

Convolutions of measures on some thin sets

By ENJI SATO

(Received November 13, 1976)

1. Introduction.

Let G be a LCA group with dual \hat{G} , and E a compact subset of G . Following Rudin [4], we say that E is a Kronecker set if, to each $f \in C(E)$ with $|f|=1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\gamma \in \hat{G}$ such that $\|f - \gamma\|_E < \varepsilon$. Let also $T = \{|z|=1\}$ be the circle group. Then in [2; Lemma 6.10], T. W. Körner proved that there exist two Kronecker sets K_1 and $K_2 \subset T$, both homeomorphic to $D_2 = \{0, 1\}^\infty$, and two nonzero nonnegative Borel measures μ_1 on K_1 and μ_2 on K_2 , such that $K_1 + K_2 = T$, and $\mu_1 * \mu_2 \in C^\infty(T)$.

In this paper, we prove analogs to the above result for general LCA groups. I thank Professor S. Saeki for his useful advices.

2. Notations.

Throughout this paper, G is used to denote a nondiscrete LCA group. We shall respectively denote by $A(G) = (L^1(\hat{G}))^\wedge$ and $C_c(G)$, the Fourier algebra of G , and the set of all continuous functions with compact support. Also we shall respectively denote by $M(G)$, $M_c(G)$, and $M_0(G)$, the measure algebra of all bounded regular measures on G , the set of all continuous measures in $M(G)$, and the set of those measures $\mu \in M(G)$ whose Fourier-Stieltjes transforms vanish at infinity. For $\mu \in M(G)$, $\|\mu\|$ will denote the total variation norm. The symbols $C_c^+(G)$, $M^+(G)$, and $M_c^+(G)$, will designate the set of all nonnegative functions in $C_c(G)$, the set of all nonnegative measures in $M(G)$, and the set of all nonnegative measures in $M_c(G)$, respectively. For $\mu, \nu \in M(G)$, we write $\mu \perp \nu$ if μ and ν are mutually singular. We set $M_0^\perp(G) = \{\mu \in M(G) | \mu \text{ is singular with each } \nu \in M_0(G)\}$. For the other notation, we refer to Rudin [4].

DEFINITION 1. Let 0 be the unit of G . $q(G) = \sup \{s | \text{every neighborhood } 0 \in G \text{ contains an element of order } \geq s\}$.

DEFINITION 2. Let $q \geq 2$ be an integer, and E a totally disconnected compact subset of G . We say that E is a K_q -set if, to each $f \in C(E)$ with $f^q = 1$, there exists $\gamma \in \hat{G}$ such that $f = \gamma$ on E .

3. Statements of the results.

THEOREM A. *Let G be a metrizable LCA group with $q(G)=\infty$. Let also $E \subset G$ be a compact set, and $N \geq 2$ an integer. Then there exist disjoint Kronecker sets K_1, \dots, K_N , all homeomorphic to $D_2 = \{0, 1\}^\infty$, and nonzero measures $\mu_1 \in M_c^+(K_1), \dots, \mu_N \in M_c^+(K_N)$, such that*

- (i) *the union of any $N-1$ sets of the K_j 's is a Kronecker set,*
- (ii) *$\mu_1 * \dots * \mu_N$ is absolutely continuous with respect to Haar measure on G , and its Radon-Nikodym derivative is in $A(G)$ and positive on E .*

THEOREM B. *Let G be a nondiscrete metrizable LCA group with $q = q(G) < \infty$, and $N \geq 2$ an integer. Then there exists an open neighborhood U of 0 such that for each compact set $E \subset U$ with $0 \notin E$, the conclusion of Theorem A is satisfied with K_q -set in place of Kronecker set.*

REMARK. In the above result, if $N \neq 2$ or $q \neq 2$, the condition $0 \notin E$ is unnecessary.

COROLLARY 1. *Let G be a nondiscrete LCA group. Then there exist nonzero measures $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in M_c^+(G) \cap M_0^\perp(G)$ such that*

- (i) $\|\mu_i\| = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} |\hat{\mu}_i(r)| \quad (i=1, 2),$
- (ii) $\mu_1 * \mu_2 \in A(G) \cap C_c^+(G).$

COROLLARY 2 ([1]). *Let G be a nondiscrete LCA group. Then $M_0^\perp(G)$ is not an algebra.*

4. Some lemmas for the proof of Theorem A.

LEMMA 1. *Let f, g be in $A(G) \cap C_c^+(G)$ with $\|f\|_{L^1(G)} = \|g\|_{L^1(G)} = 1$, and $q(G) = \infty$. Let also $0 < \eta < 1$ be given. Then there exists $\nu \in M^+(G)$ with $\|\nu\| = 1$, such that*

- (i) $\text{supp } \nu \subset \text{int}(\text{supp } f),$
- (ii) $\text{supp } \nu$ is a finite Kronecker set,
- (iii) $\|f * g - \nu * g\|_{A(G)} < \eta.$

PROOF. Let $\eta' > 0$ be given. Since f, g are in $C_c^+(G)$, there exists $\{V_i\}$ a finite partition of $\text{supp } f$, such that

$$(4.1.1) \quad \text{int } V_i \cap \text{int}(\text{supp } f) \neq \emptyset,$$

$$(4.1.2) \quad |f(x) - f(y)| < \eta', \quad |g(x) - g(y)| < \eta', \quad \text{for any } z \in G, x, y \in z + V_i.$$

Then there exists $\{y_i\}$ a finite Kronecker set such that $y_i \in V_i \cap \text{int}(\text{supp } f)$. Put

$$\nu' = \sum_i \left(\int_{V_i} f(y_i) dy \right) \delta_{y_i}.$$

From (4.1.2), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.1.3) \quad & | \|\nu'\| - 1 | \\
 &= \left| \sum_i \int_{V_i} f(y_i) dy - \sum_i \int f(y) \chi_{V_i}(y) dy \right| \\
 &\leq \eta' \cdot m(\text{supp } f),
 \end{aligned}$$

where m denotes Haar measure of G , and from (4.1.2), (4.1.3) that for each $x \in G$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.1.4) \quad & |f * g(x) - \nu' * g(x)| \\
 &\leq \sum_i \left\{ \int_{V_i} |g(x-y)| |f(y) - f(y_i)| dy \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_{V_i} |g(x-y) - g(x-y_i)| |f(y_i)| dy \right\} \\
 &\leq \eta' \|g\|_{L^1(G)} + \eta' \cdot (1 + \eta' \cdot m(\text{supp } f)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Putting $\nu = \frac{\nu'}{\|\nu'\|}$, we have by (4.1.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.1.5) \quad & \|\nu - \nu'\| \leq |1 - \|\nu'\|| \\
 &\leq \eta' \cdot m(\text{supp } f).
 \end{aligned}$$

Also by (4.1.4) and (4.1.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.1.6) \quad & |f * g(x) - \nu * g(x)| \\
 &\leq |f * g(x) - \nu' * g(x)| + |\nu' * g(x) - \nu * g(x)| \\
 &\leq \eta' \|g\|_{L^1(G)} + \eta' \cdot (1 + \eta' \cdot m(\text{supp } f)) + (\eta' \cdot m(\text{supp } f)) \cdot \|g\|_{\infty}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we can complete the proof as follows. First choose \hat{F} a compact subset of \hat{G} such that

$$(4.1.7) \quad \int_{\hat{F}^c} |\hat{g}(r)| dr < \frac{\eta}{4}.$$

By (4.1.1), (4.1.2) and (4.1.6), there exists ν , satisfying (i) and (ii), such that

$$(4.1.8) \quad \|f * g - \nu * g\|_{\infty} < [m(\text{supp } f + \text{supp } g)]^{-1} \cdot [\hat{m}(\hat{F})]^{-1} \cdot \frac{\eta}{2},$$

where \hat{m} denotes Haar measure of \hat{G} . Then by (4.1.7) and (4.1.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|f * g - \nu * g\|_{A(G)} &\leq \int_{\hat{F}} |\hat{f}(r) \hat{g}(r) - \hat{\nu}(r)| dr \\
 &\quad + \int_{\hat{F}^c} |\hat{f}(r) g(r) - \hat{\nu}(r) \hat{g}(r)| dr
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f * g - \nu * g\|_{A(G)} &\leq [\hat{m}(\hat{F})] \cdot \int |f * g(x) - \nu * g(x)| dx \\
&\quad + 2 \int_{\hat{F}^c} |\hat{g}(r)| dr \\
&\leq \eta.
\end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2. Let E be a compact subset of G , ε a positive number, and let f_1, f_2, f_3 in $A(G) \cap C_c^+(G)$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f_1\|_{L^1(G)} = \|f_2\|_{L^1(G)} = \|f_3\|_{L^1(G)} = 1 \text{ and} \\
\text{int}(\text{supp } f_1) + \text{int}(\text{supp } f_2) + \text{int}(\text{supp } f_3) \supset E.
\end{aligned}$$

Then there exist ν_1, ν_2 in $M^+(G)$ with $\|\nu_1\| = \|\nu_2\| = 1$, $N \geq 2$ an integer, and $\{a_{1i}, \dots, a_{Ni}\} \subset \text{int}(\text{supp } f_i)$ ($i=1, 2$), such that

- (i) $\bigcup_{j=1}^N (a_{j1} + a_{j2} + \text{int}(\text{supp } f_2)) \supset E$,
- (ii) $\text{supp } \nu_i = \{a_{1i}, \dots, a_{Ni}\}$ ($i=1, 2$), $\text{supp } \nu_1 \cap \text{supp } \nu_2 = \phi$, and $(\text{supp } \nu_1) \cup (\text{supp } \nu_2)$ is a Kronecker set,
- (iii) $\|f_1 * f_2 * f_3 - \nu_1 * \nu_2 * f_3\|_{A(G)} < \varepsilon$.

PROOF. Since E is a compact set, it follows from the hypothesis that there exist $N' \geq 2$ an integer, and $\{a_{1i}, \dots, a_{N'i}\} \subset \text{int}(\text{supp } f_i)$ ($i=1, 2$), such that

$$(4.2.1) \quad \bigcup_{j=1}^{N'} (a_{j1} + a_{j2} + \text{int}(\text{supp } f_3)) \supset E, \text{ and}$$

$$(4.2.2) \quad \{a_{11}, \dots, a_{N'1}; a_{12}, \dots, a_{N'2}\} \text{ is Kronecker set.}$$

Then by Lemma 1, we have $\nu_1 \in M^+(G)$, $\|\nu_1\| = 1$, and $\text{Card}(\text{supp } \nu_1) \geq N'$, such that

$$(4.2.3) \quad \text{Supp } \nu_1 \cup \{a_{12}, \dots, a_{N'2}\} \text{ is a finite Kronecker set,}$$

$$(4.2.4) \quad \text{int}(\text{supp } f_1) \supset \text{supp } \nu_1 \supset \{a_{11}, \dots, a_{N'1}\}, \text{ and}$$

$$(4.2.5) \quad \|f_1 * f_2 * f_3 - \nu_1 * f_2 * f_3\|_{A(G)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

In the same way, we have $\nu_2 \in M^+(G)$, $\|\nu_2\| = 1$ such that

$$(4.2.6) \quad (\text{supp } \nu_1) \cup (\text{supp } \nu_2) \text{ is a finite Kronecker set,}$$

$$\text{and } (\text{supp } \nu_1) \cap (\text{supp } \nu_2) = \phi,$$

$$(4.2.7) \quad \text{int}(\text{supp } f_2) \supset \text{supp } \nu_2 \supset \{a_{12}, \dots, a_{N'2}\},$$

$$\text{Card}(\text{supp } \nu_2) \geq \text{Card}(\text{supp } \nu_1), \text{ and}$$

$$(4.2.8) \quad \|f_2 * f_3 - \nu_2 * f_3\|_{A(G)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume $\text{Card}(\text{supp } \nu_1) = \text{Card}(\text{supp } \nu_2)$ (if necessary, replace ν_1 by an appropriate ν'_1).

Put $N = \text{Card}(\text{supp } \nu_1) = \text{Card}(\text{supp } \nu_2)$. We have (i), (ii) in Lemma 2 by (4.2.1) and (4.2.7). By (4.2.5) and (4.2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f_1 * f_2 * f_3 - \nu_1 * \nu_2 * f_3\|_{A(G)} \\ & \leq \|f_1 * f_2 * f_3 - \nu_1 * f_2 * f_3\|_{A(G)} \\ & \quad + \|\nu_1 * f_2 * f_3 - \nu_1 * \nu_2 * f_3\|_{A(G)} \\ & \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \|f_2 * f_3 - \nu_2 * f_3\|_{A(G)} \\ & < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

which establishes part (iii).

LEMMA 3. Under the notation in Lemma 2, let η_1 and η_2 be positive numbers. Then there exist W a compact neighborhood of 0 and \hat{K} a finite subset of \hat{G} , such that

- (i) $\text{diam}(W) < \eta_1$,
- (ii) $\{a_{ji} + W\}_{j=1, \dots, N; i=1, 2}$ are disjoint sets, $a_{ji} + W \subset \text{int}(\text{supp } f_i)$ ($j=1, \dots, N$; $i=1, 2$), and $\bigcup_{j=1}^N (x_{j1} + x_{j2} + \text{int}(\text{supp } f_3)) \supset E$ for any $x_{si} \in a_{si} + W$ ($s=1, \dots, N$; $i=1, 2$),
- (iii) given α_{js} reals ($j=1, \dots, N$; $s=1, 2$), there exists $\gamma \in \hat{K}$ satisfying

$$|\gamma(x) - \exp(i\alpha_{js})| < \eta_2 \text{ for } x \in a_{js} + W \quad (j=1, \dots, N; s=1, 2).$$

PROOF. By (i) in Lemma 2, and [5; Lemma 2], we have W_1 a compact neighborhood of 0 satisfying (i) and (ii). On the other hand, by (ii) in Lemma 2, there exists $\hat{K} \subset \hat{G}$ a finite set, such that for any α_{js} reals ($j=1, \dots, N$; $s=1, 2$), we can take $\gamma \in \hat{K}$ satisfying

$$(*) \quad |\gamma(a_{js}) - \exp(i\alpha_{js})| < \frac{\eta_2}{2} \quad (j=1, \dots, N; s=1, 2).$$

After all, by (*), it is sufficient to choose $W \subset W_1$ a compact neighborhood of 0 such that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\gamma(x) - \gamma(a_{js})| < \frac{\eta_2}{2} \\ & \text{for any } \gamma \in \hat{K} \text{ and } x \in a_{js} + W \quad (j=1, \dots, N; s=1, 2). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 4. Under the notation in Lemma 3, there exist $f'_1, f'_2 \in A(G) \cap C_c^+(G)$ with $\|f'_1\|_{L^1(G)} = \|f'_2\|_{L^1(G)} = 1$, such that

- (i) $\text{int}(\text{supp } f'_1) + \text{int}(\text{supp } f'_2) + \text{int}(\text{supp } f_3) \supset E$,
- (ii) $\text{supp } f'_i \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^N (a_{ji} + W) \quad (i=1, 2),$

$$(iii) \quad \|f_1 * f_2 * f_3 - f'_1 * f'_2 * f_3\|_{A(G)} < 2\varepsilon.$$

PROOF. First, let \hat{F} be a compact subset of \hat{G} such that

$$(4.4.1) \quad \int_{\hat{F}^c} |\hat{f}_3(r)| dr < \frac{\varepsilon}{8}.$$

Next, for W in Lemma 3, there exists $h \in A(G) \cap C_c^+(G)$ with $\text{supp } h \subset W$ and $\|h\|_{L^1(G)} = 1$, such that

$$(4.4.2) \quad \int_{\hat{F}} |\hat{f}_3(r) - \hat{h}(r) \hat{f}_3(r)| dr < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Put $f'_1 = \nu_1 * h$, $f'_2 = \nu_2 * h$ where ν_1, ν_2 are as in Lemma 2. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f'_i\|_{L^1(G)} &= 1, \quad f'_i \in A(G) \cap C_c^+(G), \text{ and} \\ \text{supp } f'_i &\subset \bigcup_{j=1}^N (a_{ji} + W) \quad (i = 1, 2). \end{aligned}$$

So we have (i) and (ii) immediately. Also by Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|f_1 * f_2 * f_3 - f'_1 * f'_2 * f_3\|_{A(G)} \\ &\leq \|f_1 * f_2 * f_3 - \nu_1 * \nu_2 * f_3\|_{A(G)} \\ &\quad + \|\nu_1 * \nu_2 * f_3 - f'_1 * f'_2 * f_3\|_{A(G)} \\ &\leq \varepsilon + 2\|f_3 - h * f_3\|_{A(G)}. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.4.1) and (4.4.2), we have

$$\|f_3 - h * f_3\|_{A(G)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

5. The proofs of Theorem A and Theorem B.

The proof of Theorem A. We prove Theorem A only for $N=3$, since the proof for the general case is similar.

First, choose $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in A(G) \cap C_c^+(G)$ with $\|g_1\|_{L^1(G)} = \|g_2\|_{L^1(G)} = \|g_3\|_{L^1(G)} = 1$, such that

$$\text{int}(\text{supp } g_1) + \text{int}(\text{supp } g_2) + \text{int}(\text{supp } g_3) \supset E,$$

and

$$g_1 * g_2 * g_3 \geq \delta > 0 \text{ on } E.$$

Next, suppose that for some $r \geq 0$, we constructed

$$g_{3r+1}, g_{3r+2}, g_{3r+3} \in A(G) \cap C_c^+(G)$$

with $\|g_{3r+1}\|_{L^1(G)} = \|g_{3r+2}\|_{L^1(G)} = \|g_{3r+3}\|_{L^1(G)} = 1$, such that

$$\text{int}(\text{supp } g_{3r+1}) + \text{int}(\text{supp } g_{3r+2}) + \text{int}(\text{supp } g_{3r+3}) \supset E,$$

and

$$g_{3r+1} * g_{3r+2} * g_{3r+3} \geq \delta(1 - 10^{-1} - \dots - 10^{-r}) \text{ on } E.$$

We now describe the construction in the $(r+1)^{\text{th}}$ step. We use Lemmas 2 and 3 for $f_i = g_{3r+i}$ ($i=1, 2, 3$), and positive numbers ε , η_1 , η_2 which tend to 0 rapidly depending on r . Then we get $g'_{3r+1} = f'_1$, $g'_{3r+2} = f'_2$, $g_{3r+3} = f_3$ as in Lemma 4. Again putting $f_1 = g'_{3r+2}$, $f_2 = g_{3r+3}$, $f_3 = g'_{3r+1}$, we get similarly g''_{3r+1} , g'_{3r+3} , g'_{3r+1} in place of f'_1 , f'_2 , f_3 as in Lemma 4. In the third step, putting $f_1 = g'_{3r+3}$, $f_2 = g'_{3r+1}$, $f_3 = g''_{3r+2}$, we get g''_{3r+3} , g''_{3r+1} , g''_{3r+2} in place of f'_1 , f'_2 , f'_3 as in Lemma 4.

$$\text{Put } g_{3(r+1)+i} = g''_{3r+i} \quad (i=1, 2, 3).$$

We can demand that

$$(5.1.1) \quad g_{3(r+1)+i} \in A(G) \cap C_c^+(G), \quad \|g_{3(r+1)+i}\|_{L^1(G)} = 1 \quad (i=1, 2, 3),$$

$$(5.1.2) \quad \text{int}(\text{supp } g_{3(r+1)+1}) + \text{int}(\text{supp } g_{3(r+1)+2}) + \text{int}(\text{supp } g_{3(r+1)+3}) \supset E,$$

and

$$(5.1.3) \quad \|g_{3r+1} * g_{3r+2} * g_{3r+3} - g_{3(r+1)+1} * g_{3(r+1)+2} * g_{3(r+1)+3}\|_{A(G)} \leq \frac{\delta}{10^{r+1}}.$$

From (5.1.3), we have

$$g_{3(r+1)+1} * g_{3(r+1)+2} * g_{3(r+1)+3} \geq \delta(1 - 10^{-1} - \dots - 10^{-(r+1)}) \text{ on } E.$$

This completes our inductive construction.

Now let

$$L_{3r+i} \text{ be } \text{supp } g_{3r+i} \quad (i=1, 2, 3),$$

and

$$K_i = \bigcap_{r=0}^{\infty} L_{3r+i} \quad (i=1, 2, 3).$$

Then if we carefully choose $\{g_{3r+i}\}_{r \geq 0}$, $i=1, 2, 3$ recalling the proofs of Lemma 3 and Lemma 4, we can demand that the K_i 's ($i=1, 2, 3$) are disjoint Kronecker sets, homeomorphic to Cantor set such that the union of any two sets in K_1 , K_2 , K_3 is a Kronecker set and $K_1 + K_2 + K_3 \supset E$. (cf [4; 5.2.4])

By (5.1.1), we can find an increasing sequence $\{r_n\}$ of natural numbers such that $\{g_{3r_n+i}\}_{n \geq 1}$ has a limit μ_i in the weak* topology of $M(G)$ for $i=1, 2, 3$.

Then it is easy to see that $\mu_i \in M_c^+(K_i)$ and $\|\mu_i\| = 1$ ($i=1, 2, 3$).

On the other hand, by (5.1.3), $(g_{3r+1} * g_{3r+2} * g_{3r+3})_{r \geq 0}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $A(G)$.

Putting g the limit of $g_{3r+1} * g_{3r+2} * g_{3r+3}$ as r tends to ∞ , we have

$$g \in A(G) \cap C_c^+(G), \quad g \geq \frac{\delta}{100} > 0 \text{ on } E,$$

and

$$d(\mu_1 * \mu_2 * \mu_3) = g dm.$$

We get the desired result.

The proof of Theorem B. If we use the next Lemma 5, Theorem B can be proved in the same way as Theorem A. Because we can use as $\eta_2 = 0, \alpha_{j_s} \in \left\{ \frac{2\pi}{q}, \frac{4\pi}{q}, \dots, \frac{2q\pi}{q} \right\}$ in Lemma 3.

LEMMA 5 ([3; chap XIII 3.7]). *Let G be a nondiscrete LCA group, and $q = q(G) < \infty$. Then there exists U an open neighborhood of 0, such that for any $k \geq 1$ integer and $V_1, \dots, V_k \subset U$ disjoint, nonempty open sets, there exist $x_i \in V_i$, all with order q , such that $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ is an independent set.*

6. The proofs of Corollaries.

Since Corollary 2 is clear from Corollary 1, it is sufficient to show Corollary 1.

The proof of Corollary 1. Since G is a nondiscrete LCA group, \hat{G} is a noncompact set. Thus there exists Y an open subgroup of G , which is σ -compact, but is not compact. Put $H = Y^\perp$.

We have that G/H is a nondiscrete, metrizable group, and

$$(6.1) \quad H \text{ is a compact group.}$$

By Theorem A and Theorem B, there exist ν_i nonzero measures in $M^+(G/H)$, such that

$$(6.2) \quad \text{supp } \nu_i \text{ is a compact subset of some } K_q\text{-set } (i=1, 2),$$

and

$$(6.3) \quad \nu_1 * \nu_2 \in A(G/H).$$

Then From [4; 5.5.3] and [4; 5.6.10], it is easy to see

$$(6.4) \quad \nu_i \in M_0^\perp(G/H) \quad (i=1, 2).$$

By (6.2), recalling $\nu_i \geq 0$ ($i=1, 2$), we get

$$(6.5) \quad \|\nu_i\| = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} |\hat{\nu}_i(r)| \quad (i=1, 2).$$

Let m_H be the normalized Haar measure on H . We define $\mu_i \in M^+(G)$ by setting

$$(6.6) \quad \int_G f(x) d\mu_i = \int_{G/H} \int_H f(x+y) dm_H(y) d\nu_i \quad (i=1,2)$$

for $f \in C_0(G)$, where $C_0(G)$ is the completion of $C_c(G)$ in the supremum norm. By (6.1) and (6.2), $\text{supp } \mu_i$ is compact ($i=1,2$). Then for any bounded continuous function f , we have (6.6). In particular for $\gamma \in \hat{G}$, we get

$$(6.7) \quad \hat{\mu}_i(\gamma) = \begin{cases} \hat{\nu}_i(\gamma) & \text{for } \gamma \in Y \\ 0 & \text{for } \gamma \notin Y. \end{cases}$$

We claim that μ_1 and μ_2 have the required properties. Recalling the choice of Y , (6.3), (6.5), and (6.6), we get

$$\|\mu_i\| = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} |\hat{\mu}_i(\gamma)|, \quad \mu_i \in M_c^+(G) \quad (i=1,2),$$

and

$$\mu_1 * \mu_2 \in A(G).$$

To complete the proof, it is sufficient to show $\mu_i \in M_0^1(G)$. Suppose that μ_1 is not in $M_0^1(G)$. Then there exists μ' a nonzero nonnegative measure in $M_0(G)$ such that μ' is absolutely continuous with respect to μ_1 . Hence putting $\mu = \mu' * m_H$, we get that μ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ_1 . We define $\nu \in M(G/H)$ by

$$\int_G f(x) d\mu = \int_{G/H} \int_H f(x+y) dm_H(y) d\nu \quad \text{for } f \in C_0(G).$$

Then μ being absolutely continuous with respect to μ_1 and $\mu \in M_0(G)$, we get that ν is absolutely continuous with respect to ν_1 , and nonzero measure in $M_0(G/H)$. This contradicts (6.4).

References

- [1] C. GRAHAM: $M_0(G)$ is not prime L -ideal of measures. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 27 (1971), 557-562.
- [2] T. W. KÖRNER: Pseudofunctions and Helson sets. Astérisque Math. France 5 (1973), 3-224.
- [3] L. A. LINDAHL and F. POULSEN: Thin sets in harmonic analysis. New York, Marcel Dekker (1971).
- [4] W. RUDIN: Fourier analysis on groups. New York 1962.
- [5] E. SATO: On the finite sum of Kronecker sets. Hokkaido Math. Jour. Vol. V (1976), 232-236.

Department of Mathematics
Yamagata University
Yamagata, Japan