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## 1. Introdution

In recent years several results have been published concerning blow-up of solutions to semilinear parabolic and hyperbolic equations. We mention here the works [1]-[7] and their references.

A special attention was given not only to the description of the set of blow-up points but also to the description of the behaviour of the solutions near the blow-up points as time tends to the blow-up time [3]-[6].

All these papers dealt with semilinear parabolic and hyperbolic equations with classical boundary conditions, i.e, Dirichlet's, Neumann's and Robin's conditions.

In the present work blow-up results and characterization of the blowup set (Bus) in the case of particular geometries are established for semilinear parabolic and hyperbolic equations of the following types:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\triangle u=0 & \text { in } D \times(0, \infty), \\
\partial u / \partial t+k \partial u / \partial \eta=h(x, t, u) & \text { on } S \times(0, \infty), \\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) & \text { on } S . \\
\Delta u=0 & \text { in } D \times(0, \infty), \\
\partial^{2} u / \partial t^{2}+k \partial u / \partial \eta=f(u) & \text { on } S \times(0, \infty), \\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) & \text { on } S, \\
\partial u / \partial t(x, 0)=u_{1}(x) & \text { on } S . \\
\partial u / \partial t-\triangle u=u^{1+\alpha} & \text { in } D \times(0, \infty), \\
\partial u / \partial t+k \partial u / \partial \eta=u^{1+\alpha} & \text { on } S \times(0, \infty),  \tag{P3}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) & \text { in } \bar{D} .
\end{array}
$$

Here $D$ is a bounded domain in $R^{N}(N \geq 1)$ with smooth boundary $S$ and outer unit normal vector field $\eta, \Delta$ is the Laplace operator with respect to the space variables and $\partial / \partial \eta$ the outward normal derivative to $S$. The constants $\alpha$ and $k$ are assumed to be positive.

The functions $h$ and $f$ are assumed to satisfy :

$$
\begin{align*}
& h(x, t, u) \in C\left(D \times R^{+} \times R, R\right)  \tag{H1}\\
& h(x, t, u) \geq p(t) H(u) \text { for }(x, t, u) \in D \times R^{+} \times R \tag{H2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $H(u)$ is a continuous, convex and positive function on $R^{+}$and satifying :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} d u / H(u)<+\infty \tag{H3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $p(t)$ is a continuous and positive function on $R$ satisfying:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} p(s) d s \geq C(t) \text { for } t>0 \text { and a positive function } C \text { such that } C(t) \tag{P}
\end{equation*}
$$ goes to infinity as $t$ goes to infinity.

(F) $\quad f$ is a continuous, positive and convex function in $R$.

Problems of type (P 2) can be used as models to describe the motion of a fluid in a container or to describe the displacement of a fluid in a medium without gravity (artificial satellite).

Problems of type (P 3) occurs in describing the heat tranfer in a solid in contact with a fluid [9].

It is worth noting that Gröger [8] considered problems with dynamical boundary conditions from semiconductor device theory.

## 2. Known facts and statment of the problems

Throughout the paper, we will consider problems (P1) and (P2) in general bounded domains $D$ and particulary in spherical domains $D:=B_{R}(x)=\left(x \in R^{N}:|x|<R\right)$ with boundary $S:=\partial D$.

The following results concerning existence of local (in time) solutions to problems (P 1), (P 2) and (P 3) are stated in [10].

A few words about notations. For $p \in[1,+\infty]$, we donote by $L_{p}(D)$ the space of measurable scalar functions on $D$ for which

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |u|_{p}=\left[\int_{D}|u(x)|^{p} d x\right]^{1 / p}<+\infty, \text { for } 1 \leq p<\infty . \\
& |u|_{\infty}=\operatorname{ess} \sup _{x \in D}|u(x)|<+\infty, \text { for } p=+\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $s \in R$ and $1<p<\infty$, we denote by $H_{p}^{s}(D)$ and $B_{p p}^{s}(S)$ the local Bessel potential and Besov spaces with norms $\|\cdot\|_{s, p, D}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{s, p, s}$, respectively. They are defined by restrictions from the following Bessel potential and Besov spaces:

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{p}^{s}\left(R^{N}\right)=\left[\left\{u \in S^{\prime} \mid \mathscr{G}^{s} u \in L_{p}\left(R^{N}, C^{n}\right)\right\},\|\cdot\|_{s, p}\right],\|u\|_{s, p}=\left|\mathscr{T}^{s} u\right|_{p} \\
B_{p q}^{s}(R)^{N}= \begin{cases}\left(H_{p}^{k}, H_{p}^{k+1}\right)_{s-k, q} & k<s<k+1, k \in Z \\
\left(H_{p}^{k-1}, H_{p}^{k+1}\right)_{s / 2, q} & k=s \in Z\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

Here $\mathscr{T}^{s}=\mathfrak{F}^{-1} \Lambda^{s}(1, \zeta) \mathfrak{F} \in \mathfrak{R}\left(S^{\prime}\right), \Lambda^{s}(\eta, \zeta)=\left(|\eta|^{2}+|\zeta|^{2}\right)^{s / 2} \quad \zeta \in R^{N}, s \in R$, $\eta \in C$ and $S^{\prime}$ denotes the space of $C^{n}$-valued tempered distributions on $R^{N}$ and $\mathfrak{F}$ denotes the Fourier transform in $S^{\prime}$. For further informations we refer to Triebel [13].

For simplicity, we put $H^{s}:=H_{2}^{s}:=B_{p p}^{s}$.

### 2.1 Facts

2.1.1 For each $u_{0} \in B_{p p}^{2-1 / p}(S)$, problem (P 1) has a maximal solution

$$
u \in C\left(\left[0, T_{\max }\right), H_{\rho}^{2}(D)\right) \cap C^{1}\left(\left[0, T_{\max }\right), H_{\rho}^{1}(D)\right)
$$

If there exists a function $K \in C(R, R)$ such that $\|u(t)\|_{2, p, s}+\|u(t)\|_{2, p, D} \leq K(t)$ for any $t \in\left[0, T_{\max }\right)$
then $T_{\max }=+\infty$,
2.1.2 For each $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \in H^{s+1 / 2}(S) \times H^{s}(S)(s>1)$ there exists a maximal open interval $J=\left(T^{-}, T^{+}\right)$with $0 \in J$ and a unique weak solution to ( P 2 )

$$
u \in C\left(\left[0, T^{+}\right), H^{s+1}(S)\right)
$$

with trace

$$
\gamma_{0} u=u_{\mid S} \in C^{1}\left(\left[0, T^{+}\right), H^{S+1}(S)\right)
$$

If there exists a function $k \in C(R, R)$ such that
$\left\|\gamma_{o} u\right\|_{s+1 / 2,2, s}+\| d / d t\left(\left(\gamma_{o} u(t) \|_{s, 2, s} \leq k(t)\right.\right.$, for any $t \in\left[0, T^{+}\right)$, then $T^{+}=$ $+\infty$ (analogous result for $T^{-}$).
2.1.3 Let $p>N$. For each $u_{0} \in H^{2, p}(D)$, problem (P 3) has a unique maximal solution

$$
u \in C\left(\left[0, T_{\max }\right), H^{2, p}(D)\right) \cap C^{1}\left(\left(0, T_{\max }\right), L^{p}(D)\right)
$$

for $T_{\max }>0$.
Moreover, if $u(t), t \in\left[0, T_{\max }\right)$, is bounded in $H_{p}^{2-\varepsilon}(D)(0<\varepsilon \ll 1)$, then the solution is global.
2.2 Definition : A point $x \in \bar{D}$ is a blow-up point if there exists

$$
\left(\left(x_{n}, t_{n}\right)\right) \text { such that } t_{n} \rightarrow T_{\max }, x_{n} \rightarrow x
$$

and

$$
u\left(x_{n}, t_{n}\right) \rightarrow+\infty \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty .
$$

In the sequel, we are going to answer the following questions:

1. When do the solutions blow up in finite time ?
2. Where do the solutions blow up?

Theorem 1: Assume that $u_{0} \in B^{2-1 / p}(S)$ and let $u$ be the solution to (P1). If $h$ satisfies (H1) and (H2) then $u$ does not exist for all time.

Proof: Let $u(x, t)$ be the solution to problem (P 1), and consider the function:

$$
U(t)=|S|^{-1} \int_{s} u(\sigma, t) d \sigma, t>0
$$

where $|S|=\int_{S} 1, d \sigma$.
Integrating (P 1$)_{2}$ on $S$, we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{\prime}(t)=-k|S|^{-1} \int_{s} \partial u / \partial \eta d \sigma+|S|^{-1} \int_{s} h(\sigma, t, u) d \sigma \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Green's formula yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\int_{D} \Delta u d x=\int_{S} \partial u / \partial \eta d \sigma \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (H2) and Jensen's inequality we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& |S|^{-1} \int_{S} h(\sigma, t, u) d \sigma \geq|S|^{-1} \int_{S} p(t) H(u) d \sigma  \tag{3.3}\\
& \geq|S|^{-1} p(t) \int_{S} H(u) d \sigma \geq p(t) H\left(|S|^{-1} \int_{S} u(\sigma, t) d \sigma\right) \\
& \geq p(t) H(U)
\end{align*}
$$

then from (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) it follows that:

$$
U^{\prime}(t) \geq p(t) H(U), t>0 .
$$

Since $H(U)>0$, this implies that:

$$
\int_{U(0)}^{U(t)}[H(\sigma)]^{-1} d \sigma \geq \int_{0}^{t} p(\sigma) d \sigma \geq C(t),
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(t) \leq \int_{U(0)}^{\infty}[H(\sigma)]^{-1} d \sigma<\infty . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then if global existence of a solution to (P 1) is assumed, (3.4) leads
to a contradiction because $C(t)$ goes to infinity as $t$ goes to infinity.
Remark 1: The result remains true even if $H$ is not convex. In this case $H$ has to be replaced by $H^{* *}=\sup \left(H_{i}, i \in I\right), H_{i}$ convex, $H_{i} \leq H$ and, in this case, (*) reads $\int^{\infty} d u / H^{* *}(u)<\infty$.

The special case $D=B_{R}(0)$ :
Now, assume that $D=B_{R}(0) \subset R^{N}$. By the mean value theorem we have:

$$
u(0, t)=\left(1 / N \omega_{N} R^{N-1}\right) \int_{s} u(\sigma) d \sigma
$$

where $\omega_{N}\left(=2 \pi^{N / 2} / N \Gamma(N / 2)\right)$ is the volume of the unit ball in $R^{N}$.

$$
\text { Hence } u(0, t) \rightarrow \infty \text { as } t \rightarrow T_{\max } \text {. }
$$

On the other hand, as $u$ is harmonic, $u$ can not have a maximum in an interior point of $D$ without being constant in a neighborhood of this point, thus:

$$
u(x, t) \rightarrow+\infty \text { as } t \rightarrow T_{\max } \text { in all } D=B_{R}(0) .
$$

Remark 2: If $D$ included in $R^{2}(\simeq C)$ is a simply connected (no holes) domain whose boundary consists of more than one point then

$$
u(x, t) \rightarrow+\infty \text { as } t \rightarrow T_{\max } \text { in } D \text { all } D=B_{R}(0) .
$$

because, in this case, by Riemann's theorem $D$ may be conformally mapped onto the interior of the unit circle $|W|<1$ of the $W$-plane [11, p. 256], the Laplace equation is preserved in a conformal mapping and the solution of the Dirichlet problem for the circle is obtained.

Remark 3: The results remain true when :
$\triangle u=0$ is replaced by $\operatorname{div}(a(u) \operatorname{grad} u)=0\left(0<\alpha_{o} \leq a(x) \leq \alpha_{1}<+\infty\right)$ which can be rewritten by the Kirchhoff transform $v=\int_{u_{0}}^{u} a(s) d s$ into $\triangle v=0$.

Now for further reference, let's :

$$
F(U)=\int_{0}^{U} f(s) d s \text { and } d=\left(U^{\prime}(0)\right)^{2}-2 F(U(0))
$$

Theorem 2: Assume that $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \in H^{s+1 / 2}(S) \times H^{s}(S)(s>1) u_{1} \geq$ $0, u_{1} \neq 0$ and that $\int_{U(0)}^{\infty}[d+2 F(s)]^{-1 / 2} d s<+\infty$ then the (local) week solution $u \in C\left(\left[0, T_{\max }\right), H^{s+1}(D)\right)$ to problem (P2) blows up in a finite time.

Moreover if $D=B_{R}(0)$ then Bus $=D$.
Proof: Consider the function:

$$
U(t)=|S|^{-1} \int_{s} u(\sigma, t) d \sigma .
$$

By computation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
U^{\prime \prime}(t) & =|S|^{-1} \int_{S} u_{t t}=-k|S|^{-1} \int_{S} \partial u / \partial \eta+|S|^{-1} \int_{S} f(u) \\
& \left.=|S|^{-1} \int_{S} f(u) \geq \text { (by Jensen's inequality }\right) \geq f(U) \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, as $U^{\prime}(0)$ is positive and since $U^{\prime}$ is nondecreasing, $U^{\prime}>0$ for any $t \in\left[0, T_{\max }\right)$.
So, multiplying (3.5) by $U^{\prime}$ yields :

$$
\left(U^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} \geq\left(U^{\prime}(0)\right)^{2}+2 \int_{0}^{t} f(U(s)) U^{\prime}(s) d s
$$

Hence :

$$
\left(U^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} \geq\left(U^{\prime}(0)\right)^{2}+2 F(U(t))-2 F(U(0)) \text { for } t \in\left[0, T_{\max }\right)
$$

so :

$$
U^{\prime}(t) \geq\left(d+2 F(U(t))^{1 / 2} \text { for } t \in\left[0, T_{\max }\right) .\right.
$$

An integration on ( $0, t$ ) ( $t<T_{\text {max }}$ ) yields:

$$
t \leq \int_{U(0)}^{U(t)}[d+2 F(U(s))]^{-1 / 2} d s \leq T_{\max }<\infty,
$$

it follows then that a global solution can not exist for all $t>0$.
Now, assume that $D=B_{R}(0)$ then, as for the parabolic case, we have :

$$
u(0, t)=\left(1 / N \omega_{N} R^{N-1}\right) \int_{s} u(\sigma) d \sigma \rightarrow+\infty \text { as } t \rightarrow T_{\max }
$$

and proceeding as above we have:

$$
u(x, t) \rightarrow+\infty \text { as } t \rightarrow T_{\max } \text { in } D \text { all } D=B_{R}(0) .
$$

THEOREM 3: Let $u_{0} \in H_{p}^{2}(D), u_{0} \geq 0, u_{0} \neq 0$ in $D$. Then the unique maximal solution:

$$
u \in C\left(\left[0, T_{\max }\right), H_{p}^{2}(D)\right) \cap C^{1}\left(\left(0, T_{\max }\right), L_{p}(D)\right), \quad T_{\max }>0,
$$

to problem (P 3) blows up in a finite time.

For the proof of theorem 3 we need the following lemma.
Lemma 3.1: Assume that $u_{0} \in H_{p}^{2}(D), u_{0} \geq 0, u_{0} \not \equiv 0$ in $D$, then $u \geq 0$ in $\bar{D}$ and $u>0$ in $D$.

PROOF: We multiply the first equation of (P 3 ) by $u^{-}=\max (-u, 0)$ $=-u^{+}$. Integrating over $D$ and using Green's formula, we find:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{D} u^{-} \partial u / \partial t=\int_{D} u^{-}\left(\partial u^{+} / \partial t-\partial u^{-} / \partial t\right)=-\int_{D} u^{-} \partial u^{-} / \partial t \\
& =-d / d t\left[(1 / 2) \int_{D}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2}\right] \\
& -\int_{D} \Delta u \cdot u^{-}=\int_{D}\left(\nabla u^{+}-\nabla u^{-}\right) \cdot \nabla u^{-}-\int_{S} \partial u / \partial \eta \cdot u^{-}=-\int_{D}\left|\nabla u^{-}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

but:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\int_{S} \partial u / \partial \eta \cdot u^{-}=-k^{-1} \int_{S} u^{1+\alpha} u^{-}+k^{-1} \int_{S} \partial u / \partial t \cdot u^{-} \\
& =-k^{-1} \int_{S} u^{1+\alpha} u^{-}-(2 k)^{-1} d / d t\left[\int_{S}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the previous identities we infer:

$$
d / d t\left[\int_{D}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2}+\int_{S}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2}\right] \leq 2 k^{-1} \int_{S}\left|u^{1+\alpha} u^{-}\right|+2 \int_{D}\left|u^{1+\alpha} u^{-}\right| .
$$

For $p$ large enough $|u(t)|_{\infty}$ is bounded for any interval [ $0, T$ ] with $T$ $<T_{\text {max }}$.

Hence, there exists a constant $C=C(T)$ such that:

$$
\int_{D}\left|u^{1+\alpha} u^{-}\right| \leq C \int_{D}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2} \text { and } \int_{S}\left|u^{1+\alpha} u^{-}\right| \leq C \int_{S}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2}
$$

collecting all these estimates together, we get for $t \in(0, T)$ :

$$
d / d t\left[\int_{D}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2}+\int_{S}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2}\right] \leq C(T)\left[\int_{D}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2}+\int_{S}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2}\right] .
$$

Since $u^{-} \in C\left([0, T] ; L_{2}\right)$ and $u^{-}(0, x)=0$, Gronwall's lemma now implies :

$$
\int_{D}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2}+\int_{S}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2}=0 \text { for all } t \in[0, T]
$$

Since $T<T_{\max }$ is arbitrary, we see that $u^{-}=0$ for all $t \in\left[0, T_{\max }\right)$. Hence $u \geq 0$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3 :

$$
\text { Let } G:=\int_{D} u+\int_{S} u
$$

then :

$$
\begin{aligned}
G^{\prime} & =d \int_{D} \Delta u+\int_{D} u^{1+\alpha}-d \int_{S} \partial u / \partial \eta+\int_{S} u^{1+\alpha} \\
& =\int_{D} u^{1+\alpha}+\int_{S} u^{1+\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

as

$$
u \geq 0 \text { in } \bar{D}
$$

$$
\left[\int_{D} u\right]^{1+\alpha} \leq|D| \int_{D} u^{1+\alpha}, \quad\left[\int_{S} u\right]^{1+\alpha} \leq|S| \int_{S} u^{1+\alpha}
$$

and

$$
(a+b)^{1+\alpha} \leq 2^{\alpha}\left(a^{1+\alpha}+b^{1+\alpha}\right)
$$

we have:

$$
G^{\prime} \geq \beta 2^{-\alpha}\left[\int_{D} u+\int_{S} u\right]^{1+\alpha}=\beta 2^{-\alpha} G^{1+\alpha}
$$

with $\beta=\min \left(|D|^{-\alpha},|S|^{-\alpha}\right)$. (For simplicity let us $\beta 2^{-\alpha}=1$ ).
Or $G^{\prime} \geq G^{1+\alpha}$.
A simple computation yields:

$$
G(t)^{\alpha} \geq G(0)^{\alpha} /\left(1-\alpha G(0)^{\alpha} t\right)
$$

which blows up at $t \rightarrow T^{\star}=\alpha^{-1} G(0)^{\alpha}$.
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