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#### Abstract

It is shown that if $X$ is an $s$-distance subset in real hyperbolic space $H^{d}$, then


$$
|X| \leq\binom{ d+s}{s}+\binom{d+s-1}{s-1}
$$

## Introduction

A subset $X$ in a metric space $M$ is called an $s$-distance subset in $M$ if there are $s$ distinct distances $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{s}$, and all the $\alpha_{i}$ are realized. Delsarte-Goethals-Seidel [6] have shown that the cardinality $|X|$ of an $s$ distance subset $X$ in the $d$-dimensional unit sphere $S^{d}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{d+1}\right)\right.$ $\left.\mid x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+\cdots+x_{d+1}{ }^{2}=1\right\} \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{d+1}$ is bounded from above as

$$
\begin{equation*}
|X| \leq\binom{ d+s}{s}+\binom{d+s-1}{s-1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Larman-Rogers-Seideal [9] and Bannai-Bannai [1] have shown that the same upper bound (1) is obtained for the cardinality of an $s$-distance subset in real Euclidean space $\boldsymbol{R}^{d}$. In this paper we prove that the same bound (1) is also true for an $s$-distance subset in the real hyperbolic space $H^{d}$ of (topological) dimension $d$. That is:

Theorem 1. If $X$ is an s-distance subset in $H^{d}$, then

$$
|X| \leq\binom{ d+s}{s}+\binom{d+s-1}{s-1}
$$

## 1. Proof of Theorem 1

The basic idea of the proof is the same as that of Delsarte-GoethalsSeidel [6] and Koornwinder [8]. Here we need a proper realization of the hyperbolic space $H^{d}$ in $\boldsymbol{R}^{d+1}$.
(i) It is known that the hyperbolic space $H^{d}$, which is also called Lobatschewsky and Bolyai space, of dimension $d$ is realized in a Euclidean space of $\boldsymbol{R}^{d+1}$ as

$$
H^{d}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{d+1}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{d+1} \mid x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}-\cdots-x_{d+1}{ }^{2}=1, x_{1}>0\right\}
$$

with the distance $d(x, y)$ for $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{d+1}\right)$ and $y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{d+1}\right) \in H^{d}$ being given by

$$
d(x, y)=\operatorname{arc} \cosh \left(x_{1} y_{1}-x_{2} y_{2}-\cdots-x_{d} y_{d}-x_{d+1} y_{d+1}\right) .
$$

(See, for example, [5, page 209], [4, pages 375-6].)
(ii) Let $X$ be an $s$-distance subset in $H^{d}$ and let $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{s}$ be the distances. For each $y \in X$ let us define

$$
F_{y}(x)=\prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\left((x, y)-\cosh \alpha_{i}\right)}{\left(1-\cosh \alpha_{i}\right)}, \text { for } x \in H^{d},
$$

where $(x, y)=x_{1} y_{1}-x_{2} y_{2}-\cdots-x_{d+1} y_{d+1}$. Since $F_{y}(x)=\delta_{x, y}$ for $x \in X$, the set $\left\{F_{y}(x) \mid y \in X\right\}$ is linearly independent. Also note that each $F_{y}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $s$ in $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{d+1}$.
(iii) In order to complete the proof of Theorem 1, we have only to show that the dimension of the space spanned by the set $\left\{F_{y}(x) \mid y \in X\right\}$ is bounded by the right hand side of (1). Now we use the following lemma:

Lemma 2. Let $H_{j}$ be the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree $j$ in $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{d+1}$, and let $\Delta^{(1, d)}$ be the differential operator defined by

$$
\Delta^{(1, d)}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{1}{ }^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{2}{ }^{2}}-\cdots-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{d+1}{ }^{2}} .
$$

Then we have
(a) The map $\Delta^{(1, d)}$ from $H_{j}$ to $H_{j-2}$ is onto, and so

$$
\text { dimension (kernel of } \left.\Delta^{(1, d)}: H_{j} \rightarrow H_{j-2}\right)=\binom{d+j}{j}-\binom{d+j-2}{j-2} \text {. }
$$

(Note that $\operatorname{dim} H_{j}=\binom{d+j}{j}$.)
(b) Each $f \in H_{j}$ is uniquely expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
f= & f_{j}+\left(x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}-\cdots-x_{d+1}{ }^{2}\right) f_{j-2}+\left(x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}-\cdots-x_{d+1}{ }^{2}\right)^{2} f_{j-4} \\
& \left.\left.+\cdots+\left(x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}-\cdots-x_{d+1}\right)^{2}\right) \frac{j}{2}\right] f_{j-2}\left[\frac{j}{2}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
f_{j-2 i} \in\left(\text { kernel of } \Delta^{(1, d)}: H_{j-2 i} \rightarrow H_{j-2(i+1)}\right) .
$$

(c) The dimension of the space of polynomial functions on $H^{d}$ of degree $\leq s$ in $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{d+1}$ is bounded from above by

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{s}\binom{d+j}{j}-\binom{d+j-2}{j-2}=\binom{d+s}{s}+\binom{d+s-1}{s-1} .
$$

Proof of Lemma 2 Proof is almost identical with the proof of the
expansion of a polynomial using harmonic polynomials (cf [7, Vol. 2, page 237]), that is with respect to the Laplacian

$$
\Delta=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}+\cdots+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{d+1}^{2}} .
$$

To prove (a) we have only to show that

$$
\Delta^{(1, d)}\left\{\left(x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}-\cdots-x_{d+1}^{2}\right) f\right\} \neq 0
$$

for any non-zero polynomial $f$. This is straightforwardly proved as $\Delta\left\{\left(x_{1}{ }^{2}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.+x_{2}{ }^{2}+\cdots+x_{d+1}{ }^{2}\right) f\right\} \neq 0$ is proved for any non-zero polynomial $f$. The rest of the statements in Lemma 2 are easy consequences of this.

Now Lemma 2 (c) completes the proof of Theorem 1.

## Remarks

(i) It would be interesting to know how much the common bound (1) can be improved for each $S^{d}, \boldsymbol{R}^{d}, H^{d}$.
(a) For spherical case Bannai-Damerell [2] proved that the equality does not hold if $s \geq 3$ and $d \geq 2$. For $s=2$ it is still an open problem when the equality is attained. (Such examples exist for $d=1,5$ and 21, cf. [6, 11].)
(b) For Euclidean case Bannai-Bannai [1] proved that the equality never holds. Recently Blokhuis [3] has shown that the bound is improved. His argument easily reduces the bound (1) by $d+1$ for any $s \geq 2$. (Further improvement for larger $s$ will be discussed later.)
(c) Problem : How much the bound (1) can be improved for hyperbolic case? (At the time of this writing I do not know whether the bound (1) is attained in the hyperbolic case.)
(ii) Neumaier [10] tries to get similar type of results by introducing a notion of "dimension $d$ for a set $X$ ". However it seems that his notion of "dimension $d$ " is not directly related to the topological dimension $d$ of the space used here, and that his dimension $d$ is generally larger than the topological dimension $d$ (for the case $H^{d}$ ). Problem: Is it possible to find some meaningful relations between these two dimensions (for the case $H^{d}$ ) ?
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