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## Introduction

This paper studies fixed point free smooth involutions on homotopy $(2 n-1)$-spheres. It has been proved in [10], [24] that every element of $b P_{2 n}(n \geqq 3)$ admits free involutions, where $b P_{2 n}$ is the group of homotopy $(2 n-1)$-spheres which bound parallelizable manifolds. When such actions exist, it is natural to ask how they behave.

We will make an approach to this problem in the study of the following involutions. Let $T$ be a free involution on a homotopy sphere $\sum^{2 n-1} \in b P_{2 n}$ $(n \geqq 3)$. If there exists a parallelizable manifold $M$ with boundary $\Sigma$ such that $T$ extends to an involution with isolated fixed points on $M$, then we call $(T, \Sigma)$ a standard involution.

We shall establish an explicit description of standard involutions on homotopy $(4 k-1)$-spheres and then prove that they give a classification of standard involutions.
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Certain examples of satndard involutions are constructed by an equivariant plumbing technique in chapter III. F. Hirzebruch and K. H. Mayer [13], [21] gave examples of free involutions of homotopy 7 -spheres using the equivariant plumbing. However, the plumbing we need here is different from it and is based upon the plumbing which is originally motivated by S . Weintraub [34]. In general, if we are given a free involution $T$ on a homotopy sphere $\Sigma$ and even though $T$ extends to an involution on $M$ which $\Sigma$ bounds, it may be considered that $T$ does not extend "uniquely". So to construction we need to construct $Z_{2}$-actions on $M$ in a "uniform
way". Then the invariants for the actions on $M$-for examples, AtiyahSinger invariants, Spin invariants, Eells-Kuiper $\mu$-invariants- give informations to the classification of ( $T, \Sigma$ ). In particular, we have the following table of standard involutions.

Table 1.

| Type | $\left(A, S^{4 k-1}\right)$ |  | $\left(T_{2 l-1}^{+}, \Sigma_{(2 l-1,+)}^{4 k-1}\right)$ | $\left(T_{\overline{2 l}}, \Sigma_{(2 l}^{4 k-1,-)}\right)$ | $\left(T_{2 l}^{+}, \Sigma_{(2 l, ~ 4 k)}^{4 k}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Browder- <br> Livesay invariant | 0 | $2 l-1$ | $2 l-1$ | $2 l$ | $2 l$ |
| Normal cobordism class | $\begin{gathered} (P, i d) \\ \left(P=P^{4 k-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $(8(2 l-1)-1)(P, i d)$ | (8(2l-1)+1)(P,id) | $(8(2 l)+1)(P, i d)$ | $(8(2 l)-1)(P, i d)$ |
| Spin invariant $\bmod 2^{2 k}$ | $\pm 1$ | $\pm(8(2 l-1)-1)$ | $\pm(8(2 l-1)+1)$ | $\pm(8(2 l)+1)$ | $\pm(8(2 l)-1)$ |
| Matrix rank | - | $\begin{gathered} H_{\overline{2 l}-1} \\ 8(2 l-1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} H_{2 l-1}^{+} \\ 8(2 l-1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} H_{\overline{2 l}} \\ 8(2 l) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} H_{2 l}^{+} \\ 8(2 l) \end{gathered}$ |
| Differentiable structure | $S^{4 k-1}$ | $(2 l-1) \Sigma_{1}$ | $(2 l-1) \Sigma_{1}$ | (2l) $\Sigma_{1}$ | (2l) $\Sigma_{1}$ |

Chapter IV serves as the classification of standard involutions. For this, if we are given a standard involution, then we require that a bounded manifold with a $Z_{2}$-action is highly-connected. The equivariant surgery enables us to do so within the normal cobordism class. In such a situation, we calculate the normal cobordism class of the standard involution and seek the same class out of the table. And then choosing one with the same Browder-Livesay invariant, we have the main result of chapter IV.

Theorem 4.4.3. Let $T$ be a standard involution on a homotopy sphere $\Sigma^{4 k-1} \in b P_{4 k}(k \geqq 2)$. Then, ( $T, \Sigma^{4 k-1}$ ) is equivariantly diffeomorphic to the equivariant connected sum of the definite element $\Sigma^{\prime} \in b P_{4 k}$ with the unique representative $\left(T_{h}, \Sigma_{h}\right)$ in the table, i.e., the quotient

$$
\Sigma^{4 k-1} / T \cong \Sigma_{h} / T_{n} \# \Sigma^{\prime} .
$$

For the $(4 k+1)$-dimensional case, we have
Theorem 4.9.1. Let $T$ be a standard involution on a homotopy sphere $\Sigma^{4 k+1} \in b P_{4 k+2}(k \geqq 1)$. Then, $\left(T, \Sigma^{4 k+1}\right)$ is equivarianly diffeomorphic to the equivariant connected sum of some $\Sigma^{\prime} \in b P_{4 k+2}$ with the unique re-
presentative ( $T_{d}, \Sigma_{d}^{4 k+1}$ ) in table (cf. §3.8), i.e., the quotient

$$
\Sigma^{4 k+1} / T \cong \sum_{d}^{4 k+1} / T_{d} \# \Sigma^{\prime} .
$$

Here $T_{d}$ is the Brieskorn involution on the Brieskorn sphere $\sum_{d}^{4 k+1}$.
Chapter V studies the models which are constructed in chapter III. The bundles we used to construct the models are stably trivial. However, if we are able to take bundles with $Z_{2}$-actions which are not stably trivial, then by the same method we have spin manifolds (almost closed manifolds in his definition of Wall [31]) with $Z_{2}$-actions. We then receive free involutions on the boundaries which are elements of bspin. If we call such involutions "spin involutions", then some of these are identified with "curious involutions" due to Hirsch-Milnor [12] (See also [20, p. 63]). In particular, we can re-establish the classification on homotopy projective 7 -spaces using the standard involutions and spin involutions.

Certain notational conventions will be used throughout. $Z$ denotes the integers and $Z_{2}=Z / 2 Z$ the quotient group. (Co)-homology coefficients are assumed to be $Z$. By $D^{n+1}\left(S^{n}\right)$ we mean the $(n+1)(n)$-dimensional unit disk (sphere) in the ( $n+1$ )-dimensional euclidean space $R^{n+1}$. $A$ denotes the antipodal map on $D^{n+1}\left(S^{n}\right)$,

$$
A\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n+1}\right)=\left(-x_{1},-x_{2}, \cdots,-x_{n+1}\right),
$$

and $P^{n}=S^{n} / A$ is the $n$-dimensional standard projective space. By $I$ we mean the unit interval $[0,1]$ in $R^{1}$.
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## 1. Preliminary results and definitions

1.1. For details on the surgery on manifolds, we refer to Browder [4], López De Medrano [20] and Wall [33].

Let $T$ be a free involution on a homotopy $n$-sphere $\Sigma^{n}$. Two $\left(T_{i}, \Sigma_{i}^{n}\right)$ $(i=0,1)$ are equivalent if there is an equivariant diffeomorphism $g:\left(T_{0}, \Sigma_{0}\right) \rightarrow$ $\left(T_{1}, \Sigma_{1}\right)$. If $n$ is odd, $g$ is required to be orientation preserving. Denote by $\Pi_{n}$ the set of equivalence classes of free involutions on homotopy $n$-spheres. Denote by $\sigma(T, \Sigma)$ the Browder-Livesay invariant which is the obstruction whether $(T, \Sigma)$ has a codimension 1 invariant sphere, i. e., desuspends or not. It lies in the following groups (see [20])

$$
\sigma\left(T, \Sigma^{n}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 n \text { even } \\
Z n \equiv-1(4) \\
Z_{2} n \equiv 1(4)
\end{array}\right.
$$

ThEOREM (Browder-Livesay [7]). If $n \geqq 6,\left(T, \Sigma^{n}\right)$ desuspends if and only if $\sigma\left(T, \Sigma^{n}\right)=0$.

To distinguish free involutions, we may put them into the frame work of the surgery theory. Taking quotient spaces and choosing homotopy equivalences (of degree 1 if $n$ is odd), $\Pi_{n}$ is in one to one correspondence with $h S\left(P^{n}\right)$, where $h S\left(P^{n}\right)$, is the set of homotopy smoothings of $P^{n}$.

Theorem ([20, p. 47]). Troo free involutions ( $\left.T_{i}, \sum_{i}^{n}\right)(i=0,1)$ are equivalent, modulo the action of $b P_{n+1}$, if and only if they have the same normal cobordism class and the same Browder-Livesay invariant.
This theorem follows easily from the surgery exact sequence on $p^{n}$,

$$
L_{n+1}\left(Z_{2}, w\right) \xrightarrow{\omega} h S\left(P^{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\eta}\left[P^{n}, G / 0\right] \xrightarrow{\theta} L_{n}\left(Z_{2}, w\right) .
$$

1.2. Definition. Let $T$ be a free involution on a homotopy sphere $\Sigma^{n} \in b P_{n+1}(n \geqq 5)$. We say that $T$ is standard if there exists a parallelizable manifold $M^{n+1}$ which $\Sigma$ bounds such that $T$ extends to an involution with isolated fixed points on $M$. If there exist no such paralleliziable manifold, we call $T$ non-standard.

Under such a situation, we write $(T, \Sigma)=\partial(T, M)$ (we do not distinguish an extended involution from $T$ ). To make clear the notion of non-standard it will be discussed in chapter V .
1.3. Notation. Let $M^{n+1}$ be a parallelizable manifold whose boundary is $\Sigma^{n}$. Denote by $\sigma(M)$ the index (resp. Kervaire invariant) of $M$ for $n+1 \equiv 0$ (resp. 2) mod 4. Otherwise we set $\sigma(M)=0$.

Note that these invariants are the surgery obstructions of normal maps into the $(n+1)$-disk $D^{n+1}$ which are homotopy equivalences on the boundary.

## 2. Properties of standard involutions

Proposition 2.1. Suppose that $T$ is a standard involution on $\Sigma^{n}$ so that $\left(T, \Sigma^{n}\right)=\partial\left(T, M^{n+1}\right)$ and $n \geqq 5$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma(T, \Sigma) \equiv \sigma(M) \quad \text { if } n \text { is even and } n \equiv 1(4), \\
& \sigma(T, \Sigma) \equiv 1 / 8 \sigma(M) \quad(2) \quad \text { if } n \equiv-1(4) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. If $n$ is even, it follows from the above definition and theorem that $\sigma(T, \Sigma)=\sigma(M)=0$.

Assume $n \equiv-1$ (4). The Atiyah-Singer invariant and the BrowderLivesay invariant agree for free involutions (see [2]). Hence, it follows that $\sigma(T, \Sigma)=1 / 8(\operatorname{Sign}(T, M)-L(T, M))$. Since $L(T, M)=L($ Fix $T \cdot$ Fix $T)=0$ (in this case) and $\operatorname{Sign}(T, M) \equiv \sigma(M)(2)$, the result follows.

Assume $n=4 k+1$. Let $\left(T, N^{4 k}\right)$ be a characteristic submanifold of $(T, \Sigma)$. We quote the result of P. Orlik [25] to look for a specific geometry of ( $T, N$ ) for $k \geqq 2$. One can perform equivariant surgery on $N$ to yield a submanifold $W_{c}$ for $c=0,1 . W_{c}$ is as follows. Let $\sigma(T, \Sigma)=c$. If $\sigma(\mathrm{T}, \Sigma)=0$, then $W_{0}=S^{4 k}$. If $\sigma(T, \Sigma)=1$, then $W_{1}$ is the double of plumbing $J$ two copies of the tangent disk bundles of $S^{2 k}$. More precisely, let $\Sigma=\mathrm{A} \cup \mathrm{TA}, \mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{TA}=\mathrm{W}_{1}$. Then, $\quad 0 \rightarrow H_{2 k}\left(W_{1}\right) \rightarrow H_{2 k}(A)+H_{2 k}(T A) \rightarrow 0$, and $H_{2 k}\left(W_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Ker} i_{A} \oplus \operatorname{Ker} i_{T A}$, where $\operatorname{Ker} i_{A}=\operatorname{Ker}\left\{i_{A^{*}}: H_{2 k}\left(W_{1}\right) \rightarrow H_{2 k}(A)\right\}$ and $\operatorname{Ker} i_{T A}=T \cdot \operatorname{Ker} i_{A}$. $\operatorname{Ker} i_{A}$ has a sympletic basis $\{e, f\}$ with respect to the skew-symmetric bilinear form $B$ defined by $B(x, y)=x \cdot T y$ on $\operatorname{Ker} i_{A}$. The quadratic form $\psi_{0}: \operatorname{Ker} i_{A} \rightarrow Z_{2}$ associated with $B$ satisfies that $\psi_{0}(e)=\psi_{0}(f)=1$ (of course, if $\psi_{0}(e)=\psi_{0}(f)=0$, $W_{1}$ reduces to $\left.S^{4 k}\right)$. If we put $a=e-(f-T f), b=T f+(e-T e)$, then by the contribution to the intersection numbers, we see that a neighborhood $J$ of $\{a \cup b\}$ in $W_{1}$ is the result of plumbing two copies of the tangent disk bundle of $S^{2 k}$ and the same is true for $T J$ of $\{T a \cup T b\}$. Then, it is proved in [25] that $W_{1}-(J \cup T J)$ is an $h$-cobordism and hence $W_{1}$ is the double of plumbing $J$. We note the following facts which are used later.
(2.2) If we put $c=e-T e, d=f-T f$, then $\{a, b, T a, T b, c, d\}$ generates $H_{2 k}\left(W_{1}\right)$. By the above remark, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{0} \text { bounds } V_{0}=D^{4 k+1} \text { and } W_{1} \text { bounds } V_{1}=J \times I .  \tag{2.3}\\
& \operatorname{Ker}\left\{i_{*}: H_{2 k}\left(W_{1}\right) \longrightarrow H_{2 k}(J \times I)\right\}=\{c, d\} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, $\left(T, \Sigma^{4 k+1}\right)=\partial\left(T, M^{4 k+2}\right), k \geqq 2$. Let $F_{c}^{4 k+1}$ be a parallelizable manifold which separates $M$ equivariantly such that ( $T, W_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) extends to an involution with isolated fixed points on $F_{c}$, i. e.,

$$
M=B \cup T B, B \cap T B=F_{c} \quad \text { and } \quad\left(T, W_{c}\right)=\partial\left(T, F_{c}\right)
$$

We can do this by using the relative transversality theorem. We will compute the Kervaire invariant $\sigma(M)$ of $M^{4 k+2}$. For this, we show that there is a normal cobordism between $F_{c}$ and $V_{c}$ rel. boundary $W_{c} \times I$.

First, $W_{1}=\partial(J \times I)$. Divide $S^{4 k+1}$ into $D_{-}^{4 k+1}$ and $D_{+}^{4 k+1}$ along $S^{4 k}$. Take a degree 1 map $g$ of $J$ onto the disk $D^{4 k}$. Define a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}: J \times I \longrightarrow D^{4 k} \times I \cong D_{-}^{4 k+1} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

by setting $f_{1}=g \times 1$. Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}=f_{1} \mid \partial(J \times I): W_{1} \longrightarrow S^{4 k} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $f_{0}$ extends to a map

$$
\text { (2.7) } \quad f_{2}: F_{1}^{4 k+1} \longrightarrow D_{+}^{4 k+1} \text {. }
$$

We choose a framing $b^{\prime}: \nu_{F} \rightarrow R^{l}, l$ sufficiently large. There is a commutative diagram

where $b(v)=\left(f_{2} \pi(v), b^{\prime}(v)\right), \xi=D_{+}^{4 k+1} \times R^{l}$.
Hence, $f_{2}$ is a normal map of $F$ onto $D_{+}^{4 k+1}$. Since $J \times 0 \subset W_{1} \subset F_{1}$, we have $\nu_{J \times I}+\varepsilon^{1}=\left(\left(\nu_{F_{1}} \mid J\right)+\varepsilon^{1}\right) \times I$. By (2.5), $f_{1}=g \times 1$, there is a commutative diagram


Hence $f_{1}$ is a normal map.
In the diagram (2.10)

the normal bundle

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_{S^{4 k-1} \times I} & =\varepsilon^{1}+\xi \mid S^{4 k-1} \times I \\
& =\left(\varepsilon^{1}+\xi \mid S^{4 k-1}\right) \times I,
\end{aligned}
$$

thus we have $\nu_{\partial J \times I}=(g \times 1)^{*}\left(\nu_{S^{4 k-1} \times I}\right)=\left(\varepsilon^{1}+\nu_{F^{1}} \mid \partial J\right) \times I$. Therefore, the restriction of the bundle map

$$
b \mid \partial J \times I: \nu_{\partial J \times I} \longrightarrow \nu_{S^{4 k-1} \times I} \quad \text { is } \quad b \mid \partial J \times I=(b \mid \partial J) \times 1 .
$$

Since $f_{1}=f_{2}=f_{0}$ on $W_{1}=\partial(J \times I)$, two bundle maps $b,(b \mid J) \times 1$ are compatible
on $W_{1}$. Hence $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ define a normal map

$$
f: F_{1}^{4 k+1} \bigcup(J \times I) \longrightarrow W^{4 k+1}
$$

Rearrange $f$ to a normal map

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}: V^{4 k+1} \longrightarrow \partial\left(D^{4 k+1} \times I\right), \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(V_{+}, f_{+}^{\prime}\right)=\left(F_{1}^{4 k+1}, f_{2}\right) \longrightarrow\left(D^{4 k+1} \times 0\right) \\
& \left(V_{-}, f_{-}^{\prime}\right)=\left(J \times I, f_{1}\right) \longrightarrow\left(D^{4 k+1} \times 1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left(\partial V, f^{\prime} \mid \partial V\right)=\left(W_{1} \times I, f_{0} \times 1\right) \longrightarrow S^{4 k} \times I
$$

Removing a small disk $D_{s}^{4 k+1}$ from inside $J \times I, f^{\prime}$ gives a normal map

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{\prime \prime}:\left(V-\operatorname{int} D_{s}^{4 k+1}, S_{c}^{4 k}\right) \longrightarrow & \left(\partial\left(D^{4 k+1} \times I\right)-\operatorname{int} D_{s}^{4 k+1}, S_{s}^{4 k}\right)  \tag{2.12}\\
& \cong\left(D^{4 k+1}, S^{4 k}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

which is a homotopy equivalence on the boundary. Since the surgery obstruction of $f^{\prime \prime}$ in $L_{4 k+1}(1)$ is zero, $f^{\prime \prime}$ is normally cobordant to a homotopy equivalence $h^{\prime}: D^{\prime} \rightarrow D^{4 k+1}$ rel. boundary. Let $H: X \rightarrow D^{4 k+1} \times I$ be its cobordism. Then, $X$ is viewed as a normal cobordism between $\left(X_{+}, H \mid X_{+}\right)=$ $\left(F_{1}^{4 k+1}, f_{2}\right)$ and $\left(X_{-}, H \mid X_{-}\right)=\left(\left(J \times I-\right.\right.$ int $\left.\left.D_{s}^{4 k+1}\right) \bigcup_{S_{c}^{4 k}}^{\cup} D^{\prime}, f_{1} \cup h^{\prime}\right)$, and $(\partial X, H \mid \partial X)=$ $\left(W_{1} \times I, f_{0} \times 1\right)$. Note that
(2.13) $\quad X_{-}$is again $(J \times I)$.

For, in the exact sequence

it follows that $H_{*}(J) \rightarrow H_{*}\left(X_{-}\right) \cong H_{*}(J \times I)$ is isomorphic. The boundary of $X_{-}$is already $\partial J \times I$, hence by the relative $h$-cobordism theorem, $X_{-}$is $J \times I$. Thus we get
(2.14) There is a normal map $H: X \rightarrow D^{4 k+1} \times I$ between $\left(X_{+}, H_{+}\right)=$ $\left(F_{1}^{4 k+1}, f_{2}\right)$ and $\left(X_{-}, H_{-}\right)=\left(J \times I, H_{-}\right),(\partial X, H \mid \partial X)=\left(W_{1} \times I, f_{0} \times 1\right)$.

Second, $W_{0}=S^{4 k}$. There is a normal map $f_{2}:\left(F_{0}^{4 k+1}, W_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(D^{4 k+1}, S^{4 k}\right)$ which is a homotopy equivalence on the boundary. As above, there is a normal cobordism
(2.15) $H: X \rightarrow D^{4 k+1} \times I$ between $\left(X_{+}, H_{+}\right)=\left(F_{0}^{4 k+1}, f_{2}\right)$ and $\left(X_{-}, H_{-}\right)=$ $\left(D^{\prime}, H_{-}\right)$, where $H_{-}: D^{\prime} \rightarrow D^{4 k+1}$ is a homotopy equivalence, and $(\partial X, H \mid \partial X)=$ $\left(W_{0} \times I, f_{0} \times 1\right)$.

Next we extend these cobordisms (2.14), (2.15) to normal cobordims of $M$. Since $F_{c}$ separates $M$, there is a normal map $h: M \rightarrow D^{4 k+2}$ which is a normal map $H: M \rightarrow D^{4 k+2}$ which is a homotcpy equivalence on the boundary $\Sigma \rightarrow S^{4 k+1}$ and satisfies that $h \mid F_{c}=f_{2}: F_{c}^{4 k+1} \rightarrow D^{4 k+1}$ is the restricted normal map. Applying the normal cobordism extension theorem to 2.14 (2.15) (see [20, p. 45]), we have a normal map $h_{1}: M_{1} \rightarrow D^{4 k+2}$ which is normally cobordant to ( $M, h$ ) rel. boundary, $h_{1}^{-1}\left(D^{4 k+1}\right)=X_{-}, h_{1} \mid X_{-}=H_{-}$. The normal bundle $\nu$ of $D^{4 k+1}$ in $D^{4 k+2}$ is trivial, so is true for the pull back $H^{*}(\nu)$, i. e., $H^{*}(\nu)=X \times I$.
Hence $M_{1}$ has the following form (see Figure 1),

$$
M_{1}=B \cup X_{0} \cup\left(X_{-} \times I\right) \cup X_{1} \cup T B, \partial M_{1}=\Sigma
$$

Here $X_{i}$ is a copy of $X$ for $i=0,1$.
Since $\sigma(M)=\sigma\left(M_{1}\right)$, we compute the obstruction of $M_{1}$. We notice that
(2.16) $A \bigcup_{W_{c}}\left(X_{-} \times 0\right), T A \bigcup_{W_{c}}\left(X_{-} \times 1\right)$ are homotopy spheres.

For, if $W_{0}=S^{4 k}$, then $A=D^{4 k+1} . X_{-}=D^{\prime}$ is a homotopy disk. And so,


Fig. 1.
$A \bigcup_{W_{0}}\left(X_{-} \times 0\right)$ is a homotopy sphere (the same is true for $T A \bigcup_{W_{0}}\left(X_{-} \times 1\right)$ ). If ${ }_{W_{0}}^{W_{1}}=\partial(J \times I)$, then $X_{-}=J \times I$. Consider the following exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{2 k+1}\left(A \bigcup_{W_{1}}\left(X_{-} \times 0\right)\right) \longrightarrow H_{2 k}\left(W_{1}\right) \longrightarrow H_{2 k}(J \times I)+H_{2 k}(A) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} H_{2 k}\left(A \underset{W_{1}}{ }\left(X_{-} \times 0\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by (2.2) and (2.4), the central map is an isomorphism. Hence $A \bigcup_{W_{1}}\left(X_{-} \times 0\right)$ is a homotopy sphere.

Put $B \cup X_{0}=Y_{0} . \quad A \cup\left(X_{-} \times 0\right)$ bounds $Y_{0}$. Let $Y_{1}$ be another copy of $Y_{0}$, i. e., $Y_{1}=T B \cup X_{1}$ so that $T A \cup\left(X_{-} \times 1\right)$ bounds $Y_{1}$. Take a tube $D^{4 k+1} \times I$ $\subset X_{-} \times I$ and connect $D^{4 k+1} \times\{i\}$ to $X_{i}, i=0,1$. Set

$$
Z^{4 k+1}=X_{-}-\operatorname{int} D^{4 k+1}
$$

$Z$ is a cobordism between $W_{c}$ and $S^{4 k}$.
$M_{1}$ splits into $(Z \times I) \cup\left(Y_{0} \# Y_{1}\right)$. Since $\partial\left(Y_{0} \# Y_{1}\right)$ is a homotopy sphere by (2.16) and $Y_{1}$ is a copy of $Y_{0}$, the surgery obstruction of $Y_{0} \# Y_{1}$ to making a disk rel. boundary is zero. Hence, $\sigma\left(M_{1}\right)$ is equal to the obstruction of the rest $Z \times I$ to making it homotopy equivalent to $\left(S^{4 k} \times I\right) \times I$ rel. bondary. Since $k \geqq 2, \sigma\left(M_{1}\right)$ is the obstruction whether $Z$ is an $h$ cobordism between $W_{c}$ and $S^{4 k}$ or not. Therefore, $\sigma\left(M_{1}\right)=c=\sigma(T, \Sigma)$ (if $k=1$, the above argument breaks down because we cannot find an invariant codimension 1 sphere of ( $T, \Sigma^{5}$ ) even though $\sigma(T, \Sigma)=0$ ).

Suppose $k=1$. Let $\Sigma_{d}^{5}, d>0$, odd, be the Brieskorn sphere which is described by two equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{0}^{d}+z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}+z_{3}^{2}=0, \\
& z_{0} \bar{z}_{0}+z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+z_{2} \bar{z}_{2}+z_{3} \bar{z}_{3}=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The involution $T_{d}$ given by $T_{d}\left(z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)=\left(z_{0},-z_{1},-z_{2},-z_{3}\right)$ on $\Sigma_{d}$ is a fixed point free involution. $T_{d}$ extends to an involution with isolated $d$ fixed points on the parallelizable mainfold $F_{d}^{6}$ with boundary $\sum_{d}^{5}$, which is the fibre of the fibration $S^{7}-\sum_{d}^{5} \rightarrow S^{1}$. The following result is well known (see [11], [20]).

Lemma 2.17. $h S\left(P^{5}\right)=\left\{\sum_{d}^{5} / T_{d}, d=1,3,5,7\right\}$.

$$
\sigma\left(T_{d}, \Sigma_{d}^{5}\right)=\sigma\left(F_{d}^{6}\right) .
$$

In general, the last fact holds for the $(4 k+1)$-dimensional Brieskorn spheres, i. e.,

$$
\sigma\left(T_{d}, \Sigma_{d}^{4 k+1}\right)=\sigma\left(F_{d}^{4 k+2}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & d \equiv \pm 1(8) \\ 1 & d \equiv \pm 3(8)\end{cases}
$$

Let $\left(T, \Sigma^{5}\right)=\partial\left(T, M^{6}\right)$. We can classify $\Sigma^{5} / T$ in $h S\left(P^{5}\right)$. Assume that $\Sigma^{5} / T \cong \Sigma_{d}^{5} / T_{d}$ for some $d$. Let $h:\left(T, \Sigma^{5}\right) \rightarrow\left(T_{d}, \Sigma_{d}^{5}\right)$ be an equivariant diffeomorphism. Denote a 6 -dimensional smooth manifold with an involution by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{6}=M_{h}^{6} \cup F_{d}^{6} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\tau_{N}$ be the tangent bundle of $N^{6}$. The obstructions to the triviality of $\tau_{N} \oplus \varepsilon^{1}$ lie in the following exact sequence (see [17]).

$$
\begin{gathered}
\longrightarrow H^{i-1}\left(\Sigma^{5}, \pi_{i-1}(S O)\right) \longrightarrow H^{\mathrm{i}}\left(N^{6}, \pi_{i-1}(S O)\right) \\
\longrightarrow H^{i}\left(M^{6}, \pi_{i-1}(S O)\right) \oplus H^{i}\left(F_{d}^{6}, \pi_{i-1}(S O)\right) \longrightarrow
\end{gathered}
$$

Write the obstruction $\theta_{i}\left(N^{6}\right) \in H^{i}\left(N^{6}, \pi_{i-1}(S O)\right)$. Then, for $1 \leqq i \leqq 5$ the above sequence yields that

$$
0 \longrightarrow H^{i}\left(N^{6}, \pi_{i-1}(S O)\right) \longrightarrow H^{i}\left(M^{6}, \pi_{i-1}(S O)\right) \oplus H^{i}\left(F_{d}^{6}, \pi_{i-1}(S O)\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

and since $M^{6}$ and $F_{d}^{6}$ are parallelizable, we have $\theta_{i}\left(N^{6}\right)=0 . \quad \theta_{6}\left(N^{6}\right)=0$ because of $\pi_{5}(S O)=0$. Hence all $\theta_{i}\left(N^{6}\right)$ vanish. Therefore,
(2.19) $\quad \tau_{N} \oplus \varepsilon^{1} \quad$ is trivial.

Take a characteristic submanifold $\left(T, W^{4}\right) \subset\left(T, \Sigma^{5}\right)$ (which is also a characteristic submanifold of $\left(T_{d}, \Sigma_{d}^{5}\right)$ under the identification of $h$ ). ( $T, W^{4}$ ) extends to a $\left(T, F^{5}\right)$ which separates $\left(T, M^{6}\right)$, i. e., $M^{6}=A \cup T A, A \cap T A=F^{5}$. Similarly, $\left(T, W^{4}\right)$ extends to a $\left(T, F^{5}\right)$ which separates $\left(T_{d}, F_{d}^{6}\right), F_{d}^{6}=A^{\prime} \cup T A^{\prime}$, $A^{\prime} \cap T A^{\prime}=F^{\prime 5}$. Put

$$
W^{5}=F_{W^{5}}^{\cup} F^{\prime 5} \quad \text { and } \quad B=A \cup A^{\prime}
$$

Then, $N^{6}=B \cup T B$ and $B \cap T B=W^{5}$. Take a degree 1 map $f: N^{6} \rightarrow S^{6}$ such that $f \mid W^{5}: W^{5} \rightarrow S^{5}$ is also a degree 1 map. From the fact (2.19), $f$ is a normal map and hence $f \mid W^{5}: W^{5} \rightarrow S^{5}$ is the restricted normal map. Since $f \mid W^{5}: W^{5} \rightarrow S^{5}$ is normally cobordant to a homotopy equivalence $g^{\prime}: K^{5} \rightarrow S^{5}$, we can apply the normal cobordism extension theorem to yield a normal map $g^{\prime}: L^{6} \rightarrow S^{6}$ which is normally coordant to $f$, and $g^{-1}\left(S^{5}\right)=K^{5}, g \mid K=g^{\prime}$. The normal bundle of $K^{5}$ in $L^{6}$ is trivial, so $L^{6}$ is written as the following form,

$$
L^{6}=B^{\prime} \cup T B^{\prime}, B^{\prime} \cap T B^{\prime}=K^{5}
$$

Then, the surgery obstruction of $g^{\prime}$ is $\sigma\left(L^{6}\right)=\sigma\left(B^{\prime}\right)+\sigma\left(T B^{\prime}\right)=0$. Hence we have $\sigma\left(N^{6}\right)=0$. On the other hand, since $N^{6}=M^{6} \cup F_{d}^{6}, M^{6} \cap F_{d}^{6}=\Sigma^{5}$, it follows that $\sigma\left(M^{6}\right)+\sigma\left(F_{d}^{6}\right)=0$. By (2.17) we have $\sigma\left(T, \Sigma^{5}\right)=\sigma\left(T, \Sigma_{d}^{5}\right)=\sigma\left(F_{d}^{6}\right)=$ $\sigma\left(M^{6}\right)$. This completes the proof of $n \equiv 1$ (4).

When we consider ( $4 k-1$ )-dimensional standard involutions, $k \geqq 2$, we have the following result.

Lemma 2.20. Let $\left(T, \Sigma^{4 k-1}\right)=\partial\left(T, M^{4 k}\right)$ be a standard involution. There exists a free involution $T^{\prime}$ on the sphere $S^{4 k-1}$ with $\sigma\left(T^{\prime}, S^{4 k-1}\right)=0$ such that $\Sigma / T$ is normally cobordant to $S^{4 k-1} / T^{\prime}$.

Proof. It follows from [20] that $\Sigma / T$ is normally cobordant to a homotopy projective space $Q^{4 k-1}$ which has a double desuspension. Let $W^{4 k}$ be its normal cobordism between $Q$ and $\Sigma / T$. Applying the Atiyah-Singer theorem to $W^{4 k}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(T, \Sigma)=\sigma(T, \Sigma)-\sigma(T, \widetilde{Q})=1 / 8(2 \sigma(W)-\sigma(\widetilde{W})) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows by Proposition 2.1 that $\sigma(T, \Sigma)=1 / 8 \sigma(M)+2 m$ for some $m \in Z$. If we take a parallelizable manifold $M^{\prime}$ with boundary $\Sigma^{\prime} \in b P_{4 k}$ such that $\sigma\left(M^{\prime}\right)=8 m-\sigma(W)$, then the connected sum $Q \# \Sigma^{\prime}$ satisfies the conclusion of lemma. For, put $Q^{\prime}=Q \# \Sigma^{\prime}$. Then, clearly $Q^{\prime}$ has a double suspension, i. e., $\sigma\left(T^{\prime}, Q^{\prime}\right)=0 .(Q \times I) \# M^{\prime}$ is a normal cobordism between $Q$ and $Q^{\prime}$. Hence $Q^{\prime}$ is normally cobordant to $\Sigma / T$. $\tilde{Q}^{\prime}$ bounds the parallelizable manifold

$$
\left(\widetilde{Q} \times I \# 2 M^{\prime}\right) \underset{\widetilde{Q}}{\cup} \widetilde{W} \cup_{\Sigma} M
$$

the index of which is zero. Therefore $\widetilde{Q}^{\prime}$ is diffeomorphic to $S^{4 k-1}$.
By Lemma 2.20 and Theorem (Browder-Livesay), we have desuspensions of $\left(T^{\prime}, S^{4 k-1}\right),\left(T^{\prime}, S^{4 k-2}\right) \supset\left(T^{\prime}, S^{4 k-3}\right)$.

Proposition 2.22. For a desuspension ( $T^{\prime}, S^{4 k-3}$ ) of ( $\left.T^{\prime}, S^{4 k-1}\right)$, it follows that $\sigma\left(T^{\prime}, S^{4 k-3}\right)=0$. Furthermore, there is a sequence of desuspensions of $\left(T^{\prime}, S^{4 k-1}\right)$. i. e., $\left(T^{\prime}, S^{4 k-2}\right) \supset\left(T^{\prime}, S^{4 k-3}\right) \supset \cdots \supset\left(T^{\prime}, S^{5}\right)$ satisfying $\sigma\left(T^{\prime}, S^{5}\right)=0$.

Proof. If $W^{4 k}$ is a normal cobordism between $\Sigma / T$ and $S^{4 k-1} / T^{\prime}$, then by the above argument, ( $T^{\prime}, S^{4 k-1}$ ) extends to an involution with isolated fixed points on the parallelizable manifold $\left(\widetilde{W} \bigcup_{\Sigma} M\right)$. For $\left(T^{\prime}, S^{4 k-2}\right) \subset\left(T^{\prime}, S^{4 k-1}\right)$, ( $T^{\prime}, S^{4 k-2}$ ) extends to an involution with isolated fixed points on a parallelizable manifold $F^{4 k-1} \subset(\widetilde{W} \cup M)$. The same is true for $\left(T^{\prime}, S^{4 k-3}\right)$, i. e., $\left(T^{\prime}, S^{4 k-3}\right)=$ $\partial\left(T^{\prime}, F^{4 k-2}\right), \mathrm{F}^{4 k-2}=B \cap T^{\prime} B, B \cup T^{\prime} B=F^{4 k-1}$. And we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{4 k-3}=D_{0}^{4 k-2} \cap T^{\prime} D_{0}^{4 k-2}, \quad D_{0}^{4 k-2} \cup T^{\prime \prime} D_{0}^{4 k-2}=S^{4 k-2} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boundary of $B$ consists of $D_{0}^{4 k-2}$ and $F^{4 k-2}$. Since ( $T^{\prime}, S^{4 k-2}$ ) and ( $T^{\prime}, S^{4 k-3}$ ) are desuspensions, there is a homotopy equivalence $f: S^{4 k-1} / T^{\prime} \rightarrow p^{4 k-1}$ such that characteristic maps $f_{1}, f_{2}$ are homotopy equivalences in the following diagram.


Since $S^{4 k-2}=\partial F^{4 k-1}$ and $F^{4 k-2}$ is characteristic for $F^{4 k-1}$ as above, $\tilde{f}_{1}: S^{4 k-2} \rightarrow$ $S^{4 k-2}$ extends to a normal map $g_{1}: F^{4 k-1} \rightarrow D^{4 k-1}$ which is transverse on $D^{4 k-2} \subset D^{4 k-1}$ and $g_{1}^{-1}\left(D^{4 k-2}\right)=F^{4 k-2} . \quad g_{1}$ is a normal map since $F^{4 k-1}$ is parallelizable. If we put $g_{1} \mid g_{1}^{-1}\left(D^{4 k-2}\right)=g_{2}: F^{4 k-2} \rightarrow D^{4 k-2}$, we notice that $g_{2} \mid \partial F^{4 k-2}=$ $\tilde{f}_{2}: S^{4 k-3} \rightarrow S^{4 k-3}$.

Restricting $g_{1}$ to $B \subset F^{4 k-1}$, we have a normal map $G: B \rightarrow D_{+}^{4 k-1}$. Here $\partial D_{+}^{4 k-1}=D^{4 k-2} \cup D^{4 k-2}, D^{4 k-2} \cap D^{4 k-2}=S^{4 k-3}$, the first disk being the half of the boundary $S^{4 k-2}$. Now, $\partial B=D_{0}^{4 k-2} \cup F^{4 k-2}$ and $D_{0}^{4 k-2} \cap F^{4 k-2}=S^{4 k-3}$. It follows by (1) and the above diagram that $G\left|D_{0}^{4 k-2}=\tilde{f}_{1}\right| D_{0}^{4 k-2}: D_{0}^{4 k-2} \rightarrow D^{4 k-2}$ is a homotopy equivalence. If we put $f_{2}=G \mid D_{0}^{4 k-2}$, then $(G, B)$ is viewed as a normal cobordism between $\left(f_{2}, D_{0}^{4 k-2}\right)$ and $\left(g_{2}, F^{4 k-2}\right)$ rel. boundary ( $\left.\tilde{f}_{2}, S^{4 k-3}\right)$. Hence, $F^{4 k-2}$ is normally cobordant to $D_{0}^{4 k-2}$, i. e., $\sigma\left(F^{4 k-2}\right)=0$. On the other hand, by proposition 2.1 and $\left(T^{\prime}, S^{4 k-3}\right)=\partial\left(T^{\prime}, F^{4 k-2}\right)$, it follows that $\sigma\left(T^{\prime}, S^{4 k-3}\right)$ $=\boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(F^{4 t-2}\right)=0$.

For the rest, we have desuspensions $\left(T^{\prime \prime}, S^{4 k-3}\right) \supset\left(T^{\prime}, S^{4 k-4}\right) \supset\left(T^{\prime \prime}, S^{4 k-5}\right)$. Then in particular, we can take ( $\left.T^{\prime}, S^{4 k-5}\right)$ with $\sigma\left(T^{\prime}, S^{4 k-5}\right)=0$. This follows from theorem [20, p. 52] that given a desuspension ( $T_{0}, \Sigma_{0}$ ) of ( $T^{\prime}, S^{4 k-4}$ ), there is another desuspension $\left(T_{1}, \Sigma_{1}\right)$ for each $i \in Z$ such that $\sigma\left(T_{1}, \Sigma_{1}\right)$ $\sigma\left(T_{0}, \Sigma_{0}\right)=2 i$. In this case, as is $\left(T^{\prime}, S^{4 k-5}\right)=\partial\left(T^{\prime}, F^{4 k-4}\right)$, so $\sigma\left(T^{\prime}, S^{4 k-5}\right) \equiv$ $1 / 8 \boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(F^{4 k-4}\right) \equiv 0(2)$ by Proposition 2.1. Therefore we can apply the above argument to ( $T^{\prime \prime}, S^{4 k-5}$ ) completing the proof.

## 3. Geometric models

In this chapter, we give examples of standard involutions.
Notation 3.1. Let $D^{n}\left(S^{n-1}\right)$ be the unit disk (sphere) in $R^{n}$ with the $Z_{2}$-action, $t\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=\left(-x_{1}, \cdots,-x_{n}\right)$. Let $S^{n}$ be the suspension of $S^{n-1}$, i. e., the unit sphere in $R^{n} \times R$ with the $Z_{2}$-action, $t\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}, y\right)=\left(-x_{1}, \cdots,-x_{n}, y\right)$.

The following lemma is a special case in [15], [34] when $p=2$ (which is not stated for the $(4 k+1)$-dimensional case, but the proof is similar).

Lemma 3. 2. For any integer $n \geqq 3$, there are $D^{n}$-bundles $E$ (specifically, $E_{+}, E_{0}$ and $E_{-}$) over $S^{n}$ with semifree $Z_{2}$-actions $T$ satisfying
(1) $T$ is a bundle map preserving the 0 -section.
(2) The action $T$ on the 0 -section is $S^{n}$ with the above action and $T$ has no fixed points outside the 0 -section.
(3) E has two isolated fixed points each normal representation of which is $D^{n} \times D^{n}$ with the above diagonal action.
(4) If $n=2 k$, the euler class $\chi$ of $E_{+}, E_{0}$ and $E_{-}$are taken to be 2, 0 , and -2 mod any multiple of 4 -times respectively (in particular, we distinguish $E_{+}$from $E_{-}$for our necessity, see remark of Lemma 3.3).
(5) If $n=2 k+1, E_{+}$and $E_{-}$are the tangent disk bundles over $S^{2 k+1}$ and $E_{0}$ is the trivial disk bundle.
(6) These bundles are stably trivial.

Proof. Let $d: S^{n} \rightarrow S^{n} \times S^{n}$ be the diagonal embedding which is invariant under the action. Let $H_{n}\left(S^{n} \times S^{n}\right)=\langle\alpha\rangle+\langle\beta\rangle$ with the first factor representing $\alpha$ and the second representing $\beta$. For any $l \in Z$, take $|l|$-embedded spheres $S^{n}$,s in the free part of $S^{n} \times S^{n}$ each of which represents $\beta$. Taking their equivariant connected sum with $d\left(S^{n}\right)$, i. e.,

$$
d\left(S^{n}\right) \underset{Z_{2}}{\#}|l| S^{n} \subset S^{n} \times S^{n},
$$

we have a stably trivial normal bundle $E_{+}$over $S^{n}$ which is invariant under the action. $\quad E_{+}$has the euler class $\chi\left(E_{+}\right)=(\alpha+(2 l+1) \beta)(\alpha+(2 l+1) \beta)=2+4 l$. Clearly $E_{+}$satisfies (1), (2) and (3).

Let $g$ be the equivariant diffeomorphism of $S^{n-1}$ onto $S^{n-1}$ defined by

$$
g\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=\left(-x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)
$$

Denote the $n$-dimensional sphere with a $Z_{2}$-action obtained by attaching $D^{n}$ to $D^{n}$ by means of $g$ by

$$
S_{1}^{n}=D^{n} \bigcup_{g} D^{n}
$$

which is again $S^{n}$.
We then define also equivariant embeddings

$$
d^{\prime}: S_{1}^{n} \longrightarrow S_{1}^{n} \times S_{1}^{n}
$$

and

$$
\iota: S_{1}^{n} \longrightarrow S_{1}^{n} \times S^{n} \quad\left(\text { similarly }, \iota^{\prime}: S^{n} \rightarrow S^{n} \times S_{1}^{n}\right)
$$

by setting

$$
d^{\prime}\left(\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}, y\right)\right)=\left(\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}, y\right),\left(-x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}, y\right)\right), \iota(z)=\left(z, z_{1}\right)
$$

where $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}, y\right), z \in S_{1}^{n}$ and $z_{1}$ is a fixed point of $S^{n}$. Making use of $d^{\prime}, \iota$, we obtain the desired bundles $E_{-}, E_{0}$ accordingly.

Next we consider normal cobordisms for the resulting manifolds which are obtained by an equivariant plumbing. Let $N_{1}, N_{2}$ be the equivariant neighborhoods of the fixed points in $E$. We let each of them small so as to be contained in $D^{n} \times D^{n}$ of (3) of Lemma 3.2. Put

$$
W=E-\operatorname{int}\left\{\bigcup_{i=1}^{2} N_{i}\right\} / T \quad \text { (if } E=E_{+} \text {, we put } W=W_{+} \text {, and so on). }
$$

Lemma 3.3. W defines a "normal cobordism" between $\partial E / T$ and $\left\{\bigcup_{i=1}^{2} \partial N_{i} / T\right\}$, i.e., there exists a normal map $H: W \rightarrow P^{2 n-1}$ covered by a bundle map $b: \nu_{W} \rightarrow \nu_{P}$, where $\nu_{W}, \nu_{P}$ are stable normal bundles of $W, P^{2 n-1}$. Furthermore, if we look at the inclusion maps of the boundary components, then $H \mid D^{n} \times D^{n}-\operatorname{int} N_{i} / T \rightarrow P^{2 n-1}$ and $H_{-}=H \mid \partial N_{i} / T: \partial N_{i} / T \rightarrow P^{2 n-1}$ are as follows.

Table 0.

| W | $\left(H \mid D^{n} \times D^{n}-\mathrm{int} N_{i} / T, D^{n} \times D^{n}-\mathrm{int} N_{i} / T\right)$ | $\left(H \mid \partial N_{i} / T, \partial N_{i} / T\right)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $W_{+}$ | $\left(\operatorname{Pr}(1 \times 1), D^{n} \times D^{n}-\operatorname{int} N_{i} / T\right) \quad i=1,2$ | $\left(1 \times 1, P^{2 n-1}\right)$ | $i=1,2$. |
| $W_{0}$ | Case $\begin{aligned} & \left(\operatorname{Pr}(1 \times 1), D^{n} \times D^{n-i n t} N_{1} / T\right) \\ & \left(\operatorname{Pr}(c \times 1), D^{n} \times D^{n}-\operatorname{int} N_{2} / T\right) \end{aligned}$ <br> Case $\ell^{\prime}$ $\begin{aligned} & \left(\operatorname{Pr}(1 \times 1), D^{n} \times D^{n}-\operatorname{int} N_{1} / T\right) \\ & \left(\operatorname{Pr}(1 \times c), D^{n} \times D^{n}-\operatorname{int} N_{2} / T\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(1 \times 1, P^{2 n-1}\right) \\ & \left(c \times 1, P^{2 n-1}\right) \\ & \\ & \left(1 \times 1, P^{2 n-1}\right) \\ & \left(1 \times c, P^{2 n-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & i=1 \\ & i=2 \\ & i=1 \\ & i=2 \end{aligned}$ |
| $W_{-}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(\operatorname{Pr}(1 \times c), D^{n} \times D^{n}-\operatorname{int} N_{1} / T\right) \\ & \left(\operatorname{Pr}(c \times 1), D^{n} \times D^{n}-\operatorname{int} N_{2} / T\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(1 \times c, P^{2 n-1}\right) \\ & \left(c \times 1, P^{2 n-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & i=1 \\ & i=2 \end{aligned}$ |

Here $c$ is the orientation reversing diffeomorphism induced from the map $\tilde{c}: D^{n \rightarrow} D^{n}, \tilde{c}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=\left(-x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$.

Note. $H$ is not a degree 1 map.
Remark. The maps raised in the table are natural with respect to the euler classes $2,0,-2$.

So, given $E$ with $\chi(E) \equiv 2(4)$, then we are free to take $W_{+}$and the pair $\{1 \times 1\}$ or $W_{-}$and the pair $\{1 \times c, c \times 1\}$ for $E$ in view of $\chi(E)=2+4 l$ or $\chi(E)=-2+4(l+1)$ respectively.

Proof of Lemma 3.3. Let $D^{n+1}$ be the unit disk in $R^{n+1}$ with the $Z_{2}$-action, $t\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}, y\right)=\left(-x_{1}, \cdots,-x_{n}, y\right)$. The fixed points of $S^{n}$ are written $z_{1}=(\overline{0}, 1), z_{2}=(\overline{0},-1), \overline{0}=(0, \cdots, 0) \in R^{n}$. Then, by the construction of $E$, we have an equivariant embedding

$$
\begin{aligned}
E-\text { int }\left\{\bigcup_{i=1}^{2} N_{i}\right\} & \subset D^{n+1} \times D^{n+1}-\left(\overline{0} \times D^{1}\right) \times\left(\overline{0} \times D^{1}\right) \\
& \cong\left(D^{n} \times D^{n}-\operatorname{int} \overline{0} \times \overline{0}\right) \times D^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We notice that the action on the part $D^{2}$ is trivial. Hence it induces an embedding of the quotient spaces

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{2 n} \subset P^{2 n-1} \times I \times D^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has the trivial normal bundle.
The normal bundle $\nu_{W}$ is induced from that of $P^{2 n-1}$. Therefore, $W$ defines a "normal cobordism". That is, there is a normal map $H: W \rightarrow P^{2 n-1}$ which is covered by a bundle map $b: \nu_{W} \rightarrow \nu_{P}$. If we look at the inclusion maps of the boundary components carefully and by constructions of $E_{+}, E_{0}$ and $E_{-}$, the rest of lemma follows easily.

When the above bundles are plumbed equivariantly, we will show that a normal cobordism of the resulting manifold is obtained from each block of normal cobordisms of Lemma 3. 3.

Lemma 3.4. Suppose that $E^{i}$ 's are plumbed equivariantly one after another at a fixed point on each and denote $M^{\prime}$ its resulting manifold with a $Z_{2}$-action $T$. Let $N(p t s)$ be the equivariant tubular neighborhoods of the fixed points in $M^{\prime}$ so that they are a union of $N_{i}$ 's for each $i(=1,2)$ as in the Lemma 2.3. Then, the cobordism $V^{\prime}=M^{\prime}-\operatorname{int} N(p t s) / T$ defines a normal cobordism $G^{\prime}: V^{\prime} \rightarrow P^{2 n-1}$ between $\partial M^{\prime} / T$ and $\cup_{j}\left\{\partial N_{i} / T, i=1,2\right\}$ ( $j$ runs over the fixed point set), covered by a bundle map $b^{\prime}: \nu_{V^{\prime}} \rightarrow \nu_{P}$.

Under the situation of the above lemma, we prove
Lemma 3.5. If we do further plumbings in the free part of the action in $M^{\prime}$ equivariantly, and if we denote its manifold with a $Z_{2}$-action $T$ by $M$, then the resulting cobordism $V=M-\operatorname{int} N(p t s) / T$ defines a normal cobordism $G: V \rightarrow P^{2 n-1}$ between $\partial M / T$ and $\cup_{j}\left\{\partial N_{i} / T, i=1,2\right\}$. Moreover, $G$ is unaltered on the boundary components, i.e., $G\left|\underset{j}{\cup}\left\{\partial N_{i} / T\right\}=G^{\prime}\right| \bigcup_{j}\left\{\partial N_{i} / T\right\}$.

## Proof of Lemma 3. 4.

The normal representations (3) of Lemma 3.2 and the normal maps on them of Lemma 3.3 give us how to plumb equivariantly, i.e., around a fixed point, the two spaces $D^{n} \times D^{n}$ are equivariantly diffeomorphic by the map $h: D^{n} \times D^{n} \rightarrow D^{n} \times D^{n}, h(x, y)=(y, x)$. When we consider plumbings on the quotient spaces, plumbing $E^{1}$ with $E^{2}$ together equivariatly at a fixed point (we assume this at $z_{2} \in N_{2} \subset E^{1}$ and $z_{1} \in N_{1}^{\prime} \subset E^{2}$, for instance) is equivalent to taking ( $E^{1}$-int $\left.\left\{N_{1} \cup N_{2}\right\} / T\right) \cup\left(E^{2}-\right.$ int $\left.\left\{N_{1}^{\prime} \cup N_{2}^{\prime}\right\} / T\right)$ and identifying $\left(D^{n} \times D^{n}-\operatorname{int} N_{2}\right) / T$ with $\left(D^{n} \times D^{n}-\right.$ int $\left.N_{1}^{\prime}\right) / T$ by the map $h^{\prime}$ induced from $h$. If we put the manifold $M^{\prime}$ when $E^{1}$ and $E^{2}$ are plumbed as above, then the resulting cobordism $V^{\prime}$ is $V^{\prime}=\left(M^{\prime}-\right.$ int $\left.\left\{N_{1} \cup N_{2} \cup N_{2}^{\prime}\right\}\right) / T$, where $N_{1}^{\prime} \subset E^{2}$ is identified with $N_{2} \subset E^{1}$. Now, in view of table 0 of Lemma 3.3, we have the following commutative diagram


By the form $H$ of table 0 of Lemma 3.3 and the construction of normal cobordisms, the diagram (1) is compatible with the bundle maps $b$ of stable normal bundles which cover $H$. Hence, $V^{\prime}$ defines a normal cobordism between $\partial M^{\prime} / T$ and $\left\{\partial N_{1} / T \cup \partial N_{2} / T \cup \partial N_{2}^{\prime} / T\right\}$. If $E^{2}$ is plumbed further with $E^{3}$ equivariantly at the unused fixed point in $E^{2}$, then the diagram (1) holds around the point, and hence the resulting cobordism also defines a normal cobordism. Iterating in this way, we obtain the result.

## Proof of Lemma 3. 5 .

In $M^{\prime}$, we do further plumbings equivariantly in the free part of the action. This can be done by taking two spaces $D_{i}^{n} \times D_{i}^{n} \subset V^{\prime}$ and identifying $D_{1} \times D_{1}$ with $D_{2} \times D_{2}$ by the map $h(x, y)=(y, x), h: D_{1} \times D_{1} \rightarrow D_{2} \times D_{2}$. Lifting gives 2 -plumbings in the cover $M^{\prime}$. Denote its manifold by $M$. If $\left(G^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)$ : $V^{\prime} \rightarrow P^{2 n-1}$ is a normal map in Lemma 3.4, we can arrange, using the homotopy extension theorem, that $G^{\prime} \mid D_{1} \times D_{1}=\left(G^{\prime} \mid D_{2} \times D_{2}\right) \cdot h$ without changing on the boundary components $\bigcup_{j}\left\{\partial N_{i} / T, i=1,2\right\}$. Let $V$ be the resulting cobordism when we identify $D_{1} \times D_{1}$ with $D_{2} \times D_{2}$ by $h$. Then, $V=M-\operatorname{int} N(p t s) / T$. The above compatibility defines a map $G: V \rightarrow P^{2 n-1}$. By choosing a bundle equivalence of $\nu_{V^{\prime}} \mid D_{1} \times D_{1}$ with $\nu_{V^{\prime}} \mid D_{2} \times D_{2}$ covering $h$, we can arrange, using the bundle covering homotopy theorem, that $b^{\prime} \mid\left(\nu_{V^{\prime}} \mid D_{1} \times D_{1}\right)$ and $b^{\prime} \mid\left(\nu_{V^{\prime}} \mid D_{2} \times D_{2}\right)$ are compatible to give a bundle map $b: \nu_{V} \rightarrow \nu_{P}$. Hence, $G: V \rightarrow P^{2 n-1}$ is a
normal cobordism. Repeating further plumbings in the free part of the action, the above argument holds on each step. Therefore we can prove the lemma.

Proposition 3.6. Let $\Sigma_{1}$ be the generator of $b P_{4 k}, k \geqq 2$. Then, for any $l \geqq 1$, there exist following examples of standard involutions.

Table 1.

| Type | $\left(A, S^{4 k-1)}\right.$ | $\left(T_{2 l-1}^{-}, \sum_{(2 l-1,-)}^{4 k-1}\right)$ | $\left(T_{2 l-1}^{+}, \Sigma_{(2 l-1,+)}^{4 k-1}\right)$ | $\left(T_{2 l}^{-}, \Sigma_{(2 l,-)}^{4 k-1}\right)$ | $\left(T_{2 l}^{+}, \sum_{(2 l,+)}^{4 k-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Browder- <br> Livesay <br> invariant | 0 | $2 l-1$ | $2 l-1$ | $2 l$ | $2 l$ |
| Normal <br> cobordism <br> class | $\left(P^{4 k-1, i d)}\right.$ | $(8(2 l-1)-1)(P, i d)$ <br> $P=P^{4 k-1}$ | $(8(2 l-1)+1)(P, i d)$ | $(8(2 l)+1)(P, i d)$ | $(8(2 l)-1)(P, i d)$ |
| Spin <br> invariant <br> mod $2^{2 k}$ | $\pm 1$ | $\pm(8(2 l-1)-1)$ | $\pm(8(2 l-1)+1)$ | $\pm(8(2 l)+1)$ | $\pm(8(2 l)-1)$ |
| Matrix <br> rank | - | $H_{2 l-1}^{-\bar{l}}$ | $8(2 l-1)$ | $8(2 l-1)$ | $H_{2 l-1}^{+}$ |
| Differen- <br> tiable | $S^{4 k-1}$ | $(2 l-1) \Sigma_{1}$ | $(2 l-1) \Sigma_{1}$ | $2 l \Sigma_{1}$ | $2 l \Sigma_{1}$ |
| structure |  |  | $H_{2 l}^{+}$ |  |  |

Proof. Let $m$ be a positive integer. We introduce the unimodular, even, symmetric matrices with the rank $8 m$ (the index of which is also $8 m$ ),

We write simply for the above matices as ;

$$
H=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
2 & 1 & & & \\
1 & 2 & & & \\
& \ddots & & \\
& & \ddots & 1 & \\
& & 1 & 2 & b \\
& & & b & a
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then, $\quad a \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{l}0(4) \text { when } H=H_{2 l}^{+}, H_{2 l-1}^{-} \\ 2(4)\end{array} \quad\right.$ when $H=H_{2 l-1}^{+}, H_{2 l}^{-} \quad$ and

$$
b \equiv 1(2) .
$$

We rake bundles $E^{1}, i=1, \cdots, 8 m$ from Lemma 3.2, each euler class of which is 2 for $i=1, \cdots, 8 m-1$ and $a$ for $i=8 m$. Plumb together the $E^{i \prime}$, plumbing $E^{i}$ with $E^{i+1}$ at a fixed point of the action on each. Let $M^{\prime}$ be the resulting mainfold which realizes the plumbing matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
2 & 1 & & & \\
1 & 2 & & & \\
& & \ddots & & \\
& & \ddots & 1 & \\
& & 1 & 2 & 1 \\
& & & 1 & a
\end{array}\right]
$$

By Lemma 3.3 and 3.4, the cobordism $V^{\prime}=\left(M^{\prime}-\operatorname{int} N((8 m+1) p t s)\right) / T$ defines a normal cobordism $G^{\prime}: V^{\prime} \rightarrow P^{4 k-1}$ between $\partial M^{\prime} / T$ and

$$
\begin{cases}(8 m+1)\left(P^{4 k-1}, i d\right) & \text { if } a \equiv 2(4) \\ (8 m)\left(P^{4 k-1}, i d\right) \cup\left(P^{4 k-1}, c \times 1\right) & \text { if } a \equiv 0(4) .\end{cases}
$$

We do further plumbings equivariantly to realize $H$. Then, we have a manifold with boundary $M$ which admits a $Z_{2}$-action. By Lemma 3.5, there is a normal map

$$
G: V=(M-\operatorname{int} N((8 m+1) p t s)) / Z_{2} \longrightarrow P^{4 k-1}
$$

between $\partial M / Z_{2}$ and $(8 m+1)\left(P^{4 k-1}, i d\right)$ or $\left((8 m)\left(P^{4 k-1}, i d\right) \cup\left(P^{4 k-1}, c \times 1\right)\right)$ if $a \equiv 2(4)$ or $0(4)$ accordingly. If follows from the plumbing theory that $M$ is connected and $\pi_{1}(\partial M) \cong \pi_{1}(M)$ is free, $H_{i}(\partial M)=H_{i}(M)=0$ for $1<i<2 k-1$ and $H_{2 k-1}(M)=0$ (see Figure 2).

Put $\left(G_{+}, \partial_{+} V\right)=\left(f^{\prime}, \partial M / Z_{2}\right)$. Then, clearly $\pi_{1}\left(f^{\prime}\right)=0$. There is no obstruction to doing a normal surgery on a generator in $\pi_{2}\left(f^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Ker}\left\{f_{*}^{\prime}\right.$ : $\left.\pi_{1}\left(\partial M / Z_{2}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(P^{4 k+1}\right)\right\}$, so there is a trace $W$ and a normal map $F^{\prime}: W \rightarrow P^{4 k-1}$ between $\partial M / Z_{2}$ and $\partial_{+} W$ such that $f$ is 2 -connected when we set $\left(F^{\prime} \mid \partial_{+} W\right.$, $\left.\partial_{+} W\right)=\left(f, Q^{4 k-1}\right)$. Put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{1}=V \cup W \text { along } \partial M / Z_{2} \text { and } \\
& M_{1}=M \cup \widetilde{W} \quad\left(=\widetilde{V}_{1} \cup N((8 m+1) p t s)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, $V_{1}$ is a normal cobordism between

$$
(8 m+1)\left(P^{4 k-1}, i d\right)\left((8 m)\left(P^{4 k-1}, i d\right) \cup\left(P^{4 k-1}, c \times 1\right)\right)
$$


and $Q$. The universal cover $\tilde{Q}$ bounds the parallelizable mani- fold $M_{1}$. The intersection matrix on $H_{2 k}\left(M_{1}\right)$ is the above plumbing matrix $H$. Since $H$ is unimodular and by the above facts of plumbing, it follows that $H_{i}$ $(\widetilde{Q})=0, \quad i \neq 4 k-1$. Hence $Q$ is a homotopy projective space (see Remark 1 below). We denote by $T_{m}^{ \pm}$the actions on $M_{1}$ for $H_{m}^{ \pm}$and put $\tilde{Q}=\sum_{(m, \pm)}^{4 k-1}$ accordingly. Since the induced action is trivial on homology $H_{2 k}\left(M_{1}\right)$, it follows that
$\operatorname{Sign}\left(T_{m}^{ \pm}, M_{1}\right)=$ Index of the intersection matrix on $H_{2 k}\left(M_{1}\right)$

$$
=\boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(H_{m}\right)=8 m
$$

$M_{1}$ has isolated $(8 m+1)$-fixed points, so the local invariants of $\left(T_{m}^{ \pm}, M_{1}\right)$, $L\left(T_{m}^{ \pm}, M_{1}\right)=0$.

Hence, the Browder-Livesay invariant of $\left(T_{m}^{ \pm}, \Sigma_{(m, \pm)}^{4 k-1}\right)$ is $\sigma\left(T_{m}^{ \pm}, \Sigma_{(m, \pm)}\right)=m$ and $\Sigma_{(m, \pm)}=1 / 8 \sigma\left(M_{1}\right) \Sigma_{1}=m \Sigma_{1}$. For the rest, $Q=\Sigma_{(m, \pm)} / T_{m}^{ \pm}$is normally cobordant to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(8 m+1)\left(P^{4 k-1}, i d\right) \quad \text { if } a \equiv 2(4) \\
(8 m)\left(P^{4 k-1}, i d\right) \cup\left(P^{4 k-1}, c \times 1\right) \quad \text { if } a \equiv 0(4)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $c \times 1$ is the orientation reversing diffeomorphism, $\left((8 m)\left(P^{4 k-1}, i d\right) \cup\right.$ $\left(P^{4 k-1}, c \times 1\right)$ ) is normally cobordant to $(8 m-1)\left(P^{4 k-1}, i d\right)$. By the above remark, if $H=H_{2 l-1}^{+}, H_{2 l}^{-}$, then $Q$ is normally cobordant to $(8 m+1)\left(P^{4 k-1}, i d\right)$, and if $H=H_{2 l}^{+}, H_{2 l-1}^{-}$, then $Q$ is normally cobordant to $(8 m-1)\left(P^{4 k-1}, i d\right)$.

REMARK 1. We have a "normal cobordism" $F: V_{1} \rightarrow P^{4 k-1}$ between $(Q, f)$ and $d\left(P^{4 k-1}, i d\right)$, covered by a bundle map $b: \nu_{V_{1}} \rightarrow \nu_{P}$. Here $d=8 m+1$ or $8 m-1$. We note that the map of the boundary components into $P^{4 k-1}$ has degree $d$. So, the map $f$ of $Q$ into $P^{4 k-1}$ is not a homotopy equivalence even though $Q$ is a homotopy projective space. However, put $d=2 s+1$.

Form $P^{4 k-1}(d)=d\left(P^{4 k-1}\right) \cup-s\left(S^{4 k-1}\right)$ and define the normal map $d(i d)$ of $P^{4 k-1}(d)$ into $P^{4 k-1}$ to be id on $P^{4 k-1}$ and $p$ on $S^{4 k-1}$, where $p: S^{4 k-1} \rightarrow P^{4 k-1}$ is the 2 -fold cover. $d(i d)$ has degree 1 . If we take a connected sum along the boundary $Q$ of $V_{1}$ with $(-s) S^{4 k-1} \times I$, we get a normal cobordism of $Q \#(-s) S^{4 k-1}=Q$ with $P^{4 k-1}(d)$. And hence the induced map $Q \rightarrow P^{4 k-1}$ is of degree 1, i. e., a homotopy equivalence.

Remark 2. Let $\left(T_{m}, \Sigma_{m}\right)$ and $M_{m}$ with boundary $\Sigma_{m}$ be as in the proof of (3.6). $H_{2 k}\left(M_{m}\right)$ consists of a basis of invariant spheres. We notice that $\sigma\left(T_{m}, \Sigma_{m}\right)=1 / 8 \sigma\left(M_{m}\right)$ and $\Sigma_{m}=1 / 8 \sigma\left(M_{m}\right) \Sigma_{1}$.

Next, within each normal cobordism class of the above models, we can construct a typical example whose Browder-Livesay invariant takes any value. This can be achieved by López De Medrano's construction [20], however, by the above Remark 2 we must know how the differentiable structure of the homotopy sphere is altered when we obtain an example from each class. An explicit construction has been found in [26]. The following lemma slightly extends the result of [26].

Lemma 3.7. Let $\left(T_{m}, \Sigma_{m}^{4 k-1}\right)$ and $M_{m}^{4 k}$ be as in Proposition 3.6. For each $i \in Z$ and $\left(T_{m}, \Sigma_{m}\right)$, there exists a free involution ( $T_{i}, \Sigma_{i}^{4 k-1}$ ) which satisfies
(1) $T_{i}$ extends to an involution with isolated fixed points on a parallelizable manifold $M_{i}$, i.e., $T_{i}$ is a standard involution.
(2) $\sigma\left(T_{i}, \Sigma_{i}\right)=1 / 8 \sigma\left(M_{i}\right)=i$.
(3) $\Sigma_{i} / T_{i}$ is normally cobordant to $\Sigma_{m} / T_{m}$.

Proof. We recall the definition of the Browder-Livesay invariant. Let $W^{4 t-2}=V \cap T V$ be a characteristic submanifold for $\left(T_{m}, \Sigma_{m}\right)$ which we can assume ( $2 k-2$ )-connected. We have the bilinear form

$$
B(x, y)=x \cdot T_{*} y
$$

defined on $\operatorname{Ker} i_{V}=\operatorname{Ker}\left\{i_{*}: H_{2 k-1}(W) \rightarrow H_{2 k-1}(V)\right\}$. Since $\operatorname{Ker} i_{T V}=T \cdot \operatorname{Ker} i_{V}$, it follows that $H_{2 k-1}(W)=\operatorname{Ker} i_{r} \oplus T \cdot \operatorname{Ker} i_{v}$. Then, by definition of BrowderLivesay invariant (see [20]), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(T_{m}, \Sigma_{m}\right)=1 / 8 \operatorname{Index}(B(x, y))=m \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

By adding handles equivariantly to $W$ inside $\Sigma_{m}$, we obtain a characteristic submanifold $W^{\prime}=W_{Z_{2}}^{\# 4}\left(S^{2 k-1} \times S^{2 k-1}\right)$. Let $W^{\prime}=V^{\prime} \cap T^{\prime} V^{\prime}, V^{\prime} \cap T^{\prime} V^{\prime}=$ $\Sigma_{m}$.

We have an equivariant map $f:\left(T^{\prime}, W^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow(T, W)$ such that $\operatorname{Ker} f_{*}=$ $\operatorname{Ker}\left\{f_{*}: H_{2 k-1}\left(W^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow H_{2 k-1}(W)\right\}$ is a free group on generators $\alpha_{i}, T \alpha_{i}, i=$
$1, \cdots, 8$, so that $\alpha_{i}$ 's are contained in Ker $i_{V^{\prime}}$ and $T \alpha_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ s in Ker $i_{T^{\prime} V^{\prime}}$. The matrix of the form $B$ on $\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}$ consists of 1 's on the nonprincipal diagonal and 0's elsewhere. Since $W^{\prime}$ and $W$ are characteristic submanifolds of $\Sigma_{m}$, there exists a characteristic cobordism $Y$ joining them, i. e.,

$$
\Sigma_{m} \times I=X \cup T X, \quad X T \cap X=Y
$$

Let $F: Y \rightarrow W$ be an equivariant normal map between $f:\left(T^{\prime}, W\right) \rightarrow(T, W)$ and $i d:(T, W) \rightarrow(T, W)$. Noting that $\partial X=V \cup Y \cup V^{\prime}$, there is a normal map $G: X \rightarrow V$ which extends $F$.

Choose new generators $\alpha_{i}^{*}=p_{i j} \alpha_{j}+q_{i j} T \alpha_{j}, i=1, \cdots, 8$. The matrices $P=\left(p_{i j}\right), Q=\left(q_{i j}\right)$ are given explicitly in [20, p. 23]. We notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 / 8 \operatorname{Index} B\left(\alpha_{i}^{*}, \alpha_{j}^{*}\right)=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha_{i}^{*} \cdot \alpha_{j}^{*}=0, \text { and } \phi\left(\alpha_{i}^{*}\right)=0 \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, we can perform surgery on the $\alpha_{i}^{*}$ 's $\in \operatorname{Ker} f_{*}$, obtaining a normal cobordism $h: A \rightarrow W$ between $f: W^{\prime} \rightarrow W$ and a homotopy equivalence $W^{\prime} \rightarrow$ $W$. Then, we claim that

$$
(*) \quad V^{\prime} \bigcup_{W^{\prime}} A \text { is diffeomorphic to } V
$$

For, $V^{\prime}$ is obtained from $V$ by adding handles equivariantly along $W$, in fact, we can write

$$
V^{\prime}=V \bigcup_{W}\left(W \times I \# 4\left(S^{2 k-1} \times D^{2 k}\right) \# 4\left(D^{2 k} \times S^{2 k-1}\right)\right) .
$$

Put $V^{\prime \prime}=W \times I \# 4\left(S^{2 k-1} \times D^{2 k}\right) \# 4\left(D^{2 k} \times S^{2 k-1}\right)$. Then, $\alpha_{i} \in \operatorname{Ker}\left\{i_{*}: H_{2 k-1}\left(W^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.H_{2 k-1}\left(V^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\} \subset \operatorname{Ker} i_{V^{\prime}}, i=1, \cdots, 8$. Since $V^{\prime \prime}$ is a cobordism between $W$ and $W^{\prime}$, we may show that $V^{\prime \prime} \bigcup_{W^{\prime}} A$ is an $h$-cobordism between $W$ and $W^{\prime \prime}$, so that is diffeomorphic to $W \times I . W$ and $W^{\prime}$ are $(2 k-2)$-connected so the non-vanishing homology except $0,(4 k-2)$-dimensions is


We notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{2 k-1}\left(W^{\prime}\right)=H_{2 k-1}(W)+\left\{\alpha_{i}, T \alpha_{i}\right\}\left(=H_{2 k-1}(W)+\left\{\alpha_{i}^{*}, T \alpha_{i}^{*}\right\}\right), \\
& H_{2 k-1}\left(V^{\prime \prime}\right)=H_{2 k-1}(W)+\left\{a_{i}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left\{a_{i}\right\}$ corresponds to $\left\{T \alpha_{i}\right\}, i=1, \cdots, 8$, and $H_{2 k-1}(A)$ consists of the generators corresponding to those of $H_{2 k-1}(W)$ and the generators $\left\{b_{i}\right\}$ corresponding to $\left\{T \alpha_{i}^{*}\right\}$. If $x \in H_{2 k-1}(W)$, the vertical map is, $x \mapsto(x,-x)$ and for $\left\{\alpha_{i}^{*}, T \alpha_{i}^{*}\right\}, \alpha_{i}^{*} \mapsto\left(q_{i j} a_{j}, 0\right)$ and $T \alpha_{i}^{*} \mapsto\left(p_{i j} a_{j},-b_{i}\right)$. We see by [20] that det $Q$ $=1$. Hence, the vertical map maps the elements $\left\{\alpha_{i}^{*}, T \alpha_{i}^{*}\right\}$ onto $\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}$ isomorphically. Thus, $H_{2 k-1}\left(V^{\prime \prime} \bigcup_{W^{\prime}} A\right)$ consists of the generators corresponding to $(x, 0)$ for $x \in H_{2 k-1}(W)$. Therefore $H_{2 k-1}(W) \rightarrow H_{2 k-1}\left(V^{\prime \prime} \bigcup_{W^{\prime}} A\right)$ is an isomorphism. Since $W^{\prime \prime}$ is obtained from $W^{\prime}$ by performing surgery on the $\left\{\alpha_{i}^{*}\right\}$ and $H_{2 k-1}\left(W^{\prime \prime}\right)$ consists of generators corresponding to those of $H_{2 k-1}(W)$, the similar argument holds for $W^{\prime \prime}$. Hence $V^{\prime \prime} \cup A$ is an $h$-cobordism.

Now, by (*) we can attach a copy of $V$ to $V_{W^{\prime}}^{\bigcup} A$ along $W^{\prime \prime}$ so that $\left(V^{\prime} \bigcup_{W^{\prime}} A\right) \bigcup_{W^{\prime \prime}} V$ bounds an $h$-cobordism $B$ of $V \times I$ (see Figure 3). Put $B^{\prime}=$ $X \bigcup_{V^{\prime}} B$ along $V^{\prime}$. We apply the López's construction. Let $B^{*}$ be another copy of $B^{\prime}$, we can obtain a parallelizable manifold $M^{\prime}$ with a free involu-


Fig. 3.
tion $T, M^{\prime}=B^{\prime} \cup B^{\prime *}$, sewed equivariantly on $(T, Y)$. Then, the boundaries of $M^{\prime}$ are the given $\left(T_{m}, \Sigma_{m}\right)$ and a López's involution $(T, \Sigma) . \quad M / T$ is a cobordism between $\Sigma_{m} / T$ and $\Sigma / T$.

We first show (3). The normal map

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(G \mid V^{\prime}\right) \bigcup_{f} h: V^{\prime} \bigcup_{W} A \longrightarrow V \text { extends to a normal map } \\
& H^{\prime}:\left(V_{W^{\prime}}^{\cup} A\right) \bigcup_{W^{\prime}} V \longrightarrow \partial(V \times I) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the above remark, there is a normal map $H: B \rightarrow V \times I$. Combining with $G$, we have a normal map

$$
G^{\prime}: B^{\prime}=X \bigcup_{V^{\prime}} B \longrightarrow V
$$

Let $E_{W}$ be the normal disk bundle of $W / T$ in $\Sigma_{m} / T_{m}$ and $E_{Y}$ the normal disk bundle of $Y / T$ in $M / T$. Then, $\partial E_{W}=W, \partial E_{Y}=Y$ and $\Sigma_{m} / T_{m}=E_{W} \cup V$, $M^{\prime} / T=E_{Y} \cup B^{\prime}$. Since $F / T: Y / T \rightarrow W / T$ can be covered by a bundle map between the stable normal bundles, the same is true for $E(F / T): E_{Y} \rightarrow E_{W}$. Hence, $G^{\prime}$ and $E(F / T)$ define a normal map of $M^{\prime} / T$. This proves (3).
$\Sigma_{m}$ bounds $M_{m}$, so if we put $M=M^{\prime} \cup M_{m}$ along $\Sigma_{m}$, then $T$ and $T_{m}$ define an involution $T$ on the parallelizable manifold $M$ which satisfies the property of (1). Finally, if we put $A^{\prime}=A \cup V$, then by the above construction, it follows that $(T, \Sigma)=A^{\prime} \cup T A^{\prime}, A^{\prime} \cap T A^{\prime}=W^{\prime}$ and Ker $i_{A^{\prime}}=\operatorname{Ker} i_{V} \oplus\left\{\alpha_{i}^{*}\right\}$. By (i) and (ii), we have

$$
\sigma(T, \Sigma)=1 / 8\left(B(x, y)+B\left(\alpha_{i}^{*}, \alpha_{j}^{*}\right)\right)=m+1
$$

Since $X \cup T X=\Sigma_{m} \times I$, we notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & =M^{\prime} \cup M_{m}=\left(B^{\prime} \cup B^{*}\right) \cup M_{m} \\
& =B \cup(X \cup T X) \cup M_{m} \cup B^{*} \\
& =B \cup M_{m} \cup B^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the Mayer-Vietoris sequence and noting that $\left(B \cup B^{*}\right) \cap M_{m}=\Sigma_{m}$, we have $\longrightarrow H_{2 k}\left(B \cup B^{*}\right) \stackrel{+}{+} H_{2 k}\left(M_{m}\right) \longrightarrow H_{2 k}(M) \longrightarrow$
$H_{2 k-1}\left(W^{\prime}\right)$
$H_{2 k-1}(B) \stackrel{+}{+} H_{2 k-1}\left(B^{*}\right)$
0.

Since $B \cong V \times I$ and $W^{\prime}=W \underset{Z_{2}}{\#} 4\left(S^{2 k-1} \times S^{2 k-1}\right), \quad H_{2 k}\left(B \cup B^{*}\right)$ consists of the generators $\left\{\bar{a}_{i}, \bar{b}^{i}\right\}$ corresponding to $\left\{\alpha_{i}, T \alpha_{i}\right\}, i=1, \cdots, 8$. Then, by the result of [26], we can show similarly that the index on $H_{2 k}\left(B \cup B^{*}\right)$ is 8 . Hence, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(M) & =\text { Index on } H_{2 k}\left(B \cup B^{*}\right)+\text { Index on } H_{2 k}\left(M_{m}\right) \\
& =8+8 m=8(m+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\sigma(T, \Sigma)=1 / 8 \sigma(M)=m+1$. This proves (2). Repeating the above construction for $(T, \Sigma)$ and $M$, then the result follows.

By Lemma 3.7, we denote the equivalence class of the normal cobordism class by $\left[T_{i}, \Sigma_{i}\right]$ for each representative $\left(T_{i}, \Sigma_{i}\right)$. We have the following table more generally.

Table 2. $k \geqq 2, l \geqq 1$ and $i$ any integer

| Type | $\left(A, S^{4 k-1}\right)$ | $\left(T_{\overline{2 l-1}}^{-}, \sum_{(2 l-1,-)}^{4 k-1}\right)$ | $\left(T_{2 l-1}^{+}, \sum_{(2 l-1,+)}^{4 k-1}\right)$ | $\left(T_{\overline{2 l}}, \Sigma_{(2 l, ~}^{4 k-1}\right)$ | $\left(T_{2 l}^{+}, \Sigma_{(2 l,+)}^{4 k-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| general element | $\left[T_{i}, \Sigma_{i}\right]$ | $\left[T_{i}, \Sigma_{i}\right]$ | $\left[T_{i}, \Sigma_{i}\right]$ | $\left[T_{i}, \Sigma_{i}\right]$ | $\left[T_{i}, \Sigma_{i}\right]$ |
| Browder- <br> Livesay invariant | $i$ | $i$ | $i$ | $i$ | $i$ |
| Normal cobordism class | $\left(P^{4 k-1}, i d\right)$ | $\left(\begin{array}{c} (8(2 l-1)-1)(P, i d) \\ P=P^{4 k-1} \end{array}\right.$ | $(8(2 l-1)+1)(P, i d)$ | $(8(2 l)+1)(P, i d)$ | $(8(2 l)-1)(P, i d)$ |
| Spin invariant $\bmod 2^{2 k}$ | $\pm 1$ | $\pm(8(2 l-1)-1)$ | $\pm(8(2 l-1)+1)$ | $\pm(8(2 l)+1)$ | $\pm(8(2 l)-1)$ |
| Differentiable structure | $i \Sigma_{1}$ | $i \Sigma_{1}$ | $i \Sigma_{1}$ | $i \Sigma_{1}$ | $i \Sigma_{1}$ |

## 3. 8. The $(4 k+1)$-dimensional case

When we construct $(4 k+1)$-dimensional standard involutions by an equivariant plumbing, the resulting involutions are well known "Brieskorn involutions".

Suppose that $d$ is an odd integer. We use the bundles $E_{+}$in Lemma 3.2 to plumb equivariantly so that the resulting manifold $M_{d}^{4 k+2}$ with a $Z_{2}$-action $T$ realizes the plumbing matrix of the rank $(d-1)$,

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}
0 & 1 & & \\
-1 & 0 & \ddots & \\
& \cdot & \\
\Omega & & 0 & 1 \\
0 & & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then, by the result of Hirzebruch it follows that

$$
\left(T \mid \partial M_{d}, \partial M_{d}\right)=\left(T_{d}, \Sigma_{d}^{4 k+1}\right)
$$

We have the following result.
Table 3.

| Type | $\left[T_{d}, \Sigma_{d}^{{ }_{d}^{4 k+1}}\right]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Normal cobordism class | $d\left(P^{4 k+1}, i d\right)$ |
| Browder-Livesay invariant | $\begin{array}{lll} 0 & \text { if } d \equiv \pm 1 & (8) \\ 1 & \text { if } d \equiv \pm 3 & \text { (8) } \end{array}$ |
| Differentiable structure of $\Sigma_{d}^{4 k+1}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} S^{4 k+1} & \text { if } d \equiv \pm 1 & \text { (8) } \\ \sum_{\mathscr{E}}^{4 k+1} & \text { is } d \equiv \pm 3 & \text { (8) } \tag{8} \end{array}$ |

Here $\Sigma_{R_{2}}^{4 k+1}$ is the Kervaire sphere which is the generator of $b P_{4 k+2}(k \geqq 1)$.

## 4. Classification of standard involutions

4.1. Let $\left(T, \Sigma^{2 n-1}\right)$ be a standard involution so that $(T, \Sigma)=\partial\left(T, M^{2 n}\right)$. We require $M^{2 n}$ to be ( $n-1$ )-connected, which will be accomplished in the beginning of this chapter.

Lemma 4.1.1. Assume $n \geqq 4$. Let $T$ be a free involution on a homotopy sphere $\Sigma^{2 n-1}$ and $W^{2 n-2}$ a characteristic submanifold for ( $T, \Sigma$ ). We can perform equivariant surgery to make $W(n-2)$-connected, Then,

$$
\text { if } n=2 k, \quad\left(T, W^{4 k-2}\right)=\left(T, S^{4 k-2}\right) \underset{Z_{2}}{\#} r\left(S^{2 k-1} \times S^{2 k-1}\right)
$$

and

$$
\text { if } n=2 k+1, \quad\left(T, W^{4 k}\right)=\left(T, W_{c}^{4 k}\right) \underset{Z_{2}}{\#} r\left(S^{2 k} \times S^{2 k}\right),
$$

where $W_{c}$ is defined in Proposition 2.1 of chapter II.
Proof. The case for $n=2 k$ has been proved in [20, p. 24], i. e., $W^{4 k-2}$ can be thought of as a characteristic submanifold for another involution
$\left(T^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right)$ with $\boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(T^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right)=0$. We can perform equivariant surgery on $W$ until we obtain a sphere $S^{4 k-2}$. The surgery on $S^{4 k-2}$ to return to $W$ yields the above form. Suppose $n=2 k+1$. Let $W^{4 k}=B \cap T B$ and $B \cup T B=\Sigma$. Then, we have

$$
H_{2 k}\left(W^{4 k}\right)=\operatorname{Ker} i_{B} \oplus T \cdot \operatorname{Ker} i_{B},
$$

where

$$
\operatorname{Ker} i_{B}=\operatorname{Ker}\left\{i_{*}: H_{2 k}(W) \longrightarrow H_{2 k}(B)\right\} .
$$

The bilinear form $B: \operatorname{Ker} i_{B} \times \operatorname{Ker} i_{B} \rightarrow Z$, defined by $B(x, y)=x \cdot T_{*} y$, is skew-symmetric and unimodular. Thus, we have a symplectic basis $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{r}\right.$, $\left.f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}\right\}$ on $\operatorname{Ker} i_{B}$. If $S_{x}^{2 k}$ is an embedded sphere which induces $x$ on $\operatorname{Ker} i_{B} \subset H_{2 k}(W)$, then the quadratic form $\psi_{0}: \operatorname{Ker} i_{B} \rightarrow Z_{2}$ is defined to count, $\bmod 2$, the number of pairs $(p, T p)$ of points in $S_{x}^{2 k} \cap T S_{x}^{2 k}$. Then, $\psi_{0}$ is associated with $B$. If $\psi_{0}(e)=\psi_{0}(f)=0$ for a symplectic basis $\{e, f\}$, then we can perform surgery on $e$ to obtain $W^{\prime}$ so that $W=W_{Z_{2}}^{\prime \#}\left(S^{2 k} \times S^{2 k}\right)$, i. e., $\{e, T e, f, T f\}$ are killed in $H_{2 k}\left(W^{\prime}\right)$. Suppose that $\psi_{0}\left(e_{1}\right)=\psi_{0}\left(f_{1}\right)=\psi_{0}\left(e_{2}\right)=$ $\psi_{0}\left(f_{2}\right)=1$. Choose a new symplectic basis

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{1}^{\prime}=e_{1}+e_{2}, f_{1}^{\prime}=f_{1}, \\
& e_{2}^{\prime}=f_{1}-f_{2}, f_{2}^{\prime}=e_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, $\psi_{0}\left(e_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\psi_{0}\left(e_{2}^{\prime}\right)=0$ and $\psi_{0}\left(f_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\psi_{0}\left(f_{2}^{\prime}\right)=1$. So, we put $\lambda_{i}=e_{i}^{\prime}, \mu_{i}=e_{i}^{\prime}+f_{i}^{\prime}$, $i=1,2$. $\quad\left\{\lambda_{i}, \mu_{i}, i=1,2\right\}$ is again a symplectic basis and $\psi_{0}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)=\psi_{0}\left(\mu_{i}\right)=0$. And thus $\operatorname{Ker} i_{B}$ consists of a symplectic basis $\left\{\lambda_{i}, \mu_{i}, i=1,2\right\} \cup\left\{e_{i}, f_{i}, i=3, \cdots, r\right\}$. As above, we can perform surgery on $\lambda_{i}, i=1,2$. Hence, iterating this process, $W^{4 k}$ can be reduced to $W_{c} \# Z_{2} r\left(S^{2 k} \times S^{2 k}\right)$. We note that if $c=0$, then $W_{0}=S^{4 k}$, and if $c=1, W_{1}$ is the double of plumbing two copies of the tangent disk bundles of $S^{2 k}$ and $H_{2 k}(W)=\{e, f, T e, T f\}$ with $\psi_{0}(e)=\psi_{0}(f)=1$.

Let $T$ be a standard one so that $\left(T, \Sigma^{2 n-1}\right)=\partial\left(T, M^{2 n}\right)$.
Lemma 4.1.2. Assume $n \geqq 4$. By performing equivariant surgeries on $M^{2 n}$ rel. boundary, we can make $M(n-1)$-connected.

Proof. By Lemma 4. 1.1, we have an ( $n-2$ )-connected characteristic submanifold ( $T, W_{1}$ ) of ( $T, \Sigma$ ). Applying the relative transversality theorem, we have a characteristic submanifold ( $T, F_{1}$ ) with boundary $W_{1}$ for $(T, M)$. Write $\Sigma=B \cup T B, B \cap T B=W_{1}, M=A_{1} \cup T A_{1}, A_{1} \cap T A_{1}=F_{1}$. Let $\left(T, F_{2}, W_{2}\right)$ be a characteristic submanifold of $\left(T, F_{1}, W_{1}\right), F_{1}=A_{2} \cup T A_{2}, A_{2} \cap T A_{2}=F_{2}$. We may assume that $F_{2}$ and $W_{2}$ are connected. We note the following facts.
(1) $M$ is obtained from the disjoint union $A_{1} \cup A_{1}^{*}, A_{1}^{*}$ a copy of $A_{1}$, by identifying $x^{*}$ with $T x$ for all $x \in F_{1}$ (we can view $A_{1}^{*}$ as $T A_{1}$ ).
(2) The framing $A_{1}\left(A_{1}^{*}\right)$ comes from the restriction of a framing $M$ under the above identification. The same is true for $\left(T, F_{1}\right)$ and the framing $F_{2}$ comes from $A_{2}$.

We can perform framed 1 -surgery on $A_{2}$ rel. boundary. Let $X_{2}$ be a framed cobordism between $A_{2}$ and a 1-connected manifold $A_{2}^{\prime}$ rel. boundary, i. e., rel. $\left(F_{2} \cup W_{2}\right) \times I$. Define an involution $T$ on $\left(F_{2} \cup W_{2}\right) \times I$ by $T(x, t)=$ $(T x, t)$. Let $X_{2}^{*}$ be a copy of $X_{2}$. Then, we have manifolds with involutions $T, X_{1}\left(F_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ which are obtained from $X_{2} \cup X_{2}^{*}$ by identifying $x^{*}$ with $T x$ for all $x \in F_{2} \times I\left(A_{2}^{\prime} \cup A_{2}^{*}\right.$ by identifying $x^{*}$ with $T x$ for all $\left.x \in F_{2} \times 1\right)$ accordingly. $\left(T, X_{1}\right)$ is a cobordism between $\left(T, F_{1}\right)$ and ( $T, F_{1}^{\prime}$ ). Since $X_{2}$ and $X_{2}^{*}$ are framed and the framing on $F_{2} \times I$ is unaltered, hence, as the above identification on $F_{2} \times 0$ gives the framing $F_{1}$, the identification on $F_{2} \times I$ gives a framing $X_{1}$. Therefore, $\left(T, X_{1}\right)$ is a framed cobordism between $\left(T, F_{1}\right)$ and ( $T, F_{1}^{\prime}$ ) rel. boundary $W_{1} \times I$ (see Figure 4).


Fig. 4.
By the Van Kampen theorem, it follows that $\pi_{1}\left(F_{1}^{\prime}\right)=0$.
Now, $\left(F_{1} \cup B\right)$ bounds $A_{1}$. We consider the manifold $\left(A_{1} \cup X_{1} \cup B \times I\right)$. $F_{1}^{\prime} \cup B \times 1$ bounds it. Perform framed 1 -surgeries on it rel. boundary. Let $X$ be its cobordism. Then, $X$ is viewed as a cobordism between $A_{1}$ and a 1 -connected manifold $A_{1}^{\prime}$ with boundary $F_{1}^{\prime} \cup B \times 1$. Let $X^{*}$ be another copy of $X$ and denote by $(T, V)$ the manifold with an involution, glued on $\left(T, X_{1}\right)$ as above. Then, by the above remark, $(T, V)$ is a framed cobordism between $(T, M)$ and a $\left(T, M^{\prime}\right)$ rel. boundary $\Sigma \times I$, where $M=A_{1}^{\prime} \cup T A_{1}^{\prime}$. It follows from the Van Kampen theorem that $\pi_{1}\left(M^{\prime}\right)=0$.

Let $M=A \cup T A, A \cap T A=F$ and $\Sigma=B \cup T B, B \cap T B=W$. Assume that $W$ is $(n-2)$-connected and $(M, A, F)$ are $(k-1)$-connected, $k \leqq(n-2)$.

We consider the exact sequence

$$
\longrightarrow H_{k}(F) \xrightarrow{i_{*}} H_{k}(A)+H_{k}(T A) \xrightarrow{j_{*}} H_{k}(M) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Choose a set of generators $\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}$ in $H_{k}(M)$. Since $j_{*}$ is onto, we have elements $\left\{a_{i}\right\} \subset H_{k}(A)$ and $\left\{a_{i}^{*}\right\} \subset H_{k}(T A)$ such that $j_{*}\left(a_{i}, a_{i}^{*}\right)=\alpha_{i}$. $\left\{T a_{i}^{*}\right\}$ (resp. $\left\{T a_{i}\right\}$ ) are contained in $H_{k}(A)$ (resp. $\left.H_{k}(T A)\right)$. We choose $\left\{b_{j}\right\}$ which generate $\left\{a_{i}, T a_{i}^{*}\right\}$ in $H_{k}(A)$ so that $\left\{T b_{j}\right\}$ generate $\left\{a_{i}^{*}, T a_{i}\right\}$ in $H_{k}(T A)$.

Given $x \in H_{k}(A)$, by general position, we can find an embedded sphere $S^{k}$ which induces $x$ on homology. By the dimensional reason, we can assume that $S^{k} \cap T S^{k}=\phi$. Hence we can represent $b_{j}$ by a disjoint embedded sphere $S_{j}^{k}$ inside $A$ so that $T S_{j}^{k}$ represents $T b_{j}$. Perform framed surgeries on $\left\{S_{j}^{k}\right\} \subset A$ rel. boundary, we have a framed cobordism $Y$ between $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ such that $\left\{b_{j}\right\}$ vanish in $H_{k}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$. Noting that $Y$ has $F \times I$ as a boundary part, we define an involution $T$ to be $T(x, t)=(T x, t)$ on $F \times I$. Let $Y^{*}$ be a copy of $Y$ and denote by $(T, Z)$ the manifold with an involution, obtained from $Y \cup Y^{*}$ glued on ( $T, F \times I$ ). Then, by the previous remark $(T, Z)$ is a framed cobordism between $(T, M)$ and $(T, M)$ rel. boundary $\Sigma \times I$. It is easily checked that $H_{k}\left(M^{\prime}\right)=0$. And thus, the above sequence becomes

$$
\longrightarrow H_{k+1}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow H_{k}(F) \longrightarrow H_{k}\left(A^{\prime}\right)+H_{k}\left(T A^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \text {. }
$$

Let $\operatorname{Ker} i_{A^{\prime}}=\operatorname{Ker}\left\{i_{*}: H_{k}(F) \rightarrow H_{k}\left(A^{\prime}\right)\right\}$. We note that $\operatorname{Ker} i_{T A^{\prime}}=T \cdot \operatorname{Ker} i_{A^{\prime}}$. Again, by general position and the above remark, we can represent a generator $\alpha \in \operatorname{Ker} i_{A^{\prime}}$ by an embedding $\left(D^{k+1}, S^{k}\right) \rightarrow\left(A^{\prime}, F\right)$ such that $S^{k}$ lies inside $F$ and $S^{k} \cap T S^{k}=\phi$. Denote the tubular neighborhood of $\left(D^{k+1}, S^{k}\right)$ by $N(\alpha)$ which does not meet $T N(\alpha)$. Put $A^{\prime \prime}=\left(A^{\prime}-N(\alpha)\right) \cup T N(\alpha)$. Then, $A^{\prime \prime} \cup T A^{\prime \prime}=M^{\prime}$, $A^{\prime \prime} \cap T A^{\prime \prime}=F^{\prime}$ and $\alpha$ is killed in $\operatorname{Ker} i_{A^{\prime \prime}}$. A finite number of iterations kills the generators of $\operatorname{Ker} i_{A^{\prime}}$. Denote the resulting manifold ( $A_{1}, F_{1}$ ) so that $M=A_{1} \cup T A_{1}, \quad A_{1} \cap T A_{1}=F_{1}, \quad(T, \Sigma)=\partial(T, M)$. It follows that $H_{k}\left(F_{1}\right)=$ $H_{k}\left(A_{1}\right)=0$. Hence by induction we can show that $(M, A, F)$ are $(n-2)$ connected.

We consider the exact sequence


Since $\operatorname{dim} A=2 n$, we can perform framed surgery on $A$ rel. boundary to make $A(n-1)$-connected. By the above remark we have an $(n-1)$-connected manifold with an involution ( $T, M^{\prime}$ ) such that $\partial(T, M)=(T, \Sigma)$. This proves Lemma 4.1.2.
4.2. Under the situation, it follows by the Poincare duality that $H_{n}(M)$ is a $Z\left[Z_{2}\right]$-module with a finite $Z$-basis. The structure of $Z\left[Z_{2}\right]$-modules with a $Z$-basis is determined in [8, §74]. Then it implies that
(*) $\quad H_{n}(M)$ is isomorphic as a $Z\left[Z_{2}\right]$-module to $m Y+n A+l \Lambda$ for some integers $m, n, l$. Here $Y$ is the trivial representation. $A$ is the representation given by $T=-1$, and $\Lambda$ is the regular representation.

TheOrem 4.2.1. Assume $n \geqq 4$. There exists a standard involution $\left(T^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime 2 n-1}\right)$ which is normally cobordant to ( $T, \Sigma^{2 n-1}$ ) and there is an ( $n-1$ )connected $2 n$-dimensional parallelizable manifold $\left(T^{\prime}, M^{\prime 2 n}\right)$ with $\left(T^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)=$ $\partial\left(T^{\prime}, M^{\prime}\right)$ such that $H_{n}(M)$ has no regular representation.

Furthermore, we have the following result.
Corollary 4, 2, 2 , Under the above situation,

$$
\text { if } n=2 k, \quad H_{2 k}\left(M^{\prime}\right)=m T
$$

and

$$
\text { if } n=2 k+1, \quad H_{2 k+1}\left(M^{\prime}\right)=m A \text { for some } m
$$

Proof of Theorem 4.2.1.
From Lemma 4. 1.2 the non-vanishing homology groups are those in the sequences

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \longrightarrow H_{n}(F) \longrightarrow H_{n}(A)+H_{n}(T A) \longrightarrow H_{n}(M) \longrightarrow H_{n-1}(F) \longrightarrow 0  \tag{1}\\
& 0 \longrightarrow H_{n}(F) \longrightarrow H_{n}(F, W) \longrightarrow H_{n-1}(W) \longrightarrow H_{n-1}(F) \longrightarrow \\
& \longrightarrow H_{n-1}(F, W) \longrightarrow 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

First we prove the following for the sequence (2).
We can perform equivariant surgeries on $H_{n-1}(\mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~W})$ to yield the sequence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow H_{n}\left(F^{\prime}, W^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow H_{n-1}\left(W^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow H_{n-1}\left(F^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here all the groups are $Z\left[Z_{2}\right]$-modules with a finite $Z$-basis and the surgeries on $W$ have the effect on trivial framed embedding of $S^{n-2}$ in $W$, i. e., $W^{\prime}=$ $W \#_{Z_{2}}^{\#} r\left(S^{n-1} \times S^{n-1}\right)$ for some $r$.

For this, $H_{n-1}(F, W)$ is a finitely generated $Z\left[Z_{2}\right]$-module. For a generator $a \in H_{n-1}(F, W)$, take a $a^{\prime} \in H_{n-1}(F)$ whose image is $a$. Reperesent $a^{\prime}$ by a framed embedding $S^{n-1} \rightarrow F$. Connecting $S^{n-1}$ by a tube to $W$, we can represent $a$ by a framed embedding $\left(D^{n-1}, S^{n-2}\right) \rightarrow(F, W)$. Since $S^{n-2} \cap T S^{n-2}=$ $\phi$, we have also $\left(D^{n-1} \times D^{n}\right) \cap T\left(D^{n-1} \times D^{n}\right)=\phi$. Hence, $H_{n-1}(F, W)$ is generated by framed disjoint embeddings

$$
\cup_{i}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(D_{i}^{n-1}, S_{i}^{n-2}\right) \longrightarrow(F, W) \\
T\left(D_{i}^{n-1}, S_{i}^{n-2}\right) \longrightarrow(F, W)
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

Let $H$ be the union of the handles $:=\bigcup_{i}\left(\left(D^{n-1} \times D^{n}\right) \cup T\left(D^{n-1} \times D^{n}\right)\right) \subset F^{2 n-1}$ and $\underset{i}{\cup}\left(\left(S^{n-2} \times D^{n}\right) \cup T\left(S^{n-2} \times D^{n}\right)\right) \subset W^{2 n-2}$. Set $F^{\prime}=F-$ int $H$. Then,
(4.2.3) $(F, W)$ is normally cobordant to $\left(F^{\prime}, \partial F^{\prime}\right)$. Note that this cobordism is $F \times I$ and $P$, where $\partial(F \times I)=F \times 0 \cup P \cup F^{\prime}, \partial P=W \cup \partial F^{\prime}$. Consider the exact sequence of the triad $\left(F, H \cup \partial F^{\prime}, W\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \longrightarrow H_{n-1}\left(H \cup \partial F^{\prime}, W\right) \longrightarrow H_{n-1}(F, W) \longrightarrow H_{n-1}\left(F, H \cup \partial F^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \\
& \longrightarrow H_{n-2}\left(H \cup \partial F^{\prime}, W\right) \longrightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $(H, H \cup W)=$ a collection of copies $\left\{\left(D^{n-1} \times D^{n}, S^{n-2} \times D^{n}\right)\right.$ and $\left(T\left(D^{n-1} \times D^{n}\right)\right.$, $\left.T\left(S^{n-2} \times D^{n}\right)\right\}$ and $(H, H \cap W) \rightarrow\left(H \cup \partial F^{\prime}, W\right)$ is an excision. Then, we have $H_{i}\left(H \cup \partial F^{\prime}, W\right)=0$ for $i \neq n-1$. Since $H_{n-1}\left(H \cup \partial F^{\prime}, W\right) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(F, W)$ is onto, it follows that $H_{n-1}\left(F, H \cup \partial F^{\prime}\right)=0$. So by excision, $H_{n-1}\left(F^{\prime}, \partial F^{\prime}\right)=0$. Since $H_{i}(F, W)=0$ for $i \neq n-1, n$, we have $H_{i}\left(F^{\prime}, \partial F^{\prime}\right)=0$ for $i \neq n$. Hence it follows that

$$
0 \longrightarrow H_{n}\left(F^{\prime}, \partial F^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow H_{n-1}\left(\partial F^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow H_{n-1}\left(F^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Put $W^{\prime}=\partial F^{\prime}$, then $W^{\prime}$ is obtained from $W$ by performing surgery on trivial $(n-2)$-spheres equivariantly, i. e., $W^{\prime}=W \not Z_{Z_{2}} r\left(S^{n-1} \times S^{n-1}\right)$ for some $r$. This yields (2)'.

Define an involution $T$ on $F \times I$ to be $T \times 1$, then the above surgery implies that the cobordism ( $T, F \times I, P$ ) between $F$ and $F^{\prime}\left(W\right.$ and $\left.W^{\prime}\right)$ is invariant under $T$. By the previous remarks, there is a $\left(T, M^{\prime}\right)$ with $\partial\left(T, M^{\prime}\right)=$ $(T, \Sigma)$ and $\Sigma=B^{\prime} \cup T B^{\prime}, B^{\prime} \cap T B^{\prime}=W^{\prime}, M^{\prime}=A^{\prime} \cup T A^{\prime}$ and $A^{\prime} \cap T A^{\prime}=F^{\prime}$ (note that $\Sigma$ is unaltered, because $W^{\prime}$ is obtained from $W$ by trivial surgeries). The sequence (1) becomes
(1)

$$
0 \longrightarrow H_{n}\left(A^{\prime}\right)+H_{n}\left(T A^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow H_{n}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow H_{n-1}\left(F^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Under the situations $(1)^{\prime},(2)^{\prime}, H_{n-1}\left(W^{\prime}\right)$ is a free $Z\left[Z_{2}\right]$-module, while suppose that

$$
H_{n}\left(F^{\prime}, W^{\prime}\right)=m Y+n A+l \Lambda
$$

Notice that $H_{n-1}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=m A+n Y+l \Lambda$, i. e., $H_{n}\left(F^{\prime}, W^{\prime}\right)$ and $H_{n-1}\left(F^{\prime}\right)$ are mutually $\Lambda^{-}$-isomorphic. Then we want to surgery on $\left(F^{\prime}, W^{\prime}\right)$ so that $l \Lambda$-summand vanish in $H_{n}\left(F^{\prime}, W^{\prime}\right)$. By $n \geqq 4$, we can represent $l \Lambda$-summand in $H_{n}\left(F^{\prime}, W^{\prime}\right)$ by framed embeddings

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(D^{n}, \partial D^{n}\right) \longrightarrow\left(F^{\prime 2 n-1}, W^{\prime}\right) \\
\left(T D^{n}, \partial T D^{n}\right) \longrightarrow\left(F^{\prime 2 n-1}, W^{\prime}\right)
\end{array}\right\},
$$

so that their boundaries define disjoint embeddings $S^{n-1} \rightarrow W^{\prime}$ and $T S^{n-1} \rightarrow W^{\prime}$ (see [33, p. 41-42]). And then attach corresponding $n$-handles to $F^{\prime}$. If we denote the resulting manifold by $\left(F_{1}^{2 n-1}, W_{1}^{2 n-2}\right)$, then it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \longrightarrow H_{n}\left(F_{1}\right) \longrightarrow H_{n}\left(F_{1}, W_{1}\right) \longrightarrow H_{n-1}\left(W_{1}\right) \longrightarrow H_{n-1}\left(F_{1}\right)  \tag{3}\\
& \longrightarrow H_{n-1}\left(F_{1}, W_{1}\right) \longrightarrow 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Here $H_{n}\left(F_{1}, W_{1}\right)\left(H_{n-1}\left(F_{1}\right)\right)$ are unaltered. $H_{n}\left(F_{1}\right)$ is a free $\Lambda$-module with one base element corresponding to each handle (represented by the core of the dual handle so that $\left.H_{n-1}\left(F_{1}, W_{1}\right)=l \Lambda\right)$. Since the surgery on $W_{1}$ to return to $W^{\prime}$ is made on trivial $(n-2)$-spheres, we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{\prime}=W_{1}^{\#} \underset{Z_{2}}{\#} l\left(S^{n-1} \times S^{n-1}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose a set of generators $\left\{e_{i}, T e_{i}\right\}$ in $H_{n-1}\left(F_{1}\right)$ corresponding to those of $H_{n-1}\left(F_{1}, W_{1}\right)$ and perform surgery on the elements $\left\{e_{i}, T e_{i}\right\}$. Let $F_{2}$ be the resulting manifold. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow H_{n}\left(F_{2}, W_{1}\right) \longrightarrow H_{n-1}\left(W_{1}\right) \longrightarrow H_{n-1}\left(F_{2}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
H_{n-1}\left(F_{2}\right)=m A+n Y
$$

We consider the geometry of $W_{1}$. It follows from Lemma 4.1.1 and (4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (ii) } \quad\left(T, W_{1}^{4 k}\right)=\left(T, W_{c}^{4 k}\right) \underset{Z_{2}}{\#} l_{2}\left(S^{2 k} \times S^{2 k}\right), \quad n=2 k+1 \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

In each case $H_{n-1}\left(W_{1}\right)$ has an obvious basis $\left\{\alpha_{i}, T \alpha_{i}, i=1, \cdots, r\right\}$ such that the matrix $\left(\alpha_{i} \cdot T \alpha_{j}\right)$ is
with respect to $n=2 k$ and $2 k+1$. Hence, performing framed surgery on $\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}$ and attaching disks to the boundary of the trace, we have a ( $T^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}$ ) which is normally cobordant to $(T, \Sigma)$. $(T, \Sigma)$ has a form such that $W_{1}=$ $B_{1} \cap T^{\prime} B_{1}, B_{1} \cup T^{\prime} B_{1}=\Sigma^{\prime}$ and $\alpha_{i} \in \operatorname{Ker} i_{B_{1}}=\operatorname{Ker}\left\{i_{*}: H_{n-1}\left(W_{1}\right) \rightarrow H_{n-1}\left(B_{1}\right)\right\} . \quad$ By the preceding remark there is a $\left(T^{\prime}, M^{\prime}\right)$ with $\partial\left(T^{\prime}, M\right)=\left(T^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right)$ such that $M^{\prime}=A_{1} \cup T^{\prime} A_{1}, A_{1} \cap T^{\prime} A_{1}=F_{2}$. Then the non-vanishing homology groups are

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \longrightarrow H_{n}\left(A_{1}\right)+H_{n}\left(T^{\prime} A_{1}\right) \longrightarrow H_{n}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow H_{n-1}\left(F_{2}\right) \longrightarrow 0  \tag{6}\\
& 0 \longrightarrow H_{n}\left(F_{2}, W_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{n-1}\left(W_{1}\right) \longrightarrow H_{n-1}\left(F_{2}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore,
(*) We can take $A_{1}$ such that the elements corresponding to $H_{n}\left(A_{1}\right)$ (resp. $H_{n}\left(T^{\prime} A_{1}\right)$ ) and $H_{n-1}\left(F_{2}\right)$ do not intersect in $H_{n}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$.

For (*), we can do surgery on $B_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ rel. $W_{1}$ to make them ( $n-1$ )connected since the simply connected surgery obstruction groups are zero. Let $B_{1}^{\prime}$ and $F_{2}^{\prime}$ be the resultings respectively. Adding their traces along $W_{1} \times I$, we have the manifold combined $A_{1}$ with their traces whose boundary is $B_{1}^{\prime} \cup F_{1}$. Then we can do surgery on it rel. boundary $B_{1}^{\prime} \bigcup_{W_{1}}^{\prime} F_{2}^{\prime}$ to obtain an $(n-1)$-connected manifold $A_{1}^{\prime}$. If we consider the manifold $A_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ with boundary $B_{1} \bigcup_{W_{1}} F_{2}$ which is obtained from $A_{1}^{\prime}$ and their traces along $\left(B_{1}^{\prime} \bigcup_{W_{1}} F_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, then the sequence (6) holds for $A_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ and $M^{\prime \prime}$ in place of $A_{1}$ and $M^{\prime}$ respectively. And it is easily checked that the elements corresponding to $H_{n}\left(A_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ (resp. $\left.H_{n}\left(T^{\prime} A_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$ and $H_{n-1}\left(F_{2}\right)$ do not intersect in $H_{n}\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

We consider the exact sequence for the pair $\left(A_{1}, \partial A_{1}\right), A_{1}=B_{1} \cup F_{W_{1}}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \longrightarrow H_{n}\left(\partial A_{1}\right) \longrightarrow H_{n-1}\left(W_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{i_{*}} H_{n-1}\left(B_{1}\right)+H_{n-1}\left(F_{2}\right) \\
& \longrightarrow H_{n-1}\left(\partial A_{1}\right) \longrightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We show that $i_{*}$ is injective. For let $x \in H_{n-1}\left(W_{1}\right)$ and $x=\sum_{j} a_{i j} \alpha_{j}+\sum_{j} b_{i j} T \alpha_{j}$. Suppose that $i_{*}(x)=(0,0)$. Then, $x \in \operatorname{Ker} i_{B_{1}}$, so $x=\sum_{j} a_{i j} \alpha_{j}$ (i. e., all $b_{i j}$ vanish). Since $H_{n-1}\left(B_{1}\right)$ and $H_{n-1}\left(F_{2}\right)$ have no torsion, we may assume that $x$ is indivisible. From (5) and the fact that $H_{n}\left(F_{2}, W_{1}\right)$ and $H_{n-1}\left(F_{2}\right)$ are mutually $\Lambda^{-}$-isomorphic, $H_{n}\left(F_{2}, W_{1}\right)$ consists of a $Z\left[Z_{2}\right]$-basis $\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}$ satisfying $T a_{i}=a_{i}, T b_{i}=-b_{i}$. There is an element $z \in H_{n}\left(F_{2}, W_{1}\right)$ in (7) such that $\partial z=x$. And so if we write $z=\sum_{i} t_{i} a_{i}+\sum_{i} s_{i} b_{i}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
x-T x & =\sum_{j} a_{i j}\left(\alpha_{j}-T \alpha_{j}\right)=\partial z-T \partial z \\
& =\partial(z-T z)=2\left(\partial\left(\sum_{i} s_{i} b_{i}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

And thus, $2 \mid a_{i j}$, i. e., $2 \mid x$. This contradicts that $x$ is indivisible. Hence $x=0$. We have $H_{n}\left(\partial A_{1}\right)=0$. Then, the non-vanishing homology of $\left(A_{1}, \partial A_{1}\right)$ lies in the sequnce

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow H_{n}\left(A_{1}\right) \longrightarrow H_{n}\left(A_{1}, \partial A_{1}\right) \longrightarrow H_{n-1}\left(\partial A_{1}\right) \longrightarrow 0 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose a basis $\left\{c_{i}\right\}$ in $H_{n}\left(A_{1}\right)$ so that $\left\{T^{\prime} c_{i}\right\}$ form a basis of $H_{n}\left(T^{\prime} A_{1}\right)$. We prove that the intersection matrix on $H_{n}\left(A_{1}\right)$ is unimodular, and hence
that $H_{n-1}\left(\partial A_{1}\right)=0$ from (8). For this, let $\left\{\bar{c}_{i}, T^{\prime} \bar{c}_{i}\right\}$ be the images of the map in $H_{n}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ in (6). Since $H_{n}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ is free abelian and by the above argument $(*)$, we can take the basis corresponding to those of $H_{n-1}\left(F_{2}\right)$ of (6) such that they do not meet $\bar{c}_{i}$ and $T^{\prime} \bar{c}_{i}$. Let $\left\{\bar{a}_{i}, \bar{b}_{i}\right\}$ be ones corresponding to those of $H_{n-1}\left(F_{2}\right)=m A+n Y$ from (5). Clearly, $\left\{\bar{c}_{i}, T^{\prime} \bar{c}_{i}, \bar{a}_{i}, \bar{b}_{i}\right\}$ form a $Z$-basis of $H_{n}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$. By the choice of our basis, the intersection matrix on $H_{n}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ has the following form
$\left[\begin{array}{c|c|c|c}\left(\bar{c}_{i} \cdot \bar{c}_{j}\right) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \left(T \bar{c}_{i} \cdot T \bar{c}_{j}\right) & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \left(\bar{a}_{i} \cdot \bar{a}_{j}\right) & \left(\bar{a}_{i} \cdot \bar{b}_{j}\right) \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \left(\bar{b}_{i} \cdot \bar{a}_{j}\right) & \left(\bar{b}_{i} \cdot \bar{b}_{j}\right)\end{array}\right]$.

Since the intersection matrix on $H_{n}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ is unimodular, so $\operatorname{det}\left(\bar{c}_{i} \cdot \bar{c}_{j}\right)= \pm 1$. By the following commutativity and $i_{*}\left(c_{i}\right)=\bar{c}_{i}$,

it follows that $\operatorname{det}\left(c_{i} \cdot c_{j}\right)= \pm 1$. We have $H_{n-1}\left(\partial A_{1}\right)=0$ and hence that $\partial A_{1}$ is a homotopy sphere.

Let $U$ be the tubular neighborhood of the union of the basis $\left\{c_{i}\right\}$ in $A_{1}$. Noting that $U$ is viewed as a plumbing manifold, and adding a trace of 1 -surgeries to the boundary, we have a manifold $M_{1} \subset$ int $A_{1}$. Then $A_{1}$ splits as $M_{1} \cup L$, where $L$ is an $h$-cobordism between $\partial M_{1}$ and $\partial A_{1}$. Connecting $M_{1}$ to $B_{1} \subset \partial A_{1}$ by a tube (equivariantly, $T M_{1}$ to $T B_{1} \subset \partial T A_{1}$ ), we have $A_{1}=M_{2} \cup L_{1}$ as a splitting (see Figure 5). Then, put $M_{2}=L_{1} \cup T L_{1}$ along $\left(T, F_{2}\right)$. Since $\partial\left(T, M_{2}\right)=\left(T, \Sigma_{1}\right)$ is a homotopy sphere (in fact, $\Sigma_{1}=$ $\left.\Sigma^{\prime} \#-2 \partial A_{1}\right), \Sigma_{1} / T$ is normally cobordant to $\Sigma^{\prime} / T^{\prime \prime}$. Consequently, it follows from the Mayer Vietoris sequence of the triad ( $M_{2}, L_{1} \cup T L_{1}, F_{2}$ ) that

$$
0 \longrightarrow H_{n}\left(M_{2}\right) \longrightarrow H_{n-1}\left(F_{2}\right) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

i. e., $H_{n}\left(M_{2}\right)=m Y+n A$. This proves the theorem 4.2.1.


Fig. 5.
Proof of Corollary 4.2.2.
Suppose that $\partial(T, M)=(T, \Sigma)$ and $H_{n}(M)=m Y+n A$. Let $\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}$ be a $Z\left[Z_{2}\right]$-basis of $H_{n}(M)$, i. e., $T a_{i}=a_{i}, T b_{i}=-b_{i}$. Then, $a_{i} \cdot b_{j}=T a_{i} \cdot b_{j}=$ $a_{i} \cdot T b_{j}=-a_{i} \cdot b_{j}$, so $a_{i} \cdot b_{j}=0$. The intersection matrix on $H_{n}(M)$ is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
\left(a_{i} \cdot a_{j}\right) & 0 \\
\hline 0 & \left(b_{i} \cdot b_{j}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

Hence $\operatorname{det}\left(a_{i} \cdot a_{j}\right)= \pm 1$ and $\operatorname{det}\left(b_{i} \cdot b_{j}\right)= \pm 1$. Let $n=2 k$, then we will show that $H_{2 k}\left(M^{4 k}\right)=m Y$, i. e., consists of $\left\{a_{i}\right\}$. Represent $b_{i}$ by an embedded sphere $S_{i}^{2 k}$. Since $b_{1} \cdot b_{j}=T b_{1} \cdot T b_{j}$, if $S_{1} \cap S_{j} \ni x$, then $T x \in T S_{1} \cap T S_{j}$. And so the intersection number $b_{1} \cdot b_{j}$ corresponds to such $\{(x, T x)\}_{x \in S_{1}}$. Assume $x=T x$ for some $x$ in $\{(x, T x)\}_{x \in S_{1}} . \quad S_{1} \cap T S_{1}$ consists of pairs $(y, T y)$. Since $b_{1} \cdot T b_{1}=-b_{1} \cdot b_{1}=$ even and $x=T x, S_{1} \cap T S_{1}$ must contain some $y$ such that $T y=y$. Thus two fixed points $x, y$ in $M$ lie in $S_{1}$. Then, we have an invariant sphere $S_{1}^{\prime}$ which induces $b_{1}$ on homology. This follows from the following reason. Let $N(x)$ (resp. $N(y)$ ) be the tubular neighborhood of $x$ (resp. $y$ ) in $M$ which is diffeomorphic to ( $A, D^{4 k}$ ). Choose equivariant embeddings

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(A, D_{+}^{2 k}\right) \longrightarrow(T, M), \\
& \left(A, D_{-}^{2 k}\right) \longrightarrow(T, M)
\end{aligned}
$$

which are homologous to $N(x) \cap S_{1}$ and $N(y) \cap S_{1}$ respectively. Then the
obstruction to extending the equivariant maps $\partial D_{+}^{2 k} \cup \partial D_{-}^{2 k} \rightarrow M$ to an equivariant map $\left(A^{\prime}, S^{2 k}\right) \rightarrow(T, M)$ lies in $H^{2}\left(\left(S^{2 k}-\left\{D_{+}^{2 k} \cup D_{-}^{2 k}\right\}\right) / A^{\prime},\left\{\partial D_{+}^{2 k}, \partial D_{-}^{2 k}\right\} / A^{\prime}\right.$; $\pi_{1}((M-$ Fix $\left.T) / T)\right) \cong H^{2}\left(P^{2 k-1} \times I, P^{2 k-1} \times \dot{I} ; Z_{2}\right)=Z_{2}$. Since $T$ is an involution, we see that the obstruction is zero (cf. Lemma 4.3.1). By general position, we have an embedded sphere $f:\left(A^{\prime}, S^{2 k}\right) \rightarrow(T, M)$. This invariant sphere is homologous to $b_{1}$ by construction. But we have $T b_{1}=T f_{*}\left[S^{2 k}\right]=f_{*} A^{\prime}{ }_{*}\left[S^{2 k}\right]=$ $f_{*}\left[S^{2 k}\right]=b_{1}$. This yields a contradicition. Hence $x \neq T x$. Therefore the intersection number $b_{1} \cdot b_{j}$ which consists of pairs $\{(x, T x)\}_{x \in S_{1}}$ is even for all $j$. It must be $2 \mid \operatorname{det}\left(b_{i} \cdot b_{j}\right)$. By the preceding remarks, it does not occur. Hence we conclude that $H_{2 k}(M)=m Y$. When $n=2 k+1$, the simular argument shows that $H_{2 k+1}(M)=m A$.

## 4. 3. Now we will classify standard involutions.

Let $\left(T, \Sigma^{2 n-1}\right)$ be a standard one. Suppose that $\partial\left(T, M^{2 n}\right)=(T, \Sigma) . \quad$ By Theorem 4.2.1 and Corollary 4.2.2, we can assume that $M$ is $(n-1)$-connected and moreover,
(i) $H_{2 k}\left(M^{4 k}\right)=m Y$,
(ii) $\quad H_{2 k-1}\left(M^{4 k+2}\right)=m A$.

Applying the Smith theory to $M^{2 n}$, it follows that $\chi(\operatorname{Fix}(T, M))=m+1$. Fix $(T, M)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{m+1}\left\{q_{i}\right\}$. The following result is a special case of [34, §2] but the smooth version of which holds only in this case.

Lemma 4.3.1. Suppose that $n=2 k$ and $k \geqq 2$. Let $S^{2 k}$ be the unit sphere in $R^{2 k+1}$ with the $Z_{2}$-action, $A^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{2 k}, y\right)=\left(-x_{1},-x_{2}, \cdots,-x_{2 k}, y\right)$. Then, there exists an equivariant embedding of $\left(A^{\prime}, S^{2 k}\right)$ into $(T, M)$. Moreover, $H_{2 k}(M, Z)$ has a basis consisting of classes represented by invariant embedded spheres.

Sketch of proof. This has been proved by several stages. Let $D^{4 k}$ be the unit disk in $R^{4 k}$ with the $Z_{2}$-action, $A\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{4 k}\right)=\left(-x_{1}, \cdots,-x_{4 k}\right)$. Each fixed point has the neighborhood $N$ which is equivariantly diffeomorphic to $\left(A, D^{4 k}\right)$. We can take an equivariant embedding of $\left(A, D^{2 k}\right)$ into the neighborhood $N$ of each fixed point. Fix any two fixed points $\left\{q, q^{\prime}\right\} \subset F$. And put $N^{\prime}=\left(A, D_{+}^{2 k}\right) \cup\left(A, D_{-}^{2 k}\right)$, the first factor representing the embedding into $N(q)$ and the second representing the embedding into $N\left(q^{\prime}\right)$. Put $U=S^{2 k}-N^{\prime}$. We would like to extend the embedding of $\partial U / A$ to a map of $U / A$ into $M-F / T$. Since $\pi_{i}((M-F) / T)=0$ for $1<i<2 k$, there is an obstruction in $H^{2}\left(U / A, \partial U / A ; Z_{2}\right)=Z_{2}$. It is easily seen that the obstruction is zero (see Lemma 2.3 [34]). Hence we have an equivariant map of ( $A^{\prime}, S^{2 k}$ )
into $(T, M)$. By general position, we can make this map to an equivariant immersion. This immersion has no singularities other than in the free part of the action, therefore we can make this immersion equivariantly into an embedding.

Let $F=\left\{\begin{array}{|c|c|}\substack{\cup 1} \\ i=1 \\ q_{i}\end{array}\right\}$. Let $S_{i, j}^{2 k}$ be an invariant embedded sphere constructed as above which contains $q_{i}$ and $q_{j}$. Then, it follows by [Theorem 2.4, [34]] that $\left[S_{i, j}^{2 k}\right]_{2} \in H_{2 k}\left(M, Z_{2}\right)$ is well defined and $\left[S_{i, j}^{2 k}\right] \in H_{2 k}(M, Z)$ may be varied by any element of Kernel $\left\{H_{2 k}(M, Z) \rightarrow H_{2 k}\left(M, Z_{2}\right)\right\}$. That is, if $x \in H_{2 k}(M)$ and $2 \mid x$, then we obtain an invariant embedded sphere representing the homology class $\left[S_{i, j}^{2 k}\right]+x$.

Let $S_{i}^{2 k}=S_{i, 1}^{2 k}, i=2, \cdots, m+1 . \quad S_{1}^{2 k} / T$ is the suspension of $P_{1}^{2 k-1}$, i. e., $c\left(P_{1}^{2 k-1}\right) \cup \bar{c}\left(P_{1}^{2 k-1}\right)$. Then, we have the following commutative diagram.

$$
H_{2 k}\left(\bigcup_{i=2}^{m+1} S_{i}^{2 k} / T\right)
$$

Here $P_{i}=P_{i}^{2 k-1}$.
Hence, $i_{*}$ is congruent $\bmod 2$ to the identity matrix: $Z^{m} \rightarrow Z^{m}$. But then, by using the fact that the map $S L_{m}(Z) \rightarrow S L_{m}\left(Z_{n}\right)$ for any integers $m$ and $n$, induced by reduction $\bmod n$, is onto and the above remark of changing the invariant spheres by arbitrary 2 -divisible elements of $H_{2 k}(M)$, we can make $i_{*}$ into an isomorphism. Therefore, lifting to $M, H_{2 k}(M)$ has a basis cosisting of invariant spheres.

Corollary 4.3.2. Suppose that $n=2 k+1$ and $k \geqq 2$. Let $S^{2 k+1}$ be the
unit sphere in $R^{2 k+2}$ with the $Z_{2}$-action, $A^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 k+1}, y\right)=\left(-x_{1}, \cdots,-x_{2 k+1}, y\right)$. Then, there exists an embedding of $\left(A^{\prime}, S^{2 k+1}\right)$ into ( $\left.T, M^{4 k+2}\right)$. $H_{2 k+1}(M, Z)$ has a basis cosisting of classes represented by invariant embedded spheres.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 4.2.1, we have the following exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow H_{2 k+1}\left(M^{4 k+2}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{2 k}\left(F^{4 k+1}\right) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $F^{4 k+1}=A \cap T A, A \cup T A=M . F$ is $(2 k-1)$-connected. We notice that if $H_{2 k+1}(M)=m A$, then $H_{2 k}(F)=m Y$. We apply Lemma 4.3.1 to $F$. Then, $H_{2 k}(F)$ has a basis consisting of invariant embedded spheres. Let $f:\left(A^{\prime}, S^{2 k}\right) \rightarrow$ $(T, F)$ be an embedding. $f$ extends to an embedding $\bar{f}: D^{2 k+1} \rightarrow A$ from the above sequence. Then, there is an embedding $g:\left(A^{\prime}, S^{2 k+1}\right) \rightarrow\left(T, M^{4 k+2}\right)$ glued on $\left(A^{\prime}, S^{2 k}\right)$. Since $\partial g_{*}\left[S^{2 k+1}\right]=f_{*}\left[S^{2 k}\right]$ and $\partial$ is an isomorphism, $H_{2 k+1}(M, Z)$ consists of a basis represented by invariant embedded spheres.

### 4.4. We will state our classification theorems.

Theorem 4.4.1. Let $T$ be a free involution on a homotopy sphere $\Sigma^{4 k-1} \in b P_{4 k}(k \geqq 2)$. Suppose that $T$ extends to an involution with isolated fixed points on a ( $2 k-1$ )-connected $4 k$-dimensional parallelizable manifold $M^{4 k}$ and such that the induced action is trivial on the homology $H_{2 k}(M)$. Then, ( $T, \Sigma^{4 k-1}$ ) is equivariantly diffeomorphic to the unique representative element of the table 2 .

Remark 4.4.2. The effect on the spin invariant. It will be shown that the spin invariant for $\left(T, \Sigma^{4 k-1}\right)$ must have the formula $a\left(T, \Sigma^{4 k-1}\right)= \pm(8 m \pm 1)$ $\bmod 2^{2 k}$. If $\sigma\left(T, \Sigma^{4 k-1}\right)=h$, then choosing $\left(T_{h}, \Sigma_{h}^{4 k-1}\right)$ within the classes of the form $\pm(8 m \pm 1)$ in the table 2 , it is proved that $(T, \Sigma) \cong\left(T_{h}, \Sigma_{h}\right)$.

We have the main theorem for the classification of standard involutions.
Theorem 4.4.3. Let $T$ be a standard involution on a homotopy sphere $\Sigma^{4 k-1} \in b P_{4 k}(k \geqq 2)$. Then, $(T, \Sigma)$ is equivariantly diffeomorphic to the equivariant connected sum of the definite element $\Sigma^{\prime} \in b P_{4 k}$ with the unique representative $\left(T_{h}, \Sigma_{h}\right)$ in the table 2, i.e., the quotient $\Sigma / T \cong \Sigma_{h} / T_{n} \# \Sigma^{\prime}$.

The proof of (4.4.1) is carried out by the following steps.
Step 1. Geometry of $M$.
Step 2. Normal cobordism class of (T, $\Sigma$ ).
Step 3. Determination of $(T, \Sigma)$.

### 4.5. Step 1.

4.5.1. Suppose that $H_{2 k}(M)=m Y$, where $Y$ is the trivial representation and $m$ is a non-negative integer.

Let $\Phi: H_{2 k}(M) \times H_{2 k}(M) \rightarrow Z$ be the intersection form. It follows by the Poincare duality that $\Phi$ is unimodular, even, symmetric and $Z_{2}$-invariant. We have a basis consisting of invariant embedded spheres $\left\{a_{i}, i=1, \cdots, m\right\}$ from Lemma 4.3.1. Let $U$ be an invariant tubular neighborhood of the union of the spheres $\left\{a_{i}\right\} . U$ is the sum of components, $U=U_{1} \cup \cdots \cup U_{h}$. Then $\Phi$ is written as a sum of blocks $\left(\Phi \mid U_{i}\right)$, i. e.,

$$
\Phi \cong\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c}
\Phi \mid U_{\mathbf{1}} & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & \cdot & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & \Phi \mid U_{h}
\end{array}\right)
$$

We notice that if one forgets the action, then each $U_{i}$ is viewed as a plumbing manifold and $\Phi \mid U_{i}$ as its plumbing matrix. In our case, it may be impossible, because not only two spheres but several spheres meet in one point. That is, suppose that two spheres meet at a point and let us assume that another sphere meets at that point. If it is a free point of the action, then by general position, we can make it into a double point. We can do this equivariantly when intersection points are free. While, an intersection point is a fixed point of the action, we cannot make it into a double point equivariantly. So, it may be possible for several spheres to meet at the fixed point. However in this case, it has the same homotopy type as a join of spheres. Thus, in each case it follows similarly to the plumbing theory that for each $j$,
(1) (i) $\pi_{1}\left(\partial U_{j}\right) \cong \pi_{1}\left(U_{j}\right)$ is free.
(ii) $\quad H_{i}\left(\partial U_{j}\right)=H_{i}\left(U_{j}\right)=0,1<i<2 k-1 \quad$ and $H_{2 k-1}\left(U_{j}\right)=0$.

Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{l}=M-\bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \operatorname{int} U_{i}(1 \leqq l \leqq h) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $T$ acts freely on $X_{h}$.
Lemma (3). $X_{h}$ is a trace of "equivariant 1 -surgeries". For any generator $\alpha \in \pi_{1}\left(\partial U_{j}\right)$ it follows that $T \alpha \neq \alpha$ for each $j$.

Proof of (3). We may prove that the generators of $\pi_{1}\left(\partial U_{j}\right)$ are killed equivariantly in $X_{h}$. The generators of $\pi_{1}\left(\partial U_{j}\right)$ correspond to the components of the intersections for the union which gives $\partial U_{j}$. If $\alpha$ is a generator of $\pi_{1}\left(\partial U_{j}\right)$, we represent $\alpha$ by an embedded sphere $S^{1}$ in $\partial U_{j}$. Then it is sufficient to prove that
(*) $\quad S^{1}$ and $T S^{1}$ do not belong to same component of intersections.
Suppose that $S^{1}$ and $T S^{1}$ belong to the same component. Since a generator arises in the components of intersections, so $S^{1}$ and $T S^{1}$ induce the same generator such that $\alpha=T \alpha$ in $\pi_{1}\left(\partial U_{j}\right)$. On the other hand, we have $X_{1} \cup U_{1}=M$ by (2). It follows by the Van Kampen theorem and (i) that $\pi_{1}\left(X_{1}\right)=0$. We can show inductively that $\pi_{1}\left(X_{l}\right)=0$ for $1 \leqq l \leqq h$. From the Mayer-Vietoris sequence of the triad $\left(M, X_{1}, U_{1}\right)$ and (ii), it is easily seen that $H_{i}\left(X_{1}\right)=0$ for $0<i<2 k$, and inductively $H_{i}\left(X_{l}\right)=0$ for $0<i<2 k, 1 \leqq l \leqq h$. Hence $X_{h}$ is a trace of 1 -surgeries (see [4, p. 119]). We notice that the surgery on $\partial U_{j}$ does not affect any homology groups other than the first homology group. Now, $S^{1}$ is killed in $X_{h}$, so $S^{1}$ bounds a 2 -disk $D^{2} \subset X_{h}$. Assume that $T S^{1} \neq S^{1}$ (i. e., $S^{1}$ is not an invariant sphere). Since $X_{h}$ is invariant under $T$, $T S^{1}$ must bound $T D^{2} \subset X_{h}$. Put

$$
W=\partial U_{j} \times I \cup\left(D^{2} \times F^{4 k-2}\right) \cup T\left(D^{2} \times D^{4 k-2}\right) \subset X_{h}
$$

which is a trace of $S^{1}$ and $T S^{1}$. Noting the above remark, $H_{2}(W)$ is a summand in $H_{2}\left(X_{h}\right)$, so that $H_{2}(W)=0$. $W$ has the homotopy type of $\left(\partial U_{j} \cup D^{2} \cup T D^{2}\right)$. By the hypothesis of $\alpha=T \alpha,\left(\partial U_{j} \cup D^{2} \cup T D^{2}\right)$ has the homotopy type of $\partial U_{j} \cup S^{2}$. Hence, $H_{2}(W)=H_{2}\left(\partial U_{j} \cup S^{2}\right) \cong Z$. This yields a contradiction. While $S^{1}$ is an invariant sphere, i. e., $T S^{1}=S^{1}$, then $S^{1}$ bounds an invariant disk $D^{2} \subset X_{h}$. But $T$ acts freely on $X_{h}$, hence this case does not occur. Therefore, $(*)$ is proved.

It follows from $(*)$ and (1) that $\pi_{1}\left(\partial U_{j}\right)$ is a free $Z[T]$-module for each $j$. Then, performing "equivariant 1 -surgeries" on $\partial U_{j}$ for each $j$, we obtain $X_{h}$. This proves (3).

## 4. 5.2. We investigate the geometry of $\boldsymbol{U}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$.

Put $U=U_{j}$ for convenience. Suppose that two invariant spheres $a_{1}, a_{2}$ are contained in $U$. If $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ meet only at free points of the action, then they meet transversely at even points. So, there exists actually an odd number of generators in $\pi_{1}(\partial U)$. Within them, we can find a generator $\alpha$ such that $T \alpha=\alpha$. But by (3) it does not occur. If they meet at the two fixed points on each, we have also a generator $\alpha$ such that $T \alpha=\alpha$. Then, by the same reason there exists no such $\alpha$. Hence, if $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ meet, then they meet transversely at only one fixed point on each. Once they meet at the fixed point, they may meet at free points of the action on each. If we assume further, that $a_{3} \in U$ and $a_{2}$ meets $a_{3}$, then only two cases occur : the first is that $a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$ meet at the used fixed point of $a_{2}$, and the second is that they meet at the unused fixed point of $a_{2}$. $a_{1}$ and $a_{3}$ do not meet at the unused fixed point on each, otherwise we can find
a generator $\alpha$ such that $T \alpha=\alpha$, so it contradicts (3). $a_{1}, a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$ may meet at free points of the action on each (see Figure 6, for example $m=4$ ).
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Fig. 6.
When we continue in this way around the fixed points of the action on these embedded spheres, if $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{i} \in U$ and $a_{i+1}$ does not meet the preceding $a_{j}$ 's, then $a_{i+1} \notin U$. Because in this care if $a_{i+1} \in U, a_{i+1}$ meets some $a_{j}$ only at free points of the action. So it does not occur as above by the same reason. Thus we can start with $a_{i+1}$ in a different component. Eventually we arrive at $h$-components, consisting of $U_{1}$ containing $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right.$, $\left.\cdots, a_{i_{1}}\right\}, U_{2}$ containing $\left\{a_{i_{1}+1}, \cdots, a_{i_{2}}\right\}, \cdots$, and $U_{h}$ containing $\left\{a_{i_{h-1}+1}, \cdots, a_{i_{h}}\right\}$ so that $a_{i_{h}}=a_{m}$.

On the other hand, it follows that $\operatorname{Fix}\left(T, U_{1}\right)=i_{1}+1, \operatorname{Fix}\left(T, u_{2}\right)=\left(i_{2}-\right.$ $\left.i_{1}+1\right), \cdots, \operatorname{Fix}\left(T, U_{h}\right)=\left(m-i_{h-1}+1\right)$. Since $\cup_{i}^{h} \operatorname{Fix}\left(T, U_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Fix}(T, M)$, it follows that $m+1=m+h$, i. e., $h=1$. Hence, ${ }^{i=1}=U_{1} \cup \cdots \cup U_{h}=U_{1}$ is the equiv-
ariant connected tubular neighborhood containing all $a_{i}^{\prime}$ s, $i=1, \cdots, m$ in $M$.

### 4.6. Step 2.

4.6.1. Let $(T, U) \subset(T, M)$ be the one as above. It follows by (3) that $M-\operatorname{int} U=X$ is a trace of "equivariant 1 -surgeries". Denote by $\left(T_{a_{i}}, E_{a_{i}}\right)$ the equivariant tubular neighborhood of the invariant sphere $a_{i}$ in $U$ for each $i$. Then, by the equivariant tubular neighborhood theorem, $\left(T_{a_{i}}, E_{a_{i}}\right)$ has, up to (equivariant) isotopy, the following form,

$$
\left(T_{a_{i}}, E_{a_{i}}\right)=D^{2 k} \times D^{2 k} \bigcup_{b_{f a_{i}}}^{\cup} D^{2 k} \times D^{2 k}
$$

Here the action on $D^{2 k} \times D^{2 k}$ is the diagonal action $A \times A$ and $b_{f a_{i}}: S^{2 k-1} \times$ $D^{2 k} \rightarrow S^{2 k-1} \times D^{2 k}$ is an equivariant map defined by $b_{f a_{i}}(x, y)=\left(x, f_{a_{i}}(\pi(x))(y)\right)$, where $f_{a_{i}}: P^{2 k-1} \rightarrow S O(2 k)$ is a map and $\pi: S^{2 k-1} \rightarrow P^{2 k-1}$ is the projection.
4.6.2. We then wish to identify these bundles $\left(T_{a_{i}}, E_{a_{i}}\right)$ with the bundles with the $Z_{2}$-actions introduced in chapter III. First to do so, we write the bundles in Lemma 3.2. of chapter III by the above form.

We shall recall the characteristic map on the tangent bundle of $S^{n}$. Let $u_{n}$ be the map of $S^{n-1}$ into $S O(n)$ defined by

$$
u_{n}(x)=\left(\delta_{i j}-2 x_{i} x_{j}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
I_{n-1} \\
\\
-1
\end{array}\right),
$$

$x=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \in S^{n-1}$. Then, $u_{n} \in \pi_{n-1}(S O(n))$ represents the tangent bundle $\tau_{S^{n}}$ over $S^{n} . u_{n}$ is invariant under the action, i. e., $u_{n}(A x)=u_{n}(x)$, where $A\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=\left(-x_{1}, \cdots,-x_{n}\right)$. So, $u_{n}$ factors through the projection $\pi: S^{n-1}$ $\rightarrow P^{n-1}$,


Define a map $v_{n}^{h}: P^{n-1} \rightarrow S O(n)$ for each $h$ by setting $v_{n}^{h}([x])(y)=\left(v_{n}([x])\right)^{h}(y)$ for $x \in S^{n-1}$ and $y \in D^{n}$. We have a stably trivial bundle over $S^{n}$ with a $Z_{2}$-action $T_{h}$,

$$
N_{v_{n}^{h}}=D^{n} \times D^{n} \underset{b_{v}^{h}}{\cup} D^{n} \times D^{n},
$$

where $b_{v_{n}^{h}}: S^{n-1} \times D^{n} \rightarrow S^{n-1} \times D^{n}, \quad b_{v_{n}^{h}}(x, y)=\left(x, v_{n}^{h}([x]) y\right)$ and the action on $D^{n} \times D^{n}$ is the diagonal action $A \times A$.

If one forgets the action, then it is known (for example, see [23], see also § 4.1 of chapter IV) that
(i) $n=$ even, $N_{v_{n}^{h}}$ is a stably trivial bundle over $S^{n}$ with the euler class $2 h$.
(ii) $n=$ odd, and $n \neq 3,7, N_{v_{n}^{h}}$ is isomorphic to the tangent disk bundle $E\left(\tau_{S^{n}}\right)$ if $h$ is odd and to the trivial bundle of $S^{n}$ if $h$ is even.

By construction of $E$ in chapter III, the bundles $N_{v_{n}^{h}}$ with $Z_{2}$-actions are same as $E$ with $Z_{2}$-actions. From now on, we identify ( $T, E$ ) with ( $\left.T_{h}, N_{v_{n}^{h}}\right)$ to our need.
4.6.3. We note the following to determine such $\left(T_{a_{i}}, E_{a_{i}}\right)$. Since $M$ is parallelizable, $E_{a_{i}}$ 's are stably trivial bundles. So,
(i) if one forgets the action, then $E_{a_{i}}$ is classified by its euler class. When $E_{a_{i}}$ and $N_{v_{2 k}^{h}}$ have the same euler class, the difference between them lies in the effect on the action around the two fixed points on each.
(ii) Then, the spin invariants ([1], [2]) make a contribution to distinguish them.

We quote the results of [6], [10].
Lemma 4.6.4. ([10]). $\quad\left[P^{n-2}, S O(n)\right]=K O^{-1}\left(P^{n-2}\right)$

$$
=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Z_{2} n-2 \not \equiv-1(4) \\
Z_{2}+Z n-2 \equiv-1(4)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Consider the cofibration, $S^{n-2} \xrightarrow{\pi} P^{n-2} \xrightarrow{i} P^{n-1}$ and the exact sequence for $S O(n)$,
(4. 6. 5) $\quad \pi_{n-1}(S O(n)) \xrightarrow{c^{*}}\left[P^{n-1}, S O(n)\right] \xrightarrow{i^{*}}\left[P^{n-2}, S O(n)\right] \xrightarrow{\pi^{*}} \pi_{n-2}(S O(n))$, where $c: P^{n-1} \rightarrow S^{n-1}$ is the collapsing map.

Lemma 4.6.6. ([6, Lemma (5.4)]). (1) If we represent a generator of $Z_{2}$-summand in $\left[P^{n-2}, S O(n)\right]$ by $\beta$, then for the map $v_{n}: P^{n-1} \rightarrow S O(n)$ it follows that $i^{*}\left[v_{n}\right]=\beta$. In particular, $i^{*}\left[v_{n}^{h}\right]=\beta^{h}$, i.e., $\beta^{h}=\beta$ (hodd) and $\beta^{h}=1$ ( $h$ even).
(2) If $n-2 \equiv-1(4)$, Z-summand comes from the image $c^{*}$ of $\pi_{n-2}(S O(n)), c: P^{n-2} \rightarrow S^{n-2}$. Hence $\operatorname{Im} i^{*}=\langle\beta\rangle=Z_{2} \subset\left[P^{n-2}, S O(n)\right]$.

For the last assertion, when $f \in \operatorname{Im} i^{*}$ and if $f$ lies in the $Z$-summand so that $f=C^{*}(g)$ for some $g: S^{n-2} \rightarrow S O(n)$. Since $n-2 \equiv-1(4), c \pi: S^{n-2} \rightarrow$ $S^{n-2}$ is of degree 2 and $\pi_{n-2}(S O(n))=Z$, we have by (4.6.5) that $\pi^{*}(f)=$ $\pi^{*} c^{*}(g)=2 g=0$, so $g=0$. Hence, $f=0$.
4.6.7. We now identify the bundles $\left(T_{a_{i}}, E_{a_{i}}\right)$ with ( $\left.T_{h}, N_{v_{2 k}^{h}}\right)$. In (4.6.1) it follows by the equivariant tubular neighborhood theory that

$$
\left(T_{a_{i}}, E_{a_{i}}\right)=N_{f_{a_{i}}}=D^{2 k} \times D_{b_{f_{i}}}^{2 k} \cup D^{2 k} \times D^{2 k},
$$

where $f_{a_{i}} \in\left[P^{2 k-1}, S O(2 k)\right]$.
We have by (4.6.5) and (4.6.6) that $i^{*}\left(f_{a_{i}}\right)=\beta^{t}=i^{*}\left(v_{2 k}^{t}\right)$ for $t=0$ or 1. So, $f_{a_{i}}$ differs from $v_{2 k}^{t}$ by a map $c^{*}(g) \in\left[P^{2 k-1}, S O(2 k)\right]$ for some $g \in$ $\pi_{2 k-1}(S O(2 k))$. Hence it follows as $Z_{2}$-bundles that $N_{f_{a_{i}}} \cong N_{c^{*}(g) \cdot v_{2 k}^{t}}$. Since $N_{f_{a_{i}}}$ is stably trivial, $S\left(f_{a_{i}} \cdot \pi\right)=0$ in the following exact sequence of the fibration $S O(2 k) \rightarrow S O(2 k+1) \rightarrow S^{2 k}, \quad \pi_{2 k}\left(S^{2 k}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_{2 k-1}(S O(2 k)) \xrightarrow{S} \pi_{2 k-1}(S O(2 k+1)) \rightarrow$, and hence that $S\left(c^{*}(g) \cdot \pi\right)=0$. Noting that $c \pi$ is of degree 2, then $S(2 g)=0$. Thus we have $S(g)=0$ if $2 k \not \equiv 2(8)$. In this case $g$ is generated by $u_{2 k}^{m}$ for some $m$ by the above exact sequence. Therefore it follows from the diagram below that $c^{*}(g)=c^{*}\left(u_{2 k}^{m}\right)=v_{2 k}^{2 m}$,


And hence if $2 k \not \equiv 2(8)$, we conclude that $N_{f_{a_{i}}} \cong N_{v_{2 k}^{2 m+t}}$ for $t=0$ or 1 and some $m$. On the other hand, assume $2 k \equiv 2(8)$. Then $\pi_{2 k-1}(S O(2 k))=Z+Z_{2}$ and $\pi_{2 k-1}(S O(2 k+1))=Z_{2}$. We can write $N_{f_{a_{i}}} \cong N_{c^{*}(g) \cdot v_{2 k}^{2 m+t}}(t=0$ or 1$)$, where $g$ is the generator of the $Z_{2}$-summand in $\pi_{2 k-1}(S O(2 k))$. Since $c^{*}(g) \cdot \pi=2 g=0$, $N_{c^{*}(g)}$ is a trivial bundle with a $Z_{2}$-action. $N_{f_{a_{i}}}$ is isomorphic as bundles to $N_{v_{2 k}^{2 m+t}}$. In order to regard $N_{f_{a_{i}}}$ as $N_{v_{2 k}^{2 m+t}}$ with $Z_{2}$-actions, we must show that the effect on the action by the map $c^{*}(g)$ which makes $N_{v_{2 k}^{2 m+t}}$ into $N_{c^{*}(g) \cdot v_{2 k}^{2 m+t}}$ is unaltered. By note (ii) of (4.6.3), it is sufficient to prove that the spin invariant
$(4.6 .8) \quad a\left(T, N_{c^{*}(g)}\right)=0$, i. e.,
(4.6.9) $a\left(T, N_{c^{*}(g) \cdot v 2 k}^{2 m+t}\right)=a\left(T, N_{v_{2 k}^{2 m}+t}\right)$.

Proof of (4.6.8). $c$ is the collapsing map, so we have a map $h$,

which is the trivial map of $P^{2 k-2}$ into $S O(2 k-1)$. Then, there is an equivariant embedding

$$
\alpha:\left(T, N_{h}^{4 k-2}\right) \subset\left(T, N_{c^{*}(g)}^{4 k}\right)
$$

which has the trivial normal bundle. Choosing a specific field of normal

2 -frames, we have an embedding of the spaces of oriented orthonomal $4 k(4 k-2)$-frames,

$$
F(\alpha): F N_{h}^{4 k-2} \subset F N_{c^{*}}^{4 k}(g) .
$$

Then the embedding induces an isomorphism of $Z_{2}$ into itself

$$
F(\alpha)_{*}: \pi_{1}\left(F N_{h}^{4 k-2}\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{1}\left(F N_{c^{4}(q)}^{4 k}\right) .
$$

Since $h$ is the trivial map, it follows that

$$
\left(T, N_{h}^{4 k-2}\right) \cong\left(A^{\prime} \times A, D^{2 k-1} \times D^{2 k-1}\right) .
$$

Thus, we have $a\left(T, N_{h}^{4 k-2}\right)=0$, i. e., the two fixed points have the different sign. It follows from the proposition 8.44 [1] that the certain loop $c$ joining the two fixed points is non-trivial in $\pi_{1}\left(F N_{h}^{4 k-2}\right)=Z_{2}$. The above isomorphism $F(\alpha)_{*}$ maps the loop $c$ to the corresponding loop in $F N_{c^{c}(g)}^{4 k}$. So, $c \neq 0$ in $\pi_{1}\left(F N_{c^{*}(g)}^{4 k}\right)$. Again by proposition 8.44 [1] it follows that $a\left(T, N_{c^{*}(q)}^{4 k}\right)=0$. Thus,
(4.6.10) In each case we can identify as $Z_{2}$-bundles ( $T_{a_{i}}, E_{a_{i}}$ ) with ( $T_{h}, N_{v_{2 k}^{h}}$ ) for some $h$. Here we notice that ( $\left.T_{h}, N_{v_{2 k}^{h}}\right)$ is the bundle with the $Z_{2}$-action ( $T, E$ ) introduced in chapter III (see (4.6.2)).
4.6.11. We turn to the situation (4.6.1). When we identify the bundle ( $T_{a_{i}}, E_{a_{i}}$ ) with the bundle ( $T, E$ ) of chapter III by the above remark, we are able to apply the corresponding Lemma 3.3, 4.5 of chapter III to $\left(T_{a_{i}}, E_{a_{i}}\right)$. Let $(T, \Sigma)=\partial(T, M)$ and $(T, U),(T, M)$ be as above. Then we have

Lemma 4.6.12. There exists a normal cobordism $F: V \rightarrow P^{4 k-1}$ between $\partial U / T$ and $\left\{m_{1}\left(P^{4 k-1}, i d\right) \cup m_{2}\left(P^{4 k-1}, c \times 1\right)\right\}$ for some $m_{1}, m_{2}$ such that $m_{1}+m_{2}=$ $m+1$, where $V=(U-\operatorname{int} N((m+1) p t s)) / T$ so that $U=\tilde{V} \cup N((m+1) p t s))$, and $c \times 1$ is defined in Lemma 3.3 of chapter III.

Proof. We have already determined the structure of $U$ in step 1 . So, according to that of $U$ and the preceding remarks, the proof goes by ad-hoc argument just as in Lemma 3. 4 of chapter III. We use the same notation of (3.4). $a_{1}$ meets $a_{2}$ at a fixed point on each, i. e., $E_{a_{1}}$ is equivariantly plumbed with $E_{a_{2}}$ at the fixed point. Denote the resulting manifold $M^{\prime}$ when $E_{a_{1}}$ and $E_{a_{2}}$ are plumbed. Then, the resulting cobordism

$$
V^{\prime}=M^{\prime}-\operatorname{int}\left\{N_{1} \cup N_{2} \cup N_{2}^{\prime}\right\} / T
$$

defines a normal cobordism. Here the first $N_{1}, N_{2}$ are in $E_{a_{1}}$ and the last $N_{2}^{\prime}$ in $E_{a_{2}}$, and $N_{1}^{\prime}$ in $E_{a_{2}}$ is identified with $N_{2}$ in $E_{a_{1}}$. Assume that $a_{3}$ meets $a_{2}$ at a fixed point. There are two possibilities: they meet at the

Examples. (I), (II), (III) are examples of standard involutions which are all diffeomorphic to ( $A, S^{4 k-1}$ ).

all $a_{i}$ 's are trivial bundles plumbing matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$


$a_{1}, a_{3}, a_{4}$ trivial $a_{2}$ tangent bundle $\tau_{S^{2}} k$ intersection matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$



Fig. 7.
unused fixed point of $a_{2}$, i. e., around $N_{2}^{\prime}$. They meet at the used fixed point of $a_{2}$, i. e., $N_{1}^{\prime}\left(=N_{2}\right)$.

In the first case, the same argument of Lemma 3.4 shows that the resulting cobordism (which is plumbed further with $E_{a_{3}}$ ) defines a normal cobordism. In the second case, take $\left(D^{2 k} \times D^{2 k}\right.$ - int $\left.N_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right) / T$ from $E_{a_{3}}-\operatorname{int}\left(N_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cup N_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right) / T$. And then, the identified space by $h^{\prime}$ between $E_{a_{1}}$ and $E_{a_{2}}$, i. e., $D^{2 k} \times D^{2 k}-\operatorname{int} N_{2} / T\left(=D^{2 k} \times D^{2 k}-\operatorname{int} N_{1}^{\prime} / T\right)$ is again identified with the above ( $D^{2 k} \times D^{2 k}$-int $\left.N_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right) / T$ by the map which is modified (up to isotopy) from $h^{\prime}$ compatibly. The compatibility (1) of Lemma 3.4 holds around these spaces. Thus the resulting cobordism defines a normal cobordism. The above argument holds when $a_{4}$ meets $a_{3}$ at the used or unused point of $a_{3}$. Hence when we continue in this way about the fixed points for all $a_{i}$ 's, the resulting cobordism $V^{\prime}$ defines a normal cobordism (see Figure 7). Next, if $a_{i}$ 's meet at free points, then we can apply Lemma 3.5 to $V^{\prime}$ so that the resulting $V$ also defines a normal cobordism. By construction of $U$ and Lemma 3.5, the cover $(\tilde{V} \cup N(m+1) p t s))$ is just the equivariant neighborhood $U$. Therefore, as in Lemma 3.5, $V$ is a normal cobordism between $\partial U / T$ and $\left\{\left(m_{1}\right)\left(P^{4 k-1}, i d\right) \cup\left(m_{2}\right)\left(P^{4 k-1}, c \times 1\right), m_{1}+m_{2}=m+1\right\}$.

Lemma 4.6.13. $\Sigma^{4 k-1} / T$ is normally cobordant to $(8 l \pm 1)\left(P^{4 k-1}, i d\right)$ for some l.

Proof. Let $F: V \rightarrow P^{4 k-1}$ be a normal cobordism between $\partial U / T$ and $\left\{m_{1}\left(P^{4 k-1}, i d\right) \cup m_{2}\left(P^{4 k-1}, c \times 1\right)\right\}$ as in Lemma 4.6. 12. Put $F \mid \partial U / T=f^{\prime}: \partial U / T$ $\rightarrow P^{4 k-1}$. Clearly, $\pi_{1}\left(f^{\prime}\right)=0$. By the preceding argument of (4.6.1), equivariant 1 -surgeries on $\partial U$ inside $(T, M) \supset(T, U)$ is equivalent to perform normal surgery on $\pi_{2}\left(f^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Ker}\left\{f_{*}^{\prime}: \pi_{1}(\partial U / T) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(P^{4 k-1}\right)\right\}$. Hence,
(4.6.14) There is a trace $W$ and a normal map $H: W \rightarrow P^{4 k-1}$ between $\left(\partial U / T, f^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(Q^{4 k-1}, f\right)$ such that $f$ is 2 -connected (note that in the cover, we can do this inside ( $M-\operatorname{int} U)$ ).

Since $\Phi \mid U$ is unimodular and by the plumbing theory, it follows that $H_{i}(\widetilde{Q})=0$ for $i \neq 4 k-1$. Hence $Q$ is a homotopy projective space. If we put $U^{\prime}=U \cup \widetilde{W}$ along $\partial U$, then $\left(M-\operatorname{int} U^{\prime}\right) / T$ is an $h$-cobordism between $Q$ and $\Sigma / T(=\partial M / T)$. Therefore, $\Sigma / T$ is diffeomorphic to $Q$. The normal map $H$ and (4.6.12) show that $\Sigma / T$ is normally cobordant to $\left\{m_{1}\left(P^{4 k-1}, i d\right) \cup\right.$ $\left.m_{2}\left(P^{4 k-1}, c \times 1\right)\right\}$. For convenience, put this $P^{4 k-1}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$. Since $m_{1}+m_{2}=$ $m+1=$ odd ( $m$ is the rank of the unimodular, even, symmetric matrix), we may assume $m_{1}>m_{2}$. Then, $P^{4 k-1}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$ is normally cobordant to $\left(m_{1}-m_{2}\right)$ ( $P^{4 k-1}, i d$ ), because $c \times 1$ is the orientation reversing diffeomorphism. Hence we have
(4.6.15) $\quad \Sigma / T$ is normally cobordant to $\left(m_{1}-m_{2}\right)\left(P^{4 k-1}, i d\right)$.

On the other hand, it follows by Proposition 2.22 of chapter II that $\Sigma / T$ is normally cobordant to $S^{4 k-1} / T^{\prime}$ which has a sequence of desuspensions until 5 dimension with $\sigma\left(T^{\prime}, S^{5}\right)=0$. Then, $S^{5} / T^{\prime}$ is normally cobordant to $\left(m_{1}-m_{2}\right)\left(P^{5}, i d\right)$ from (4.6.15). When we recall Lemma 2.17 that the Brieskorn involutions $\left(T^{d}, \Sigma_{d}^{5}\right)$ generate $h S\left(P^{5}\right)$ and table 3 in $(3,8)$ that $\Sigma_{d}^{5} / T_{d}$ is normally cobordant to $d\left(P^{5}, i d\right)$, we conclude that $S^{5} / T^{\prime}$ is diffeomorphic to $\Sigma_{d}^{5} / T_{d}$ for $d=m_{1}-m_{2}$. Hence, as is well known (see Lemma 2.17), $\sigma\left(T_{d}, \Sigma_{d}^{5} / T_{d}\right)=\sigma\left(T^{\prime}, S^{5}\right)=0$ if and only if $d=m_{1}-m_{2}= \pm 1 \bmod 8$. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.6.13.

### 4.7. Step 3.

4.7.1. We give a proof of Theorem 4.4.1. We shall recall in chapter I that the Browder-Livesay invariant and the Atiyah-Singer invariant agree for free involutions, i. e.,

$$
\sigma\left(T, \Sigma^{4 k-1}\right)=1 / 8(\operatorname{Sinh}(T, M)-L(\text { Fix } T \cdot \operatorname{Fix} T))
$$

$M$ has isolated fixed points and the action is trivial on homology. It follows that
(4.7.2) $\quad \sigma\left(T, \Sigma^{4 k-1}\right)=1 / 8 \sigma(M)$.

It follows from Lemma 4.6.13 that $\sum^{4 k-1} / T$ is normally cobordant to

$$
(8 l \pm 1)\left(P^{4 k-1}, i d\right)
$$

for some $l$. Then we note that the spin invariant

$$
(4.7 .3) \quad a\left(T, \Sigma^{4 k-1}\right)= \pm(8 l \pm 1) \bmod 2^{2 k}
$$

We can take $(M-N(m+1) p t s)) / T$ as a normal cobordism between them (see Figure 8).


Fig. 8.

Put $X=M-N((m+1) p t s) / T$. Then the index of $\tilde{X}$ is same as that of $M$. Suppose that $\sigma(T, \Sigma)=h$. Then we can find the unique representative $\left(T_{h}, \Sigma_{h}\right)$ out of the class of ( $8 l \pm 1$ ) in table 2. Furthermore, it follows from (2) and (3) of Lemma 3.7 in chapter III that
(4.7.4) $\quad \Sigma_{h}$ bounds $M_{h}$ with $\sigma\left(T_{h}, \Sigma_{h}\right)=1 / 8 \sigma(M)=h$, and

$$
M_{h}-N((8 l \pm 1) p t s) / T_{h}
$$

is a normal cobordism between $\Sigma_{h} / T_{h}$ and $(8 l \pm 1)\left(P^{4 k-1}, i d\right)$. Put $Y=$ $M_{h}-N((8 l \pm 1) p t s) / T_{n}$. If we set $Z=X \cup-Y$ along $(8 l \pm 1)\left(P^{4 k-1}, i d\right)$, then $Z$ is a normal cobordism between $\Sigma / T$ and $\Sigma_{h} / T_{h}$. The surgery obstruction for $Z$ to making it homotopy equivalent to $P^{4 k-1} \times I$ lies in $L_{4 k}\left(Z_{2}\right)(=Z+Z)$, i. e., (4.7.5) $\quad \theta(Z)=(\sigma(Z) / 8, \sigma(\tilde{Z}) / 8) \in L_{4 k}\left(Z_{2}\right)$.

Since $\sigma\left(T_{h}, \Sigma_{h}\right)-\sigma(T, \Sigma)=(2 \sigma(Z)-\sigma(\tilde{Z})) / 8$

$$
=0 \text { by the assumption, }
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(\tilde{Z})=\sigma(\tilde{X})-\sigma(\tilde{Y}) & =\sigma(M)-\sigma\left(M_{n}\right) \\
& =0 \quad \text { by (4.7.2) and (4.7.4), }
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\sigma(Z)=\sigma(\tilde{Z})=0 .
$$

Hence the surgery obstruction $\theta(Z)=0$. Therefore, there is an $h$-cobordism between $\Sigma / T$ and $\Sigma_{h} / T_{h}$ and hence $\Sigma / T$ is diffeomorphic to $\Sigma_{h} / T_{h}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.4.1.

## 4. 8. Proof of Theorem 4.4.3.

It follows from Theorem 4.2 .1 that $\Sigma / T$ is normally cobordant to some $\Sigma^{\prime} / T^{\prime}$ such that $\partial\left(T^{\prime}, M^{\prime}\right)=\left(T^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right)$ and $H_{2 k}(M)=m Y$ for some $m$. We can apply Theorem 4.4.1 to $\Sigma^{\prime} / T^{\prime}$ so that $\Sigma^{\prime} / T^{\prime}$ is diffeomorphic to the unique representative $\left(T_{n}^{\prime}, \Sigma_{h}^{\prime}\right)$ in table 2. When we assume that $\sigma(T, \Sigma)=h$, we can choose $\left(T_{h}, \Sigma_{h}\right)$ with $\sigma\left(T_{h}, \Sigma_{h}\right)=h$ within the class [ $T_{h}^{\prime}, \Sigma_{h}^{\prime}$ ]. Thus $\Sigma / T$ is normally cobordant to $\Sigma_{h} / T_{h}$ such that they have same Browder-Livesay invariant. Then, considering the surgery obstruction of the cobordism between $\Sigma / T$ and $\Sigma_{h} / T_{h}$, we conclude that $\Sigma / T$ is diffeomorphic to $\Sigma_{h} / T_{h} \# \Sigma^{\prime}$ for some $\Sigma^{\prime} \in b P_{4 k}$. Since $\Sigma_{h}=h \Sigma_{1}$ and by taking 2 -fold cover so that $\Sigma \cong$ $\Sigma_{n} \# 2 \Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}$ is uniquely determined with respect to the differentiable structure of $\Sigma$.

Note. The effect to taking $\Sigma^{\prime}$ is to arrange the differentiable structure for a choice of the representative $\Sigma_{h}$.

### 4.9. Similar results for $(\mathbf{4} \boldsymbol{k}+1)$-dimensional case.

When we consider $(4 k+1)$-dimensional standard involutions, we have the similar results to $(4 k-1)$-dimensional case. The corresponding results also hold under the assurance of Theorem 4.4.1 and Corollary 4.2.2, Corollary 4. 3. 2.

Given $\left(T, \Sigma^{4 k+1}\right), \Sigma / T$ is normally cobordant to $\Sigma^{\prime} / T^{\prime}$ such that $\partial\left(T^{\prime}, M^{\prime}\right)$ $=\left(T^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right)$ and $H_{2 k+1}\left(M^{\prime}\right)=m A$. Then we can show that $\Sigma^{\prime} / T^{\prime}$ is normally coborant to $\left(m_{1}-m_{2}\right)\left(P^{4 k+1}, i d\right)$, where $m_{1}+m_{2}=m+1=$ odd (skew-symmetric matrices have even ranks). Assume $m_{1}>m_{2}$ and put $d=m_{1}-m_{2}$. Then, $d$ is odd. Since Brieskorn involutions $\sum_{d}^{4 k+1} / T_{d}$ is normally cobordant to $d\left(P^{4 k+1}, i d\right), \Sigma / T$ is normally cobordant to $\Sigma_{d}^{4 k+1} / T_{d}$. And hence, $\Sigma / T$ is diffeomorphic to $\Sigma_{d}^{4 k+1} / T_{d} \# \sum_{k}^{4 k+1}$. Summarizing up, we get

Theorem 4.9.1. Let $T$ be a free involution on a homotopy sphere $\sum^{4 k+1} \in b P_{4 k+2}(k \geqq 1)$. Suppose that $T$ extends to an involution with isolated fixed points on a $(4 k+2)$-dimensional parallelizable manifold $M^{4 k+2}$. Then, $(T, \Sigma)$ is equivariantly diffeomorphic to the equivariant connected sum of some $\Sigma^{\prime} \in b P_{4 k+2}$ with the unique representative ( $T_{d}, \Sigma_{d}^{4 k+1}$ ) in table 3, i.e., the quotient

$$
\Sigma^{4 k+1} / T \cong \Sigma_{d}^{4 k+1} / T_{d} \# \Sigma^{\prime} .
$$

Remark 4.9.2. (1) The Kervaire sphere $\Sigma_{\mathscr{K}}$ generates $b P_{4 k+2}$. It is unknown whether $\Sigma_{\mathscr{K}}$ acts freely on $P^{4 k+1}$, provided that $\Sigma_{\mathscr{K}} \neq S^{4 k+1}$. From this point of view, it is unknown to be allowable to avoid the ambiguity $\Sigma^{\prime} \in b P_{4 k+2}$.
(2) The above argument cannot apply to the 5 -dimensional case, but the result is true using the result on $h S\left(P^{5}\right)$.

## 5. Characterization on low dimensional free involutions

5.1. We relate the models in table 1 with the classical well known examples due to Bredon [3]. We recall again [13] which is introduced in the previous section. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{n}: S^{n-1} \longrightarrow S O(n) \quad \text { be the map defined by } \\
& u_{n}(x)=\left(\delta_{i j}-2 x_{i} x_{j}\right)\binom{I_{n-1}}{-1}, \text { where }
\end{aligned}
$$

$x=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \in S^{n-1}$ and $I_{n-1}$ is the identity matrix with rank $n-1 . \quad u_{n}$ is the characteristic map of the tangent bundle $\tau_{S^{2}}$ with the structure group $S O(n)$. Put $u_{n}^{k}(x)=u_{n}(x)^{k}$, the $k^{t h}$-power of $u_{n},: S^{n-1} \rightarrow S O(n)$ for $x \in S^{n-1}$. We denote
the bundle of $S^{n}$ induced from $u_{n}^{k}$ by $k \tau_{s^{n}}$. It is known that
(1) If $n$ is even, $k \tau_{s^{n}}$ is a stably trivial bundle whose euler class is $2 k$.
(2) If $n$ is odd and $n \neq 3,7, k \tau_{S^{n}}$ is isomorphic to $\tau_{S^{n}}$ when $k$ is odd, or to the trivial bundle when $k$ is even.
(3) If $n=3,7, k \tau_{s^{n}}$ is isomorphic to the trivial bundle.

Since $S O(n) \subset O(n)$, we can view $O(n)$ as the structure group of $\tau_{s^{n}}$. When we set $\alpha_{n}: S^{n-1} \rightarrow O(n)$ by $\alpha_{n}(x)=\left(\delta_{i j}-2 x_{i} x_{j}\right)$, the inclusion $i: S O(n) \rightarrow$ $O(n)$ induces an isomorphism $i_{*}: \pi_{n-1}(S O(n)) \rightarrow \pi_{n-1}(O(n))$ whose image of $u_{n}$ is $\alpha_{n}$. We note that both $u_{n}$ and $\alpha_{n}$ are are invariant with respect to the action $A$ on $S^{n-1}, A\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=\left(-x_{1}, \cdots,-x_{n}\right)$. Moreover, we have the commutative diagram of characteristic maps,


Here $i: S^{n-2} \rightarrow S^{n-1}, i\left(x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=\left(0, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ and $j: S O(n-1) \rightarrow S O(n)$, $j(H)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & \\ & H\end{array}\right)$.
5.2. We summarize here the main ideas and results of [3] to our necessity.

If $\theta_{x}$ is the refrection through the line $R x$, i. e., $\theta_{x}(y)=2(x, y) x-y$, $x, y \in S^{n-1}$, then $x \rightarrow \theta_{x}$ defines a smooth map

$$
\theta: S^{n-1} \longrightarrow O(n) .
$$

(5.2.1) It follows easily that $-\theta=\alpha_{n}: S^{n-1} \rightarrow O(n)$ and $\theta^{2}=1$ (i. e., $\theta_{x}^{2}(y)$ $=y$ ).

Note. The fact that $-\theta=\alpha_{n}$ implies that $(-1)^{n} \operatorname{det} \theta_{x}=\operatorname{det} \alpha_{n}(x)$ for each $x \in S^{n-1}$. Since $\operatorname{det} \alpha_{n}(x)=-1$, so $\operatorname{det} \theta_{x}=1$ ( $n$ odd), $\operatorname{det} \theta_{x}=-1$ ( $n$ even). $\theta: S^{n-1} \rightarrow O(n)$ reduces to a map into $S O(n)$ if $n$ is odd.

Consider the maps
$\Psi_{r}: S^{n-1} \times S^{n-1} \rightarrow S^{n-1} \times S^{n-1}$ defined by $\Psi_{r}(x, y)=\left(\left(\theta_{x} \theta_{y}\right)^{r} x,\left(\theta_{x} \theta_{y}\right)^{r} y\right)$.
$\Psi_{r}$ is equivariant with respect to the diagonal action of $O(n)$. It is easily checked that $\Psi_{-r}=\left(\Psi_{r}\right)^{-1}$, so that $\Psi_{r}$ is an equivariant diffeomorphism for each $r$. Attaching $D^{n} \times S^{n-1}$ to $S^{n-1} \times D^{n}$ by means of $\Psi_{r}$, we have smooth $O(n)$-manifolds.

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{r}^{2 n-1}=\left(D^{n} \times S^{n-1}\right) \underset{\Psi_{r}}{\bigcup}\left(S^{n-1} \times D^{n}\right) \tag{5.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(5.2.3) $M_{r}^{2 n-1}$ is equivariantly diffeomorphic to $M_{-r-1}^{2 n-1}$ for negative values.

Let $O(n)$ act, as a subgroup of $O(n+1)$, on the unit tangent disk bundle of $S^{n}$ via the differential.

Let $J_{r}$ be the result of equivariant plumbing of $2 r$-copies of the unit tangent disk bundles of $S^{n}$ at the fixed points. Then, Hirzebruch has shown that
(5.2.4) $M_{r}^{2 n-1}$ is equivariantly diffeomorphic to $\partial J_{r}$. When we concentrate on the boundary of $J_{r}$, this follows from the fact (5.2.1).

Let $\sum_{d}^{4 k+1}$ be the Brieskorn sphere in $C^{2 k+2}$ given by two equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{0}^{d}+z_{1}^{2}+\cdots+z_{2 k+1}^{2}=0 \\
& z_{0} \bar{z}_{0}+\cdots+z_{2 k+1} \bar{z}_{2 k+1}=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $O(2 k+1)$ act on $C^{2 k+2}$ by the natural complex representation on $\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{2 k+1}\right)$. Then, it is clear that $\sum_{d}^{4 k+1}$ is an invariant submanifold. By the classification of [13], we obtain
(5.2.5) $M_{r}^{4 k+1}$ is equivariantly diffeomorphic to $\sum_{2 r+1}^{4 k+1}$.

Hereafter, we shall consider the manifolds $M_{r}^{2 n-1}$ with involutions.
5.3. Let $Z_{2}$ be the subgroup of order 2 generated by $-I_{n} \in O(n)$. Denote by $T$ the action of $Z_{2}$ in $O(n)$-manifold $M_{r}^{2 n-1}$ and by $T_{d}$ the action of $Z_{2}$ in $\sum_{d}^{4 k+1}$ which is the Brieskorn involution. We obtain from (5.2.5) that
(5.3.1) $\left(T, M_{r}^{; k+1}\right) \cong\left(T_{2 r+1}, \Sigma_{2 r+1}^{4 k+1}\right.$ ). (" $\cong "$ stands for equivariantly diffeomorphic).

Suppose $n \geqq 3$. The action of $T$ on $D^{n} \times S^{n-1}$ is the diagonal antipodal map $A \times A$. There are invariant submanifolds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(T, D^{n} \times S^{n-1}\right) \subset\left(T, D^{n+1} \times S^{n}\right) \\
& \left(T, S^{n-1} \times D^{n}\right) \subset\left(T, S^{n} \times D^{n+1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The normal bundles of these inclusions are $D^{n} \times S^{n-1} \times D^{2}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.S^{n-1} \times D^{n} \times D^{2}\right)$ and the action on the fiber $D^{2}$ is the antipodal map $A$. We have equivariant embeddings
(5.3.2) $\quad\left(T,\left(D^{n} \times S^{n-1} \cup \Psi_{r} S^{n-1} \times D^{n}\right)\right) \subset\left(T,\left(D^{n+1} \times S_{\Psi_{r}} \cup S^{n} \times D^{n+1}\right)\right.$. It induces an embedding of quotient spaces
(5.3.3) $M_{r}^{2 n-1} / T \subset M_{r}^{2 n-1} / T$, the normal bundle of the embedding being $M_{r}^{2 n-1} \times D_{Z_{2}}^{2}$.

Lemma 5.3.4. Suppose $n \geqq 3$. There is a chain of codimension 2characteristic submanifolds of ( $T, M_{r}^{2 n-1}$ ) for each $r$. That is, there exists a normal map $f_{2 n-1}: M_{r}^{2 n-1} / T \rightarrow P^{2 n-1}$ which is transverse on $P^{2 n-3} \subset P^{2 n-1}$,
$M_{r}^{2 n-3} / T=f_{2 n-1}^{-1}\left(P^{2 n-3}\right)$, and $f_{2 n-1} \mid\left(M_{r}^{2 n-3} / T\right)=f_{2 n-3}$, such as $f_{4 k+1}$ is a homotopy equivalence, and so on.

Remark. This has been proved more generally, which holds for codimension 1 -characteristic submanifolds with help of the equations which describe the Brieskorn sphere $\Sigma_{d}^{4 k+1}$.

Sketch of Proof. Since $\left(T, M_{r}^{5}\right)=\left(T_{2 r+1}, \sum_{2 r+1}^{5}\right)$ by (5.3.1), there is a homotopy equivalence $f_{5}: M_{r}^{5} / T \rightarrow P^{5}$. By (5.3.3), $f_{5}$ is covered by a bundle map $b_{5}: E(\nu) \rightarrow E(\eta \oplus \eta)$, where $\eta$ is the canonical line bundle over $P^{5}$. put $b_{5}^{\prime}=b_{5} \mid \partial E(\nu): \partial E(\nu) \rightarrow E(\eta \oplus \eta)=S_{Z_{2}}^{\times} S^{1}$. The obstruction to extending $b_{5}^{\prime}$ to a $\operatorname{map} M_{r}^{7} / T-E(\nu) \rightarrow p^{7}-E(\eta \oplus \eta) \cong S^{1}$ is $\theta\left(b_{5}^{\prime}\right) \in H^{2}\left(M_{r}^{7} / T-E(\nu), \partial E(\nu) ; \pi_{1}\left(S^{1}\right)\right) \stackrel{\text { exc. }}{\cong}$ $H^{2}\left(M_{r}^{7} / T, M_{r}^{5} / T ; Z\right)$. So, we prove in the following exact sequence that $i^{*}$ is an isomorphism for $i=1,2$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(*) \quad H^{i}\left(M_{n}^{2 n+1} / T, M_{r}^{2 n-1} / T\right) \longrightarrow H^{i}\left(M_{r}^{2 n+1} / T\right) \xrightarrow{i^{*}} H^{i}\left(M_{r}^{2 n-1} / T\right) \\
& H^{i+1}\left(M_{r}^{2 n+1} / T, M_{r}^{2 n-1} / T\right) \quad(n \geqq 3) .
\end{array}
$$

Since $i_{*}: \pi_{1}\left(M_{r}^{2 n-1} / T\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(M_{r}^{2 n+1} / T\right) \cong Z_{2}$ is isomorphic by (5.3.3), hence $i^{*}$ : $H^{1}\left(M_{r}^{2 n+1} / T\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(M_{r}^{2 n-1} / T\right)$ is isomorphic. On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{2}\left(M_{r}^{2 n+1} / T\right) & =\operatorname{Hom}\left(H_{2}\left(M_{r}^{2 n+1} / T\right), Z\right)+\operatorname{Ext}\left(H_{1}\left(M_{r}^{2 n-1} / T\right), Z\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Ext}\left(H_{1}\left(M_{r}^{2 n+1} / T\right), Z\right)=Z_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $i^{*}: H^{2}\left(M_{r}^{2 n+1} / T\right)=\operatorname{Ext}\left(H_{1}\left(M_{r}^{2 n+1} / T\right), Z\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(M_{r}^{2 n-1} / T\right)=$

$$
\operatorname{Ext}\left(H_{1}\left(M_{r}^{2 n-1} / T\right), Z\right)
$$

is isomorphic. Therefore, $H^{2}\left(M_{r}^{2 n+1} / T, M_{r}^{2 n-1} / T\right)=0$.
$b_{5}^{\prime}$ can be extended to a map $f_{7}: M_{r}^{7} / T \rightarrow P^{7}$ which extends $f_{5}$. Starting with $f_{7}$ and applying the above argument to it, we have a map $f_{9}: M_{r}^{9} / T \rightarrow P^{9}$ extending $f_{7} . \quad f_{9}$ is transverse on $P^{7} \subset P^{9}$ and has degree 1 . Since $M_{r}^{9} / T=$ $\sum_{2 r+1}^{9} / T_{2 r+1}, f_{9}$ is a homotopy equivalence. Iterating in this way, we have a chain of maps $f_{2 n-1}(n \geqq 3) . \quad f_{4 k+1}: M_{r}^{4 k+1} / T \rightarrow P^{4 k+1}$ determines a normal map, by taking $\xi=g^{*}\left(\nu_{M / T}\right)$, where $\nu_{M / T}$ is the stable normal bundle of $M_{r}^{4 k+1} / T$ and $g$ is a homotopy inverse of $f_{4 k+1}$. Hence, $f_{4 k-1}: M_{r}^{4 k-1} / T \rightarrow P^{4 k-1}$ is a restricted normal map. Thus we have the desired result.

As to the normal cobordism classes, the relationship between our models (table 1) and ( $T, M_{r}^{4 k-1}$ ) is as follows.

Corollary 5.3.5. Assume $k \geqq 2$.
(1) $M_{r}^{4 k-1} / T$ is normally cobordant to $(2 r+1)\left(P^{4 k-1}, i d\right)$.
(2) When $r$ moves among $\{0,-1 \bmod 4\}, M_{r}^{4 k-1} / T$ is normally cob-
ordant to the elements of table 1 as below.

| $r$ | $8 l-1$ | $8 l$ | $8 l-4$ | $8 l-5$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M_{r}^{4 k-1} / T$ | $\sum_{(2 l,+)}^{4 k-1} / T_{2 l}^{+}$ | $\sum_{(2 l,-)}^{4 k-1} / T_{2 l}$ | $\sum_{(2 l-1,+)}^{4 k-1} / T_{2 l-1}^{+}$ | $\sum_{(2 l-1,-)}^{4 k-1} / T_{2 l-1}^{-}$ |

Proof. Since $M_{r}^{4 k+1} / T=\sum_{2 r+1}^{4+1} / T_{2 r+1}, M_{r}^{4 k+1} / T$ is normally cobordant to $(2 r+1)\left(P^{4 k+1}, i d\right)$. By Lemma 5.3.4, $M_{r}^{4 k-1} / T$ is normally cobordant to $(2 r+1)\left(P^{4 k-1}, i d\right)$. Then comparing with the table, the result follows.

### 5.4. General setting and examples

We shall recall the definition of standard. Let $T$ be a free involution on a homotopy sphere $\Sigma^{n}$.

Definition 5.4.1. We say that $T$ is standard if there exists a parallelizable manifold $M^{n+1}$ which $\Sigma$ bounds such that $T$ extends to an involution with isolated fixed points on M. If there exists no such parallelizable manifold, we call $T$ non-standard.

To make clear the notion of non-standard, we need some preparations. Let bspin be the group of homotopy ( $n-1$ )-spheres which bound spin manifolds. In [9], Eells-Kuiper have defined an invariant $\mu$ for certain ( $4 k-1$ )-manifolds. $\mu$ is available how to distinguish differentiable structures of topological manifolds. When a homotopy sphere $\Sigma^{4 k-1}$ bounds a parallelizable manifold $M^{4 k}$, it follows that

$$
\mu\left(\Sigma^{4 k-1}\right)=-\sigma\left(M^{4 k}\right) / a_{k}\left(2^{2 k+1}\left(2^{2 k-1}-1\right)\right) \bmod 1
$$

Here $a_{k}$ is 1 if $k$ is even, 2 if $k$ is odd. Let $\left|b P_{n}\right|$ be the order of the cyclic group $b P_{n}$.

Examples of low values $n$

| $n$ | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 15 | 17 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\|b P_{n+1}\right\|$ | 1 | $2^{2} .7$ | 2 | $2^{5} .31$ | 1 | $2^{6} .127$ | 2 | $2^{9}\left(2^{9}-1\right)$ |

Put $\mu^{\prime}=a_{k}\left(2^{2 k-2}\left(2^{2 k-1}-1\right)\right) \mu$. In the above case, $\mu^{\prime}\left(\Sigma^{4 k-1}\right)=-\sigma(M) / 2^{3}$. Following Kervaire and Milnor [18], $b P_{4 k}$ is generated by $\sum_{1}^{4 k-1}$ with $\mu^{\prime}\left(\Sigma_{1}\right)=1$. We note that $a_{k}\left(2^{2 k-2}\left(2^{2 k-1}-1\right)\right)=\left|b P_{4 k}\right|$ for $1<k \leqq 5$ and $a_{k}\left(2^{2 k-2}\left(2^{2 k-1}-1\right)\right) /\left|b P_{4 k}\right|$ in general.

We consider the following cases for non-standard involutions. Let $T$ be a free involution on a homotopy sphere $\Sigma^{4 k-1}$.

Definition 5.4.2. (i) If there exists a spin manifold $W^{4 k}$ which $\Sigma$
bounds such that

$$
\boldsymbol{\sigma}(T, \Sigma) \neq \mu^{\prime}(\partial W) \bmod 2,
$$

we call that $T$ "curious".
(ii) If there exists a spin manifold $W^{4 k}$ which $\Sigma$ bounds such that $T$ extends to an involution with isolated fixed points on $W$, then we call $T$ "semi-standard".
(iii) If ( $T, \Sigma^{4 k-1}$ ) satisfies (i) and (ii), we call $T$ "spin involution".

Remark 5.4.3. By definition, standard involutions are semi-standard. On the other hand, Porposition 2.1 of chapter II shows that standard involutions are not curious. So, from defintion 5.4.2 we can settle a general question.

Problem 5.4.4.

> \{semi-standard involutions $\}=$ $\quad\{$ standard involutions $\} \oplus$ \{spin involutions $\} ?$

### 5.4.5. Examples of standard involutions

(1) Weintraub's actions, which are obtained by applying an equivariant plumbing (see [15], [34]).
(2) López De Medrano's involutions, which are obtained from the antipodal map on the sphere.
(3) Brieskorn's involutions.

### 5.4.6. Examples of curious involutions

(1) The restrictions of some of free $S^{1}$-actions on homotopy 7 -spheres constructed by Montgomery-Yang [22].
(2) Some of Hirsch-Milnor involutions [12].
(3) When we apply the López's construction to curious involutions, then we obtain new involutions which are again curious.

Now we shall consider here Hirsch-Milnor involutions. 'curious' is used there originally.

## 5. 4. 7. Hirsch-Milnor involutions

Let $N_{h}^{7}$ be the Milnor sphere which is the boundary of a certain $D^{4}$. bundle over $S^{4}$. Taking the antipodal map on each fiber, we obtain a smooth involution $\alpha_{h}: N_{h}^{7} \rightarrow N_{h}^{7}$. Then they showed that $\left(\alpha_{h}, N_{h}^{7}\right)$ has a double desuspension, i. e., $\sigma\left(\alpha_{h}, N_{h}^{7}\right)=0$. On the other hand, $N_{h}^{7}$ bounds the spin manifold $E\left(\xi_{h, 1-h}\right)$ (see [12]). Since the Pontrjagin class of $E\left(\xi_{h, 1-h}\right)$ is $2(2 h-1) \iota$, it follows that $\mu^{\prime}\left(N_{h}^{7}\right)=h(h-1) / 2$. By definition we have

Lemma 5.4.8. If $h \equiv 2$ or $3 \bmod 4$, then $\left(\alpha_{h}, N_{h}^{7}\right)$ is a curious involution.
$N_{h}^{7}$ is described as follows. Let $H$ be the quaternion field.

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{4} & =\left\{u \in H \mid\|u\|^{2} \leqq 1\right\} \\
S^{3} & =\left\{u \in D^{4} \mid\|u\|^{2}=1\right\} \\
D^{3} & =\left\{u \in D^{4} \mid \operatorname{Re}(u)=0\right\} \\
S^{2} & =\left\{u \in D^{3} \mid\|u\|^{2}=1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $f_{h, j}: S^{3} \rightarrow S O$ (4) be the map defined, using quaternion multiplication, by

$$
f_{h, j}(u)(v)=u^{h} v u^{j}
$$

for $u \in S^{3}, v \in D^{4}$.
Put $f_{h}=f_{h, 1-h}$. The Milnor sphere $N_{h}^{7}, h \in Z$ is obtained by attaching $D^{4} \times S^{3}$ to $D^{4} \times S^{3}$ by the map $f_{h}$,

$$
N_{h}^{7}=\left(D^{4} \times S^{3}\right) \cup\left(D_{b_{h}}^{4} \times S^{3}\right)
$$

Here $b_{h}: S^{3} \times S^{3} \rightarrow S^{3} \times S^{3}$ is defined by $b_{h}(u, v)=\left(u, f_{h}(u) v\right)$. Set $b_{h}(u, v)=$ $\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)$, i. e., $(u, v)$ stands for a point in the first factor and $\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)$ in the second. Then $\left(u, u^{h} v u^{1-h}\right)=\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)$.

Define an involution on $D^{4} \times S^{3}$ to be $(u, v) \rightarrow(u,-v)$. It is easily checked that $b_{h}$ is equivariant under the action. We obtain a free involution $\alpha_{h}$ on $N_{h}^{7}$. They showed that $\left(\alpha_{h}, N_{h}^{7}\right)$ has the double desuspension $\left(\alpha_{h}, N_{h}^{6}\right),\left(\alpha_{h}, N_{h}^{5}\right)$ as follows,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{h}^{6}=N_{h}^{7} \cap\left\{\operatorname{Re}(u v)=\operatorname{Re}\left(v^{\prime}\right)=0\right\} \\
& N_{h}^{5}=N_{h}^{6} \cap\left\{\operatorname{Re}(v)=\operatorname{Re}\left(u^{\prime} v^{\prime-1}\right)=0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$N_{h}^{5}$ is written more explicitly.

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{h}^{5}=\left\{D^{4} \times S^{2} \cap\{\operatorname{Re}(u v)=0\}\right\} \cup\left\{D^{4} \times S^{2} \cap\left\{\operatorname{Re}\left(u^{\prime} v^{\prime-1}\right)=0\right\}\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{h}:\left\{S^{3} \times S^{2} \cap\{\operatorname{Re}(u v)=0\}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{S^{3} \times S^{2} \cap\left\{\operatorname{Re}\left(u^{\prime} v^{\prime-1}\right)=0\right\}\right\}, b_{h}(u, v)=\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)$ $=\left(u, u^{h} v u^{1-h}\right)=\left(u, u^{2 h-1} v\right)$, is a diffeomorphism. The last equality follows since $\overline{u v}=-u v, u^{-1}=\bar{u}$ and $v^{-1}=-v$ imply that $u v=v u^{-1}$. Here $\bar{u}$ is the conjugation of $u$.

We shall classify $N_{h}^{5}$ by the Brieskorn involutions. First we recall the results of 5.2. Using the above notations, the reflection $\theta$ is reformed as

$$
\theta: S^{2} \longrightarrow O(3), \quad \theta_{u}(v)=u v u^{-1}
$$

Hence it follows by (5.2.2) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{h}^{5}=\left(D^{3} \times S^{2}\right) \underset{w_{h}}{\cup}\left(S^{2} \times D^{3}\right),  \tag{2}\\
& \left.\Psi_{h}(u, v)=\left(\left(\theta_{u} \theta_{v}\right)^{h} u,\left(\theta_{u} \theta_{v}\right)^{h} v\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Denote by $\beta_{h}$ the action $T$ on $M_{r}^{5}$ of (5.3). Since $(u v)^{-1}=\overline{u v}=v u$, it is easily seen that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\theta_{u} \theta_{v}\right)^{h} u=(u v)^{h} u(u v)^{-h}=(u v)^{2 h} u, \\
& \left(\theta_{u} \theta_{v}\right)^{h} v=(u v)^{2 h} v .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have $\Psi_{h}(u, v)=\left((u v)^{2 h} u,(u v)^{2 h} v\right)$. We note by (5.3.1) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\beta_{h}, M_{h}^{5}\right) \cong\left(T_{2 h+1}, \sum_{h+1}^{5}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5.4.9. $\left(\alpha_{h+1}, N_{h+1}^{5}\right) \cong\left(\beta_{h}, M_{h}^{5}\right)$ for each $h \in Z$. Hence by (3), $\left(\alpha_{h+1}, N_{h+1}^{5}\right) \cong\left(T_{2 h+1}, \sum_{2 h+1}^{5}\right)$.

Remark. The result of [36] is an easy mistake.
Proof. We define maps

$$
\lambda:\left\{D^{4} \times S^{2} \cap(\operatorname{Re}(u v)=0)\right\} \longrightarrow D^{3} \times S^{2}
$$

and

$$
\mu:\left\{D^{4} \times S^{2} \cap\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(u^{\prime} v^{\prime-1}\right)=0\right)\right\} \longrightarrow S^{2} \times D^{3}
$$

by setting

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda(u, v)=(u v, v) \\
& \mu\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)=\left(v^{\prime}, v^{\prime} u^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easily checked that they are equivariant diffeomorphisms. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda\left(\left\{S^{3} \times S^{2} \cap(\operatorname{Re}(u v)=0)\right\}\right)=S^{2} \times S^{2} \\
& \mu\left(\left\{S^{3} \times S^{2} \cap\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(u^{\prime} v^{\prime-1}\right)=0\right)\right\}\right)=S^{2} \times S^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we show that the following diagram is commutative,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{S^{3} \times S^{2} \cap(\operatorname{Re}(u v)=0)\right\} \xrightarrow{b_{h+1}}\left\{S^{3} \times S^{2} \cap\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(u^{\prime} v^{\prime-1}\right)=0\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

When $(u, v) \in\left\{S^{3} \times S^{2} \cap(\operatorname{Re}(u v)=0)\right\}$, we note that
(i) $v^{-1}=-v\left(\right.$ i. e., $\left.v^{2}=-1\right)$
$u^{-1} v^{-1}=(v u)^{-1}=\overline{v u}=-v u(\operatorname{Re}(u v)=\operatorname{Re}(v u)=0)$, so by (i)
(ii) $u^{-1} v=v u$.

Then, $\mu b_{h+1}(u, v)=\mu\left(u, u^{2 h+1} v\right)=\left(u^{2 h+1} v, u^{2 h+1} v u\right)$

$$
=\left(u^{2 h+1} v, u^{2 h} v\right) \quad((\mathrm{ii}))
$$

$$
\Psi_{h} \lambda(u, v)=\Psi_{h}(u v, v)=\left((u v v)^{2 h} u v,(u v v)^{2 h} v\right)
$$

$$
=\left(u^{2 h+1} v, u^{2 h} v\right)
$$

Hence the above compatibility defines an equivariant diffeomorphism

$$
\nu:\left(\alpha_{h+1}, N_{h+1}^{5}\right) \longrightarrow\left(\beta_{h}, M_{h}^{5}\right) .
$$

By periodicity of $\left\{\Sigma_{d}^{5}, d\right.$ odd $\}$ of [16] and Lemma 5.4.9, we have
Corollary 5. 4.10 (Periodicity) For each $h \in Z$,

$$
\left(\alpha_{h}, N_{h}^{5}\right) \cong\left(\alpha_{h+8}, N_{h+8}^{5}\right) \cong\left(\alpha_{9-h}, N_{9-h}^{5}\right)
$$

Proof. It follows by [16] that $\left(T_{d}, \Sigma_{d}^{5}\right) \cong\left(T_{d+16}, \Sigma_{d+16}^{5}\right) \cong\left(T_{-d+16}, \Sigma_{-d+16}^{5}\right)$ for $d>0$. For $h \leqq-1$, we have by (5.2.3) and (3) that

$$
\left(T, M_{h}^{5}\right) \cong\left(T, M_{-h-1}^{5}\right) \cong\left(T_{-2 h-1}, \Sigma_{-2 h-1}^{5}\right)
$$

Corollary 5.4.11 (Desuspension). ( $\alpha_{h+1}, N_{h+1}^{7}$ ) has ( $T_{2 h+1}, \Sigma_{2 h+1}^{5}$ ) for $h \geqq 0$ and $\left(T_{-2 h-1}, \Sigma_{-2 h-1}^{5}\right)$ for $h \leqq-1$ as a desuspension.

### 5.5. Reestablishment of the classification of free involutions on homotopy 7 -spheres

We will classify free involutions of homotopy 7 -spheres by standard involutions and spin involutions.

Remark. There were two steps. The first is to use the normal cobordism theory due to López and Wall, and the second is to use the spin invariants due to Mayer [21].

The set of equivalence classes of free involutions on homotopy spheres is denoted by $\Phi_{+}^{n}$ (in chapter I, p. 346, we write $\prod_{n}$ ), and $\Phi_{+}^{n}$ is in one-to-one correspondence with $h S\left(P^{n}\right)$. It has been shown in [20] that $h S\left(P^{7}\right) \cong$ $Z_{4}+Z+Z_{28}$ and $\left[P^{7}, G / O\right]=Z_{4}+Z_{2}$. The summand $Z_{2}$ is identified with the surgery obstruction group $L_{3}\left(Z_{2}\right)$. So, we may ignore so far as are concerned with $\Phi_{+}^{n}$. Taking characteristic submanifolds, the restriction $\left[P^{7}, G / O\right.$ ] $\rightarrow\left[P^{5}, G / O\right]=Z_{4}$ is onto. We quote the result of [16].

Lemma 5. 5. 1. $h S\left(P^{5}\right) \cong\left[P^{5}, G / O\right]$ consists of $\left\{\sum_{d}^{5} / T_{d}, d=1,3,5,7\right\}$ and $\sum_{d}^{5} / T_{d} \cong \sum_{-d+16}^{5} / T_{-d+16} \cong \sum_{d+16}^{5} / T_{d+16}$ for $d>0$.

The last equality implies that
(5. 5. 2) $\quad \sum_{d}^{5} / T_{d} \cong \sum_{-d+16 i}^{5} / T_{-d+16 i} \cong \sum_{d+16 j}^{5} / T_{d+16 j}$ for $d, i, j>0$.

We first determine standard involutions in table 1.
Lemma 5.5.3. In the table 1 , there are two distinct normal cobordism classes of standard involutions, which are classified by the spin invariant a.
(i) $a= \pm 1 \bmod 2^{4},\left(A, S^{7}\right) \sim\left(T_{2 l}^{-}, \Sigma_{(2 l,-)}^{7}\right) \sim\left(T_{2 l}^{+}, \Sigma_{(2 l,+)}^{7}\right)$
(ii) $a= \pm 7 \bmod 2^{4},\left(T_{2 l-1}^{-}, \Sigma_{(2 l-1,-)}^{7}\right) \sim\left(T_{2 l-1}^{+}, \Sigma_{(2 l-1,+)}^{7}\right)$.
' ~' stands for "normally cobordant".
Proof. We notice from the table that
(5. 5. 4) $\quad \sum_{(2 l,-)}^{7} / T_{2 l}^{-} \sim(16 l+1)\left(P^{7}, i d\right)$

$$
\sum_{(2 l,+)}^{7} / T_{2 l}^{+} \sim(16 l-1)\left(P^{7}, i d\right)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{(2 l-1,-)}^{7} / T_{2 l-1}^{-} \sim(16 l-9)\left(P^{7}, i d\right)(=(16(l-1)+7))\left(P^{7}, i d\right)  \tag{5.5.5}\\
& \Sigma_{(2 l-1,+)}^{7} / T_{2 l-1}^{+} \sim(16 l-7)\left(P^{7}, i d\right),
\end{align*}
$$

and the spin invariants are $\pm 1 \bmod 2^{4}$ for (i) and $\pm 7 \bmod 2^{4}$ for (ii). On the other hand, since $\Sigma_{d}^{5} / T_{d}$ is normally cobordant to $d\left(P^{5}, i d\right)$, it follows from (5.5.2) that
(5. 5. 6) $d\left(P^{5}, i d\right) \sim(-d+16 i)\left(P^{5}, i d\right) \sim(d+16 j)\left(P^{5}, i d\right)$ in $\left[P^{5}, G / O\right]$.

Hence, by taking $d=1$ and $d=7$, (i) and (ii) follow accordingly.
Remark 5.5.7. By the result [5] of Browder, $\theta^{7}$ acts freely on $h S\left(P^{T}\right)$. If follows that $\Sigma_{(2 l,-)}^{7} / T_{2 l}^{-} \cong \Sigma_{(2 l,+)}^{7} / T_{2 l}^{+} \# \Sigma^{\prime}$ such that $2 \Sigma^{\prime \prime}=0$ for (i) and

$$
\Sigma_{(2 l-1,-)}^{7} / T_{2 l-1}^{-} \cong \Sigma_{(2 l-1,+)}^{7} / T_{2 l-1}^{+} \# \Sigma^{\prime \prime}
$$

such that $2 \Sigma^{\prime \prime}=0$ for (ii). (they have the same Browder-Livesay invariants). We cannot get rid of the ambiguity of $\Sigma^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}$.

Remark 5.5.8. Denote by $\left[A, S^{7}\right]$ the equivalence classes of (i) and by $\left[T_{1}^{-}, \Sigma_{(1,-)}^{7}\right]$ those of (ii)

|  | Normal cobordism class | Spin invariant | $\mu^{\prime}$ | $\sigma$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[A, S^{7}\right]$ | $\left(P^{7}\right.$, id $)$ | $\pm 1$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\left[T_{1}^{-}, \Sigma_{(1,-)}^{7}\right]$ | $7\left(P^{7}\right.$, id $)$ | $\pm 7$ | 1 | 1 |

On the other hand, we have by [16] that
Lemma 5.5.9. There exists a free involution $T_{d}$ on a homotopy sphere $\Sigma_{d}^{7}$ with $\left(T_{d}, \Sigma_{d}^{5}\right)(=$ Brieskorn involution) as a desuspension (i.e., $\left.\sigma\left(T_{d}, \Sigma_{d}^{7}\right)=0\right)$. Furthermore, $\left(T_{d}, \Sigma_{d}^{7}\right)$ satisfies that

1. $a\left(T_{d}, \Sigma_{d}^{7}\right)= \pm d \bmod 2^{4}$.
2. If we set $d=2 h+1$, then $\mu^{\prime}\left(\sum_{2 h+1}^{7}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}h / 2, h \text { even } \\ (h+1) / 2, h \text { odd } .\end{array}\right.$

We now state our classification.
Proposition 5.5.10. Every free involution on homotopy 7 -sphere is semi-standard.

Proof. Let $T$ be a free involution on a homotopy sphere $\Sigma^{7}$. Then by proposition 1.25 , there is a free involution $\left(T^{\prime}, S^{7}\right)$ which is normally cobordant to $\left(T, \Sigma^{7}\right)$ such that $\left(T^{\prime}, S^{7}\right)$ has a double desuspension, $\left(T^{\prime}, S^{6}\right) \supset$ $\left(T^{\prime}, S^{5}\right)$. Since $S^{5} / T^{\prime} \in h S\left(P^{5}\right)$, it follows that $S^{5} / T^{\prime} \cong \Sigma_{d}^{5} / T_{d}$ for some $d$. Using the supension construction and by the fact that $\theta^{6}=0$, we have $S^{6} / T^{\prime} \cong$ $\Sigma_{d}^{6} / T_{d}$, where $\left(T_{d}, \Sigma_{d}^{6}\right)$ is the desupension of $\left(T_{d}, \Sigma_{d}^{7}\right)$. Again, the suspension construction yields that $S^{7} / T^{\prime} \cong \Sigma_{d}^{7} / T_{d} \# \Sigma^{\prime}$ for some $\Sigma^{\prime} \in \theta^{7}$. Hence, $\Sigma^{7} / T$ is normally cobordant to $\Sigma_{d}^{7} / T_{d} \# \Sigma^{\prime}$. Let $W^{8}$ be a normal cobordism between them. Since $\theta^{7}=b P_{8}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}$ bounds a parallelizable manifold $M^{\prime 8}$. We know in Lemma 5.5.9 that $\Sigma_{d}^{7}$ bounds the spin manifold $M_{d}^{8}$ on which $T_{d}$ extends to an involution with isolated fixed points. Set $V_{d}^{8}=\widetilde{W}^{8} \cup M_{d}^{8} \# 2 M^{\prime}$, glued on $\Sigma_{d}^{7} \# 2 \Sigma^{\prime}$ equivariantly. Then we see that $\Sigma^{7}=\partial V_{d}^{8}$ and $T$ extends to an involution with isolated fixed points on a spin manifold $V_{d}^{8}$ (see Figure 9).


Fig. 9.
For convenience we put $\Pi_{d}=\sum_{d}^{5} / T_{d}$. Then $\left\{\Pi_{d}, d=1,3,5,7\right\}$ represent the normal cobordism classes.

Theorem 5.5.11 Free involutions on homotopy 7-spheres are classified by standard involutions and spin involutions. Moreover,
(i) standard if and only if $a= \pm 1, \pm 7 \bmod 2^{4}$ spin if and only if $a= \pm 3, \pm 5 \bmod 2^{4}$
(ii) Characteristic normal cobordism classes of standard involutions move over $\left\{\Pi_{1}, \Pi_{7}\right\}$, while those of curious involutions move over $\left\{\Pi_{3}, \Pi_{5}\right\}$.

Complement. $\sigma=\mu^{\prime} \bmod 2$ if and only if standard.
Proof. Let $T$ be a free involution on a homotopy sphere $\Sigma^{7}$. As above, $\Sigma^{7}$ bounds $V_{d}^{8}$. We calculate $\mu^{\prime}$ of $\Sigma^{7}$. Write $\mu^{\prime}\left(\Sigma^{7}\right)=\mu^{\prime}\left(V_{d}^{8}\right)$ for our necessity. In our case, $\mu^{\prime}$ is additive from the definition, $\mu^{\prime}\left(V_{d}^{8}\right)=\mu^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{W}^{8}\right)+$ $\mu^{\prime}\left(M_{d}^{8} \# 2 M^{\prime}\right)=\mu^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{W}^{8}\right)+\mu^{\prime}\left(M_{d}^{8}\right)+2 \mu^{\prime}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$. Since $\widetilde{W}^{8}$ is parallelizable, it follows that $\mu^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{W}^{8}\right)=\sigma\left(\widetilde{W}^{8}\right) / 8$. If we put $d=2 h+1$, then it follows from Lemma 5.5.9 that

$$
\mu^{\prime}\left(V_{d}^{8}\right)= \begin{cases}\sigma(\widetilde{W}) / 8+h / 2, & h \text { even } \\ \sigma(\widetilde{W}) / 8+(h+1) / 2, & h \text { odd }\end{cases}
$$

On the other hand we have $\sigma\left(T_{z_{2}} \# 1, \Sigma_{d}^{7} \# 2 \Sigma^{\prime}\right)-\sigma\left(T, \Sigma^{7}\right)=(2 \sigma(W)-\sigma(\widetilde{W})) / 8$. Since $\sigma\left(T_{d} \# 1, \Sigma_{d}^{7} \# 2 \Sigma^{\prime}\right)=\sigma\left(T_{d}, \Sigma_{d}^{7}\right)=0^{z_{2}}$ by Lemma 5.5.9, we conclude that
(I) $\mu^{\prime}\left(\Sigma^{7}\right)=\sigma\left(T, \Sigma^{7}\right)+h / 2 \bmod 2 \quad$ if $h$ is even,
(II) $\mu^{\prime}\left(\Sigma^{7}\right)=\sigma\left(T, \Sigma^{7}\right)+(h+1) / 2 \bmod 2$ if $h$ is odd.

Now there occur exactly two cases, the first is $\sigma(T, \Sigma) \neq \mu^{\prime}\left(V_{d}^{8}\right)$ and the second is $\sigma(T, \Sigma)=\mu^{\prime}\left(V_{d}^{8}\right)$. From definition 5.4.2, $T$ is curious (i. e., $\mu^{\prime} \neq \sigma$, the second case occurs) if and only if $h \equiv 2, h \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ for (I), (II) respectively. Then the spin invariant for $(T, \Sigma)$ is $a\left(T, \Sigma^{7}\right)=a\left(T_{2 h+1}, \Sigma_{2 h+1}^{7}\right)= \pm(2 h+1)$ $\bmod 2^{4}$. Hence, $T$ is 'spin' if and only if

| $h$ | (I) |  | (II) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $8 l+2$ | $8 l+6$ | $8 l+1$ | $8 l+5$ |
| $a$ | $\pm 5$ | $\pm 3$ | $\pm 3$ | $\pm 5$ |
| characteristic normal cobordism cless | $\Pi_{5}$ | $\Pi_{3}$ | $\Pi_{3}$ | $\Pi_{5}$ |

On the other hand, suppose the first case, i. e., $\mu^{\prime}\left(\Sigma^{7}\right)=\sigma\left(T, \Sigma^{7}\right)$ (2), then we show that $T$ is 'standard'. This case occurs if and only if $h \equiv 0$, $h \equiv 3 \bmod 4$ with respect to (I) and (II). Thus we have

| $h$ | (I) |  | (II) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $8 l$ | $8 l+4$ | $8 l+3$ | $8 l+7$ |
| $a$ | $\pm 1$ | $\pm 7$ | $\pm 7$ | $\pm 1$ |
| characteristic normal cobordism class | $\Pi_{1}$ | $\Pi_{7}$ | $\Pi_{7}$ | $\Pi_{1}$ |

$(T, \Sigma)$ has the same characteristic cobordism as one of the elements in Remark 5.5.8 since $\Pi_{d} \sim d\left(P^{5}, i d\right)$. Hence as in the proof of Proposition 5.5.10, $(T, \Sigma)$ is normally cobordant to $\left(A, S^{7}\right)$ or to $\left(T_{1}^{-}, \Sigma_{(1,-)}^{7}\right)$. Let $W$ be its normal cobordism between them. $\left(A, S^{7}\right)$ (resp. $\left(T_{1}^{-}, \Sigma_{(1,-)}^{7}\right)$ is standard, so bounds a parallelizable manifold with an involution. Adding $\widetilde{W}$ to it along $\left(A, S^{7}\right)\left(\operatorname{resp} .\left(T_{1}^{-}, \Sigma_{(1,-)}^{7}\right)\right.$, we have a parallelizable manifold with boundary $\Sigma$ such that $T$ extends to an involution with isolated fixed points on it. Therefore, $(T, \Sigma)$ is a standard involution.

Summary 5.5.13 Let $\Phi^{n}$ (resp. $\Phi_{+}^{n}$ ) be the set of equivalence classes of free (resp. orientation preserving free) involutions on homotopy $n$-spheres. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{5} & =\Phi_{+}^{5}=\{\text { standard involutions }\} . \\
\Phi_{+}^{7} & =\{\text { semi-standard involutions }\} \\
& =\{\text { standard involutions }\} \oplus \text { spin involutions }\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem 5.4.4 is true for $n=5,7$.
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