## A note on injective rings

Roger YUE CHI MING

(Dedicated to Professor Hisao TOMINAGA on his 65th birthday) (Received October 1, 1990, Revised September 17, 1991)

**Introduction**. Injective modules, specially self-injective rings, occupy a prominent position in ring theory and have drawn the attention of many authors since several years (cf. for example the bibliography of [1], [3], [4], [6]). Well-known examples of self-injective rings are self-injective regular rings, quasi-Frobeniusean rings and pseudo-Frobeniusean rings. The purpose of this note is to consider several nice conditions for rings to be self-injective. Test modules are given to ensure that rings are left self -injective regular with non-zero socle. Sufficient conditions for rings to be pseudo-Frobeniusean and quasi-Frobeniusean follow. Strongly regular rings with non-zero socle are characterized. The following are among the results proved for a ring A:(1) If A contains an injective maximal left ideal Y such that r(Y) is a minimal right ideal, then A is left self-injective; (2) A is left self-injective if A is weakly right duo containing an injective maximal left ideal; (3) A is left pseudo-Frobeniusean if A is left p-injective left Kasch containing an injective maximal left ideal.

Throughout, A denotes an associative ring with identity and A-modules are unital. J, Z will stand respectively for the Jacobson radical and the left singular ideal of A. A is called left non-singular iff Z=0. An ideal of A will always mean a two-sided ideal. Following E. H. FEL-LER, A is called a left duo ring if every left ideal of A is an ideal. A left (right) ideal of A is called reduced if it contains no non-zero nilpotient element. For any subset B of A, r(B) (resp. 1(B)) denotes the right (resp. left) annihilator of B.

## § 1. Self-injective rings.

PROPOSITION 1.1. Let A have an injective maximal left ideal Y such that r(Y) is a reduced ideal of A. Then A is left self-injective.

PROOF. We have  $A=Y \oplus U$ , where Y=Ae,  $e=e^2 \in A$ , U=Au, where u=1-e is also an idempotent and U is a minimal left ideal of A. Since uA=r(Y) is an ideal of A, then YAuA=YuA=0 implies that YA=1(u)=Y, whence Y is a maximal right ideal of A. Now uA=AuAand  $eA\subseteq AeA=Ae$ . If  $uA \cap Aa \neq 0$ , let  $0 \neq ua_0 = a_1u$ , where  $a_0$ ,  $a_1 \in A$ . Then  $ea_1e = eua_0 = 0$  implies that  $a_1e$  is a non-zero nilpotent element of r(Y), which contradicts r(Y) reduced. This proves that  $uA \cap Ae = 0$  and since Y = Ae is a maximal right ideal of A, then  $A = Y \oplus uA$ . Therefore  $A/Y_A$  is projective which implies that  ${}_{A}A/Y$  is injective by [10, Lemma 1]. Since  ${}_{A}U \approx {}_{A}A/Y$ , then  $A = Y \oplus U$  is an injective left A-module which proves the proposition.

REMARK 1. If Y is a maximal left ideal of A which is a left annihilator such that r(Y) is a minimal right ideal of A satisfying  $r(Y) \cap Y = 0$ , then r(Y) is reduced.

Proposition 1. 1. and Remark 1 may be used to prove the next theorem. The present independent proof is due to the referee. [8, Lemma 4(2)] and [13, Remark 9(2)] are strengthened.

THEOREM 1.2. Let A have an injective maximal left ideal Y such that r(Y) is a minimal right ideal of A. Then A is left self-injective.

Since  $_{A}Y$  is injective and maximal,  $A = Y \oplus V$  with a mini-PROOF. mal left ideal V of A. We need only to show that  $_{A}V$  is injective. Let 1 =e+f, where  $e \in Y$ ,  $f \in V$ . Then e and f(=1-e) are idempotents of A satisfying Ae = Y and Af = V, and it follows that r(Y) = fA. First suppose that YV=0. Then Y is an ideal of A, since YA=Y(Y+V)=YY $+YV = YY \subseteq Y$ . Therefore r(Y) is also an ideal of A, and so  $V = Af \subseteq Af$ r(Y) whence A = Y + r(Y). Since r(Y) is a minimal right ideal, we have that  $Y \cap r(Y) = 0$  (because otherwise  $Y \cap r(Y) = r(Y)$  whence  $f \in Y$ , a contradiction !). Thus  $A = Y \oplus r(Y)$ . This implies that  $(A/Y)_A$  is isomorphic to  $r(Y)_A$  (and V = AfA = r(Y)) and hence  $(A/Y)_A$  is simple and projective. Therefore, by [10, Lemma 1],  $_A(A/Y)$  and hence  $_AV$  is injective. Next suppose that  $YV \neq 0$ . Let  $v \in V$  be such that  $Yv \neq 0$ . Then, since  $_{A}V$  is simple, Yv = V, and indeed the mapping  $y \rightarrow yv(y \in Y)$ gives an epimorphism  ${}_{A}Y \rightarrow {}_{A}V$ . Since however  ${}_{A}V$  is projective, the epimorphism splits and so  ${}_{A}Y$  has a direct summand isomorphic to  ${}_{A}V$ . Since  $_{A}Y$  is injective, its direct summand and hence  $_{A}V$  must be injective too. This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 1.3. Let A be a left Kasch ring containing an injective maximal left ideal Y such that r(Y) is a minimal right ideal. Then A is left pseudo-Frobeniusean. Consequently, a left duo left Kasch ring containing an injective maximal left ideal is left pseudo-Frobeniusean. In that case, the maximal right ideals of A coincide with the maximal left ideals of A. We are now in a position to give "test modules" for a ring to be left self-injective regular with non-zero socle.

THEOREM (MY). The following conditions are equivalent: (1) A is left self-injective regular with non-zero socle;

(2) A has an injective maximal left ideal Y such that r(Y) is a minimal right ideal and, for every  $b \in J$ , A/Yb is a flat left A-module;

(3) A has a non-singular injective maximal left ideal Y such that r(Y) is a minimal right ideal of A.

PROOF. Assume (1). Then A has a minimal left ideal V. Since V is cyclic, there exists an idempotent f such that V=Af. If we put e=1-f, then e is also an idempotent and we have a direct decomposition  $A=Ae \oplus V$ . Therefore Ae an injective maximal left ideal of A. Since A is regular, J=Z=0 and r(Ae)=fA is a minimal right ideal. Since the regularity of A implies that every left A-module is flat, we have (2), while since Z=0, every left ideal of A is non-singular and so we have (3).

Now assume (2). Then A is left self-injective by Theorem 1.2. Let b be any element of J. Then  $_A(A/Yb)$  is flat. It is known that for any left ideal I of A,  $_A(A/I)$  is flat if, and only if,  $a \in aI$  for every  $a \in I$ . Therefore, for every  $y \in Y, yb = ybzb$  for some  $z \in Y$ . It follows that yb(1-zb)=0. But since b is, whence zb is, in J, 1-zb is invertible in A and it follows that yb=0. Thus we have Yb=0, or equivalently,  $b \in r(Y) \cap J$ . On the other hand, since Y is an injective left ideal, Y is a direct summand of  $_AA$  and hence Y=Ae for an idempotent e of A. If we put f=1-e, then f is also an idempotent and we have r(Y)=fA. Thus we know that  $b \in r(Y) \cap J=fJ$ . But since  $r(Y)_A$  is simple, it follows that fJ=r(Y)J=0, whence b=0. This shows that J=0 and A is therefore regular by [3, Corollary 19.28]. Moreover, since  $A=Y \oplus Af$  and Y is a maximal left ideal, Af is a minimal left ideal. This shows that (2) implies (1).

Assume finally (3). Then  $A = Y \oplus Af$  for a minimal left ideal Af with idempotent f as seen above. But Af is and hence A is non-singular. So (1) follows from Theorem 1.2 and [3, Corollary 19.28].

[13, Remark 11] is extended to the non-commutative case by condition (3) in the above theorem.

COROLLARY 1.4. A left duo ring containing a non-singular injective maximal left ideal is left and right self-injective strongly regular with non -zero socle.

If A is prime, Y a maximal left ideal generated by an idempotent, then it is clear that r(Y) is a minimal right ideal. If, further, A has non-zero socle, then Z=0. The following interesting result then follows immediately from Theorem (MY).

COROLLARY 1.5. If A is a prime ring containing an injective maximal left ideal, then A is primitive left self-injective regular with non-zero socle. Consequently, A is simple Artinian iff A is a prime ring containing an injective maximal left and an injective maximal right ideals.

Recall that a left A-module M is p-injective if, for any principal left ideal P of A, every left A-homomorphism of P into M extends to A. As in the definition of left self-injective rings, A is called left p-injective if  ${}_{A}A$  is p-injective. A theorem of M. Ikeda-T. Nakayama guarantees that A is left p-injective iff every principal right ideal of A is a right annihilator [5, Theorem 1]. We now turn to von Neumann regular rings with non-zero socle. Note that a finitely generated p-injective left ideal of Ais generated by an idempotent.

The proof of Theoren 1.2 yields an analogous *p*-injective result.

PROPOSITION 1.6. Let A have a finitely generated p-injective maximal left ideal Y such that r(Y) is a minimal right ideal. Then A is left p-injective.

A characterization of regular rings with non-zero socle follows.

COROLLARY 1.7. The following conditions are equivalent:

(1) A is regular with non-zero socle;

(2) Every principal left ideal of A is projective and A contains a finitely generated p-injective maximal left ideal Y such that r(Y) is a minimal right ideal.

At this point, let us give a sufficient condition for p-injective rings to be self-injective.

PROPOSITION 1.8. Let A be a left p-injective ring containing an injective maximal left ideal Y. Then r(Y) is a minimal right ideal and consequently, A is left self-injective.

PROOF. Since  ${}_{A}Y$  is injective,  $A = Y \oplus V$ , where V = Av,  $v = v^{2} \in A$ , Y = Ae, e = 1 - v, and r(Y) = vA. For any  $0 \neq u \in vA$ , since Y is a maximal left ideal, Y = 1(uA). In as much as Y = 1(vA), since A is left *p*-injective, by [5, Theorem 1], vA = r(1(vA)) = r(1(uA)) = uA which proves that r(Y) = vA is a minimal right ideal of A. The fact that A is left self -injective is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.2.

Applying [3, Corollary 24.22], we get

COROLLARY 1.9. Let A have an injective maximal left ideal. Then

A is quasi-Frobeniusean iff A is left p-injective satisfying either the maximum or the minimum condition on left annihilators.

Proposition 1.8. also yields

COROLLARY 1.10. A left p-injective left Kasch ring containing an injective maximal left ideal is left pseudo-Frobeniusean.

If A is left pseudo-Frobeniusean, then it is well-known that every left ideal of A is a left annihilator. Note that left pseudo-Frobeniusean rings need not be right pseudo-Frobeniusean [2].

However, the next result holds.

COROLLARY 1.11. If A is left pseudo-Frobeniusean containing an injective maximal right ideal, then A is right pseudo-Frobeniusean.

Left FP-injective rings are mentioned in [3, P. 108]. The next remark follows from Proposition 1.8.

REMARK 2. If A contains an injective maximal left ideal, then A is left self-injective iff A is left FP-injective.

## § 2. A generalization of duo rings.

Recall that A is WRD (weakly right duo) [9) if, for any  $a \in A$ , there exists a positive integer n such that  $a^n A$  is an ideal of A. WRD rings generalize effectively right duo rings. For example, if

$$K = \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}, R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ K & 0 & 0 \\ K & K & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

A the ring generated by R and identity, then A is WRD but not right duo.

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let A be a WRD ring containing an injective maximal left ideal. Then A is left self-injective.

PROOF. Let Y be an injective maximal left ideal. Then  $A = Y \oplus U$ , where Y = Av,  $v = v^2 \in A$ , U = Au, u = 1 - v. Suppose that Y is not an ideal of A : we have then A = YA = AvA and since A is WRD, vA = AvAwhich yields A = vA, whence 1 = vb for some  $b \in A$ . Therefore u = uvb = 0, which is impossible! This proves that Y must be an ideal of A. Now Y = Av = AvA and since A is WRD, Y = vA which implies that uA is a minimal right ideal of A. Therefore  $A/Y_A \approx uA_A$  is projective which implies that  ${}_{A}A/Y$  is injective by [10, Lemma 1]. Thus  ${}_{A}U$  is injective and it follows that A is a left self-injective ring.

The proof of Proposition 2.1 shows the validity of the next proposition. PROPOSITION 2.2. (1) A is right self-injective if A is WRD containing an injective maximal right ideal;

(2) A is right self-injective if A contains an injective maximal right ideal and every complement right ideal of A is an ideal of A;

(3) A is left self-injective if A contains an injective maximal left ideal and every complement right ideal of A is an ideal of A.

We know that A is a reduced ring if A contains a reduced maximal left ideal. Applying [14, Proppsition 7] and Lemma 1.2, we get

COROLLARY 2.3. The following conditions are equivalent:

(1) A is left and right self-injective strongly regular with non-zero socle;

(2) A is a right duo ring containing a non-singular injective maximal left ideal;

(3) A is a WRD ring containing a non-singular injective maximal left ideal;

(4) A is a WRD ring containing a non-singular injective maximal right ideal;

(5) A contains a non-singular injective maximal right ideal and every complement right ideal of A is an ideal;

(6) A contains a non-singular injective maximal left ideal and every complement right ideal of A is an ideal;

(7) A contains a reduced injective maximal left ideal.

If A is left *p*-injective, then Z=J by [12, Proposition 3]. [14, Propositions 2 and 7] together with the proof of Proposition 2.1 yield the following *p*-injective analogue of Corollary 2.3.

PROPOSITION 2.4. The following conditions are equivalent:

(1) A is strongly regular with non-zero socle;

(2) A is WRD containing a non-singular finitely generated p-injective maximal left ideal;

(3) A is WRD containing a non-singular finitely generated p-injective maximal right ideal;

(4) A contains a non-singular finitely generated p-injective maximal right ideal and every complement right ideal of A is an ideal;

(5) A contains a non-singular finitely generated p-injective maximal left ideal and every complement right ideal of A is an ideal;

(6) A contains a reduced finitely generated *p*-injective maximal left ideal.

Following [7], a left A-module M is called semi-simple if the intersection of all maximal submodules of M is zero. Thus A is semi-simple iff J=0. A is called a left V-ring if every simple left A-module is injective. Then A is a left V-ring iff every left A module is semi-simple [7, Theorem 2.1]. Recall also that A is von Neumann regular iff every left A-module is p-injective.

Connecting semi-simplicity with *p*-injectivity, we have

REMARK 3. A is a regular left V-ring iff the cyclic semi-simple left A-modules coincide with the cyclic p-injective left A-modules.

Rings whose simple left modules are either p-injective or flat need not be regular (they need not be even simi-prime).

If 
$$K = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$
, set  $A = \begin{bmatrix} K & K \\ O & K \end{bmatrix}$ . Then the simple

left A-modules are either *p*-injective or flat but A is not semi-prime.

We finally consider a sufficient condition for A/J to be strongly regular.

PROPOSITION 2.5. Let A be a ring whose cyclic semi-simple left modules are either p-injective or flat. If every maximal left ideal of A is an ideal, then A/J is strongly regular and every simple right A-module is either injective or flat.

PROOF. Set B=A/J. Since  ${}_{A}B$  is semi-simple,  ${}_{A}B$  is either *p*-injective or flat. First suppose that  ${}_{A}B$  is *p*-injective. Then *B* is a left *p*-injective ring. Since *B* is semi-simple and every maximal left ideal of *B* is an ideal, then *B* is a reduced ring [11, P. 27]. Now *B*, being a reduced left *p*-injective ring, is strongly regular.

Therefore every maximal right ideal of A is an ideal and every simple right A-modules is injective or flat by [10, Lemma 1]. Now suppose that  ${}_{A}B$  is flat. For any  $u \in J$ , u = uv for some  $v \in J$ . There exists  $w \in A$  such that (1-v)w=1. Then 0=u(1-v)=u(1-v)w=u implies that J=0. Therefore A is reduced [11, P. 27] and every simple left A-module is flat or p-injective. Let Y be a maximal left ideal of A. If  ${}_{A}A/Y$  is flat, for any  $y \in Y$ , y = yz for some  $z \in Y$ . Then  $1-z \in r(y)=1(y)$  (because A is reduced) which implies that  $A/Y_A$  is flat, whence  ${}_{A}A/Y$  is p-injective [10, Lemma 1]. This proves that every simple left A-module is p-injective. Since every maximal left ideal of A is an ideal, then A is strongly regular. This proves the proposition.

COROLLARY 2.6. Suppose that every cyclic semi-simple left A-module is either p-injective or flat. The following are then equivalent: (a) A is left Artinian and A/J is a finite direct sum of division rings; (b) A is left Noetherian, J is left T-nilpotent and every maximal left ideal of A is an ideal. Acknowledgement. We would like to thank the referee for helpful suggestions and comments leading to this improved version of the paper (in particular, the proof of Theorem (MY) is simplified).

## References

- [1] F. W. ANDERSON, and K. R. FULLER, Rings and categories of modules. Graduate text in Maths., Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1974).
- [2] F. DISCHINGER, and W. MULLER, Left PF is not right PF. Comm. Algebra 14 (1986), 1223-1227.
- [3] C. FAITH, Algebra II: Ring Theory, Grundlehren Math. 191, Springer-Verlag (1976).
- [4] K. R. GOODEARL, Von Neumann regular rings. Pitman (London) (1979).
- [5] M. IKEDA, and T. NAKAYAMA, On some characteristic properties of quasi-Frobenius and regular rings. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 5 (1954), 15-19.
- [6] F. KASCH, Modules and rings. Lond. Math. Soc. Monograph 17 (translated by D. A. R. Wallace) (1982).
- [7] G. MICHLER, and O. VILLAMAYOR, On rings whose simple modules are injective. J. Algebra 25 (1973), 185-201.
- [8] M. ÔHORI, Chain conditions and quotient rings of p. p. rings. Math. J. Okayama Univ. 30 (1988), 71-78.
- [9] YAO XUE, Weakly right duo rings. Pure and Appl. Math. Sci. 21 (1985), 19-24.
- [10] R. YUE CHI MING, On regular rings and Artinian rings. Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (4) 8 (1982), 443-452.
- [11] R. YUE CHI MING, On quasi-injectivity and von Neumann regularity. Monatshefte für Math. 95 (1983), 25-32.
- [12] R. YUE CHI MING, On von Neumann regular rings, VIII. J. Korean Math. Soc. 19, n<sup>o</sup> 2 (1983), 97-104.
- [13] R. YUE CHI MING, On injectivity and p-injectivity. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 27 (1987), 439-452.
- [14] R. YUE CHI MING, On annihilator ideals, IV. Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (4) 13 (1987), 19-27.

Universite Paris VII U.F.R. de Mathematiques-URA 212 CNRS 2, Place Jussieu, 75251 Paris Cedex 05 France