimination theorem for $S(C)$ and $S(\bar{C})$. Moreover, it is shown in Proposition 3.1 of [2] that a V_0 of type (c) is necessarily $S(C)$ or $S(\bar{C})$. Therefore we can conclude that we have completed the discrimination theory for V_0 of type (c).

REMARK. The invariant characterization of $S(C)$ was investigated in detail by the present author in [7] from the standpoint that it is a special type of the spherically symmetric space-time. Another method is seen in [8] by the same author.

Lastly, we consider the case of V_0 whose $\{\lambda\}$ is of type (d). Such V_0 's are studied in detail in §3 and §7 of [2]. The main results are as follows. We have only three kinds of such V_0 's, i. e. those satisfying $(\lambda_{14}=P, \text{ other } \lambda_{\alpha\beta}=0)$, $(\lambda_{13}=P, \text{ other } \lambda_{\alpha\beta}=0)$ and $(\lambda_{12}=P, \text{ other } \lambda_{\alpha\beta}=0)$. The first one belongs to $V_{\text{IV}b}$ and the remaining two to $V_{\text{IV}a}$. Each class is further classified into two subclasses according as the sign of P . They are $\{(\text{III}_{4b}), (\text{III}_{4b'})\}$, $\{(\text{III}_{3b}), (\text{III}_{3b'})\}$ and $\{(\text{III}_{2b}), (\text{III}_{2b'})\}$. The indices b and b' correspond to the cases $P>0$ and $P<0$ respectively. The last two classes are (23)-conjugate to each other and their space-times are the same. Hence we can consider that these two classes are the same.

From these considerations, we arrive at the following results. When a V_0 of type (d) is given, we can discriminate to which of $V_{\text{IV}a}$ or $V_{\text{IV}b}$ it belongs by the condition $(\nu=-P, \nu'=0)$ or $(\nu=0, \nu'=-P)$ respectively, or by the condition that the six-dimensional eigenvector u_A corresponding to P be plus or minus vector respectively. Here ν is the double eigenvalue of K_i^j whose eigenspace is composed of only space-like eigenvectors and ν' is the other double eigenvalue. In other words, when a U_0 belonging to U_{IV} is given (or when U_0 of type (d) is given), and if the U_0 is a V_0 , we can determine the subclass to which the U_0 belongs by the method stated above.

Now we assume that a U_0 belonging to U_{IV} is given, and that it is found by the method stated above that it belongs to $V_{\text{IV}a}$. If this U_0 is a $V_{\text{IV}a}$, its u_i^a 's are given by $(u_i^2=u_i^{*2}, u_i^3=u_i^{*3})$ and

$$(19.2) \quad u_i^1 = u_i^{*1} \cosh \sigma + u_i^{*4} \sinh \sigma, \quad u_i^4 = u_i^{*1} \sinh \sigma + u_i^{*4} \cosh \sigma,$$

where σ is an arbitrary scalar and (u_i^{*2}, u_i^{*3}) and (u_i^{*1}, u_i^{*4}) are any pairs of the unit eigenvectors of K_i^j corresponding to $-P$ and 0 respectively, and satisfying the orthonormal condition (F_1) . Further, as a result of §7 of [2],

we must have $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0$. (This is the reason why we can take any pair of $(\overset{2}{u}^*_i, \overset{3}{u}^*_i)$ as $(\overset{2}{u}_i, \overset{3}{u}_i)$.) Thus we can determine whether the given U_0 is a V_{IVa} or not by the c.v. test using these $\overset{a}{u}_i$'s and λ_a 's. Similar results can be obtained with respect to the case of V_{IVb} , but we omit them for brevity's sake.

Thus we have completed the discrimination theory for V_0 of type (d). As an appendix we add a proposition concerning V_0 's of type (III_{pb}) or $(III_{pb'})$ and corresponding to Proposition 19.1.

PROPOSITION 19.2. *The V_0 of type (III_{4b}) or $(III_{4b'})$ is a direct product of two-dimensional flat space (whose signature is -2) and a two-dimensional space of constant curvature (whose signature is 0). The two-dimensional flat space is the linear space spanned by the two mutually orthogonal parallel vector fields, which are both space-like. Similar propositions hold for the cases of (III_{2b}) , $(III_{2b'})$, (III_{3b}) and $(III_{3b'})$.*

The proof is easy if we use the results of §5 and §7 of [2]. As a matter of course, we can use this Proposition in the discrimination process of the V_0 under consideration.

Research Institute for Theoretical Physics,
Hiroshima University,
Takehara-shi, Hiroshima-ken.

References

- [1] H. TAKENO and S. KITAMURA: On some special kind of space-times, I, *Tensor, N. S.*, **24** (1972), 266-272.
- [2] H. TAKENO and S. KITAMURA: On some special kind of space-times, II, *Hokkaido Math. J.* **1** (1972), 43-62.
- [3] H. TAKENO and S. KITAMURA: On the characteristic system of the space-time V , *Tensor, N. S.*, **25** (1972), 63-75.
- [6] H. TAKENO: Discrimination of the space-time V , I, *Hokkaido Math. J.* **2** (1973), 1-23.
- [7] H. TAKENO: The theory of spherically symmetric space-times (Revised edition), *Sci. Rep. Res. Inst. Theor. Phys., Hiroshima Univ.*, No. **5** (1966).
- [8] H. TAKENO: Equations characterizing various spaces in cosmologies, *Jour. Sci. Hiroshima Univ.*, **12** (1942), 125-136. (In Japanese).

(Received March 20, 1972)