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## 1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a finite group and $q$ a prime. We say that $G$ is $q$-closed if $G$ has a normal Sylow $q$-subgroup and $q$-nilpotent if $G$ has a normal $q$ complement. In this paper we prove the following theorem.

Theorem. Let $G$ be a finite group. Assume that $G$ admits an automorphism $\alpha$ of order $p, p$ a prime. Assume further that $C_{G}(\alpha)$ is a cyclic $q$-group for some odd prime $q$ distinct from $p$. Then $G$ is $q$-closed or $q$ nilpotent. In particular $G$ is solvable.
B. Rickman [8] prove the case $q \geqslant 5$, so we prove the case $q=3$.

## 2. Preliminaries

All groups considered in this paper are assumed finite. Our notation corresponds to that of Gorenstein [5].
(2.1) Let $A$ be a $\pi^{\prime}$-group of automorphism of the $\pi$-group $G$, and suppose $G$ or $A$ is solvable. Then for each prime $p$ in $\pi$, we have
(1) A leaves invariant some $S_{p}$-subgroup of $G$.
(2) Any two A-invariant $S_{p}$-subgroups of $G$ are conjugate by an element of $C_{G}(A)$.
(3) Any A-invariant p-subgroup of $G$ is contained in an $A$-invariant $S_{p} \cdot$ subgroup of $G$.
(4) If $H$ is any A-invariant normal subgroup of $G$, then $C_{G / H}(A)$ is the image of $C_{G}(A)$ in $G / H$.
(2.2) (Thompson)

A p-group $P$ posseses a characteristic subgroup $C$ with the following properties;
(1) $\quad c 1(C) \leqslant 2$ and $C / Z(C)$ is elementary abelian.
(2) $[P, C] \subseteq Z(C)$.
(3) $C_{P}(C)=Z(C)$.
(4) Every nontrivial $p^{\prime}$-automorphism of $P$ induces a nontrivial automorphism of $C$.
(2.3) If $A$ is a $p^{\prime}$-group of automorphisms of the $p$-group $P$ with $p$ odd which acts trivially on $\Omega_{1}(P)$, then $A=1$.
(2.4) Let $P$ be a p-group of class at most 2 with $p$ odd. Then $\Omega_{1}(P)$ is of exponent $p$.
(2.5) (Clifford)

Let $V / F$ be an irreducible $G$-module and let $H$ be a normal subgroup of $G$. Then $V$ is the direct sum of $H$-invariant subspaces $V_{i}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$, which satisfy the following conditions;
(1) $\quad V_{i}=X_{i 1} \oplus X_{i 2} \oplus \cdots \oplus X_{i t}$, where each $X_{i j}$ is an irreducible $H$-submodule, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r, t$ is independent of $i$, and $X_{i j}, X_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}$ are isomorphic $H$ modules if and only if $i=i^{\prime}$.
(2) For $x$ in $G$, the mapping $\pi(x) ; \quad V_{i} \rightarrow V_{i} x, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$, is a permutation of the set $S=\left\{V_{1}, \cdots, V_{r}\right\}$ and $\pi$ induces a transitive permutation representation of $G$ on $S$.
(2.6) (Thompson)

Assume $G$ is a finite group admitting a fixed point free automorphism of prime order. Then $G$ is nilpotent.
(2.7) (Shult)

Let $G=N Q P$ with $N \triangleright G, Q \triangleright Q P,|P|$ is a prime, $|Q|$ is an odd and $(|Q|,|P|)=1,(|N|,|Q|)=1$. Assume further that $C_{N}(P)=1$. Then $[P, Q] \subseteq$ $C_{Q}(N)$.
(2.8) (Thompson Transitivity Theorem)

Let $G$ be a group in which the centralizer of every p-element is $p$ constrained. Then if $A \in S C N_{3}(P), C_{G}(A)$ permutes transitively under conjugation the set of all maximal A-invariant $q$-subgroups of $G$ for any prime $q \neq p$.
(2.9) Let $G$ be a group in which the centralizer of every p-element is p-constrained. Let $P$ be an $S_{p}$-subgroup of $G$ and let $A$ be an element of $S C N_{3}(P)$. Then for any prime $q \neq p, P$ normalizes some maximal $A$ invariant $q$-subgroup of $G$.
(2.10) (Glauberman)

Let $G$ be a group, and $P$ be an $S_{p}$-subgroup of $G$. If $p \geqslant 5, P \neq 1$, and $N_{G}(P) / C_{G}(P)$ is a $p$-group, then $G$ has a factor group of order $p$.

Suppose $p$ is an odd prime and $P$ is an $S_{p}$-subgroup of $G$. A normal subgroup $T$ of $P$ is said to control strong fusion in $P$ if $T$ has the following property.
"Whenever $W \subseteq P, g \in G$, and $W^{g} \subseteq P$, then there exist $c \in C_{G}(W)$ and $n \in N_{G}(T)$ such that $c n=g$."

Define the quadratic group for the prime $p$ to be the semidirect product $Q d(p)$ of a two dimentional vector space $V$ over $G F(p)$ by the special linear group $S L(V)$ on $V$. Let $F(p)$ be the normalizer of some $S_{\rho}$-subgroup of $Q d(p)$.
(2.11) (Glauberman)

If $F(p)$ is not involved in $N_{G}(Z(J(P)))$, then $Z(J(P))$ controls strong fusion in $P$ with respect to $G$.
(2.12) (Glauberman)

Let $G$ be a non-abelian simple group. Assume that $S_{4}$ is not involved in $G$ Then. $G$ is a JR-group, $L_{2}(q), q \equiv 3,5(8), L_{2}\left(2^{n}\right), S z\left(2^{n}\right), U_{3}\left(2^{n}\right)$.
(2.13) (Signalizer functor theorem)

Let $A$ be an elementary abelian p-subgroup of $G$ of rank at least 3. If $G$ possesses the solvable $A$-signalizer functor $\theta$, then the subgroup $<\theta$ $\left(C_{G}(a)\right) \mid a \in A^{\#}>$ of $G$ is a solvable $p^{\prime}$-group.
(2.14) (Gorenstein, Walter)

Let $G$ be a group with $O(G)=1$ and $S C N_{3}(2) \neq \phi$. Assume further that the centralizer of every involution of $G$ is 2-constrained. Then $O\left(C_{G}\right.$ $(x)=1$ for every involution $x$ of $G$.

## 3. The structure of solvable groups satisfying the hypothesis of the theorem

Lemma 3.1. Let $G$ be a solvable group admitting an automorphism $\alpha$ prime order $p$ fixing a cyclic $q$-group for some odd prime $q$ distinct from $p$. Then $G$ is $q$-closed or q-nilpotent.

Proof. Suppose false and $G$ be a minimal counterexample. First of all we prove that $G=O_{q, q^{\prime}}(G) C_{G}(\alpha)$. We may assume that $O_{q}(G)=1$. Let $Q$ be a $\alpha$-invariant $S_{q}$-subgroup of $G$. By (2.7) we have that $[Q, \alpha] \subseteq C_{G}$ $\left(O_{q^{\prime}}(G)\right) \subseteq O_{q^{\prime}}(G)$. Hence $Q=C_{Q}(\alpha)$. Let $Q_{0}$ be a subgroup of $Q$ and $M$ be a $\alpha$-invariant Hall $q^{\prime}$-subgroup of $N_{G}\left(Q_{0}\right)$. Let $y \in N_{G}\left(Q_{0}\right)$ and $x \in Q_{0}$. Then $\left(y^{-1}\right)^{\alpha} x y^{\alpha}=\left(y^{-1} x y\right)^{\alpha}=y^{-1} x y$, this implies that $\left[y^{\alpha} y^{-1}, x\right]=1$. Since $M=[M, \alpha]$, we have that $\left[M, Q_{0}\right]=1$. Hence $N_{G}\left(Q_{0}\right) / C_{G}\left(Q_{0}\right)$ is a $q$-group. Hence $G$ has a normal $q$-complement and $G=O_{q, q^{\prime}}(G) C_{G}(\alpha)$. Let $U$ be a $\alpha$-invariant Hall $q^{\prime}$-subgroup of $G$. Assume $\left[O_{q}(G), U\right]=1$. Then $G$ is $q$-nilpotent, a contradiction. So we have $\left[O_{q}(G), U\right] \neq 1$. Hence $C_{o_{q}(G)}(\alpha) \neq 1$. Next we prove that $\Phi\left(O_{q}(G)\right)=1$. Assume $\Phi\left(O_{q}(G)\right) \neq 1$. By the minimality of $G, G / \Phi\left(O_{q}\right.$ $(G))$ is $q$-closed or $q$-nilpotent. Assume $G / \Phi\left(O_{q}(G)\right)$ is $q$-closed. Then $G$ is $q$-closed, hence $G / \Phi\left(O_{q}(G)\right)$ is $q$-nilpotent. Hence $\left[O_{q}(G), U\right] \subseteq \Phi\left(O_{q}(G)\right)$,
it follows that $[U, O(G)]$ it follows that $\left[U, O_{q}(G)\right]=1$, a contradiction. Hence $\Phi\left(O_{q}(G)\right)=1$. By the

Frattini argument, $G=O_{q}(G) N_{G}(U)$ since $G=O_{q, q^{\prime}}(G) C_{G}(\alpha)$. Hence $C_{N_{G}(U)}$ $(\alpha) \neq 1$. Let $\langle g\rangle=\Omega_{1}\left(C_{G}(\alpha)\right)$, then $g \in N_{G}(U)$. By Theorem 5.2.3 of [5], $O_{q}(G)=\left[O_{q}(G), U\right] \times C_{o_{q}(G)}(U)$. Since $\quad[g, U] \subseteq U \cap O_{q}(G)=1, \quad\left[O_{q}(G), U, U\right]=$ 1, this implies $\left[O_{q}(G), U\right]=1$, a contradiction.

## 4. The proof of the theorem

Let $G$ be a minimal counterexample to the Theorem and assume $q=3$.
Lemma 4.1. $G$ is simple.
Proof. By minimality of $G, G$ is characteristic simple. Hence $G=G_{1}$ $\times \cdots \times G_{n}$ where the $G_{i}$ is non-abelian simple. Any normal non-abelian simple subgroup of $G$ coincide with one of the $G_{i} 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Since $G_{1}^{\alpha} \triangleright G$, $G_{1}^{\alpha}=G_{i}$ for some $i$. Assume that $G_{1}^{\alpha}=G_{1}$. Then by minimality of $G$, $G=G_{1}$, which implies the conclusion of the Lemma 4.1. Hence we may assume that $G_{1}^{\alpha} \neq G_{1}$. Since $G_{1} \times G_{1}^{\alpha} \times \cdots \times G_{1}^{\alpha^{p-1}} \subseteq G, C_{G}(\alpha)$ is non-solvable, which is a contradiction since $C_{G}(\alpha)$ is cyclic.

Lemma 4. 2. Let ${ }^{\forall} r \in \pi(G)-\{2,3\}$. Then for any $r$-subgroup $R_{0}$ of $G, N_{G}\left(R_{0}\right) / C_{G}\left(R_{0}\right)$ is a $\{3, r\}$-group whose $S_{3}$-subgroups are cyclic.

Proof. Let $R$ be a $\alpha$-invariant $S_{r}$-subgroup of $G$. Then $N_{G}(R)$ is solvable. Let $V$ be a $\alpha$-invariant Hall $\{3, r\}^{\prime}$-subgroup of $N_{G}(R)$. Then $[V, R]=1$ since $C_{V R}(\alpha)=1$. Let $Q_{0}$ be a $\alpha$-invariant $S_{3}$-subgroup of $N_{G}(R)$. By (2.7), $\left[Q_{0}, \alpha\right] \subseteq C_{Q_{0}}(R)$. Hence $N_{G}(R) \mathrm{C}_{Q_{0}}(\alpha) R C_{G}(R)$, which implies that $N_{G}(R) / R C_{G}(R)$ is a cyclic 3-group. Next we prove that $N_{G}(Z(J(R)))=N_{G}(R)$. Suppose false. If $N_{G}(Z(J(R)))$ is 3-nilpotent, then $N_{G}(Z(J(R)))=N_{G}(R)$, a contradiction. If $N_{G}(Z(J(R)))$ is 3 -closed, then $R \subseteq N_{G}(Q)$, where $Q$ is a $\alpha$-invariant $S_{3}$-subgroup of $G$, so $Q_{0} \subseteq Q$. Then $N_{G}(R) / C_{G}(R)$ is a $r$-group since $\left[Q_{0}, R\right] \subseteq R \cap Q=1$. By (2.10) $G$ is non-simple, a contradiction. So we have $N_{G}(Z(J(R)))=N_{G}(R)$. By (2.11) $Z(J(R))$ controls strong fusion in $R$ since $F(r)$ is not involved in $N_{G}(Z(J(R)))$. Hence if $x \in N_{G}\left(R_{0}\right)$, then there exist $c \in C_{G}\left(R_{0}\right)$ and $n \in N_{G}(Z(J(R)))$ such that $x=c n$. Hence we have the conclusion of Lemma 4.2.

Lemma 4.3. Let $X$ be a finite group. For each $r \in \pi(X)-\{2,3\}$, assume that $N_{G}\left(R_{0}\right) / C_{G}\left(R_{0}\right)$ is odd order for any r-subgroup $R_{0}$ of $X$ and that $L_{3}(3)$ and $L_{2}(7)$ are not involved in $X$. Then $X$ is solvable.

Proof. Let $X$ be a minimal counterexample. If there exists a nontrivial proper normal subgroup $K$ of $X$, then $X / K$ and $K$ is solvable since $X / K$ and $K$ satisfy the hypothesis of Lemma 4.3, this implies that $X$ is solvable, a contradiction. So $X$ is a minimal simple group since proper subgroups are solvable. By $N$-paper [11] $X$ is $L_{2}(q), S z\left(2^{n}\right)$ or $L_{3}(3)$. By
the hypothesis of Lemma 4. 3, $X$ is $L_{2}(q)(q \neq 7)$ or $S \mathcal{z}\left(2^{n}\right)$. But $L_{2}(q)(q \neq 7)$ and $S z\left(2^{n}\right)$ have a $r$-group $R_{0}$ such that $N_{G}\left(R_{0}\right) / C_{G}\left(R_{0}\right)$ is even order for some $r \in \pi(X)-\{2,3\}$, a contradiction. Hence $X$ is solvable.

By Lemma 4. 3 we may assume that $L_{3}(3)$ or $L_{2}(7)$ is involved in $G$. Let $S$ be a $\alpha$-invariant $S_{2}$-subgroup of $G$ and $Q$ be a $\alpha$-invaiant $S_{3}$-subgroup of $G$. Let $S_{0}$ be a $\alpha$-invariant subgroup of $N_{G}(Q)$.

Lemma 4.4. $N_{G}(Q) / C_{G}(Q)$ is a non-trivial elementary 2-group and $N_{G}(Q)$ is a maximal $\alpha$-invariant subgroup of $G$.

Proof. Assume that $N_{G}(Z(J(Q))) \not N_{G}(Q)$, then $N_{G}(Z(J(Q)))$ is 3nilpotent. Hence $N_{G}(Z(J(Q)))$ is $F(3)$-free. By (2.11) $Z(J(Q))$ controls strong fusion in $Q$. Hence $S_{4}$ is not involved in $G$. By (2.12) $G$ is a $J R$ group, $L_{2}(q), q \equiv 3,5(8), L_{2}\left(2^{n}\right), S z\left(2^{n}\right), U_{3}\left(2^{n}\right)$. But such simple groups have not an automorphism which satisfy the hypothesis of the Theorem, a contradiction. Hence we have that $N_{G}(Z(J(Q)))=N_{G}(Q)$. If $N_{G}(Q)$ is not a maximal $\alpha$-invariant subgroup of $G$, then $N_{G}(Q)$ is 3 -nilpotent. Hence $N_{G}(Z(J(Q)))$ is 3-nilpotent, a contradiction. Therefore $N_{G}(Q)$ is a maximal $\alpha$-invariant subgroup of $G$. Assume that $N_{G}(Q) / C_{G}(Q)$ is odd order, then we have similarly prove that $S_{4}$ is not involved in $G$. Hence $N_{G}(Q) / C_{G}(Q)$ is even order. Let $L$ be a $\alpha$-invariant Hall $3^{\prime}$-subgroup of $N_{G}(Q)$. Then $L$ is nilpotent by (2.6). We set $\bar{Q}=Q / \Phi(Q)$. By Maschke's theorem $\bar{Q}=$ $\bar{Q}_{0} \oplus \bar{Q}_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \bar{Q}_{n}$, where $\bar{Q}_{i}$ is $\langle\alpha\rangle L$-irreducible, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. We may assume that $C_{\bar{Q}_{i}}(\alpha)=1$ for $i=1, \cdots n$, since $C_{\bar{Q}}(\alpha)$ is cyclic. Hence $\left[L, \bar{Q}_{i}\right]=1$ for $i$ $=1, \cdots, n$. By (2.5) $\bar{Q}_{0}$ is the direct sum of $L$-invariant subspace $V_{i}, 1 \leqslant$ $i \leqslant r$, such that $V_{i}=X_{i 1} \oplus \cdots \oplus X_{i t}$, where each $X_{i j}$ is an irreducible $L$-submodule, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$, and $X_{i j}, X_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}$ are isomorphic $L$-module if and only if $i=i^{\prime}$. Assume that $r=1$, then $Z\left(L / C_{L}\left(Q_{0}\right)\right)$ is a $\alpha$-invariant cyclic group of even order. Hence $C_{G}(\alpha)$ is even order, a contradiction. Since $\langle\alpha\rangle$ induces a transitive permutation of the set $\left\{V_{1}, \cdots, V_{r}\right\}$ by (2.5), we have $\bar{Q}_{0}=V_{1} \oplus$ $V_{1}^{\alpha} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{1}^{\alpha^{p-1}}$, where $V_{1}^{\alpha^{j}}$ coincides with one of the $V_{i}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$, for $j=$ $0, \cdots, p-1$. Since $C_{Q_{0}}(\alpha)$ is cyclic, $\left|V_{1}\right|=3$, this implies that $L / C_{L}(Q)$ is elementary 2 -group. Hence $N_{G}(Q) / Q C_{G}(Q)$ is an elementary 2 -group.

Lemma 4.5. $\quad C_{N_{G}(S)}(\alpha)=1$. In particular $N_{G}(S)$ is nilpotent and $\{2,3\}$ group.

Proof. Suppose that $C_{N_{G}(S)}(\alpha) \neq 1$. We set $\Omega_{1}\left(C_{G}(\alpha)\right)=\langle g\rangle$, then $g \in$ $N_{G}(S)$. Let $S_{0}$ be a $\alpha$-invariant $S_{2}$-subgroup of $N_{G}(Q)$, then by Lemma 4. 4 $\left[S_{0}, Q\right] \neq 1$. By (2.2) there exists a characteristic subgroup $C$ of $Q$ such that class $C \leqslant 2$ and $\left[S_{0}, C\right] \neq 1$. By (2.3) $\left[S_{0}, \Omega_{1}(C)\right] \neq 1$, and $\Omega_{1}(C)$ is of exponent 3 by (2.4). If $g \notin \Omega_{1}(C)$, then $\left[S_{0}, \Omega_{1}(C)\right]=1$, a contradiction, hence
$g \in \Omega_{1}(C)$. On the other hand $\left[S_{0}, g\right] \subseteq S \cap Q=1 .\langle\alpha\rangle S_{0}$ acts on $D=\Omega_{1}(C) / \Phi$ $\left(\Omega_{1}(C)\right)$. Since $\bar{g} \in C_{D}\left(S_{0}\right), \alpha$ acts fixed point free on $D / C_{D}\left(S_{0}\right)$, hence $\left[S_{0}\right.$, $D] \subseteq C_{D}\left(S_{0}\right)$, this implies that $\left[S_{0}, D\right]=1$, which implies $\left[S_{0}, \Omega_{1}(C)\right]=1$, a contracdiction. Hence $C_{N_{G}(S)}(\alpha)=1$. In particular $N_{G}(S)$ is nilpotent. Next assume that $N_{G}(S)$ is not $\{2,3\}$-group, then there exists an element $r \in \pi$ $\left(N_{G}(S)\right)-\{2,3\}$. Let $R$ be a $\alpha$-invariant $S_{r}$-subgroup of $G . \quad N_{G}(S)=N_{G}(R)$ is nilpotent. By (2.10) $G$ is non-simple, which is a contradiction.
Let $P$ be a $\alpha$-invariant $S_{13}$-subgroup of $G$ and $\langle g\rangle=\Omega_{1}\left(C_{G}(\alpha)\right)$.
Lemma 4.6. Assume $P \neq 1$, then the followings hold;
(i) $g \in N_{G}(P)$,
(ii) $\quad C_{P}(g)=1$.

Proof. Assume $g \notin N_{G}(P)$, then $N_{G}(P)$ is nilpotent, which implies $G$ is non-simple by $(2.10)$, a contradiction. Next we prove that $C_{P}(g)=1$. Suppose false. We set $P_{0}=C_{P}(g) \neq 1$. Let $M$ be a maximal $\alpha$-invariant subgroup of $G$ which contains $C_{G}(g)$, then $M$ is 3-closed or 3-nilpotent. If $M$ is 3 -closed, then $P_{0} \subseteq N_{G}(Q)$, this implies that $N_{G}(S)=N_{G}(P)$ by Lemma 4.4, a contradiction. Hence $M$ is 3 -nilpotent and we deduce that $M=N_{G}$ $(P)$. Assume that $g \in Z(Q)$, then $Q \subseteq N_{G}(P)$. Hence $[Q, \alpha] \subseteq C_{Q}(P)$, which implies that $\left[\Omega_{1}(Z(Q)), P_{0}\right]=1$. Since $N_{G}(Q)$ is a maximal $\alpha$-invariant subgroup of $G, P_{0} \subseteq N_{G}(Q)$, a contradiction. Hence $g \notin Z(Q)$. This implies that $[Z(Q), P]=1$. Hence $P \subseteq N_{G}(Q)$, a contradiction.

Lemma 4. 7. $\quad C_{G}(x)$ is 13-nipotent for each $x \in P^{\#}$.
Proof. By taking a conjugation of $x$ we may assume that $C_{P}(x)$ is a $S_{13}$-subgroup of $C_{G}(x)$. Let $P_{0}$ be a non-trivial 13 -subgroup of $C_{P}(x)$. We set $P_{1}=\langle x\rangle P_{0}$. Assume that $N_{C_{G}(x)}\left(P_{0}\right) / C_{C_{G}(x)}\left(P_{0}\right)$ is not a 13 -group. Then there exists an element $y$ such that $y \in N_{C_{G}(x)}\left(P_{0}\right)-C_{C_{G}(x)}\left(P_{0}\right)$ and $y$ is a $13^{\prime}$ element. This implies that $y \in N_{G}\left(P_{1}\right)-C_{G}\left(P_{1}\right)$. Assume that $N_{G}(Z(J(P))) き$ $N_{G}(P)$, then $N_{G}(P)$ is nilpotent, a contradiction. Hence $N_{G}(Z(J(P)))=N_{G}$ $(P)=C_{N_{G}(P)}(\alpha) P C_{G}(P)$. Since $F(13)$ is not involved in $N_{G}(Z(J(P))), Z(J(P))$ controls strong fusion in $P$. Hence there exists $c \in C_{G}\left(P_{1}\right)$ and $n \in N_{G}(Z(J$ $(P))$ ) such that $y=c n$. Since $N_{G}(P)=C_{N_{G}(P)}(\alpha) P C_{G}(P)$, we may assume $n \in$ $C_{N_{G}(P)}(\alpha)$. By Lemma 4.6 $n=1$ since $C_{P}(g)=1$, which contradicts the choice of $y$. Hence $N_{C_{G}(x)}\left(P_{0}\right) / C_{C_{G}(x)}\left(P_{0}\right)$ is a 13-group. Hence $C_{G}(x)$ is 13 -nilpotent.

In particular $C_{G}(x)$ is 13 -constrained for each $x \in P^{\#}$ by Lemma 4.7. Assume that $P \neq 1$ and $Z(P)$ is cyclic, then $p(=|\alpha|)$ is 2 or 3 . Hence $G$ is odd order or a $3^{\prime}$-group, a contradiction. Hence we may assume that $P=1$ or $Z(P)$ is a non-cyclic group.

## 1. The case $S C N_{3}(P) \neq \phi$

Lemma 4. 8. $\quad C_{G}(x)$ is a $\{2.3\}^{\prime}$-group for each $x \in P^{\#}$.
Proof. Suppose false. Then there exists an element $x \in P^{\#}$ and $r$ such that $r \in \pi\left(C_{G}(x)\right)$, where $r=2$ or 3 . Since $Z(P)$ is a non-cyclic group, we may assume that $x \in Z(P)$. Then $P$ normalizes some $S_{r}$-subgroup of $C_{G}(x)$ since $C_{G}(x)$ is 13 -nilpotent. Let $A \in S C N_{3}(P)$. By Transitivity Theorem $C_{G}(A)$ permutes transitively under conjugation the set of all maximal $A$-invariant $r$-subgroup. Then all maximal $A$-invariant $r$-subgroups are $P$ invariant since $C_{G}(A) \subseteq C_{G}(Z(P)) \subseteq N_{G}(P)$. Since $\alpha$ permutes maximal $P$ invariant $r$-subgroups and the number of maximal $P$-invariant $r$-subgroups is coprime to $13, \alpha$ invariants some maximal $P$-invariant $r$-subgroup. Let $W$ be a $\langle\alpha\rangle P$-invariant $r$-subgroup. If $r=2$, then $N_{G}(P)$ is nilpotent since $N_{G}(P)=N_{G}(S)$, a contradiction. Next we assume $r=3$. Let $M$ be a maximal $\alpha$-invariant subgroup of $G$ which contains $N_{G}(W)$. If $M$ is 3 -closed, then $P \subseteq N_{G}(Q)$, a contradiction. Hence $M$ is 3 -nilpotent and so $M=N_{G}(P)$. By (2.7) $[Z(Q), \alpha] \subseteq C_{Q}(P)$. Assume that $[Z(Q), \alpha]=1$, then $\left[S_{0}, Z(Q)\right]=1$. Since $g \in Z(Q),\left[S_{0}, Q\right]=1$, a contradiction. Hence we may assume that $[Z(Q)$, $\alpha] \neq 1$. Next we prove that $C_{Z(Q)}\left(S_{0}\right)=1$. Suppose false. Let $M$ be a maximal $\alpha$-invariant subgroup of $G$ which contains $N_{G}\left(S_{0}\right)$. Since $C_{Z(Q)}\left(S_{0}\right) \subseteq M$ and $N_{G}(S)$ is nilpotent $M$ is 3-closed. Hence $N_{S}\left(S_{0}\right)=S_{0}$, this implies $S=S_{0}$. Hence we see $S \subseteq N_{G}(Q)$, in particular $C_{Z(Q)}(S) \neq 1$. By Glauberman's weakly closed elements theorem [2] $C_{Z(Q)}(S)$ is weakly closed in $Q$ with respect to $G$ since $C_{Z(Q)}(S) \subseteq Z\left(N_{G}(J(Q))\right)$. Let $z \in \Omega_{1}(Z(S))^{\#}$. By $\quad Z^{*}$-theorem there exists an element $x(\neq z)$ of $S$ such that $x$ is conjugate to $z$ in $G$. Then there exists an element $k \in G$ and subgroup $H$ of $S$ such that $z^{k}=x$ and $k \in N_{G}(H), z, x \in H$. Since $C_{Z(Q)}(S)$ is weakly closed in $S, N_{G}(H)=C_{G}(H)$ $N_{N_{G}(H)}\left(C_{Z(Q)}(S)\right)$ by the Frattini argument. Then we may assume $k \in N_{G}$ $\left(C_{Z(Q)}(S)\right) \subseteq N_{G}(Q)$. Hence $z=z^{k}=x$, a contradiction. Hence $C_{Z(Q)}\left(S_{0}\right)=1$. By $(2.5) \Omega_{1}(Z(Q))=\langle a\rangle \oplus\left\langle a^{\alpha}\right\rangle \oplus \cdots \oplus\left\langle a^{\alpha^{p-1}}\right\rangle$, where $\left\langle a^{\alpha^{i}}\right\rangle$ is a Wedderburn component, $0 \leqslant i \leqslant p-1$. Let $v \in S_{0}^{\#}$. If $a^{v}=a^{-1},\left(a^{a^{i}}\right)^{v}=a^{\alpha^{i}}$ for $i=1, \cdots, p-1$, then $a^{v v^{\alpha}}=a^{-1}$ and $\left(a^{\alpha}\right)^{v v^{\alpha}}=a^{-\alpha}$. We set $b=a^{-1} a^{\alpha}$, then $b^{w}=b^{-1}$ and $b \in$ $[Z(Q), \alpha]$. By the Frattini argument $N_{G}(\langle b\rangle)=C_{G}(b) N_{N_{G}\langle(b\rangle)}(P)$. Hence $N_{G}$ $(P)$ is even order, this implies $N_{G}(S)=N_{G}(P)$, a contradiction. Hence $C_{G}(x)$ is a $\{2,3\}^{\prime}$-group for each $x \in P^{\#}$.

Lemma 4.9. $C_{G}(t)$ is solvable for every 2 -element and 3-element $t$ of G. In particular $O\left(C_{G}(x)\right)=1$ for every involution $x$ of $G$.

Proof. Let $R$ be a $\alpha$-invariant $S_{7}$-subgroup of $G$. Assume that $R \neq 1$ and $d(Z(R)) \leqslant 2$, then $p=2$ or 3 . Then $G$ is odd order or $3^{\prime}$-group, a con-
tradiction. Hence we may assume that $R=1$ or $d(Z(R)) \geqslant 3$. Assume $d$ $(Z(R)) \geqslant 3$. Then we can repeat the proof of Lemma 4.6,4.7 and 4.8 verbatim with $R$ in place of $P$ to obtain that $C_{G}(y)$ is a $\{2,3\}^{\prime}$-group for each $y \in R^{\#}$. Hence $C_{G}(t)$ is a $\{7,13\}^{\prime}$-group for every 2 -element and 3 element $t$ of $G$. In particular $C_{G}(t)$ is solvable by Lemma 4.3. Assume $S C N_{3}(2)=\phi$. Then $\left|\Omega_{1}(Z(S))\right| \leqslant 4$. Hence $p(=|\alpha|)=3$, a contradiction. Hence we may assume $S C N_{3}(2) \neq \boldsymbol{\phi} . \quad$ By (2.14) $O\left(C_{G}(x)\right)=1$ for every involution $x$ of $G$. Assume $R=1$.
Then $C_{G}(t)$ is a $\{7,13\}^{\prime}$-group for every 2 -element and 3-element $t$ of $G$ is a $7^{\prime}$-group. Hence Lemma 4.9 is proved.

Lemma 4. 10. $O_{3^{\prime}}\left(C_{G}(x)\right)$ is odd order for every element $x$ of $Q^{\#}$.
Proof. Suppose false. Then there exists an element $x$ of $Q^{\#}$ such that $O_{3^{\prime}}\left(C_{G}(x)\right)$ is even order. Since $Z(Q)$ is non-cyclic and the centralizer of every non-trivial 3 -element is solvable, we may assume that $x \in Z(Q)$. By (2.10) $W=\left\langle O_{3^{\prime}}\left(C_{G}(x)\right) \mid x \in Z(Q)^{\#}\right\rangle$ is a solvable $3^{\prime}$-group of $G$. Then $W$ is $\alpha$-invariant and even order. Let $S_{1}$ be a $\langle\alpha\rangle Q$-invariant $S_{2}$-subgroup of $W$. Let $K$ be a maximal $\alpha$-invariant subgroup of $G$ which contains $S_{1}$ Q. Suppose that $K$ is 3-nilpotent, then $Q \subseteq N_{G}(S)$, a contradiction. Hence $K$ is 3 -closed. It follows $\left[S_{1}, Q\right] \subseteq S_{1} \cap Q=1$. Let $L$ be a maximal $\alpha$-invariant subgroup which contains $C_{G}\left(S_{1}\right)$. Then $L$ is 3 -closed. Hence $Z(S) \subseteq N_{G}(Q)$. If $C_{Z(S)}(Q) \neq 1$, then $S \subseteq N_{G}(Q)$. If $\Omega_{1}(Z(S))$ is weakly closed in $S$, then $G$ is a $J R$-group, $L_{2}(q), q \equiv 3,5(8), L_{2}\left(2^{n}\right), S z\left(2^{n}\right), U_{3}\left(2^{n}\right)$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\Omega_{1}(Z(S))$ is not weakly closed in $S$ with respect to $G$. Hence there exists an element $h \in G$ such that $h \in N_{G}(H)$ and $\Omega_{1}(Z(S))^{h} \neq \Omega_{1}(Z(S)), H=$ $\left\langle\Omega_{1}(Z(S))^{k} \mid k \in\langle h\rangle\right\rangle \subseteq S$. If $[H, Q]=1$, then $N_{G}(H)=C_{G}(H) N_{N_{G}(H)}(Q)$. Thus we may assume that $h \in N_{G}(Q)$, this follows $\Omega_{1}(Z(S))^{h}=\Omega_{1}(Z(S))$, a contradiction. Hence we may assume $\left[\Omega_{1}(Z(S))^{h}, Q\right] \neq 1$. Since $\Omega_{1}(Z(S))$ is noncyclic, $Q=\left\langle C_{Q}(x) \mid x \in \Omega_{1}(Z(S))^{n \#}\right\rangle$. Since $\left[\Omega_{1}(Z(S))^{h}, Q\right] \neq 1$, there exist elements $x, y \in \Omega_{1}(Z(S))^{h}$ and $a \in Q$ such that $[a, x]=1$ and $[a, y] \neq 1$. Then $y \in O_{2}\left(C_{G}(x)\right)$ since $C_{G}(x)$ is solvable and $O\left(C_{G}(x)\right)=1, y \in Z(S)^{h}$, $S^{h}$ is a $S_{2}$-subgroup of $C_{G}(x)$. Hence $[a, y] \subseteq O_{2}\left(C_{G}(x)\right) \cap Q=1$, a contradiction. Suppose $C_{Z(S)}(Q)=1$, then we have a contradiction by a similar argument. Hence $O_{3^{\prime}}\left(C_{G}(x)\right)$ is odd order for each each $x \in Q^{\#}$.

## Lemma 4.11. $G$ does not exist.

Proof. Since $N_{S}(Q)$ acts irreducibly on $\Omega_{1}(Z(Q))$, there exist elements $u \in N_{S}(Q)$ and $a, b \in \Omega_{1}(Z(Q))$ such that $u$ centralizes $\langle a\rangle \times\langle b\rangle$ and $u$ is an involution. Then $\langle a\rangle \times\langle b\rangle$ acts faithully on $O_{2}\left(C_{G}(u)\right)$ since $C_{G}(\mathrm{u})$ is solvable and $O\left(C_{G}(u)\right)=1$. Hence we may assume that there exists an element $x \in$
$O_{2}\left(C_{G}(u)\right)$ such that $[a, x]=1$ and $[b, x] \neq 1$ since $O_{2}\left(C_{G}(u)\right)=\left\langle C_{O_{2}\left(C_{G}(u)\right)}(d)\right|$ $\left.d \in\langle a\rangle \times\langle b\rangle^{\#}\right\rangle$. Since $b \in O_{3^{\prime}, 3}\left(C_{G}(a)\right)$ and $O_{3^{\prime}, 3}\left(C_{G}(a)\right)$ is odd order, $[b, x] \subseteq$ $O_{3^{\prime}, 3}\left(C_{G}(a)\right) \cap O_{2}\left(C_{G}(u)\right)=1$, a contradiction.

## 2. The case $\operatorname{SCN}_{3}(\mathbf{P})=\phi$

Suppose $P=1$. Then $C_{G}(t)$ is a $\{7,13\}^{\prime}$-group for every 2 -element and 3-element $t$ of $G$ since $G$ is a $13^{\prime}$-group. Hence Lemma 4.9 is satisfied. By Lemma 4.9 and 4.10, we have a contradiction. Hence $P \neq 1$. Suppose $Z(P)$ is a cyclic group, then $p=7$, in particular $L_{2}(7)$ is not involuved in $G$. Hence we may assume that $L_{3}(3)$ is involved in $G$. Since $S C N_{3}(P)=\phi$, $d_{n}(P) \leq 2$, which yields $\Omega_{1}(P) \subseteq Z(P)$.

Lemma 4.12. $g \in N_{G}(\langle x\rangle)$ for each $x \in \Omega_{1}(P)^{\#}$.
Proof. $\Omega_{1}(P)$ is normalized by $\langle\alpha\rangle \times\langle g\rangle$. By (2.5) the number of Wedderburn components of $\Omega_{1}(P)$ with respect to $\langle g\rangle$ is one since $C_{\Omega_{1}(P)}(\alpha)=$ 1. Then $\Omega_{1}(P)=P_{1} \oplus P_{2}$, where $P_{i}$ is a $\langle g\rangle$-isomorphic cyclic subgroup of $\Omega_{1}(P)$ for $i=1,2$, since $g$ normalizes a cyclic subgroup of $\Omega_{1}(P)$. Hence $g$ normalizes every cyclic subgroup of $\Omega_{1}(P)$.

Lemma 4.13. $C_{Q}(S)=1$.
Proof. Suppose false. We set $Q^{*}=C_{Q}(S)$, then $Q^{*} \neq 1$. In the first we prove that $C_{G}(x)$ is odd order for each $x \in P^{\#}$. Suppose false. Then there exists an element $x \in P^{\#}$ such that $C_{G}(x)$ is even order. $P$ normalizes a $V \in S_{2}$-subgroup of $C_{G}(x)$ since $C_{G}(x)$ is 13 -nilpotent. Let $M$ be a maximal $\alpha$-invariant subgroup which contains $N_{G}\left(Q^{*}\right)$. Suppose $M$ is 3 -nilpotent, then $N_{G}(Q)=N_{G}(S)$ is nilpotent, a contradiction. Hence $S \subseteq N_{G}(Q)$. If $S$ is abelian, then $G$ is $J R$-type or $L_{2}(q), q \equiv 3,5(8), L_{2}\left(2^{n}\right)$, a contradiction. This follows that $C_{S}(Q) \neq 1$ since $S^{\prime} \subseteq C_{S}(Q)$. We set $\Omega_{1}(F)=\langle x\rangle \times\langle y\rangle$, then $y$ acts fixed point free on a Hall $\{2,3\}$-subgroup $W$ of $C_{G}(x)$ which contains $V$. Because suppose false, then $C_{G}\left(\Omega_{1}(P)\right)$ is even order or $3 \| C_{G}\left(\Omega_{1}(P)\right) \mid$. If $C_{G}\left(\Omega_{1}(P)\right)$ is even order, then we see that $N_{G}(S)=N_{G}(P)$, a contradiction. If $3\left\|C_{G}\left(\Omega_{1}(P)\right)\right\|$, then we have a contradiction by a similar argument of Lemma 4.8. Hence $W$ is nilpotent. Since $O_{13^{\prime}}\left(C_{G}(x)\right)$ is solvable, $V \subseteq$ $O_{\{2,3\}}\left(C_{G}(x)\right)$. Since $W \cap O_{\{2,3\}}\left(C_{G}(x)\right)$ is nilpotent, $V=O_{2}\left(C_{G}(x)\right)$. Now we prove that $C_{G}(V)$ is 13 -nilpotent. Suppose false. Since a $S_{13}$-subgroup of $C_{G}(V)$ is cyclic, we may assume that $N_{C_{G}(V)}(\langle x\rangle) / C_{C_{G^{(V)}}}(x)$ is not a 13-group. Since $N_{G}(\langle x\rangle)=\langle g\rangle P O_{13^{\prime}}\left(C_{G}(x)\right)$, every $S_{3}$-subgroup of $N_{G}(\langle x\rangle)$ is written by $\left\langle g^{k}\right\rangle U$ for some $k \in N_{G}(\langle x\rangle)$ and $U \in S_{3}$-subgroup of $O_{13^{\prime}}\left(C_{G}(x)\right)$." Then $\left\langle g^{k}\right\rangle U \subset C_{G}(V)=U$ or $\left\langle g^{k}\right\rangle U$ since $[U, V]=1$. Suppose that $\left[g^{k}, V\right]=1$, then $[g, V]=1$ since $k \in N_{G}(\langle x\rangle)$ and $V \triangleleft N_{G}(\langle x\rangle)$. Since $\langle g\rangle\langle y\rangle$ is a Frobenius
group, $[y, V]=1$, a contradiction. Hence every $S_{3}$-subgroup of $N_{G}(\langle x\rangle\rangle C_{G}$ $(V)$ is contained in $O_{13^{\prime}}\left(C_{G}(x)\right)$. Then $N_{G_{G^{(V)}}}\left(\langle x\rangle / C_{\sigma_{G^{(V)}}}(x)\right.$ is a 13-group, a contradiction. Hence $C_{G}(V)$ is 13 -nilpotent. By taking a conjugation of $V$, we may assume that $V \subseteq S$. Then $Q^{*} \subseteq C_{G}(V)$ and $h \in C_{G}(V)$, where $h$ is a non-trivial 13-element. Let $Q_{0}$ be a $S_{3}$-subgroup of $C_{G}(V)$ which contains $Q^{*}$. We may assume $h \in N_{G}\left(Q_{0}\right)$ since $C_{G}(V)$ is 13 -nilpotent. Now $C_{G}\left(Q_{0}\right)$ is a $13^{\prime}$-group since $C_{G}\left(Q_{0}\right) \subseteq C_{G}\left(Q^{*}\right)$ and $C_{G}\left(Q^{*}\right)$ is a $\alpha$-invariant $13^{\prime}$-group. By taking a conjugation of $Q_{0}$, we may assume that $Q_{0} \subseteq Q$ and $C_{Q}\left(Q_{0}\right)$ is a $S_{3}$-subgroup of $C_{G}\left(Q_{0}\right)$. We set $Q_{1}=C_{Q}\left(Q_{0}\right)$, then $Z(Q) \subseteq Q_{1}$. Since $g \in Z(Q)$ $C_{S}(Q)$ is a $S_{2}$-subgroup of $C_{G}(Z(Q))$. Hence $C_{S}(Q)$ is a $S_{2}$-subgroup of $C_{G}$ $\left(Q_{1}\right)$. Now $C_{G}\left(Q_{1}\right)$ is a $13^{\prime}$-group since $C_{G}\left(Q_{1}\right) \subseteq C_{G}(Z(Q))$. Hence by the Frattini argument we may assume that $h \in N_{G}\left(C_{S}(Q)\right)$. Since $C_{S}(Q) \neq 1$, we see that $N_{G}(S)=N_{G}(P)$ is nilpotent, a contradiction. Hence we have $C_{G}(x)$ is odd order for each $x \in P^{\#}$. In particular $C_{G}(t)$ is solvable for every involution $t$ of $G$. By (2.14) we see that $O\left(C_{G}(t)\right)=1$ for every involution $t$. But now we have a contradiction by a similar argument of Lemma 4.9. Hence $C_{Q}(S)=1$.

Lemma 4.14. $\quad \Omega_{1}(Z(Q)) \subseteq Z(Q)$.
Proof. We set $\Phi_{0}(Q)=Q$ and $\Phi_{1}(Q)=\Phi(Q), \Phi_{i+1}(Q)=\Phi\left(\Phi_{i}(Q)\right), \Phi_{n+1}$ $(Q)=1$. Let $S_{0}=N_{S}(Q)$. Now we prove that $\langle\alpha\rangle S_{0}$ acts irreducibly on $\Phi_{i}$ $(Q) / \Phi_{i+1}(Q), 0 \leq i \leq n \quad$ Suppose false. Since $C_{Q}(\alpha)$ is cyclic, we have $C_{Q}\left(S_{0}\right) \neq$ 1. By Lemma 4. $13 S \neq S_{0}$. Let $M$ be a maximal $\alpha$-invariant subgroup of $G$ which contains $N_{G}\left(S_{0}\right)$, then $M$ is 3 -nilpotent, hence $N_{G}(S)=N_{G}(Q)$, a contradiction. Hence $\langle\alpha\rangle S_{0}$ acts irreducibly on $\Phi_{i}(Q) / \Phi_{i+1}(Q), 0 \leq i \leq n$. Next we consider the structure of $\overline{\Phi_{i}(Q)}=\Phi_{i}(Q) / \Phi_{i+2}(Q), 0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Then class $\overline{\Phi_{i}(Q)} \leq 2$ and $\Omega_{1}\left(\overline{\left.\Phi_{i}(Q)\right)}=\overline{\Phi_{i-1}(Q)}\right.$ or $\overline{\Phi_{i}(Q)}$. Now the exponent of $\Omega_{1}\left(\overline{\Phi_{i}(Q)}\right)=3$ since class $\overline{\Phi_{i}(Q)} \leq 2$. Suppose that $\Omega_{1}\left(\overline{\Phi_{i}(Q)}\right)=\overline{\Phi_{i}(Q)}$, then $\left|C_{\overline{Q_{i}(Q)}}(\alpha)\right|=3$. Since $C_{S_{0}}\left(\overline{\left(\phi_{i+1}(Q)\right.}\right)=1$, we have $C_{\overline{Q_{i+1}(Q)}}(\alpha) \neq 1$. Hence $C_{\overline{\bar{D}_{i}(Q)}}(\alpha)$ $\subseteq \overline{\Phi_{i+1}(Q)}$. But now $C_{Q_{i}(Q) / \sigma_{i+1}(Q)}(\alpha)=1$, a contradiction. Hence we see that $\Omega_{1}\left(\overline{\Phi_{i}(Q)}\right)=\overline{\Phi_{i+1}(Q)} . \quad$ Let $a \in Q$ and $|a|=3$. Then there exists a number $j$, $0 \leq j \leq n$, such that $a \in \Phi_{j}(Q)-\Phi_{j+1}(Q)$. Suppose that $j<n$, then $a \in \Phi_{j}(Q) /$ $\Phi_{j+2}(Q)$. Since $|a|=3$, we see that $a \in \Omega_{1}\left(\Phi_{j}(Q)\right)=\Phi_{j+1}(Q)$. Hence $a \in \Phi_{j+1}(Q)$, a contradiction. Hence $a \in \Phi_{n}(Q) \subseteq Z(Q)$, this implies $\Omega_{1}(Q) \subseteq Z(Q)$.

Lemma 4.15. $C_{G}(x)$ is a $3^{\prime}$-group for each $x \in P^{\#}$. In particular the centralizer of every non-trivial 3-element is solvable.

Proof. Suppose false. Then there exists an element $x \in \Omega_{1}(P)$ such that $3 \| C_{G}(x) \mid$. We set $L=O_{13^{\prime}}\left(C_{G}(x)\right)$, then $N_{G}(\langle x\rangle)=\langle g\rangle P L$. Let $A$ be a $S_{3}$-subgroup of $N_{G}(\langle x\rangle)$ which contains the element $g$. Then $\langle g\rangle P$ acts
on $O_{3^{\prime}, 3}(L) / O_{3^{\prime}}(L)$. But now $O_{3^{\prime}, 3}(L)=O_{3^{\prime}}(L)\left(A \cap O_{3^{\prime}, 3}(L)\right)$. since $|g|=3$, we have $[g, A]=1$ by Lemma 4.14. Hence $g$ centralizes $O_{3^{\prime}, 3}(L) / O_{3^{\prime}}(L)$. Since $\langle g\rangle P$ is a Frobenius group, this follows that $\left[P, O_{3^{\prime}, 3}(L)\right] \subseteq O_{3^{\prime}}(L)$. Hence $3 \| C_{G}(P) \mid$. But now we have a contradiction by a similar argument of Lemma 4. 8. Hence $C_{G}(x)$ is a $3^{\prime}$-group for each $x \in P^{\#}$. By Lemma 4.3 the centralizer of every non-trivial 3 -element is solvable.

Lemma 4. 16. $C_{G}(x)$ is odd order for each $x \in P^{\#}$. In particular $C_{G}(t)$ is solvable and $O\left(C_{G}(t)\right)=1$ for every involution $t$ of $G$.

Proof. Suppose false. Then there exists an element $x$ of $P^{\#}$ such that an $S_{2}$-subgroup $V$ of $C_{G}(x)$ is non-trivial. Then by Lemma 4. $13 V \triangleleft$ $C_{G}(x)$. We set $\Omega_{1}(P)=\langle x\rangle \times\langle y\rangle$, then $y$ acts fixed point free on $V$. By Lemma 4. $13 C_{G}(V)$ is 13 -nilpotent. By taking a conjugation of $V$ we may assume that $V \subseteq S$ and $C_{S}(V)$ is a $S_{2}$-subgroup of $C_{G}(V)$. Let $S^{*}=C_{S}(V)$, then $Z(V) \subseteq S^{*}$. By taking a conjugation of $x$, we may assume that $x \in N_{G}$ $\left(S^{*}\right)$. Assume that $N_{G}\left(S^{*}\right)$ is solvable, then $x$ normalizes a $S_{2}$-subgroup $K_{1}$ of $N_{G}\left(S^{*}\right)$. Futhermore assume that $N_{G}\left(K_{1}\right)$ is solvable, then $x$ normalizes a $S_{2}$-subgroup $K_{2}$ of $N_{G}\left(K_{1}\right)$. By a similar argument we see that $13 \| N_{G}(S) \mid$, then $N_{G}(S)=N_{G}(P)$, a contradiction. Hence there exists a 2 -group $K$ which contains $S^{*}$ and such that $N_{G}(K)$ is non-solvable. Hence $N_{G}(K)$ involves $L_{3}(3)$, in particular a $S_{3}$-subgroup of $N_{G}(K)$ is non-cyclic. By taking a conjugation of $K$ we may assume that $\langle a\rangle \times\langle b\rangle \subseteq Q \subset N_{G}(K)$. Let $c \in\langle a\rangle \times\langle b\rangle^{\#}$, then $C_{G}(c) \subseteq O_{3^{\prime}}\left(C_{G}(c)\right) N_{G}(Q)$ since $C_{G}(c)$ is solvable and $\Omega_{1}(Q) \subseteq Z(Q)$. By the Signalizer functor theorem $\left\langle O_{3^{\prime}}\left(C_{G}(d)\right) \mid d \in \Omega_{1}(Q)^{\#}\right\rangle=L$ is a $\alpha$-invariant solvable $3^{\prime}$-group. Suppose that $L \neq 1$. Let $M$ be a maximal $\alpha$-invariant subgroup of $G$ which contains $Q L$. Suppose that $M$ is 3 -nilpotent. If $L$ is even order, then $N_{G}(S)=N_{G}(Q)$, a contradiction. If $L$ is odd order, then we yield a contradiction by a similar argument of Lemma 4.8. Hence $M$ is 3 -closed and so $L \subseteq N_{G}(Q)$. Hence $C_{G}(c) \subseteq N_{G}(Q)$. In particular $K=\left\langle C_{K}(c)\right|$ $\left.c \in\langle a\rangle \times\langle b\rangle^{\#}\right\rangle \subseteq N_{G}(Q)$. Let $W=\Omega_{1}(Z(V))$, then we may assume that $W \subseteq$ $N_{S}(Q)$. On the other hand $C_{W}\left(g_{1}\right) \cap C_{W}\left(g_{1} y_{1}\right) \subseteq C_{W}\left(y_{1}\right)=1$ for some conjugate elements $g_{1}, y_{1}$ of $g, y$. Hence $C_{W}\left(g_{1}\right) \oplus C_{W}\left(g_{1}^{y_{1}} \subseteq W\right.$. We set $|W|=2^{m}$, then $2^{m} \geq 2^{12}$ since $y_{1}$ acts fixed point free on $W$. Let $\left|C_{W}\left(g_{1}\right)\right|=2^{n}$, then $2^{2 n} \leq 2^{m}$. Assume that $n \ngtr m-6$, then $m \geq 2 n>2(m-6)$, this follows $m_{>2}>12$, a contradiction. Hence $n \leq m-6$. We set $W_{0}=W \cap C_{S}(Q)$, then $\left|W ; W_{0}\right| \leq 2^{6}$, hence $\left|W_{0}\right| \geq 2^{m-6}$. Assume that $W=W_{0}$. Then $y_{1} \in N_{G}(W) \subseteq C_{G}(W) N_{G}(Q)$. Hence $13 \| N_{G}(Q) \mid$, a contradiction. Hence $W \supsetneq W_{0}$. Let $v \in W-W_{0}$ and $X=\langle v\rangle \times W_{0}$. Then $\left|C_{W}\left(g_{1}\right)\right|=2^{n} \leq 2^{m-6} \lesseqgtr 2^{m-5} \leq|X|$. But now $C_{G}(X)$ is 13 -nilpotent by a similar argument of Lemma 4. 13. Then $C_{Q}(v)=C_{Q}(X)$ $\neq 1$ since $L_{3}(3)$ is involved in $G$ and so $Q$ is non-abelian. Let $Q^{*}$ be
a $S_{3}$-subgroup of $C_{G}(x)$. Since $C_{G}(X)$ is 13 -nilpotent, $x_{1} \in N_{G}\left(Q^{*}\right)$ for some $x_{1}$ which is conjugate to $x$. Let $Q_{0}$ be a $S_{3}$-subgroup of $G$ which contains $Q^{*}$. Since $N_{G}\left(Q^{*}\right)$ is 3 -constrained by Lemma 4. 15 and $\Omega_{1}\left(Q_{0}\right) \subseteq Z\left(Q_{0}\right)$, we see $N_{G}\left(Q^{*}\right) \triangleright \Omega_{1}\left(Q_{0}\right) O_{3^{\prime}}\left(N_{G}\left(Q^{*}\right)\right)$. Suppose that $x_{1} \in O_{3^{\prime}}\left(N_{G}\left(Q^{*}\right)\right)$, then $\left[x_{1}, Q^{*}\right] \subseteq Q^{*} \subset O_{3^{\prime}}\left(N_{G}\left(Q^{*}\right)\right)=1$, which is a contradiction by Lemma 4.15. Hence we may assume that $x_{1} \in N_{G}\left(\Omega_{1}\left(Q_{0}\right)\right)=N_{G}\left(Q_{0}\right)$. Hence $13\left|\left|N_{G}(Q)\right|\right.$, then $N_{G}(S)=N_{G}(P)$ is nilpotent, a contradiction. Hence $C_{G}(x)$ is odd order for each $x \in P^{\#}$.

Now we see that $O_{3^{\prime}}\left(C_{G}(y)\right)$ is odd order for each $y \in Q^{\#}$ by a similar argument of Lemma 4.10. And by a similar argument of Lemma 4.11 we have a final contradiction. Hence the Theorem is proved.
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