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## Introduction.

The study of real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space $P_{n} \boldsymbol{C}$ was initiated by Takagi [11], who proved that all homogeneous hypersurfaces of $P_{n} C$ could be divided into six types which are said to be of type $A_{1}, A_{2}, B$, $C, D$ and $E$. He showed also in $[12,13]$ that if a real hypersurface $M$ of $P_{n} C$ has two or three distinct constant principal curvatures, then $M$ is locally congruent to one of the homogeneous ones of type $A_{1}, A_{2}$ and $B$. This result is recently generalized by Kimura [4], who proves that a real hypersurface $M$ of $P_{n} \boldsymbol{C}$ has constant principal curvatures and $J \boldsymbol{\xi}$ is principal if and only if $M$ is locally congruent to one of the homogeneous hypersurfaces, where $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ denotes the unit normal and $J$ is the complex structure of $P_{n}$ $\boldsymbol{C}$. In particular, real hypersurfaces of type $A_{1}, A_{2}$ and $B$ of $P_{n} \boldsymbol{C}$ have been studied by several authors (cf. Cecil and Ryan [2], Kimura [5], Maeda [6] and Okumura [10]).

On the other hand, real hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space $H_{n}$ $\boldsymbol{C}$ have also been investigated from different points of view and there are some studies by Chen, Ludden and Montiel [3] and Montiel and Romero [9]. In particular, real hypersurfaces of $H_{n} C$, which are said of type $A$, similar to those of type $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ of $P_{n} C$ were treated by Montiel and Romero [9].

Now, the Ricci tensor $S$ is said to be cyclic-parallel if it satisfies

$$
\text { ভ } \nabla S(X, Y, Z)=0
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y$ and $Z$, where $\subseteq$ and $\nabla$ denote the cyclic sum and the Riemannian connection, respectively. It is noticed in § 4 that the Ricci tensors of real hypersurfaces of type $A_{1}$ or $A_{2}$ (resp. $A$ ) of $P_{n} \boldsymbol{C}$ (resp. $H_{n} \boldsymbol{C}$ ) are cyclic-parallel. The purpose of this paper is to investigate this converse problem. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of a complex space form $M_{n}(c), c$ $\neq 0$, whose Ricci tensor is cyclic-parallel. In § 3, it is verified that if $J \xi$ is principal, then all principal curvatures of $M$ are constant and the number of
distinct principal curvatures is at most 5. By means of this result and the classfication theorem due to Takagi [12] and Kimura [4], we can prove

Theorem. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of $P_{n} \boldsymbol{C}$, whose Ricci tensor is cyclic-parallel. If $J \xi$ is principal, then $M$ is locally congruent to one of homogeneous hypersurfaces of $P_{n} \boldsymbol{C}$.

In the last section, real hypersurfaces of $P_{n} \boldsymbol{C}$ whose Ricci tensors are cyclic-parallel are partially classified in the case where $J \xi$ is principal.
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## 1. Preliminaries.

First of all, we recall a semi-Sasakian structure of a Riemannian manifold or a Lorentz manifold. Let $\bar{N}$ be a ( $2 n+1$ )-dimensional semiRiemannian manifold of index 0 or 1 with a semi-Riemannian metric tensor $G$. Let $\phi, \bar{E}$ and $\bar{\omega}$ be a tensor field of type ( 1,1 ), a vector field and a 1 -form on $\bar{N}$, respectively, satisying the following properties:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\bar{\omega}(U)=\varepsilon G(U, \bar{E}), \bar{\omega}(\bar{E})=1, G(\bar{E}, \bar{E})=\varepsilon,  \tag{1.1}\\
\phi \bar{E}=0, \bar{\omega} \circ \phi=0, \phi^{2}=-1+\bar{\omega} \otimes \bar{E}, \\
G(\phi U, \phi V)=G(U, V)-\varepsilon \bar{\omega}(U) \bar{\omega}(V),
\end{array}\right.
$$

for any vetor fields $U$ and $V$ on $\bar{N}$, where $I$ denotes the identity mapping and $\varepsilon=1$ or -1 according as $\bar{N}$ is Riemannian or Lorentz. In spite of the respective cases, the set ( $\phi, \bar{E}, \bar{\omega}, G$ ) is called an almost contact metric structure and $\bar{N}$ is called an almost contact metric manifold. If the almost contact metric atructure ( $\phi, \bar{E}, \bar{\omega}, G$ ) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{D}_{U} \phi(V)=-G(U, V) \bar{E}+\varepsilon \bar{\omega}(U) V, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{D}$ denotes the Levi-Civita connection of $N$, then it is called a semiSasakian structure, and $\bar{N}$ is called a semi-Sasakian manifold. As is easily seen, (1.1) and (1.2) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{D}_{U} \bar{E}=\varepsilon \phi U, d \bar{\omega}(U, V)=G(\phi U, V), \bar{D}_{U} \phi(V)=\varepsilon \bar{R}^{\prime}(U, E) V, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{R}^{\prime}$ denotes the Riemannian curvature tensor of $\bar{N}$, and hence $\bar{E}$ is the Killing vector field.

For a semi-Sasakian manifold $\bar{N}$ a plane section in the tangent space $N_{X}$ at any point $x$ of $\bar{N}$ is called a $\phi$-section if it is spanned by a unit vector $u$ orthogonal to $\bar{E}_{X}$ and $\phi u$. This section is non-degenerate in the case of the Lorentz manifold, because $\bar{E}$ is the time-like vector field. The sectional curvature of the $\phi$-section is called a $\phi$-sectional curvature and $\bar{N}$ is called a
semi-Sasakian space form if it has constant $\phi$-sectional curvature. Let $\bar{N}$ be a $(2 n+1)$-dimensional semi-Sasakian space form of $\phi$-sectional curvature $c$, which is denoted by $N_{a}^{2 n+1}(c)$, where $a=0$ or 1 according as it is Riemannian or Lorentz. The Riemannian curvature tensor $\bar{R}^{\prime}$ of $N_{a}^{2 n+1}(c)$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{R}^{\prime}(U, V) W & =[(c+3 \varepsilon)\{G(V, W) U-G(U, W) V\}  \tag{1.4}\\
& +(\varepsilon c-1) \omega(W)\{\omega(U) V-\omega(V) U\} \\
& +(c-\varepsilon)\{(G(U, W) \omega(V) \\
& -G(V, W) \omega(U)\} E+G(\phi V, W) \phi U \\
& -G(\phi U, W) \phi V-2 G(\phi U, V) \phi W] / 4 .
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, if $c=\varepsilon$, then $N_{a}^{2 n+1}(c)$ is of constant curvature $c$. For details, see cf. Takahashi [14] and Yano and Kon [15].

Let $\bar{N}$ be a semi-Sasakian manifold with a structure $(\phi, \bar{E}, \bar{\omega}, G)$ and let $N$ be a $2 n$-dimensional semi-Riemannian hypersurface of $\bar{N}$ tangent to $\bar{E}$. By the same symbol $G$ the induced semi-Riemannian metric of $N$ is denoted. Each tangent space $N_{X}$ at a point $x$ of $N$ is by definition a non-degenerate subspace of $\bar{N}_{X}$. Hence a property of a vector space furnished with a scalar product gives the direct sum docomposition $\bar{N}_{X}=N_{X} \oplus N_{\bar{X}}$ and the normal space $N_{\bar{X}}^{\perp}$ is non-degenerate. An endomorphism $P^{\prime}$ of the tangent bundle $T$ ( $N$ ) and a 1 -form $F^{\prime}$ with values is the normal bundle $N(N)$ are defined by

$$
\phi X^{\prime}=P^{\prime} X^{\prime}+F^{\prime} X^{\prime}
$$

Then $P^{\prime}$ is skew-symmetric, because $\phi$ is skew-symmetric, and the following relationships are given:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
G\left(F^{\prime} X^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)+G\left(X^{\prime}, \phi \xi^{\prime}\right)=0,  \tag{1.5}\\
P^{\prime 2}+\phi F^{\prime}=-I+\omega^{\prime} \otimes E^{\prime}, F^{\prime} P^{\prime}=0, \\
P^{\prime} E^{\prime}=F^{\prime} E^{\prime}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

for any tangent vector $X^{\prime}$ and the unit normal $\xi^{\prime}$, where $E^{\prime}$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ are the restriction of $\bar{E}$ and $\bar{\omega}$ to $N$, respectively. Let $D$ be the Levi-Civita connection of $N$ and let $\sigma^{\prime}$ and $A^{\prime}$ be the second fundamental form of $N$ and the shape operator in the direction of the unit normal, respectively. The first equations of (1.3) and (1.5) and the Gauss equation give

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D_{X} E^{\prime}=\varepsilon P^{\prime} X^{\prime}, F^{\prime} X^{\prime}=\varepsilon \sigma^{\prime}\left(X^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right), A^{\prime} E^{\prime}=-\varepsilon \phi \xi^{\prime},  \tag{1.6}\\
\sigma^{\prime}\left(E^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right)=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

By means of the formulas of Gauss and Weingarten, we have

$$
\begin{cases}D_{X} P^{\prime}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=G\left(F^{\prime} Y^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right) A^{\prime} X^{\prime} & +\phi \sigma^{\prime}\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right)-G\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right) E^{\prime}  \tag{1.7}\\ & +\varepsilon \omega^{\prime}\left(Y^{\prime}\right) X^{\prime},\end{cases}
$$

Let $R^{\prime}$ and $S^{\prime}$ be the Riemannian curvature tensor and the Ricci tensor of $N$ respectively. The Ricci tensor $S^{\prime}$ is given by

$$
S^{\prime}\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right)=\sum \varepsilon_{j} G\left(R^{\prime}\left(E_{j}^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right) Y^{\prime}, E_{j}^{\prime}\right)
$$

relative to an orthonormal frame $\left\{E^{\prime}{ }_{j}\right\}$ such that $G\left(E^{\prime}{ }_{i}, E_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\varepsilon_{i} \delta_{i j}$. In particular, if $N$ is a semi-Sasakian space form of $\phi$-sectional curvature $c$, then the Gauss equation of $N$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R^{\prime}\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right) Z^{\prime} \\
& =\left[(c+3 \varepsilon)\left\{G\left(Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right) X^{\prime}-G\left(X^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right) Y^{\prime}\right\}\right. \\
& +(\varepsilon c-1) \omega^{\prime}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)\left\{\omega^{\prime}\left(X^{\prime}\right) Y^{\prime}-\omega^{\prime}\left(Y^{\prime}\right) X^{\prime}\right\} \\
& +(c-\varepsilon)\left\{G\left(X^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right) \omega^{\prime}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)-G\left(Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right) \omega^{\prime}\left(X^{\prime}\right)\right\} E^{\prime} \\
& \left.+G\left(P^{\prime} Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right) P^{\prime} X^{\prime}-G\left(P^{\prime} X^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right) P^{\prime} Y^{\prime}-2 G\left(P^{\prime} X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right) P^{\prime} Z^{\prime}\right] / 4 \\
& +G\left(\sigma^{\prime}\left(Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right), \xi^{\prime}\right) A^{\prime} X^{\prime}-G\left(\sigma^{\prime}\left(X^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right), \xi^{\prime}\right) A^{\prime} Y^{\prime},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $E_{2 n}=E^{\prime}$ and hence $S^{\prime}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
S^{\prime}\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right) & =\left[(2 n-1)(c+3 \varepsilon) G\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right)\right.  \tag{1.8}\\
& -2(n-1)(\varepsilon c-1) \omega^{\prime}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \omega^{\prime}\left(Y^{\prime}\right) \\
& \left.+(c-\varepsilon)\left\{3 G\left(P^{\prime} X^{\prime}, P^{\prime} Y^{\prime}\right)-G\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right] / 4 \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{2 n-1}\left\{G\left(\sigma^{\prime}\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right), \sigma^{\prime}\left(E_{j}^{\prime}, E_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-G\left(\sigma^{\prime}\left(X^{\prime}, E_{j}^{\prime}\right), \sigma^{\prime}\left(Y^{\prime}, E_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\}-\varepsilon G\left(F^{\prime} X^{\prime}, F^{\prime} Y^{\prime}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, let $\bar{M}$ be a 2 n-dimensional Kaehler manifold with an almost complex structure $J$ and a Kaehler metric tensor $g$. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of $\bar{M}$ whose induced metric from that of $\bar{M}$ is denoted by the same symbol $g$. By the similar definition to that of the set of ( $P^{\prime}, F^{\prime}$ ), an endomorphism $P$ of $T(M)$ and a 1-form $F$ of $T(M)$ with values in $N(M)$ are defined by

$$
J X=P X+F X
$$

Then $P$ is skew-symmetric and moreover the following relationships between these operators are given :

$$
\begin{align*}
& g(F X, \xi)+g(X, J \xi)=0  \tag{1.9}\\
& P^{2}=-I-J F, \quad F P=0
\end{align*}
$$

A Kaehler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature is called a complex space form. A complex space form of constant holomorphic curvature $4 c$ and of complex dimension $n$ is denoted by $M_{n}(c)$. For the unit normal $\xi$ to $M$ in $\bar{M}$, the tangent vector $J \xi$ is denoted by $-E$. Then $E$ is the unit vector field on $M$ and a 1 -form $\omega$ is defined by $F(X)=\omega(X) \xi$. As is well known, $M$ admits an almost contact metric structure ( $P, E, \omega, g$ ). Let
$\sigma$ and $A$ be a second fundamental form of $M$ and a shape operator derived from $\xi$, respectively. The covariant derivative $\nabla P$ is defined by $\nabla_{X} P(Y)=$ $\nabla_{X}(P Y)-P \nabla_{X} Y$. Then it follows from the Gauss and the Weingarten formulas that it satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\nabla_{X} P(Y)=-g(A X, Y)+\omega(Y) A X  \tag{1.10}\\
\nabla_{X} E=P A X
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\nabla$ denotes the Riemannian connection of $M$. By the Gauss equation, the Ricci tensor $S$ of $M$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
S(X, Y) & =c\{(2 n+1) g(X, Y)-3 \omega(X) \omega(Y)\}  \tag{1.11}\\
& +h g(A X, Y)-g(A X, A Y)
\end{align*}
$$

where $h$ denotes the trace of $A$, and by the Codazzi equation we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} A(Y)-\nabla_{X} A(X)=c\{\omega(X) P Y-\omega(Y) P X+2 g(X, P Y) E\} . \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Frow now on, assume that the structure vector $E$ is principal, that is, $E$ is an eigenvector of $A$ associated with an eigenvalue $\alpha$. The equation (1.10) implies that
(1.13) $\nabla_{X} A(E)=d \alpha(X) E+\alpha P A X-A P A X$,
from which is follows that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 A P A=\alpha(A P+P A)+2 c P  \tag{1.14}\\
\beta(A P+P A)=0, d \alpha=\beta \omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\beta=d \alpha(E)$. It implies that the principal curvature $\alpha$ is constant provided that $c>0$. Suppose that $c<0$. Consequently (1.12), (1.13) and (1.14) give rise to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\nabla_{X} A(E)=\alpha(P A-A P) X / 2-c P X+\beta \omega(X) E  \tag{1.15}\\
\nabla_{E} A(Y)=\alpha(P A-A P) Y / 2+\beta \omega(Y) E
\end{array}\right.
$$

By combining these equations, the following relationship

$$
\begin{equation*}
d h(E)=\beta \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

is obtained. In fact, since the function $h$ is the trace of the shape operator $A$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d h(E) & =\sum\left\{g\left(\nabla_{E} A\left(E_{j}\right), E_{j}\right)+2 g\left(A E_{j}, \nabla_{E} E_{j}\right)\right\} \\
& =\sum\left\{\alpha g\left((P A-A P) E_{j}, E_{j}\right) / 2+\beta \omega\left(E_{j}\right)^{2}+2 g\left(A E_{j}, \nabla_{E} E_{j}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is independent of the choice of the orthonormal frame $\left\{E_{j}\right\}$. Accordingly, without loss of generality, each $E_{j}$ may be chosen as a principal
vector.
For details stated in this section, see cf. Yano and Kon [15].

## 2. Hypersurfaces.

Let $\bar{N}$ be a $(2 n+1)$-dimensional semi-Sasakian manifold equipped with the structure $(\phi, \bar{E}, \bar{\omega}, G)$. Assume that there is a fibration $\bar{\pi}: \bar{N} \rightarrow \bar{M}$, where $\bar{M}$ denotes the set of orbits of $\bar{E}$ and a real $2 n$-dimensional Kaehler manifold. $\bar{N}$ is a principal circle bundle over $\bar{M}$ and $\bar{\omega}$ is a connection in this bundle, and we have the orthogonal decomposition $T_{q}(\bar{N})=T_{\bar{\pi}(q)}(\bar{M})+$ $\operatorname{span}\{\bar{E}\}$. Let * be the horizontal lift with respect to the connection $\bar{\omega}$. We donote the Kaehler structure of $\bar{M}$ by ( $J, g$ ), where $J$ is defined by $J X=d \bar{\pi}$ $\left(\phi X^{*}\right)$. Then, by the construction we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(J X)^{*}=\phi X^{*}, G\left(X^{*}, Y^{*}\right)=g(X, Y) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any vector fields $X$ and $Y$ on $\bar{M}$. The following relation between the Riemannian connections $\bar{\nabla}$ of $\bar{M}$ and $\bar{D}$ on $\bar{N}$ is derived from the above properties:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} Y\right)^{*}=-\phi^{2} \bar{D}_{X} \cdot Y^{*}=\bar{D}_{X} Y^{*}-G\left(\phi X^{*}, Y^{*}\right) \bar{E}, \bar{D}_{X} \cdot E=\varepsilon \phi X^{*} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $N$ be a hypersurface tangent to $\bar{E}$ of $\bar{N}$. In the sequel, we assume that there is a fibration $\pi: N \rightarrow M$, where $M$ is a real hypersurface of $\bar{M}$ such that the diagram

\[

\]

is commutative and the immersion $i^{\prime}$ of $N$ into $\bar{N}$ is a diffeomorphism of the fibres. This shows that we have the orthogonal decomposition $T_{q}(N)=$ $\left(T_{\pi(q)} M\right)^{*}+\operatorname{span}\left\{E^{\prime}{ }_{q}\right\}$. Then the fibrations $\bar{\pi}: \bar{N} \rightarrow \bar{M}$ and $\pi: N \rightarrow M$ are both the Riemannian submersions in the sense of $O^{\prime}$ Neill. By $G$ and $g$ the induced semi-Riemannian tensors of $N$ and $M$ are denoted, respectively. Let $D$ and $\nabla$ be the Levi-Civita connections on $N$ and $M$, and $\sigma^{\prime}$ and $\sigma$ be the second fundamental forms of $N$ and $M$, respectively. The associated shape operators are denoted by $A^{\prime}$ and $A$. The Gauss formulas for the immersions $i^{\prime}$ and $i$ and (2.2) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{X} \cdot Y^{*}=\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)^{*}-G\left(\phi X^{*}, Y^{*}\right) E^{\prime}, \quad \sigma^{\prime}\left(X^{*}, Y^{*}\right)=\sigma(X, Y)^{*} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by the Weingarten formulas for the immersions and (2.2) we have the
following relations between the shape operators $A^{\prime}$ and $A$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.A^{\prime} Y^{*}=(A Y)^{*}+\varepsilon G\left(A^{\prime} Y^{*}, E^{\prime}\right) E^{\prime}, D_{\bar{x}}^{\frac{1}{x}} \cdot \xi^{*}=\left(\nabla \frac{1}{x} \xi\right)\right)^{*}, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D^{\perp}$ and $\nabla^{\perp}$ are the covariant differentials with respect to the normal connections.

On the other hand, for the orthogonal operators $\left(P^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right)$ and $(P, F)$ of the immersions $i^{\prime}$ and $i$ respectively, (2.1) means that
(2.5) $(P X)^{*}=P^{\prime} X^{*},(F X)^{*}=F^{\prime} X^{*},(J \xi)^{*}=\phi \xi^{*}$,
and by (1.6) and (2.4) it turns out that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\prime} Y^{*}=(A Y)^{*}+G\left(F^{\prime} Y^{*}, \xi^{*}\right) E^{\prime}, A^{\prime} E^{\prime}=-\varepsilon \phi \xi^{*} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the relationship between covariant derivatives of the second fundamental form $\sigma^{\prime}$ of $N$ and $\sigma$ of $M$, it follows from (1.3), (1.6), (2.3) and (2.4) that we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D_{X} \cdot \sigma^{\prime}\left(Y^{*}, Z^{*}\right)=\left\{\nabla_{X} \sigma(Y, Z)+\varepsilon g(P X, Y) F Z+\varepsilon g(P X, Z) F Y\right\}^{*},  \tag{2.7}\\
D_{x} \cdot \sigma^{\prime}\left(Y^{*}, E^{*}\right)=D_{E^{\prime}} \sigma^{\prime}\left(X^{*}, Y^{*}\right)=-\varepsilon\{\sigma(X, P Y)+\sigma(P X, Y)\}^{*}, \\
D_{X} \cdot \sigma^{\prime}\left(E^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right)=D_{E^{\prime}} \sigma^{\prime}\left(E^{\prime}, X^{*}\right)=-2 F^{\prime} P^{\prime} X^{*} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

By means of (1.1) and (2.2), a straightforward calculation gives rise to

$$
\begin{align*}
(\bar{R}(X, Y) Z)^{*} & =\bar{R}^{\prime}\left(X^{*}, Y^{*}\right) Z^{*}+\varepsilon\left\{G\left(Z^{*}, \phi Y^{*}\right) \phi X^{*}\right.  \tag{2.8}\\
& \left.-G\left(Z^{*}, \phi X^{*}\right) \phi Y^{*}-2 G\left(Y^{*}, \phi X^{*}\right) \phi Z^{*}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

and by choosing the orthonormal frame field in which $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}$ is included, it turns out that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{S}(X, Y)=\bar{S}^{\prime}\left(X^{*}, Y^{*}\right)+2 \varepsilon g(X, Y) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by making use of (2.3), (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8) it follows from the Gauss equations of $N$ and $M$ that we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& (R(X, Y) Z)^{*}=R^{\prime}\left(X^{*}, Y^{*}\right) Z^{*}+\varepsilon\{g(P Y, Z) P X  \tag{2.10}\\
& -g(P X, Z) P Y-2 g(P X, Y) P Z\}^{*} \\
& +\left\{-G\left(F^{\prime} X^{*}, \xi^{*}\right) G\left(A^{\prime} Y^{*}, Z^{*}\right)+G\left(F^{\prime} Y^{*}, \xi^{*}\right) G\left(A^{\prime} X^{*}, Z^{*}\right)\right\} E^{*}
\end{align*}
$$

and hence it turns out that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(X, Y)=S^{\prime}\left(X^{*}, Y^{*}\right)+2 \varepsilon g(P X, P Y) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if $\bar{N}$ is a semi-Sasakian space form of $\phi$-holomorphic curvature c , then we have by (1.8)

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{\prime}\left(X^{*}, Y^{*}\right)=[(2 n-1)(c+3 \varepsilon) g(X, Y) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +(c-\varepsilon)\{3 g(P X, P Y)-g(X, Y)\}] / 4 \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{2 n-1}\left\{g\left(\sigma(X, Y), \sigma\left(E_{j}, E_{j}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-g\left(\sigma\left(X, E_{j}\right), \sigma\left(Y, E_{j}\right)\right)\right\} \\
& -\varepsilon g(F X, F Y), \\
S^{\prime}\left(X^{*}, E^{*}\right)= & \left.\varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^{2 n-1}\left\{g\left(F X, \sigma\left(E_{j}, E_{j}\right)\right)-g\left(\sigma X, E_{j}\right), F E_{j}\right)\right\}, \\
S^{\prime}\left(E^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right)= & (2 n-1) c-\sum_{j=1}^{2 n-1} g\left(F E_{j}, F E_{j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, the following property between the covariant derivatives of Ricci tensors $S^{\prime}$ and $S$ is given. The proof is omitted, because it is only the straightforward calculation in which many formulas mentioned above are used.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\bar{N}$ be a semi-Sasakian space form of constant $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ sectional curvature $c$ and $N$ be semi-Riemannian hypersurface tangent to the structure vector $\bar{E}$. Assume that there exist fibrations $\bar{\pi}: \bar{N} \rightarrow \bar{M}$ and $\pi: N \rightarrow$ $M$, where $M$ is a hypersurface of a Kaehler manifold $\bar{M}$. If the one is compatible with the other, then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{X} S^{\prime}\left(Y^{*}, Z^{*}\right) & =\nabla_{X} S(Y, Z)+g(P X, Y) S^{\prime}\left(E^{\prime}, Z^{*}\right)  \tag{2.13}\\
& +g(P X, Z) S^{\prime}\left(E^{\prime}, Y^{*}\right) \\
& -2 \varepsilon\{g(\sigma(Y, P Z), F X)+g(\sigma(Z, P Y), F X)\} \\
& +2 \varepsilon\{g(\sigma(X, Y), F P Z)+g(\sigma(X, Z), F P Y)\}
\end{align*}
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y$ and $Z$ tangent to $M$.
REMARK. Lemma 2.1 holds in the case where $N$ and $M$ are semiRiemannian submanifolds of $N$ and $M$, respectively.

## 3. Cyclic-parallel Ricci tensors.

This section is devoted to the investigation about the principal curvatures of a real hypersurface of a complex space form whose Ricci tensor is cyclic-parallel. The Ricci tensor $S$ of the semi-Riemannian manifold is said to be cyclic-parallel, if it satisfies $\mathfrak{S} \nabla S=0$, where $\mathbb{S}$ denotes the cyclic sum, that is, it satisfies
(3.1) $\subseteq \nabla S(X, Y, Z)=\nabla_{X} S(Y, Z)+\nabla_{Y} S(Z, X)+\nabla_{Z} S(X, Y)=0$
for any tangent vector fields $X, Y$ and $Z$, which is equivalent to $\nabla S(X, X$, $X)=0$. For this condition, refer to Besse [1].

Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of $M_{n}(c)(c \neq 0)$ whose Ricci tensor is cyclic-parallel. Then $M$ admits an almost contact metric structure ( $P, E$, $\omega, g$ ). Assume that the structure vector field $E$ is principal. The principal curvature is denoted by $\alpha$. Then it follows from some formulas given in § 1
that (3.1) is reduced to

$$
\begin{align*}
& h\left\{g\left(\nabla_{X} A(Y), Z\right)+g\left(\nabla_{Y} A(Z), X\right)+g\left(\nabla_{Z} A(X), Y\right\}\right.  \tag{3.2}\\
& +\{X h g(A Y, Z)+Y h g(A Z, X)+Z h g(A X, Y)\} \\
& -\left\{g\left(A X, \nabla_{Y} A(Z)+\nabla_{Z} A(Y)\right)\right. \\
& +g\left(A Y, \nabla_{z} A(X)+\nabla_{X} A(Z)\right) \\
& +g\left(A Z, \nabla_{X} A(Y)+\nabla_{Y}(X)\right\} \\
& -3 c\{\omega(X) g(B Y, Z)+\omega(Y) g(B Z, X)+\omega(Z) g(B X, Y)\}=0,
\end{align*}
$$

where $B$ denotes the operator of $T(M)$ defined by $P A-A P$.
First of all, the constancy of the principal curvature $\alpha$ is proved. In the case of $P_{n} \boldsymbol{C}$, the fact is true without the condition that $S$ is cyclic-parallel.

Lemma 3.1. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of $M_{n}(c),(c \neq 0)$, whose Ricci tensor is cyclic-parallel. If $E$ is principal, then the corresponding principal curvature $\alpha$ is constant.

Proof. Putting $Z=E$ in (3.2) and taking account of (1.15) and (1.16), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(3 \alpha h-8 c-2 \alpha^{2}\right) B-2 \alpha\left(P A^{2}-A^{2} P\right)+2 \alpha(d h \otimes E+\omega \otimes \operatorname{grad} h)  \tag{3.3}\\
& +2 \beta A+6 \beta(h-2 \alpha) \omega \otimes E=0
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta=d \alpha(E)$. If this operator acts on $E$, then it turns out that (3.4) $\alpha d h=\beta(4 \alpha-3 h) \omega$,
from which together with (1.16) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(\alpha-h)=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $U$ be the set consisting of points of $M$ at which the function $\beta$ is not zero. Suppose that $U$ is not empty. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P A+A P=0, \alpha=h \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

by means of (1.14) and (3.5). Accordingly the following equation is derived from (3.3):

$$
\left(\alpha^{2}-8 c\right) P A-\alpha \beta \omega \otimes E+\beta A=0
$$

For a principal vector $X$ on $U$ orthogonal to $E$ with a principal curvature $\lambda$, we have

$$
\left(\alpha^{2}-8 c\right) \lambda P X+\beta \lambda X=0
$$

Since $X$ and $P X$ are mutually orthogonal, it means that $\lambda=0$ on $U$. This together with (3.6) implies that

$$
A X=0, A P X=0,
$$

which show that the shape operator $A$ and the structure tensor $P$ commute each other on $U$. The same argument as those of Okumura [10] ( $c>0$ ) and Montiel and Romero [9] ( $c<0$ ) proves that $\alpha$ is constant on $U$. By (1.15) it turns out that $\beta=0$, which is a contradiction. Consequently $U$ is empty and therefore $\beta=0$ on $M$.
q. e. d.

Since $\alpha$ is constant, $(3.3)$ and ( 3,4 ) give

$$
\alpha d h=0,\left(3 \alpha h-8 c-2 \alpha^{2}\right) B-2 \alpha\left(P A^{2}-A^{2} P\right)=0 .
$$

By making use of this equation, the following theorem is proved. By means of the congruence theorem due to Kimura [4], the main theorem mentioned in the introduction is a direct consequence of the following result.

ThEOREM 3.2. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of $M_{n}(c), c \neq 0$, whose Ricci tensor is cyclic-parallel. If the structure vector $E$ is principal, then all principal curvatures of $M$ are constant and the number of distinct principal curvatures are at most 5 .

Proof. Let $X$ be a principal vector orthogonal to $E$ with a principal curvature $\lambda$. Then it follows from (1.14) that

$$
(2 \lambda-\alpha) A P X=(\lambda \alpha+2 c) P X .
$$

Let $V$ be the set consisting of points at which the function $2 \lambda-\alpha$ is non-zero. In the case of $c>0, V$ is entirely equal to $M$. Suppose that $V$ is not empty. Then $P X$ is also principal on the open set $V$ and its corresponding principal curvature $\mu$ is given by

$$
\mu=(\alpha \lambda+2 c) /(2 \lambda-\alpha) .
$$

Consequently, as the relationship between principal curvatures $\lambda$ and $\mu$, (3.7) is reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\lambda-\mu)\{\alpha(\lambda+\mu)-k\}=0, k=\left(3 \alpha h-8 c-2 \alpha^{2}\right) / 2, \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the quartic equation of variable $\lambda$ whose coefficients are not necessarily constant.

Suppose that $\alpha=0$. Then (3.8) is regarded as $c(\lambda-\mu)=0$ and hence $\lambda=\mu$, which implies that $\lambda^{2}=c>0$, because of the definition of $\mu$. It means that $\lambda$ is constant on $V$ and hence the continuity of $\lambda$ shows that $V$ coincides with $M$.

On the other hand, suppose that $\alpha \neq 0$. It is seen that the function h is constant by (3.7) and hence (3.8) is the quartic equation of $\lambda$ whose
coefficients are constant. It means that $\lambda$ is constant on $V$ and hence on $M$, and the number $d$ of the distinct principal curvatures is at most 5 .

Next, the case where $V$ is emtpy is considered. Then we have $2 \lambda=\alpha$ on $M$ and hence $\alpha \lambda+2 c=0, \lambda^{2}=-c>0$ on $M$. Accordingly $\lambda \neq \alpha$ and $\alpha \neq$ 0 , and hence $h$ is constant on $M$. Suppose that there exist a point $x$ and a principal vector $u$ at $x$ orthogonal to $E_{x}$ with a principal curvature $\tau$ such that $\tau \neq \alpha / 2$. Then $P_{x} u$ becomes a principal vector with a principal curvature

$$
(\alpha \tau+2 c) /(2 \tau-\alpha) \neq \alpha / 2
$$

and from (3.3) it follows that

$$
(2 \tau-\alpha)(3 h-2 \tau-\alpha)=0 .
$$

Accordingly, $\tau=(3 h-\alpha) / 2$ and it is different from $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$. In fact, suppose that $\tau=\alpha$ and its multiplicity is equal to $p$. Then we have $h=\alpha$, which yields $(2 n-1+p) \alpha=0$, a contradiction. This shows that there exist distinct constant principal curvatures $\alpha, \alpha / 2$ and $(3 h-\alpha) / 2$.
q. e. d.

Remark 1. In a complex projective space Kimura [4] proved that if all principal curvatures are constant and if E is principal, then $d \leqq 5$.

Remark 2. In a complex hyperbolic space, Montiel and Romero [10] gave an example of a real hpersurface whose distinct principal curvatures are $\alpha$ and $\alpha / 2$ with multiplicites 1 and $2 n-2$. It is stated in the next section.

Remark 3. Under the condition $\nabla(ভ \nabla S)=0$, the same conclusion as that in this section is obtained.

## 4. Examples.

In this section, some standard examples of real hypersurfaces of $M_{n}(c)$ ( $c \neq 0$ ) whose Ricci tensors are cyclic-parallel are given. In the complex Euclidean space $\boldsymbol{C}^{n+1}$ equipped with the Hermitian form F, the Euclidean metric of $\boldsymbol{C}^{n+1}$ which is identified with $\boldsymbol{R}^{2 n+2}$ is given by Re $F$. For the unit sphere $S^{2 n+1}=\left\{z \in C^{n+1}: F(z, z)=1\right\}$ the tangent space $T_{z} S^{2 n+1}$ at each point $z$ can be identified with $\left\{w \in C^{n+1}: \operatorname{Re} F(z, w)=0\right\}$. Let $T_{z}^{\prime}$ be the orthogonal complement of the vector $i z$ in $T_{z} S^{2 n+1}$. When the sphere $S^{2 n+1}$ is considered as a principal fibre bundle over $P_{n} \boldsymbol{C}$ with the structure group $S^{1}$ and the projection $\pi$, there is a connection such that $T_{z}^{\prime}$ is the horizontal subspace at $z$ which is invariant under the $S^{1}$-action. The Fubini-Study metric $g$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4 is given by $g_{P}(X$, $Y)=\operatorname{Re} F_{z}\left(X^{*}, Y^{*}\right)$ for any tangent vectors $X$ and $Y$ in $T_{P}\left(P_{n} \boldsymbol{C}\right)$, where
$z$ is any point of $S^{2 n+1}$ with $\pi(z)=p$ and, $X^{*}$ and $Y^{*}$ are the vectors in $T_{z}^{\prime}$ such that $d \pi X^{*}=X$ and $d \pi Y^{*}=Y$. On the other hand, the complex structure $J: w \rightarrow i w$ in $T_{z}^{\prime}$ is compatible with the action of $S^{1}$ and induces the almost complex structure $J$ on $P_{n} \boldsymbol{C}$ such that $d \pi \circ i=J \circ d \pi$. Then $P_{n} \boldsymbol{C}$ is a complex projective space with constant holomorphic curvature 4.

Now, for any positive number $r$ a hypersurface $N_{0}(2 n, r)$ of $S^{2 n+1}$ is defined by

$$
N_{0}(2 n, r)=\left\{\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n+1}\right) \in S^{2 n+1} \subset \boldsymbol{C}^{n+1}: \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}=\mathrm{r}\left|z_{n+1}\right|^{2}\right\}
$$

For an integer $m(2 \leqq m \leqq n-1)$ and a positive number $s$, a hypersurface $N$ ( $2 n, m, s$ ) of $S^{2 n+1}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
N(2 n, m, s)= & \left\{\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n+1}\right) \in S^{2 n+1} \subset \boldsymbol{C}^{n+1}:\right. \\
& \left.\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}=s \sum_{j=m+1}^{n+1}\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then it is seen that $N_{0}(2 n, r)$ and $N(2 n, m, s)$ are both isoparametric hypersurfaces of $S^{2 n+1}$ which have two distinct constant principal curvatures $[12,13]$, and the second fundamental forms are parallel.

For a real hypersurface $M$ of $P_{n} \boldsymbol{C}$ it is known that we can construct a real hypersurface $N$ of $S^{2 n+1}$ which is a principal $S^{1}$-bundle over $M$ with totally geodesic fibres and the projection $\pi$. Moreover, the projection is compatible with the Hopf fibration $\bar{\pi}: S^{2 n+1} \rightarrow P_{n} C$, that is, the diagram

\[

\]

is commutative ( $i^{\prime}$ and $i$ being the respective immersions). Since the second fundamental forms of the immersions $i^{\prime}$ of the examples mentioned above are parallel, so are the Ricci tensors. It follows from this result together with Lemma 2.1 that $M_{0}(2 n-1, r)=\pi\left(N_{0}(2 n, r)\right)$ and $M(2 n-1, m, s)=\pi$ ( $N(2 n, m, s))(n \geqq 3)$ are examples of real hypersurfaces of $P_{n} C$ whose Ricci tensors are cyclic-parallel, because the shape operator and the induced structure tensor $P$ commute with each other.

REMARK 1. It is known [11] that $M_{0}(2 n-1, r)$ and $M(2 n-1, m, s)$ are both compact connected real hypersurfaces of $P_{n} \boldsymbol{C}$ with constant two or three distinct principal curvatures respectively, which are said to be of type $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ respectively.

Remark 2. It is shown in [2] and [10] that $M_{0}(2 n-1, r)$ and $M$ $(2 n-1, m, s), s=(m-1) /(n-m)$, are pseudo-Einstein. From this property that the Ricci tensor is cyclic-parallel can be checked by the direct calculation.

Now, some examples of real hypersurfaces of $H_{n} C$ are considered. In $C^{n+1}$ with the standard basis, a Hermitian form $F$ is defined by

$$
F(z, w)=-z_{0} \bar{w}_{0}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} z_{k} \bar{w}_{k},
$$

where $z=\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ and $w=\left(w_{0}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)$ are in $\boldsymbol{C}^{n+1}$. The Minkowski space ( $\left.\boldsymbol{C}^{n+1}, F\right)$ is simply denoted by $\boldsymbol{C}_{1}^{n+1}$. The scalar product given by Re $F(z, w)$ is a semi-Riemannian metric of index 2 in $\boldsymbol{C}_{1}^{n+1}$. Let $H_{1}^{2 n+1}$ be a real hypersurface of $\boldsymbol{C}_{1}^{n+1}$ defined by

$$
H_{1}^{2 n+1}=\left\{z \in \boldsymbol{C}_{1}^{n+1}: F(z, z)=-1\right\},
$$

and let $G$ be a semi-Riemannian metric of $H_{1}^{2 n+1}$ induced from the complex Lorentz metric Re $F$ of $\boldsymbol{C}_{1}^{n+1}$. Then $\left(H_{1}^{2 n+1}, G\right)$ is the Lorentz manifold of constant curvature -1, which is called an anti-de Sitter space. For any point $z$ of $H_{1}^{2 n+1}$ the tangent space $T_{z} H_{1}^{2 n+1}$ can be identified with $\left\{w \in \boldsymbol{C}_{1}^{n+1}\right.$ : $\operatorname{Re} F(z, w)=0\}$. Moreover, similar to the case of the complex projective space, it is known in [9] that $H_{1}^{2 n+1}$ is a principal $S^{1}$-bundle over a complex hyperbolic space $H_{n} \boldsymbol{C}$ with the projection $\pi: H_{1}^{2 n+1} \rightarrow H_{n} \boldsymbol{C}$, which is a semiRiemannian submersion with the fundamental tensor $J$ and time-like totally geodesic fibres.

Now, for given integers $p$ and $q$ with $p+q=n-1$ and $r \in \boldsymbol{R}$ with $0<r<$ 1, a Lorentz hypersurface $N_{p, q}(r)$ of $H_{1}^{2 n+1}$ is defined by

$$
N_{p, q}(r)=\left\{\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \in H_{1}^{2 n+1}: r\left(-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{p}\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}\right)=-\sum_{j=p+1}^{n}\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}\right\}
$$

and a Lorentz hypersurface $N_{n}$ of $H_{1}^{2 n+1}$ is given by

$$
N_{n}=\left\{\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \in H_{1}^{2 n+1}:\left|z_{0}-z_{1}\right|^{2}=1\right\} .
$$

Then it is seen from [8] that $N_{p, q}(r)$ is isometric to $H_{1}^{2 p+1}(1 /(\mathrm{r}-1)) \times S^{2 q+1}$ $(r /(1-r))$ and the second fundamental forms of $N_{p, q}(r)$ and $N_{n}$ are both parallel, and hence so are the Ricci tensors.

Since $N_{p, q}(r)$ and $N_{n}$ are $S^{1}$-invariant, $M_{p, q}(r)=\pi\left(N_{p, q}(r)\right)$ and $M_{n}=\pi$ $\left(N_{n}\right)$ are real hypersurfaces of $H_{n} C$. Then $\pi: N_{p, q}(r) \rightarrow M_{p, q}(r)$ and $\pi: N_{n}$ $\rightarrow M_{n}$ are semi-Riemannian submersions which are compatible with the $S^{1}-$ fibration $\pi: H_{1}^{2 n+1} \rightarrow H_{n} C$. By means of Lemma 2.1 it follows that $M_{p, q}(r)$ and $M_{n}$ are examples of real hypersurfaces of $H_{n} C$ whose Ricci tensors are cyclic-parallel, because the shape operator and the structure tensor commute with each other.

Real hypersurfaces of $H_{n} \boldsymbol{C}$ are due to Montiel [8] and Montiel and Romero [9].

REMARK 3. It is seen that $M_{p, q}(r)$ and $M_{n}$ are complete connected real hypersurfaces of $H_{n} \boldsymbol{C}$ with constant two or three distinct principal curvatures, which are said to be of type $A$.

## 5. Classifications in $\mathbf{P}_{n} C$.

In this section the complete connected real hypersurface of $P_{n} C$ whose Ricci tensor is cyclic-parallel is considered. Let $M$ be such a hypersurface of $P_{n} \boldsymbol{C}$ and assume that the structure vector $E$ is principal. Then it is already seen that all principal curvatures are constant and the number $d$ of distinct principal curvatures is at most 5 . Let $\lambda_{a}(a=0, \ldots, 4)$ be distinct principal curvatures with multiplicities $m_{a}$, respectively, defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{0}=\alpha \\
& \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}: \text { the roots of } x^{2}-\alpha x-1=0  \tag{5.1}\\
& \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}: \text { the roots } x^{2}-k x / \alpha+k / 2+1=0
\end{align*}
$$

where $k=\left(3 \alpha h-8-2 \alpha^{2}\right) / 2(\alpha \neq 0)$. Accordingly, by means of a theorem due to Kimural [4], $M$ is congruent to an open set of a homogeneous real hypersurface of $P_{n} C$.

In connection with the examples given in the previous section, we next investigate whether or not the homogeneous real hypersurfaces of $P_{n} C$ which are not of type $A_{1}$ or $A_{2}$ satisfy the condition $\subseteq \nabla S=0$. In order to answer this purpose, the sufficient condition for the cyclic-parallelism of the Ricci tensor is first considered.

LEMMA 5.1. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of $P_{n} \boldsymbol{C}$. If the structure vector is principal, then the Ricci tensor is cyclic-parallel if and only if

$$
\mathfrak{S} \nabla S \mid E^{\perp}=0, \subseteq
$$

for any vector fields $X$ and $Y$, where $E^{\perp}$ denotes the orthogonal complement of $E$.

PROOF. It suffices to prove only the "if " part. Since the operator $\subseteq$ $S$ is trilinear, we have

$$
\mathfrak{S} \nabla S(X, Y, Z+f E)=\subseteq \nabla S(X, Y, Z) \text { for any function } f
$$

from which together with the assumption $\subseteq \nabla S \mid E^{\perp}=0$ it follows that

$$
\subseteq \nabla S(X, Y, Z)=0 \text { for any vector fields } X \text { and } Y \text { of } E^{\perp}
$$

By repeating the similar argument to the above one, the conclusion is given.

> q. e. d.

By taking account of (3.2) and (3.3), it is easily seen that the second condition is equivalent to the equation (3.7), because $h$ is constant.

Lemma 5.2. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of $P_{n} C$. If $E$ is principal, then it satisfies the condition $\subseteq \nabla S(X, Y, E)=0$ for any vector fields $X$ and $Y$ if and only if the function $h$ is constant and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(P A^{2}-A^{2} P\right)-k(P A-A P)=0 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k=\left(3 \alpha h-2 \alpha^{2}-8\right) / 2$.
Let $M$ be a homogeneous hypersurface of type $B, C, D$ or $E$ of $P_{n} C$. Then it has always principal curvatures $\lambda_{3}$ and $\lambda_{4}$ with the same multiplicities $m_{3}$ and $m_{4}$, which satisfy $\lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}=-1$ (cf. [12], Table). Accordingly, in order for $M$ to satisfy the condition $\subseteq \nabla S(X, Y, E)=0$, the principal curvatures $\lambda_{3}$ and $\lambda_{4}$ must satisfy the relation (5.2), in other words, they ought to be the roots of the second equation of (5.1). This means that $h=2 \alpha / 3$ is a necessary and sufficient condition. Since $h$ is given by $h=\alpha+m_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)+$ $m_{3}\left(\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}\right)$, we have

$$
h=\left(1+m_{1}\right) \alpha-4 m_{3} / \alpha,
$$

because of $\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}=\alpha$ and $\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}=-4 / \alpha$. It yields that $h=2 \alpha / 3$ is equivalent to

$$
\alpha^{2}=12(n-1), 24 /(3 n-8), 48 / 13 \text { or } 72 / 25
$$

according as the homogenous hypersurface $M$ of type $B, C, D$ or $E$.
We next consider the condition $\subseteq \nabla S \mid E^{\perp}=0$. Suppose that the number $d$ of distinct principal curvatures of a real hypersurface of $P_{n} C$ is at most three, say $\alpha, \lambda$ and $\mu$. Since any vector fields $X_{a}(a=1,2,3)$ orthogonal to $E$ have the direct sum decomposition $X_{a}=X_{a 1}+X_{a 2}$ such that $A X_{a 1}=\lambda X_{a 1}$ and $A X_{a 2}=\mu X_{a 2}$, we have $g\left(\nabla_{X 1} A\left(X_{2}\right), X_{3}\right)=\sum g\left(\nabla_{X a b} A\left(X_{c d}\right), X_{e f}\right)$. Since $g$ $\left(\nabla_{X} A(Y), Z\right)$ is symmetric with respect to $X, Y$ and $Z$ orthogonal to $E$ because of (1.12), we may consider without loss of generality that, in each term $g\left(\nabla_{X} A(Y), Z\right)$ of the right hand side of the above equation, $Y$ and $Z$ are both principal vectors corresponding to the principal curvature $\lambda$. Consequently, since the shape operetor is self-adjoint, we get

$$
g\left(\nabla_{X} A(Y), Z\right)=g\left(\nabla_{X}(A Y)-A \nabla_{X} Y, Z\right)=0
$$

from which it follows that $g\left(\nabla_{X_{1}} A\left(X_{2}\right), X_{3}\right)=0$ for any vector fields $X_{a}$ orthogonal to $E$, and hence the condition

$$
\mathfrak{S} \nabla S \mid E^{\perp}=0
$$

is satisfied. It yields that the homogeneous real hypersurface of type $B$ satisfies the above condition. For a real number $t(0<t<1)$ we denote by $N$ ( $2 n, t$ ) a hypersurface of $S^{2 n+1}$ defined by $\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} z_{j}^{2}\right|^{2}=t$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{n+1}\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}=1$ for $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n+1}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{n+1}$. Then it is seen by Takagi [13] that the hypersurface has constant principal curvatures $\lambda_{a}(a=1, \ldots, 4)$ with multiplicities 1,1 , $n-1$ and $n-1$, and that $t=\sin ^{2} 2 \theta$. Thus, for the projection $\pi$ of the Hopf fibration of $S^{2 n+1}$ onto $P_{n} \boldsymbol{C}, M(2 n-1, t)=\boldsymbol{\pi}(N(2 n, t))$ is a compact real hypersurface of type $B$ and, since $t=1 /(3 n-2)$ is equivalent to $\alpha^{2}=12(n-$ 1), the Ricci tensor of $M(2 n-1,1 /(3 n-2))$ is cyclic-parallel, because of $\alpha=2 \cot 2 \theta$. By means of Lemmas 3.1, 5.1 and 5.2 we can prove the following

Theorem 5.3. $\quad M_{0}(2 n-1, r), M(2 n-1, m, s)$ and $M(2 n-1,1 /(3 n-$ 2)) are complete and connected real hypersurfaces of $P_{n} \boldsymbol{C}$ whose Ricci tensor is cyclic-parallel and whose structure vector is principal.

Remark 1. Let $X, Y$ and $Z$ be principal vectors orthogonal to $E$ associated with principal curvatures $\lambda, \mu$ and $\sigma$, respectively. Then the following equation is derived from (3.8):

$$
\subseteq \nabla S(X, Y, Z)=\{3 h-2(\lambda+\mu+\sigma)\} g\left(\nabla_{X} A(Y), Z\right) .
$$

For the homogenous real hypersurface of type $C, D$ or $E$ of $P_{n} \boldsymbol{C}$ whose value of $\alpha^{2}$ is given by $24 /(3 n-8), 48 / 13$ or $72 / 25$, the above relationship means that $\subseteq \nabla S \mid E^{\perp}=0$ if and only if $g\left(\nabla_{X} A(Y), Z\right)=0$ for any vector fields orthogonal to $E$.

Remark 2. Let $M$ be a complete and connected real hypersurface of $H_{n} \boldsymbol{C}$. Montiel and Romero [9] proved that $M$ is congruent to $M_{p, q}(r)$ or $M_{n}$ provided that $B=P A-A P=0$. Accordingly it seems to be interesting whether or not Theorem 5.3 holds in the case of $H_{n} C$.
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