

## On a division ring with discrete valuation

Kozo SUGANO

(Received September 8, 1993, Revised December 14, 1993)

Throughout this paper  $A$  will be a division ring with non-trivial valuation  $v$ , and  $C$  will be the center of  $A$ .  $A$  has the completion with respect to the  $v$ -topology, which is also a division ring. We will denote it by  $A^*$ . For each division subring  $B$  of  $A$  the closure of  $B$  in  $A^*$  with respect to the  $v$ -topology will be also denoted by  $B^*$ .  $B^*$  is isomorphic to the completion of  $B$  as topological ring.

The aim of this paper is to show that  $B^*$  coincides with the double centralizer of  $B$  in  $A^*$  for each division  $C$ -subalgebra  $B$  of  $A$ , in the case where  $A$  is finite over  $C$  and  $v$  is discrete.

In this paper we will use the same terminology as [4] and [6]. In particular for each division subring  $B$  of  $A$  we write

$$O(B) = \{x \in B \mid v(x) \leq 1\}, \quad P(B) = \{x \in B \mid v(x) < 1\}.$$

Let  $v$  be non-archimedean and  $B$  an arbitrary division subring of  $A$ .  $O(B)$  is a local ring with the maximal ideal  $P(B)$ . Hence  $O(B)/P(B)$  is a division ring, which will be denoted by  $E(B)$ . Write  $E(B) = K$  and  $E(A) = E$ . Then  $K$  is a division subring of  $E$ . We will write  $f_r(A/B) = [E : K]_r$ ,  $f_l(A/B) = [E : K]_l$  and  $e(A/B) = [v(A^\circ) : v(B^\circ)]$ , where  $A^\circ$  and  $B^\circ$  are the unit groups of  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively. In the case where  $[E : K]_l = [E : K]_r$ , we will write  $f(A/B)$  in stead of  $f_r(A/B)$  or  $f_l(A/B)$ . Note that we have  $e(A^*/A) = f(A^*/A) = 1$  by Proposition 17.4 and Corollary 17.4 b [4]. Furthermore the Domination Principle, that is,  $v(x) < v(y)$  implies  $v(x+y) = v(y)$ , holds also for a division ring with non-Archimedean valuation (See § 17.2 [4]).

The next lemma is well known in the case where  $A$  is a commutative field, and holds also in the case where  $v|B$  is trivial

LEMMA 1. *Let  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $v$  be as above, then we have  $e(A/B) f_r(A/B) \leq [A : B]_r$ . If  $[A : B]_r < \infty$ , both  $e(A/B)$  and  $f_r(A/B)$  are finite.*

PROOF. Since  $v(ab) = v(a)v(b) = v(b)v(a) = v(ba)$  for any  $a, b \in A$ , and the Domination Principle holds for  $A$ , we can follow the same lines as the proof of Theorem 4.5 Chap. 2 [2].

Let  $v$  be discrete, and write  $O=O(A)$  and  $P=P(A)$ . Then there exists  $z \in P$  such that  $P=zO=Oz$ . Such  $z$  is called a uniformizer at  $v$ . Let  $v(z)=d$ . Then  $0 < d < 1$ , and we have  $v(A^\circ)=\{d^n | n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the set of rational integers (See § 17.5 [4]). For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  we can choose an element  $z_n \in A$  such that  $v(z_n)=d^n$ . On the other hand we can obtain a set  $\Gamma$  which contains 0 and consists of the representatives in  $O$  of the cosets of  $P$ , that is,  $\Gamma$  satisfies the following conditions ;

$$(\gamma_1) \ 0 \in \Gamma \subset O.$$

$$(\gamma_2) \text{ If } a, b \in \Gamma \text{ and } a \neq b, \text{ then } a \not\equiv b \ (P).$$

$$(\gamma_3) \text{ For each } x \in O \text{ there exists } c \in \Gamma \text{ such that } x \equiv c \ (P).$$

The next two lemmas are also well known in the case of commutative field (See Theorems 4.6 and 4.7 Chap. 2 [2] and Exercise 3 § 17.5 [4]).

LEMMA 2. Assume that  $v$  is discrete and  $A$  is complete. Let  $z, \{z_n\}$  and  $\Gamma$  be as above. Then each element  $a$  of  $A$  has a unique Laurent series representation, namely,  $a = \sum a_n z_n$ , where  $a_n \in \Gamma$  and  $a_n = 0$  for almost all  $0 > n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , in particular we have  $a = \sum a_n z^n$  with  $a_n \in \Gamma$ ,  $n > k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

PROOF. Completely same as the proof of Theorem 4.6 [2].

LEMMA 3. Let  $F$  be a commutative field and  $A$  an  $F$ -algebra. Assume furthermore that  $v$  is discrete,  $v|F$  is non-trivial,  $F$  is complete and  $f(A/F)=f < \infty$ . Then  $A$  is complete, and we have  $e(A/F)f(A/F)=[A:F] < \infty$ .

PROOF. First assume that  $A$  is complete. We will show the equality by the same methods as the proof of Theorem 4.7 Chap. 2 [2]. Let  $z$  and  $c$  be uniformizers at  $v$  and  $v|F$ , respectively, and  $v(z)=d$ . Then  $v(c)=d^e$  for some natural number  $e$ , and we have  $e=e(A/F) < \infty$ . Moreover the set  $\{c^i z^j | i, j \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq j < e\}$  has the same condition as the set  $\{z_n\}$  in Lemma 2. On the other hand by our assumption there exist  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_f$  in  $O(A)$  such that  $\bar{w}_1, \bar{w}_2, \dots, \bar{w}_f$  form a basis of  $E(A)$  over  $E(F)$ , and we can construct a set  $\Gamma$  satisfying the conditions  $(\gamma_1)$ ,  $(\gamma_2)$  and  $(\gamma_3)$  as a subset of the set  $\{\sum k_i w_i | k_i \in O(F), 1 \leq i \leq f\}$ . Then since  $A$  is complete for each  $a \in A$  we can write by Lemma 2

$$a = \sum_{i,j,k} a_{ijk} w_i c^j z^k, \ a_{ijk} \in O(F), \ i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}, \ 1 \leq i \leq f, \ 0 \leq k < e.$$

If  $v(a)=d^n$ , we have  $a_{ijk}=0$  for all  $j < [n/e]$  by the Domination Principle, where  $[ \ ]$  is the Gauss symbol. Hence  $b_{ik} = \sum_j a_{ijk} c^j$  is an element of  $F$ ,

since  $F$  is complete. But we have  $a = \sum b_{ik} w_i z^k$ , since  $A$  is an  $F$ -algebra. Therefore we have  $[A:F] \leq ef$ . By Lemma 1 we have  $[A:F] \geq ef$ . Hence we have  $[A:F] = ef < \infty$ . Next assume that  $A$  may not be complete. But  $A^*$  is also an  $F$ -algebra by Lemma 1.4 [6]. Since  $e(A^*/A) = f(A^*/A) = 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} e(A^*/F) &= e(A^*/A)e(A/F) = e(A/F) = e \text{ and} \\ f(A^*/F) &= f(A^*/A)f(A/F) = f(A/F) = f. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 1 and the above argument we have  $[A:F] \leq [A^*:F] = ef \leq [A:F]$ . Thus we have  $[A:F] = [A^*:F]$  and  $A = A^*$ .

Now suppose that  $A$  is finite dimensional over  $C$ . Then for any division  $C$ -subalgebra  $B$  of  $A$   $V_A(B)$  is a finite dimensional division  $C$ -subalgebra, where  $V_A(B)$  is the centralizer of  $B$  in  $A$ , and we have  $B = V_A(V_A(B))$  (See e.g., Theorem 12.7 [4]). Therefore  $A$  is an H-separable extension of every division  $C$ -subalgebra  $B$  of  $A$  by Theorem 1 [5]. Moreover  $\{A/B, A^*/B''\}$  have the centralizer property in the sense of [6], and we have  $[A:B] = [A^*:B'']$  and  $B'' \supset B^*$ , where  $B'' = V_{A^*}(V_{A^*}(B))$  by Theorem 1.3 (3), (4) [6]. In particular  $C^*$  is contained in the center of  $A^*$ .

Now we are ready to have our main theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $A$  be a division ring with non-trivial discrete valuation  $v$ . Assume  $A$  is finite dimensional over its center  $C$ . Then we have*

(1) *The closure  $C^*$  of  $C$  in  $A^*$  coincides with the center of  $A^*$ , and we have  $[A^*:C^*] = [A:C]$ .*

(2) *For any division  $C$ -subalgebra  $B$  of  $A$ , we have  $B^* = V_{A^*}(V_{A^*}(B))$ ,  $A^* = B^*A = AB^*$ ,  $B^* \cap A = B$  and  $[A:B] = [A^*:B^*] = e(A/B)f(A/B) = e(A^*/B^*)f(A^*/B^*)$ .*

**PROOF.** (1). Write  $C'' = V_{A^*}(V_{A^*}(C))$ .  $C''$  coincides with the center of  $A^*$  by Proposition 1.4 [6]. Since  $v$  is non-archimedean, we have  $e(C^*/C) = e(A^*/A) = 1$  and  $f(A^*/A) = f(C^*/C) = 1$ . Then since

$$e(A^*/C) = e(A^*/C^*)e(C^*/C) = e(A^*/A)e(A/C),$$

we have  $e(A/C) = e(A^*/C^*)$ . Similarly we have  $f(A^*/C^*) = f(A/C) < \infty$ . On the other hand,  $v|_C$  and  $v|_{C^*}$  are non-trivial, otherwise by Lemma 1 we have

$$\aleph_0 = |v(A^\circ)| = e(A/C) \leq e(A/C)f(A/C) \leq [A:C] < \infty$$

a contradiction. Then by Lemmas 1 and 3 we have

$$[A^* : C^*] = e(A^*/C^*)f(A^*/C^*) = e(A/C)f(A/C) \leq [A : C].$$

But by Theorem 1.7 (3) [6] we have  $[A : C] = [A^* : C''] \leq [A^* : C^*]$ . Hence we have  $[A^* : C^*] = [A^* : C'']$  and  $C^* = C''$ .

(2). Put  $B'' = V_{A^*}(V_{A^*}(B))$ .  $B''$  is complete, and  $[A^* : B''] = [A : B]$  by Theorem 1.7 (3) and (4) [6]. On the other hand since  $e(B^*/B) = f(B^*/B) = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} e(A^*/B^*)f(A^*/B^*) &= e(A/B)f(A/B) \leq [A : B] \\ e(B^*/C^*)f(B^*/C^*) &= e(B/C)f(B/C) \leq [B : C]. \end{aligned}$$

Where the inequalities  $\leq$ 's are due to Lemma 1. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [A^* : C^*] &= e(A^*/C^*)f(A^*/C^*) \\ &= e(A^*/B^*)e(B^*/C^*)f(A^*/B^*)f(B^*/C^*) \\ &\leq [A : B][B : C] = [A : C] = [A^* : C^*]. \end{aligned}$$

This means that  $e(A/B)f(A/B) = [A : B]$  and  $e(B/C)f(B/C) = [B : C]$ . The latter equality shows  $[B : C] = e(B^*/C^*)f(B^*/C^*) = [B^* : C^*]$ . Then  $[A^* : B''] = [A : B] = [A^* : B^*]$  and we have  $B^* = B''$ . The proof of the remaining part is due to Theorem 1.7 [6].

### References

- [1] E. ARTIN, and G. WHAPLES: The theory of simple rings, Amer. J. Math., 65 (1943), 87-107.
- [2] S. IYANAGA: Number Theory (in Japanese), Iwanami (1969).
- [3] K. HIRATA: Some types of separable extensions of rings, Nagoya Math. J., 33 (1968), 107-115.
- [4] R. PIERCE: Associative Algebras, Springer GTM 88 (1980).
- [5] K. SUGANO: On  $H$ -separable extensions of two-sided simple rings, Hokkaido Math. J., 11 (1982), 246-252.
- [6] K. SUGANO: On bicommutators of modules over  $H$ -separable extension rings III, Hokkaido Math. J. 23 (1994), 277-289.

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Hokkaido University  
Sapporo 060, Japan