Polycyclic groups of diffeomorphisms on the half-line

Yoichi MORIYAMA (Received August 25, 1993)

Introduction

Groups of diffeomorphisms of one-dimensional manifolds are connected with codimension one foliations and present interesting facts. Polycyclic groups of diffeomorphisms of the real line are studied by J. F. Plante [P1], [P3]. Their results are applied to codimension one foliations on manifolds with solvable fundamental groups (see S. Matsumoto [Ma] and [P3]). We are interested in the case where the groups have fixed points. This case reduces to the groups of diffeomorphisms of the halfline. For these groups in case where they are abelian, several facts are already known. We are concerned with both abelian and non-abelian cases in this paper. Partial results for polycyclic groups of diffeomorphisms of the half-line are obtained by Plante [P2], Plante and Thurston [P-T]. Our results describe the classification of such polycyclic groups, that is, polycyclic groups of the diffeomorphisms on the half-line can be essentially classified into two types. The main result is the following.

THEOREM. Let Γ be a polycyclic subgroup of $\text{Diff}^r[0,\infty)$, N the nilradical of Γ and let $r=2, ..., \infty$. Assume that $\text{Fix}(\Gamma)$ $(=\{x \in [0,\infty) | f(x) = x \text{ for any } f \in \Gamma\}) = \{0\}$. Then the following hold.

(i) If $Fix(N) = \{0\}$, then $\Gamma|_{(0,\infty)}$ is C^r conjugate to a subgroup of the group $Aff^+(\mathbf{R})$ of the orientation preserving affine maps of the real line.

(ii) If $\operatorname{Fix}(N) \neq \{0\}$, then there exists a contraction $f \in \operatorname{Diff}^r[0, \infty)$ such that Γ is isomorphic to a semi-direct product $N \rtimes Z_f$ of N and Z_f where Z_f denotes the infinite cyclic group generated by f.

For the detailed definitions, see Sections 1, 5 and 6. The proof of the theorem is in Section 6. Examples of the polycyclic groups are given in Section 5.

The author would like to express his hearty thanks to Professor Haruo Suzuki and Professor Toshiyuki Nishimori for helpful conversations and valuable comments.

1. Preliminary

1.A. Polycyclic groups.

A group Γ is said to be *polycyclic* if there is a finite sequence of subgroups

 $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \supset \Gamma_1 \supset \cdots \supset \Gamma_n = \{e\}$

such that for each $i=1, \dots, n$, Γ_i is normal in Γ_{i-1} and Γ_{i-1}/Γ_i is (finite or infinite) cyclic. In particular, if each Γ_{i-1}/Γ_i is infinite cyclic, Γ is said to be *strongly polycyclic*. It follows immediately that every subgroup of a polycyclic group is again polycyclic. Clearly a polycyclic group is finitely generated. We quote from [**Ra**] several results for polycyclic groups which we need in this paper.

Let Γ be a polycyclic group.

(1.1) PROPOSITION. Γ admits a unique maximal non-trivial normal nilpotent subgroup.

(cf. Corollary 2 to Lemma 4.7 of [Ra])

The normal subgroup of Γ in the above proposition is called the *nilradical* of Γ and we denote it by N.

(1.2) PROPOSITION. Let Γ' be a normal subgroup of Γ such that $\Gamma' \supset N$ and let N' be the nilradical of Γ' . Then N' = N. (cf. Remark 4.9 of [**Ra**])

Remark that the nilradical N of Γ does not necessarily contain the commutator subgroup $[\Gamma, \Gamma]$ of Γ , that is, Γ/N is not necessarily abelian.

(1.3) PROPOSITION. Γ admits a normal subgroup Γ_0 of finite index such that $\Gamma_0 \supset N$ and $N \supset [\Gamma_0, \Gamma_0]$ (that is, Γ_0/N is abelian). (cf. Corollary 4.11 of [**Ra**])

1.B. Diffeomorphisms of the half-line.

Denote by Diff^{*r*}[0, ∞) the group of C^r diffeomorphisms of the half-line [0, ∞) where *r* is a positive integer or ∞ . Let Γ be a subgroup of Diff^{*r*}[0, ∞). Then Γ acts naturally on [0, ∞) by the map $(g, x) \mapsto g(x)$. For a diffeomorphism *g*, we define Fix(*g*) to be the set $\{x \in [0, \infty) | g(x) = x\}$ and call it the *fixed point set* of *g*. Also for a subgroup $\Gamma \subset \text{Diff}^r[0, \infty)$, we define Fix(Γ)= $\{x \in [0, \infty) | g(x) = x$ for every $g \in \Gamma$ } and call it the *fixed point set* of Γ . We say that a subset *S* of $[0, \infty)$ is *g*-*invariant* if g(S)(= $\{g(x) | x \in S\})=S$. And we say that *S* is Γ -*invariant* if g(S)=S for every $g \in \Gamma$. If Γ_0 is a normal subgroup of Γ , then Fix(Γ_0) is Γ -invariant. The following lemma is an immediate consequence of a lemma of Kopell (cf. Lemma 1 of [Ko]).

(1.4) LEMMA. Assume that Γ is an abelian subgroup of $\text{Diff}^r[0,\infty)$ and $r \ge 2$. If $\text{Fix}(g) \cap (0,\infty) \neq \emptyset$ for some $g \in \Gamma$ with $g \neq i$ dentity, then $\text{Fix}(\Gamma) \cap (0,\infty) \neq \emptyset$.

A map f of $[0, \infty)$ into itself is said to be a contraction if $\lim_{n\to\infty} f^n(x)=0$ for any $x\in[0,\infty)$. It follows obviously that if f is a continuous map of $[0,\infty)$ and f(x)<x for any $x\in(0,\infty)$, then f is a contraction. We denote by f_k the k-times iteration of f, instead of the usual f^k in this paper. Fix a contraction f and a point $a\in(0,\infty)$ and let $a_0=a$ and $a_j=f_j(a)$. We obtain the following three lemmas from $[\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{C}]$ (cf. also $[\mathbf{Sa}]$).

(1.5) LEMMA. Assume that $f \in \text{Diff}^r[0, \infty)$ is a contraction and $r \ge 2$. Then, for any $x \in [a_1, a_0]$

$$e^{-c} \cdot \frac{a_j - a_{j+1}}{a_0 - a_1} \le f'_j(x) \le e^c \cdot \frac{a_j - a_{j+1}}{a_0 - a_1}$$

where $c = a \cdot \sup\{|f''(x)/f'(x)| | x \in [0, a]\}$.

This lemma follows from Lemma(2.6) of [C-C] and the mean value theorem. Next is an immediate consequence of the above lemma.

(1.6) LEMMA. Under the same assumption as the lemma above, the sequence $\{f'_j\}$ converges uniformly to 0 on $[a_1, a_0]$.

Take $g \in \text{Diff}^r[0, \infty)$ such that $\text{Fix}(g) \supset \{a_j | j \ge 0\}$ and let $h_n = f_{-n} \circ g \circ f_n$ for $n \ge 0$ (where $f_{-n} = f_n^{-1}$). Then a generalized Kopell lemma (Theorem (2.8) of [C-C]) implies the following.

(1.7) LEMMA. Under the same assumption as Lemma (1.5) and the notation above, the sequence $\{h_n\}$ converges uniformly to the identity on $[a_1, a_0]$.

We shall need a more general version of Lemma(1.5). Take $h_i \in$ Diff^r $[0, \infty)$ (i=1, ..., m) and let $g_p = h_p \circ h_{p-1} \circ \cdots \circ h_1$ (p=1, ..., m). Fix a compact subinterval J of [0, a]. Write $J_p = g_p(J)$, $J_0 = J$ and denote by $|J_p|$ the length of J_p . We assume that each J_p is subinterval of [0, a] and does not meet the interior of other J_i $(i \neq p)$. Put

$$\theta = \sup\{|h_p''(x)/h_p'(x)| | x \in [0, a], p = 1, ..., m\} (>0)$$

Then, in the same way as Lemma (1.5), we have the following lemma.

(1.8) LEMMA. Under the above assumption and notation, if $z \in J$,

then

$$e^{-a\theta}\frac{|J_m|}{|J|} \leq g'_m(z) \leq e^{a\theta}\frac{|J_m|}{|J|}.$$

Moreover we fix numbers λ and ν such that $\lambda > 1$ and

$$0 < \nu < \frac{|J| \log \lambda}{a \theta \lambda e^{a \theta}}.$$

Then, by induction, it is proved that if $x_0 \in J$ and $|w - x_0| < \nu$, then

$$g'_p(w) < \lambda g'_p(x_0)$$

(cf. [Sa, p. 83]). Combining this fact and Lemma(1.8), we get the following fact.

(1.9) LEMMA. Let J_{ν} be a ν -neighborhood of J. Then, under the above assumption and notation,

$$\frac{1}{\lambda}e^{-a\theta}\frac{|J_m|}{|J|} \leq g'_m(z) \leq \lambda e^{a\theta}\frac{|J_m|}{|J|}$$

if $z \in J_{\nu}$.

We remark that ν depends only on a, θ , |J| and λ .

2. The function defined by a contraction.

Let $f \in \text{Diff}^r[0, \infty)$ be a contraction and $r \ge 2$. We define

$$H_k(x) = \frac{f_k''(x)}{f_k'(x)}$$

for $k \ge 1$ where $f_k = \overbrace{f \circ \cdots \circ f}^{k}$ and

$$H(x) = \lim_{k \to \infty} H_k(x).$$

The aim of this section is to prove the following fact. This fact and Corollary (2.6) are needed in next two sections.

(2.1) PROPOSITION. H is a well-defined C^{r-2} function of $(0, \infty)$.

In the sequel, we fix $a \in (0, \infty)$ and $a_k = f_k(a)$, and let $f_{jx} = f_j(x)$. For the proof, it suffices to show that $\{H_k^{(p)}\}$ converges uniformly on $[a_1, a_0]$ for each p ($0 \le p \le r-2$), where $H_k^{(p)}$ is the *p*-th derivative of H_k . We need the following lemma.

(2.2) LEMMA. $H_k^{(p)}(x)$ is expressed in the following polynomials of

402

two types:

(i) We have

$$H_{k}^{(p)}(x) = \frac{f_{k}^{(p+2)}(x)}{f_{k}'(x)} + P_{p,k}(x)$$

where $P_{p,k}(x)$'s are polynomials in $\frac{f_k''(x)}{f_k'(x)}$, \cdots , $\frac{f_k^{(p+1)}(x)}{f_k'(x)}$ and for fixed p they have the same expression as polynomials.

(ii) We have

$$H_{k}^{(p)}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{Q_{p,j}(x)}{\{f'(f_{j}x)\}^{p+1}}$$

where $Q_{p,j}(x)$ is a polynomial in $f'(f_jx), \dots, f^{(p+2)}(f_jx)$ and $f'_j(x), \dots, f^{(p+1)}(x)$ such that for fixed $p \ Q_{p,j}(x)$'s have same expressions and the degree of each term with respect to $f'_j(x), \dots, f^{(p+1)}_j(x)$ is greater than one. (For j=0, we consider that $f_0(x) \equiv x$.)

PROOF. We fix k and prove these assertion by induction on p.

(i) When p=0, the assertion is clearly true. Assume that the assertion is true for an integer $p \ge 0$. Then we have

$$H_{k}^{(p+1)}(x) = (H_{k}^{(p)}(x))'$$

$$= \frac{f_{k}^{(p+3)}(x)}{f_{k}'(x)} - \frac{f_{k}^{(p+2)}(x) \cdot f_{k}''(x)}{\{f_{k}'(x)\}^{2}} + (P_{p,k}(x))'$$

$$\equiv \frac{f_{k}^{(p+3)}(x)}{f_{k}'(x)} + P_{p+1,k}(x).$$

Since we can easily see that $(P_{p,k}(x))'$ is a polynomial in $\frac{f_k''(x)}{f_k'(x)}, \cdots, \frac{f_k^{(p+2)}(x)}{f_k'(x)}$, the assertion is true for p+1.

(ii) This is certainly true for p=0. Indeed, since $f'_k(x) = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} f'(f_j x)$ we obtain

$$H_{k}^{(0)}(x) = H_{k}(x) = (\log f_{k}'(x))' = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \log f'(f_{j}x))' = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{f''(f_{j}x) \cdot f_{j}'(x)}{f'(f_{j}x)}.$$

Assume that the assertion is true for an integer $p \ge 0$. We have

$$\left(\frac{Q_{p,j}(x)}{\{f'(f_jx)\}^{p+1}} \right)' = \frac{Q'_{p,j}(x) \cdot f'(f_jx) - (p+1)Q_{p,j}(x) \cdot f''(f_jx) \cdot f'_j(x)}{\{f'(f_jx)\}^{p+2}}$$
$$\equiv \frac{Q_{p+1,j}(x)}{\{f'(f_jx)\}^{p+2}}.$$

Clearly $Q'_{p,j}(x)$ is a polynomial in $f'(f_jx), \dots, f^{(p+3)}(f_jx)$ and $f'_j(x), \dots, f^{(p+2)}(x)$. It follows immediately that the assertion is true for p+1.

We shall prove Proposition (2, 1) by induction on p and need the following definition.

DEFINITION. By assertions (A_p) and (B_p) we mean the following :

 $(A_p) \longrightarrow \{H_k^{(p)}\}$ converges uniformly on $[a_1, a_0]$.

 (B_p) —There exists a number $C_p \ge 0$ which does not depend on k such that $|f_k^{(p+2)}(x)| \le C_p f'_k(x)$ for all $x \in [a_1, a_0]$ and $k \ge 1$.

(2.3) LEMMA. The assertion (A_0) is valid, that is, $\{H_k\}$ converges uniformly on $[a_1, a_0]$.

PROOF. From the equation in the proof of (ii) of Lemma (2.2), we have

$$|H_{k+l}(x) - H_{k}(x)| = \left| \sum_{j=k}^{k+l-1} \frac{f''(f_{j}x) \cdot f_{j}'(x)}{f'(f_{j}x)} \right|$$

$$\leq M \sum_{j=k}^{k+l-1} |f_{j}'(x)|$$

(where $M = \max\{|f''(x)/f'(x)| | x \in [0, a_{0}]\}$)

$$\leq Me^{c} \sum_{j=k}^{k+l-1} \frac{a_{j} - a_{j+1}}{a_{0} - a_{1}}$$

(by Lemma (1.5))

$$\leq \frac{Me^{c}}{a_{0} - a_{1}} \cdot a_{k}$$

for any $x \in [a_1, a_0]$ and $l \ge 1$. Since f is a contraction, the sequence $\{a_k\}$ converges to 0. Therefore we see that $\{H_k\}$ converges uniformly on $[a_1, a_0]$.

(2.4) LEMMA. If assertions (B_0) , \cdots , (B_{p-1}) and (A_p) are valid, then (B_p) is valid.

PROOF. For p=0, clearly (A_0) implies (B_0) . Next fix $p \ge 1$. (We will use (i) of Lemma (2.2).) From $(B_0), \dots, (B_{p-1})$, it follows that there exists a number $D_2 \ge 0$ such that

$$|f_{k}^{(i)}(x)/f_{k}'(x)| \leq D_{2} \ (i=2,\cdots,p+1)$$

for all $x \in [a_1, a_0]$ and $k \ge 1$. Since $P_{p,k}(x)$ is a polynomial in $\frac{f''_k(x)}{f'_k(x)}, \cdots, \frac{f^{(p+1)}_k(x)}{f'_k(x)}$, there exists a number $D_3 \ge 1$ such that

 $|P_{p,k}(x)| \le D^3$

for all $x \in [a_1, a_0]$ and $k \ge 1$. On the other hand, by (A_p) , we see that there exists a number $D_1 \ge 0$ such that

 $|H_k^{(p)}(x)| \leq D_1$

for all $x \in [a_1, a_0]$ and $k \ge 1$. Therefore, from (i) of Lemma (2.2), it follows that there exists a number $C_p \ge 0$ such that $|f_k^{(p+2)}(x)/f'_k(x)| \le C_p$ for all $x \in [a_1, a_0]$ and $k \ge 0$.

(2.5) LEMMA. Assume that assertions $(B_0), \dots, (B_{p-1})$ are valid for $p \ge 1$. Then also (A_p) is valid.

PROOF. Fix $p \ge 1$. From (ii) of Lemma (2.2), we have

$$|H_{k+l}^{(p)}(x) - H_{k}^{(p)}(x)| \leq \sum_{j=k}^{k+l-1} \left| \frac{Q_{p,j}(x)}{\{f'(f_{j}x)\}^{p+1}} \right|$$

(where $Q_{p,j}$ is a polynomial in $f'(f_j x), \dots, f^{(p+2)}(f_j x)$ and $f'_j(x), \dots, f^{(p+1)}_j(x)$). We estimate $Q_{p,j}(x)$. Clearly there exists a number D_1 such that

 $|f^{(j)}(f_j x)| \le D_1 \ (i=1, \cdots, p+2)$

for all $x \in [0, a_0]$ and $j \ge 0$ because $f_j(x) \le a_0$ for $x \in [0, a_0]$. From the assumption of the lemma, it follows that there exists a number D_2 such that

$$|f_{j}^{(i)}(x)| \leq D_{2}f_{j}'(x) \ (i=2,\cdots,p+1)$$

for all $x \in [a_1, a_0]$ and $j \ge 0$. By Lemma (1.6), we can choose a sufficiently large integer L such that if $j \ge L$ then $|f'_j(x)| \le 1$ for all $x \in [a_1, a_0]$. Therefore, if $j \ge L$ and $q \ge 1$, then we have $\{f'_j(x)\}^q \le f'_j(x)$ for all $x \in [a_1, a_0]$. Hence, there exists a number D_3 such that if $j \ge L$, then

 $|Q_{p,j}(x)| \leq D_3 f_j'(x)$

for all $x \in [a_1, a_0]$. Let $m = \min\{f'(x) | x \in [0, a_0]\}$. Clearly m > 0. Then if $k, k+l \ge L$, we have for all $x \in [a_1, a_0]$

$$|H_{k+l}^{(p)}(x) - H_{k}^{(p)}(x)| \leq \frac{D_{3}}{m^{p+1}} \sum_{j=k}^{k+l-1} f_{j}'(x)$$

$$\leq \frac{D_{3} \cdot e^{c}}{m^{p+1}(a_{0}-a_{1})} \sum_{j=k}^{k+l-1} (a_{j}-a_{j+1})$$
(by Lemma (1.5))
$$\leq D \cdot a_{k}$$
(where $D = D_{3} \cdot e^{c}/m^{p+1}(a_{0}-a_{1})$).

We see that this implies that $\{H_k^{(p)}(x)\}$ converges uniformly on $[a_1, a_0]$ because $\lim_{k \to \infty} a_k = 0$.

Now we prove the proposition.

PROOF OF PROPOSITON (2.1). From lemmas (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5), by induction, we conclude that assertions (A_p) and (B_p) $(0 \le p \le r-2)$ are valid. In particular, for each p $(0 \le p \le r-2)$, $H^{(p)}(x)$ exists on $(0, \infty)$ and is continuous there. Therefore H(x) is a C^{r-2} function of $(0, \infty)$.

The following is the assertion (B_p) .

(2.6) COROLLARY. Let $f \in \text{Diff }^r[0, \infty)$ $(r \ge 2)$ be a contraction. Then for each p $(2 \le p \le r)$ there exists a number C_p such that

 $|f_k^{(p)}(x)| \le C_p f_k'(x)$

for all $x \in [a_1, a_0]$ and $k \ge 1$.

REMARK. In addition to the assumption of Proposition (2.1), suppose that $f'(0) \neq 1$. Then H(0) exists and H(x) is a C^{r-2} function of $[0, \infty)$. This fact is described in [St] in somewhat different fashion.

3. Abelian groups of the diffeomorphisms

Let Γ be an abelian subgroup of $\text{Diff}^r[0,\infty)$ $(r=2,\cdots,\infty)$ such that $\text{Fix}(\Gamma)=\{0\}$. From Lemma (1.4), it follows that $\text{Fix}(f)=\{0\}$ for any $f\in\Gamma$ with $f\neq$ identity. Therefore either f or f^{-1} is a contraction if $f\neq$ identity.

Suppose that $f'(0) \neq 1$ for some $f \in \Gamma$. Then a theorem of Sternberg (cf. [St]) says that f is C^r conjugate to the linear map $x \mapsto ax$ where a = f'(0). Therefore we easily see that there exists a C^r flow $\varphi : \mathbf{R} \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that Γ is contained in the group $\{\varphi_t | t \in \mathbf{R}\}$.

In case where f'(0)=1 for all $f \in \Gamma$ and $r=\infty$, from results in [Se], [Ta] and [Ko], it follows there exists a C^1 flow φ such that φ is of class C^{∞} on $(0, \infty)$ and Γ is contained in the group $\{\varphi_t\}$. Also for finite r, we obtain the following result.

(3.1) THEOREM. Let Γ be an abelian subgroup of Diff^r $[0, \infty)$ ($r=2, \dots, \infty$) such that Fix(Γ)={0}. Then there exists a C^1 flow φ on $[0, \infty)$ which is of class C^r on $(0, \infty)$ such that Γ is contained in the group $\{\varphi_t | t \in \mathbf{R}\}$. Furthermore φ is unique up to parameter change.

For finite r, we don't know whether this result follows from results in **[Se]**, **[Ta]** and **[Ko]**. We give here a detailed proof because we need this

result in the proof of the main theorem.

We prove the theorem in case where f'(0)=1 for all $f \in \Gamma$. In the sequel we assume that f'(0)=1 for all $f \in \Gamma$. We need several lemmas for the proof.

Fix a contraction $f \in \Gamma$. Since Γ is abelian, $f_k \circ g = g \circ f_k$ for any $g \in \Gamma$. Differentiating both sides of this equation, we have $f'_k(gx)g'(x) = g'(f_kx)f'_k(x)$, namely

$$g'(x) = g'(f_k x) \cdot \frac{f'_k(x)}{f'_k(gx)}.$$

We define formally the function H(x, y) by

$$H(x, y) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{f'_k(y)}{f'_k(x)} = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{f'(f_j y)}{f'(f_j x)}.$$

Taking the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ on the above equation, we have g'(x) = g'(0)H(g(x), x), hence

g'(x) = H(g(x), x)

by the assumption g'(0)=1. So we can think of $g\in\Gamma$ as the solution of this differential equation (cf. **[Ko]** and **[Se]**).

Now we investigate H(x, y). Let $D_n = \{(x, y) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) | f_n(x) \le y \le f_{-n}(x)\}$ for n > 0.

(3.2) LEMMA. H(x, y) exists on each D_n , and it is continuous and positive on there. Moreover H(x, y) is of class C^{r-1} on int D_n (where int D_n denotes the interior of D_n). Therefore H(x, y) is a C^{r-1} function on $(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$.

PROOF. Define $H_k(x, y) = \frac{f'_k(y)}{f'_k(x)} = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{f'(f_j y)}{f'(f_j x)}$ for $x, y \ge 0$ and let $B_k(x, y) = \log H_k(x, y)$. Then

$$B_{k}(x, y) = \log f'_{k}(y) - \log f'_{k}(x)$$

= $\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (\log f'(f_{j}y) - \log f'(f_{j}x)).$

First we show that the sequence $\{B_k(x, y)\}_{k\in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly on $D_n(a) = D_n \cap [0, a] \times [0, a]$ for any a > 0. From the mean value theorem, it follows that for $p \ge 0$,

$$|B_{k+p}(x, y) - B_{k}(x, y)| \leq \sum_{j=k}^{k+p-1} |\log f'(f_{j}y) - \log f'(f_{j}x)|$$
$$= \sum_{j=k}^{k+p-1} \left| \frac{f''(\xi_{j})}{f'(\xi_{j})} \right| |f_{j}(y) - f_{j}(x)|$$

where ξ_j is some value between $f_j(x)$ and $f_j(y)$. Therefore, letting $M = \sup\{|f''(x)/f'(x)| | 0 \le x \le a\}$, we have for $(x, y) \in D_n(a)$

$$|B_{k+p}(x, y) - B_{k}(x, y)| \leq M \sum_{j=k}^{k+p-1} |f_{j}(y) - f_{j}(x)|$$

$$\leq \begin{cases} M \sum (f_{j}(x) - f_{j+n}(x)) & (\text{if } x \geq y) \\ M \sum (f_{j}(y) - f_{j+n}(y)) & (\text{if } y \geq x) \end{cases}$$

$$\leq M \max \left\{ \sum_{j=k}^{k+p-1} (f_{j}(v) - f_{j+n}(v)) \middle| v = x, y \right\}$$

$$\leq M \max \left\{ \sum_{j=k}^{k+n-1} f_{j}(v) - \sum_{j=u}^{k+p-1} f_{j+n}(v) \middle| v = x, y \right\}$$

$$(\text{where } u = k + p - n)$$

$$\leq M \max \left\{ \sum_{j=k}^{k+n-1} f_{j}(v) \middle| v = x, y \right\}$$

$$\leq M \max \{n \cdot f_{k}(v) \middle| v = x, y\}$$

$$\leq M n f_{k}(a)$$

(where $p \ge 0$). Since f is a contraction, for any $\varepsilon \ge 0$, there exists sufficiently large L such that if $k \ge L$ then $|f_k(a)| < \varepsilon/Mn$. Therefore, if $k, l \ge L$, then $|B_k(x, y) - B_l(x, y)| < \varepsilon$ for all $(x, y) \in D_n(a)$. Thus $\{B_k(x, y)\}$ converges uniformly on $D_n(a)$. Let

$$B(x, y) = \lim_{k \to \infty} B_k(x, y)$$

for $(x, y) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$ or (x, y) = (0, 0). Then B(x, y) is a continuous function.

Next we show that B(x, y) is of class C^{r-1} on $(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$. By the definition in Section 2, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} B_k(x, y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\log f'_k(y) - \log f'_k(x))$$
$$= -\frac{f''_k(x)}{f'_k(x)}$$
$$= -H_k(x)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y}B_k(x, y) = H_k(y).$$

From the arguments in Section 2, it follows that the sequences $\{\partial B_k/\partial x\}$ and $\{\partial B_k/\partial y\}$ converge uniformly on any compact domain in $(0, \infty) \times$ $(0, \infty)$. Therefore the partial derivatives of *B* exist and

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial x} = -H(x), \ \frac{\partial B}{\partial y} = H(y).$$

Hence, by Proposition (2.1), we see that B is a C^{r-1} function on $(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$. It follows that also $H = \exp B$ is C^{r-1} function on $(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$.

By this lemma, we can conclude the following lemma.

(3.3) LEMMA. If $g \in \text{Diff}^r[0, \infty)$ commutes f (that is, $f \circ g = g \circ f$), then the function y = g(x) is the solution of the differential equation

(A)
$$\frac{dy}{dx} = H(y, x).$$

Let y=g(x) be the solution of the equation (A) such that its domain of definition is maximal and define g at 0 by g(0)=0. Remark that the function $y=f_n(x)$ is the solution of this equation. We obtain the following lemma.

(3.4) LEMMA. The map g is a C^1 diffeomorphism of $[0, \infty)$ which is of class C^r on $(0, \infty)$.

PROOF. Fix $a \in (0, \infty)$ and let b = g(a). If there is an integer *m* such that $b = f_m(a)$, then, from the uniqueness of solutions on initial conditions, it follows that $g(x) \equiv f_m(x)$. Therefore, in this case, the lemma follows clearly. Next we assume that $f_m(a) < b < f_{m-1}(a)$ for some *m*. Let *a* and β ($\alpha < \beta$) be the end points of the domain of definition of *g*. Since f_{m-1} and f_m are the solutions, we see that $f_m(x) < g(x) < f_{m-1}(x)$ for $x \in (\alpha, \beta)$. Therefore, by the fact that H(y, x) > 0, we can easily see that $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = \infty$ and $\lim_{x \to +0} g(x) = 0$ (by the standard argument on the domain of definition of the solution of an ordinary differential equation). Thus *g* is a continuous function of $[0, \infty)$ and clearly *g* is of class C^r on $(0, \infty)$ because *H* is of class C^{r-1} on $(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$. Moreover, since *H* is continuous on D_m , we have

$$\lim_{x \to +0} g'(x) = \lim_{x \to +0} H(g(x), x) = H(0, 0) = 1$$

Therefore g is of class C^1 at 0. Thus, since g'(x) > 0 for all $x \in [0, \infty)$, g is a C^1 diffeomorphism of $[0, \infty)$.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^* = (0, \infty)$ and let $g_{a,b}$ be the solution of the equation (A) such that $g_{a,b}(a) = b$. Define the map $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}^* \times [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ by $\Psi(a, b, x) = g_{a,b}(x)$. From the theorems in ordinary differential equations on the dependence of solutions on initial conditions, it follows that Ψ is of class C^{r-1} on $\mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}^* \times (0, \infty)$. Furthermore Ψ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}^* \times$ $[0, \infty)$. Let G be the set of all solutions of (A). Define the map $\psi: G \to \mathbb{R}^*$ by $\psi(g) = g(1)$. From the uniqueness of solutions on initial conditions, it follows that ψ is bijective and $\psi^{-1}(t) = g_{1,t}$. We define the C^{∞} structure of G by the map ψ . Then clearly G is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R} .

(3.5) LEMMA. G is a group under composition of maps. Moreover G is a Lie group and isomorphic to \mathbf{R} .

PROOF. For $g, h \in G$ we have

$$(g \circ h)'(x) = g'(hx) \cdot h'(x)$$

= $H(g(hx), h(x)) \cdot H(h(x), x)$
= $\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{f'(f_j(hx))}{f'(f_j(g \circ hx))} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{f'(f_jx)}{f'(f_j(hx))}$
= $\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{f'(f_jx)}{f'(f_j(g \circ hx))}$
= $H(g \circ h(x), x)$

and

$$(g^{-1})'(x) = \frac{1}{g'(g^{-1}(x))}$$

= $\frac{1}{H(g(g^{-1}(x)), g^{-1}(x))}$
= $\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{f'(f_j x)}{f'(f_j(g^{-1}x))}$
= $H(g^{-1}(x), x).$

It follows that $g \circ h$, $g^{-1} \in G$, that is, G is a group. Let $\rho: G \times G \to G$ be the group operation and $\gamma: G \to G$ the inversion. Then, for $(t, s) \in \mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}^*$, we have

$$\psi \circ \rho \circ (\psi^{-1} \times \psi^{-1})(t, s) = \psi(g_{1,t} \circ g_{1,s}) = g_{1,t}(g_{1,s}(1))$$

= $\Psi(1, t, s)$

and

$$\psi \circ \gamma \circ \psi^{-1}(t) = \psi(g_{1,t}^{-1})$$
$$= \psi(g_{t,1})$$
$$= \Psi(t, 1, 1)$$

Therefore ρ and γ are C^{r-1} maps. It follows that G is a topological group. Since G is a C^{∞} manifold, by the theorems on Lie groups (cf. $[\mathbf{M}-\mathbf{Z}]$), we see that G is a Lie group. Hence G is isomorphic to \mathbf{R} as a Lie group.

PROOF OF THEOREM (3.1). Let $\iota: \mathbf{R} \to G$ be the isomorphism of Lie groups such that $\iota(1) = f$. Define the map $\varphi: \mathbf{R} \times [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ by $\varphi(t, x) = (\iota(t))(x)$. Since

$$\varphi \circ ((\iota^{-1} \circ \psi^{-1}) \times id)(s, x) = g_{1,s}(x) = \Psi(1, s, x)$$

(where *id* denotes the identity map), we see that φ is a C^0 flow on $[0, \infty)$. Moreover, by the theorems on Lie groups (cf. $[\mathbf{M}-\mathbf{Z}]$), we see that φ is of class C^1 on $\mathbf{R} \times [0, \infty)$ because each φ_t is of class C^1 on $[0, \infty)$. Similarly φ is of class C^r on $\mathbf{R} \times (0, \infty)$ because each φ_t is of class C^r on $(0, \infty)$. Clearly Γ is contained in $G = \{\varphi_t\}$. This completes the proof.

REMARK. Also in case where $f'(0) \neq 1$ for some $f \in \Gamma$, we can prove the theorem in the similar way. But it is much simpler to use a theorem of Sternberg.

4. The quasi-invariant vector fields on the half-line

Let $f \in \text{Diff}^{r+1}[0,\infty)$ $(r \ge 0)$ and let α be a positive number. We say that a vector field X on $[0,\infty)$ is (f,α) -quasi-invariant if $f_*X=\alpha X$. Fixing α with $0 < \alpha < 1$ and a contraction f such that f'(0)=1, we shall show that there exist many $(f, 1/\alpha)$ -quasi-invariant C^r vector fields on $[0,\infty)$. We shall need such vector fields in order to describe an example of polycyclic subgroups of $\text{Diff}^r[0,\infty)$. For the construction of these vector fields, we need the following fact.

(4.1) PROPOSITION. Let $f \in \text{Diff}^{r+1}[0, \infty)$ $(r=1, \dots, \infty)$ be a contraction and let X be a $(f, 1/\alpha)$ -quasi-invariant C^0 vector field on $[0, \infty)$ where $0 < \alpha < 1$. If X is of class C^r on $(0, \infty)$ and f'(0)=1, then X is of class C^r at 0, therefore X is C^r vector field on $[0, \infty)$.

In the sequel we assume that f is a contraction and X is a $(f, 1/\alpha)$ quasi-invariant C^0 vector field on $[0, \infty)$ which is of class C^r on $(0, \infty)$ and $0 \le \alpha \le 1$. Let

$$X(x) = F(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}.$$

Then clearly F(x) is of class C^r on $(0, \infty)$. For the proof of Proposition (4.1) it suffices to show that F(x) is of class C^r at 0. First we calculate $F^{(p)}(f_k x)$. By the assumption on X, we easily see that

$$f'_{k}(x) \cdot F(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha^{k}} F(f_{k}x).$$

Therefore,

$$F(f_k x) = \alpha^k f'_k(x) F(x).$$

This implies that

$$F'(f_k x) = \frac{\alpha^k}{f'_k(x)} \{ f''_k(x) F(x) + f'_k(x) F'(x) \}$$

(4.2) LEMMA. We have

$$F^{(p)}(f_k x) = \frac{\alpha^k}{\{f'_k(x)\}^{2p-1}} \cdot Q_{p,k}(x) \text{ for any } x \in (0,\infty)$$

where $Q_{p,k}(x)$ is a polynomial in $f'_k(x), \ldots, f^{(p+1)}_k(x)$ and $F(x), \ldots, F^{(p)}(x)$ such that the expression of $Q_{p,k}(x)$ does not depend on k and each its term contains at least one $f^{(i)}_k(x)$ (for some $i=1, \ldots, p+1$).

PROOF. We prove the lemma by induction on p. When p=1, this is the observation above. For some p we assume that the equation is true. Then we have

$$F^{(p+1)}(f_k x) = \frac{\alpha^k}{f'_k(x)} \left[\frac{(1-2p)f''_k(x)}{\{f'_k(x)\}^{2p}} \cdot Q_{p,k}(x) + \frac{1}{\{f'_k(x)\}^{2p-1}} \cdot Q'_{p,k}(x) \right]$$
$$= \frac{\alpha^k}{\{f'_k(x)\}^{2p+1}} \{(1-2p)f''_k(x)Q_{p,k}(x) + f'_k(x)Q'_{p,k}(x)\}$$
$$\equiv \frac{\alpha^k}{\{f'_k(x)\}^{2p+1}} Q_{p+1,k}(x).$$

By the assumption of induction, we see immediately that $Q_{p+1,k}(x)$ has the desired property. This completes the proof.

(4.3) LEMMA. Fix $a \in (0, \infty)$ and a positive integer q. Assume that f'(0)=1. Then the sequence $\{\alpha^k/\{f'_k(x)\}^q\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to 0 on the closed interval [0, a].

PROOF. From the assumption of the lemma, it follows that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists δ such that $f'(x) \ge 1 - \varepsilon$ for all $x \in [0, \delta]$. Since f is a contraction, there exists a sufficiently large integer L such that if $k \ge L$ then $f_k(a) \le \delta$. Therefore, if $k \ge L$, then $f'(f_k x) \ge 1 - \varepsilon$ for all $x \in [0, a]$. Thus we have

$$f'_{k}(x) = f'(f_{k-1}x) \cdots f'(f_{L}x) \cdot f'(f_{L-1}x) \cdots f'(x)$$

$$\geq (1-\varepsilon)^{k-L} C^{L}$$

412

for all $x \in [0, a]$ where $C = \min\{f'(x) | x \in [0, a]\}$. It follows that

$$0 \leq \frac{\alpha^{k}}{\{f_{k}(x)\}^{q}} \leq \frac{\alpha^{k}}{(1-\varepsilon)^{q(k-L)}C^{qL}} = \frac{(1-\varepsilon)^{qL}}{C^{qL}} \left\{\frac{\alpha}{(1-\varepsilon)^{q}}\right\}^{k}$$

Now we choose ε sufficiently small such that $0 < \frac{\alpha}{(1-\varepsilon)^q} < 1$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$. And for this ε we choose such δ and L as above. Then, by the inequality above, we see that $\{\alpha^k/\{f'_k(x)\}^q\}$ converges uniformly to 0 on [0, a].

PROOF OF PROPOSITION (4.1). By Lemma (4.2), we have

$$F^{(p)}(f_k x) = \frac{\alpha^k}{\{f'_k(x)\}^{2p-1}} Q_{p,k}(x).$$

Fix p and $a \in (0, \infty)$. By the property of $Q_{p,k}(x)$ and Corollary (2.6), we see that there exists C_p such that

 $|Q_{p,k}(x)| \le C_p f'_k(x)$

for all $x \in [a_1, a_0]$ and $k \ge L$, where L is chosen so that if $k \ge L$ then $f'_k(x) \le 1$ for all $x \in [a_1, a_0]$. It follows that

$$|F^{(p)}(f_k x)| \leq \frac{C_p \alpha^k}{\{f'_k(x)\}^{2(p-1)}}$$

for all $x \in [a_1, a_0]$. Therefore, from Lemma (4.3), the sequence of functions $\{F^{(p)}(f_k x)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to 0 on $[a_1, a_0]$. This implies that

$$\lim_{x \to +0} F^{(p)}(x) = 0$$

for each p ($p=1, \dots, r$). By using de l'Hopital's theorem, we see that F(x) is of class C^r at 0. This completes the proof.

From Proposition (4.1) we obtain the following result, which is the purpose of this section.

(4.4) THEOREM. Let $f \in \text{Diff}^{r+1}[0,\infty)$ $(r=1,2,\ldots,\infty)$ be a contraction such that f'(0)=1 and let $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then there exist non-trivial $(f, 1/\alpha)$ -quasi-invariant C^r vector fields on $[0,\infty)$.

PROOF. There exists a C^r function F on $(0, \infty)$ such that

$$f'(x) \cdot F(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha} F(fx).$$

Indeed, fixing $a \in (0, \infty)$, we can take a function F_0 on $[a_1, a_0]$ (where $a_1 = f(a), a_0 = a$) such that $F_0(a_1) = \alpha f'(a_0) F_0(a_0)$. Then define F by $F(x) = \alpha^k f'_k(f_k^{-1}x) F_0(f_k^{-1}x)$ for $x \in [a_{k+1}, a_k]$ (where $a_k = f_k(a_0)$). It follows easily that F is well-defined and satisfies the equation above. Reforming F_0 in neighborhoods of a_1 and a_0 , we can make the function F of class C^r . We see that F(x) extends a continuous function on $[0, \infty)$ by defining F(0)=0. Define $X(x)=F(x)\cdot\partial/\partial x$. Then, clearly X is $(f, 1/\alpha)$ -quasi-invariant vector field on $[0,\infty)$ and from Proposition (4.1) it follows that X is of class C^r on $[0,\infty)$.

REMARK. We must mention whether the vector field X in the above proof is complete or not, for we need a complete one to construct an example of polycyclic groups in the next section. It is sufficient for our purpose to notice that if X(x)=0 for some $x \in (0, \infty)$, then X is complete.

5. Examples of polycyclic groups of diffeomorphisms

We describe examples of different two types of polycyclic groups of diffeomorphisms on the half-line. First one is quoted from [P2]. Second one needs the result in the previous section.

EXAMPLE 1. Denote by $\operatorname{Aff}^+(\mathbf{R})$ the subgroup of $\operatorname{Diff}^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ consisting of orientation preserving affine maps $(x \mapsto ax + b \text{ for some } a > 0 \text{ and } b \in \mathbf{R})$. Then $\operatorname{Aff}^+(\mathbf{R})$ admits Lie group structure of dimension 2 and the natural action $\Psi : \operatorname{Aff}^+(\mathbf{R}) \times \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ is real-analytic. We denote this Lie group by \mathscr{A} . Let $\phi : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}^+(=(0,\infty))$ be a C^∞ diffeomorphism such that

$$\phi(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1-t} & (-\infty < t \le 0) \\ some \ smooth \ function & (0 < t \le 2) \\ t & (2 < t < \infty). \end{cases}$$

Then there is the action Φ of \mathscr{A} on \mathbb{R}^+ which is induced from Ψ by ϕ . Moreover this induced action Φ extends on $[0, \infty)$ by defining $\Phi(g, 0)=0$ for $g \in \mathscr{A}$. It follows immediately that Φ is a C^{∞} action on $[0, \infty)$. We denote by T_g the diffeomorphism of $[0, \infty)$ defined by $x \mapsto \Phi(g, x)$. Define the subgroup G of Diff^{∞} $[0, \infty)$ by $G = \{T_g | g \in \mathscr{A}\}$. Clearly G is isomorphic to \mathscr{A} . Since \mathscr{A} has many polycyclic subgroups, so does G. We notice the following fact.

(5.1) LEMMA. Let Γ be a polycyclic subgroup of G. Then the following hold.

(i) Γ is isomorphic to a semi-direct product $\mathbf{Z}^n \rtimes \mathbf{Z}^k$ of \mathbf{Z}^n and \mathbf{Z}^k

for some n, k.

(ii) The orbit of the natural action of Γ on $[0, \infty)$ at $x \in (0, \infty)$ is dense in $[0, \infty)$ if n > 1.

EXAMPLE 2. Let $A \in SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ and let $0 < \alpha < 1$. Assume that α is an eigenvalue of ${}^{t}A$ (the transposed matrix of A) and take a corresponding eigenvector ${}^{t}(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ where $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ $(i=1, \ldots, n)$. Let $f \in \text{Diff } {}^{r+1}[0, \infty)$ $(r=2, \ldots, \infty)$ be a contraction such that f'(0)=1. Then, from Theorem (4.4), we can take a non-trivial $(f, 1/\alpha)$ -quasi-invariant C^r vector field X such that X(x)=0 for some $x \in (0, \infty)$. Since X is complete, we have the C^r flow $\Phi: \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ associated by X. Clearly $f \circ \Phi_t \circ f^{-1} = \Phi_{\frac{1}{\alpha}t}^1$, that is,

$$f^{-1} \circ \Phi_t \circ f = \Phi_{\alpha t}.$$

From these data A, α , ${}^{t}(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$, f and Φ , we construct a polycyclic subgroup Γ_A of Diff^{*r*} $[0, \infty)$ as follows.

Let $A = (m_{ij})$, $m_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let $g_i = \Phi_{a_i}$ for i = 1, ..., n. Since ${}^{t}(a_1, ..., a_n)$ is an eigenvector of ${}^{t}A$ in respect to eigenvalue α , we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n m_{ji} a_j = \alpha a_i.$$

Therefore

$$f^{-1} \circ g_i \circ f = \Phi_{\alpha a_i}$$

= $\Phi_{\Sigma m_{ji} a_j}$
= $g_1^{m_{1i}} \circ \cdots \circ g_j^{m_{ji}} \circ \cdots \circ g_n^{m_{ni}}$

Denote by *N* the subgroup generated by g_1, \ldots, g_n and let Γ_A be the subgroup generated by g_1, \ldots, g_n and *f*. Clearly *N* is a free abelian group and the normal subgroup of Γ_A . It follows that Γ_A is a polycyclic subgroup of rank $\leq n+1$ and *N* is the nilradical of Γ_A . We notice the following fact.

(5.2) LEMMA. (i) Γ_A is isomorphic to a semi-direct product $\mathbb{Z}^m \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ for some m.

(ii) Let $p \in (0, \infty)$ be a point such that X(p)=0. Then the orbit through p of the natural action of Γ_A on $[0, \infty)$ is discrete in $(0, \infty)$.

Lemmas (5.1) and (5.2) show the difference between Example 1 and Example 2.

6. Main theorem

Let Γ be a polycyclic subgroup of $\operatorname{Diff}^{r}[0, \infty)$ $(r=2, \ldots, \infty)$ and let Nbe the nilradical of Γ . It is well known that N is a free abelian group (e.g., cf. [**P**-**T**]). Define $\Gamma_{*}=\Gamma|_{(0,\infty)}=\{f|_{(0,\infty)}\in\operatorname{Diff}^{r}(0,\infty)|f\in\Gamma\}$. We say that Γ_{*} is C^{s} conjugate to a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aff}^{+}(\mathbf{R})$ $(s \leq r)$ if there exists a C^{s} diffeomorphism $h: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that $h\Gamma_{*}h^{-1}=\{h \circ f \circ h^{-1}\in\operatorname{Diff}^{s}(\mathbf{R})|f\in\Gamma_{*}\}$ is contained in $\operatorname{Aff}^{+}(\mathbf{R})$. It has been shown in [**P2**] that if $\operatorname{Fix}(N)=\{0\}$, then Γ_{*} is C^{0} conjugate to a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aff}^{+}(\mathbf{R})$. In this section, we prove the following theorem, which is the purpose of this paper.

(6.1) THEOREM. Let Γ be a polycyclic subgroup of $\text{Diff}^r[0,\infty)$ and let $r=2, \ldots, \infty$. Assume that $\text{Fix}(\Gamma)=\{0\}$. Then the following hold.

(i) If $Fix(N) = \{0\}$, then $\Gamma|_{(0,\infty)}$ is C^r conjugate to a subgroup of $Aff^+(\mathbf{R})$.

(ii) If $\operatorname{Fix}(N) \neq \{0\}$, then there exists a contraction $f \in \operatorname{Diff}^r[0, \infty)$ such that Γ is isomorphic to a semi-direct product $N \rtimes Z_f$ of N and Z_f where Z_f denotes the infinite cyclic group generated by f.

PROOF OF (i). Assume that $\operatorname{Fix}(N) = \{0\}$. Since N is abelian, from Theorem (3.1), it follows that there exists a C^1 flow φ on $[0, \infty)$ which is of class C^r on $(0, \infty)$ such that $N \subset \{\varphi_t\}$. We define the map $h: (0, \infty) \to \mathbf{R}$ by $h^{-1}(t) = \varphi(t, 1)$. Since the flow φ has no fixed point in $(0, \infty)$, h is a well-defined C^r diffeomorphism. Denote by τ_b the translation of \mathbf{R} by b $(t \mapsto t+b)$. Then, since for any $g \in N$ there exists b such that $g = \varphi_b$, we obtain that

$$h \circ g \circ h^{-1}(t) = h \circ \varphi_b(\varphi(t, 1)) = b + t = \tau_b(t).$$

That is, for any $g \in N$, the map $h \circ g \circ h^{-1}$ is a translation of **R**. If rank(N)=1, it follows easily that $\Gamma = N$. Then the assertion (i) of the theorem follows clearly.

Next we assume that $\operatorname{rank}(N) \geq 2$. Let $\tilde{N} = hN_*h^{-1}$ and let $B = \{b \in \mathbb{R} | \tau_b \in \tilde{N}\}$. It is well known that B is a dense subset of \mathbb{R} . For $f \in \Gamma \setminus N$, let $\tilde{f} = h \circ f \circ h^{-1}$. Since \tilde{N} is a normal subgroup of $h\Gamma_*h^{-1}$, for any $\tau_b \in \tilde{N}$, there exists $\tau_c \in \tilde{N}$ such that $\tilde{f} \circ \tau_b = \tau_c \circ \tilde{f}$. Differentiating the both sides of the equation above, we have $\tilde{f}'(t+b) = \tilde{f}'(t)$. Applying t=0, we obtain $\tilde{f}'(b) = \tilde{f}'(0)$ for any $b \in B$. Since B is dense in \mathbb{R} and \tilde{f}' is continuous, $\tilde{f}'(t)$ is identically equal to $\tilde{f}'(0)$. That is, \tilde{f} is an affine map. This completes the proof of (i) of the theorem.

For the proof of (ii) of the theorem, we assume that $Fix(N) \neq \{0\}$ in

the sequel. By Proposition (1.3), we can take a normal subgroup Γ_0 of finite index in Γ such that $N \subset \Gamma_0$ and $[\Gamma_0, \Gamma_0] \subset N$. From Propositon (1.2), it follows that N is also the nilradical of Γ_0 . Notice that for each $f \in \Gamma_0$ the subset $\operatorname{Fix}(N)$ is f-invariant. First we shall prove (ii) for Γ_0 and next we shall prove (ii) for Γ .

The preliminary step of the proof of (ii) for Γ_0 is to show that $Fix(f) = \{0\}$ for each $f \in \Gamma_0 \setminus N$.

Fix $f \in \Gamma_0 \setminus N$ and let $p \in Fix(f)$. Then the following three cases are considered:

Case(a) $p \in Fix(N)$ and there exists a sequence $\{p_n\}$ converging to p such that $p_n \in Fix(N)$ and $p_n \notin Fix(f)$.

Case(b) $p \in Fix(N)$ but there exist no such sequences as in Case(a). Case(c) $p \notin Fix(N)$.

We shall show that $Fix(f) = \{0\}$. To prove this fact, we prepare the following lemmas.

(6.2) LEMMA. Let $p \in Fix(f)$ in Case(a). Then $p \in Fix(g)$ for any $g \in \Gamma_0 \setminus N$.

Suppose on the contrary that $p \notin Fix(g)$. There exists an PROOF. interval (q, q_1) containing p such that $q \in \text{Fix}(q), (q, q_1) \cap \text{Fix}(q) = \emptyset$ and $q_1 \in \operatorname{Fix}(q)$ or $q_1 = \infty$. We assume that g is a contraction of $[q, q_1)$ because we may replace g with g^{-1} if necessary. Then clearly $\lim g_n(p) = q$ (where g_n is the *n*-times iteration of *g*). Since $p \in Fix(N) \cap Fix(f)$ and Γ_0/N is abelian, it follows easily that $g_n(p) \in \operatorname{Fix}(N) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(f)$. Therefore we see that $q \in Fix(N) \cap Fix(f)$ because $Fix(N) \cap Fix(f)$ is a closed set. For each positive integer *n*, there exists $h_n \in N$ such that $g_n^{-1} \circ f^{-1} \circ g_n =$ $f^{-1} \circ h_n$. We consider g and f^{-1} to be restricted on the interval $[q, q_1]$. Then, applying Lemma (1.7) to p and g, $f^{-1} \in \text{Diff}^r[q, q_1)$, we see that the sequence $\{f^{-1} \circ h_n\}_{n \in N}$ converges uniformly to *id* on $[p_1, p]$ (where $p_1 =$ g(p)). In other words, $\{h_n\}$ converges uniformly to f on $[p_1, p]$. On the other hand, from the assumption of the lemma, there exists a point $p' \in [p_1, p]$ such that $p' \in Fix(N)$ but $p' \notin Fix(f)$. Therefore $\{h_n\}$ ($\subset N$) can not converge to f at p'. This is a contradiction. Hence $p \in Fix(g)$.

Lemma (6.2) implies the next lemma.

(6.3) LEMMA. If there exists $p \in Fix(f)$ in Case(a), then p=0 and $Fix(f)=\{0\}$.

PROOF. From Lemma (6.2) it follows that $p \in \text{Fix}(\Gamma_0)$. Since

Fix(Γ)={0}, clearly Fix(Γ_0)={0}. Thus we have p=0.

Fix a point $q_0 \in \operatorname{Fix}(N)$ such that $q_0 \neq 0$ and $q_0 \notin \operatorname{Fix}(f)$. This q_0 exists clearly from the assumption of the lemma. Let $p_M = \max\{x \in \operatorname{Fix}(f) | 0 < x < q_0\}$ and $p_m = \min\{x \in \operatorname{Fix}(f) | x > q_0\}$. Now we assume that $\operatorname{Fix}(f) \neq \{0\}$. Then either p_M or p_m exists. First suppose that p_M exists. Clearly $0 < p_M < q_0$ and $(p_M, q_0] \cap \operatorname{Fix}(f) = \emptyset$. Furthermore $\{f_n(q_0)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is contained in $\operatorname{Fix}(N)$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(q_0) = p_M$ or $\lim_{n \to -\infty} f_n(q_0) = p_M$. That is, p_M is such a point as in Case(a). Therefore, by the first assertion of this lemma, we see that $p_M = 0$. This contradicts $p_M > 0$. Similarly, supposing that p_m exists leads to a contradiction. Hence $\operatorname{Fix}(f) = \{0\}$.

(6.4) LEMMA. Let $p \in Fix(f)$ in Case(c), that is, $p \notin Fix(N)$. Then $p \in int Fix(f)$.

PROOF. Suppose that $p \notin \text{int Fix}(f)$. From the assumption of the lemma, $p \neq 0$. Therefore there exists an open interval (q, q_0) containing p such that $(q, q_0) \cap \text{Fix}(N) = \emptyset$, $q \in \text{Fix}(N)$ and $q_0 \in \text{Fix}(N)$ or $q_0 = \infty$. Notice that $q \in \text{Fix}(f)$. In fact, if $q \notin \text{Fix}(f)$, then $\{f_n(q) | n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cap (q, q_0) \neq \emptyset$. Since $\{f_n(q)\} \subset \text{Fix}(N)$, we see that $(q, q_0) \cap \text{Fix}(N) \neq \emptyset$. This contradicts the choice of (q, q_0) . Hence $q \in \text{Fix}(f)$. Moreover we have the following fact.

CLAIM. $q \in \operatorname{Fix}(g)$ for any $g \in \Gamma_0 \setminus N$.

Suppose that $q \notin Fix(q)$. Then neither $g^{-1}(q)$ nor g(q) can PROOF. be contained in (q, q_0) because $g^{-1}(q), g(q) \in Fix(N)$. Since $q_0 \leq g^{-1}(q) < \infty$ or $q_0 \leq g(q) < \infty$, we have $q_0 < \infty$ and $q_0 \in Fix(N)$. Therefore, by the same argument as above, we see that $q_0 \in Fix(f)$. And there exists the interval (u, v) which contains $[q, q_0]$ such that $(u, v) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(g) = \emptyset$, $u \in \operatorname{Fix}(g)$ and $v \in Fix(g)$ or $v = \infty$. Without loss of generality, we assume that g is a contraction of [u, v]. Then, in the same way as in the proof of Lemma (6.2), (applying Lemma (1.7) to these g, f and q_0), we see that there exists a sequence $\{h_n\} \subset N$ such that $\{h_n\}$ converges uniformly to f on $[g(q_0), q_0]$. Remarking that $g(q_0) \le q$, we see that $\{h_n\}$ converges uniformly to f on $[q, q_0]$. Restrict N on $[q, q_0)$. Since $(q, q_0) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(N) = \emptyset$, we can apply the proof of (i) of Theorem (6.1) to N. By this observation, we see that N is conjugate to a subgroup of translation group of R. Therefore $f = \lim h_n$ must be the identity on $[q, q_0)$ because $\emptyset \neq \operatorname{Fix}(f) \cap (q, q_0) \ni p$. That is, $p \in (q, q_0) \subset \operatorname{Fix}(f)$. This contradicts our assumption that $p \notin f$ int Fix(f). Therefore $q \in Fix(g)$. This completes the proof of Claim.

The above claim implies that $q \in Fix(\Gamma_0)$. Since $Fix(\Gamma_0) = \{0\}$, it fol-

lows that q=0. Therefore, we see that $q_0 < \infty$ and $q_0 \in \operatorname{Fix}(N)$ by the assumption $\operatorname{Fix}(N) \neq \{0\}$ and the choice of (q, q_0) . Moreover $q_0 \in \operatorname{Fix}(g)$ for any $g \in \Gamma_0 \setminus N$. Indeed, if $q_0 \notin \operatorname{Fix}(g)$, then $\emptyset \neq \{g_n(q_0) | n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cap (q, q_0) \subset \operatorname{Fix}(N) \cap (q, q_0)$. This contradicts the choice of (q, q_0) . Thus $q_0 \in \operatorname{Fix}(g)$ for any $g \in \Gamma_0 \setminus N$. Therefore $q_0 \in \operatorname{Fix}(\Gamma_0) = \{0\}$. This contradicts the choice of q_0 . Hence we conclude that $p \in \operatorname{int} \operatorname{Fix}(f)$.

Now we can show the following.

(6.5) LEMMA. Fix(f)={0} for any $f \in \Gamma_0 \setminus N$.

PROOF. Suppose that $\operatorname{Fix}(f) \neq \{0\}$ for $f \in \Gamma_0 \setminus N$. By Lemma (6.3) and (6.4), we observe that if $p \in \operatorname{Fix}(f) \setminus \{0\}$ and $p \notin \operatorname{int} \operatorname{Fix}(f)$, then p is a point in Case(b), that is, $p \in \operatorname{Fix}(N)$ and there is no sequence such as $\{p_n\}$ where $\lim p_n = p$, $p_n \in \operatorname{Fix}(N)$ but $p_n \notin \operatorname{Fix}(f)$. Let J = (a, b) be a component of $(0, \infty) \setminus \operatorname{Fix}(f)$. Then the above observation implies that $a \in \operatorname{Fix}(f)$ and $a \in \operatorname{Fix}(N)$, and if $b < \infty$, then $b \in \operatorname{Fix}(f)$ and $b \in \operatorname{Fix}(N)$. And $J \cap$ $\operatorname{Fix}(N) = \emptyset$. In fact, if $q \in J \cap \operatorname{Fix}(N) \neq \emptyset$, then a and b (if $b < \infty$) are adherent points of the set $\{f_n(q) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ ($\subset \operatorname{Fix}(N)$). That is, a and b (if $b < \infty$) are points in Case(a). Therefore, from Lemma (6.3), it follows that a=0 and $b=\infty$, contradicting the assumption that $\operatorname{Fix}(f) \neq \{0\}$. Thus we see that $J \cap \operatorname{Fix}(N) = \emptyset$. Now we have the following.

CLAIM. For any $h \in N$, $f \circ h = h \circ f$ on J.

PROOF. Denote by Γ_f the subgroup of Γ_0 generated by f and N. We restrict Γ_f to [a, b), and denote by Γ_f^* the restriction of Γ_f to (a, b). Since $\operatorname{Fix}(N) \cap [a, b] = a$ and clearly $\operatorname{Fix}(\Gamma_f) \cap [a, b] = \{a\}$, by applying (i) of the theorem, we see that Γ_f^* is C^r conjugate to a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aff}^+(\mathbf{R})$. The conjugation maps N into the translation group since N is abelian and $\operatorname{Fix}(N) \cap (a, b) = \emptyset$. Furthermore $f|_{(a,b)}$ is also conjugate to a translation because $\operatorname{Fix}(f) \cap (a, b) = \emptyset$. Therefore Γ_f^* must be conjugate to a subgroup of the translation group. It follows that Γ_f^* is abelian. This completes the proof of Claim.

This implies that $f \circ h = h \circ f$ on $[0, \infty)$ for any $h \in N$. That is, Γ_f is an abelian group. And Γ_f is a normal subgroup of Γ_0 because $\Gamma_f \supset N \supset$ $[\Gamma_0, \Gamma_0]$. This contradicts the maximality of N. Therefore $\operatorname{Fix}(f) = \{0\}$.

The following lemma completes the proof of (ii) for Γ_0 .

(6.6) LEMMA. Γ_0/N is a free abelian group of rank 1 and has a generator which is represented by a contraction.

PROOF. On the contrary, assume that Γ_0/N is of rank ≥ 2 . Then

there exist contractions f, $g \in \Gamma_0$ such that the subgroup of Γ_0/N generated by the elements which are represented by f and g is of rank 2. Let Λ be the subgroup of Γ_0 generated by f and g. Notice that Fix(N) is unbounded since Fix(N) is *f*-invariant and $Fix(N) \neq \{0\}$. Notice that Fix(N) $\neq [0, \infty)$. (Otherwise $N = \{id\}$ and $\Gamma_0 \cong \Gamma_0 / N$ is abelian, which contradicts the maximality of N.) Let $a \in Fix(N)$ $(a \neq 0)$ be the upper endpoint of a certain component of $[0,\infty)$ Fix(N). We consider the orbit through a of the natural action of Λ on $[0, \infty)$. Denote this orbit by \mathcal{O} and denote its closure by $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$. Since $\overline{\mathcal{O}} \subset \operatorname{Fix}(N)$, there exists $c \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ such that $(c, a) \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}} = \emptyset$. Letting J = [c, a], we see that if $h_1, h_2 \in \Lambda$ and $h_1(a) \neq A$ $h_2(a)$, then $int(h_1(J) \cap h_2(J)) = \emptyset$. Furthermore we see that $c \notin \mathcal{O}$. Indeed, if $c \in \mathcal{O}$ and c = h(a) for some $h \in \Lambda$, then $\mathcal{O} = \{h^n(a) | n \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \overline{\mathcal{O}} \cap (0, \infty)$. It follows that $f(a) = h^i(a)$ for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $h^{-i} \circ f(a) = a \neq 0$, by Lemma (6.5), we have that $h^{-i} \circ f \in N$. In a similar way, we obtain that $h^{-j} \circ g \in N$ for some j. These imply that the subgroup of Γ_0/N generated by the elements which are represented by f and g is generated by the element which is represented by h. This contradicts the choice of f and Therefore, we have $c \notin \mathcal{O}$. q.

It follows that there exists a strictly increasing sequence $\{a_m\} \subset \mathcal{O}$ such that $a_m < c$ and $\lim_{m \to \infty} a_m = c$. For each m, there exist $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a_m = f_{m_1} \circ g_{m_2}(a)$. Since $a_m < a$, we see that $m_1 > 0$ or $m_2 > 0$. Replacing $\{a_m\}$ with its subsequence if necessary, we can assume that $m_2 > 0$ without loss of generality. Let $h_m = f_{m_1} \circ g_{m_2}$ and $J_m = h_m(J)$. Since the points $a_m =$ $h_m(a)$ are distinct, the intervals $h_m(J)$ are disjoint. Applying Lemma (1.9), we see that there exist $a, \nu > 0$ such that

 $0 < h'_m(z) \le \alpha |J_m|$

for all $z \in \widehat{J}$ (where $|J_m|$ denotes the length of J_m and \widehat{J} denotes the ν -neighborhood of J). Since $\lim_{m\to\infty} |J_m|=0$, for sufficiently large m, $h'_m(z)$ can be made arbitrarily small uniformly for all $z \in \widehat{J}$. Therefore, since $\lim_{m\to\infty} a_m = c$ and $c \in \widehat{J}$, for sufficiently large m we have

 $h_m(\widehat{J}) \subset \widehat{J}$.

It follows that h_m has a fixed point in J (cf. [Sa, p. 83]). By Lemma (6.5) we see that $h_m = f_{m_1} \circ g_{m_2} \in N$. This contradicts the choice of f and g. Thus we conclude that Γ_0/N is of rank 1.

Next we show that Γ_0/N is free abelian. Since $\operatorname{Fix}(f) = \{0\}$ for any $f \in \Gamma_0 \setminus N$ and $\operatorname{Fix}(f_n) = \operatorname{Fix}(f)$, we see that $\operatorname{Fix}(f_n) = \{0\}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $f_n \notin N$ for any $f \in \Gamma_0 \setminus N$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows Γ_0/N has no element of finite order. Thus Γ_0/N is free abelian of rank 1 and therefore, is generated by only one generater which is represented by some f such that $Fix(f) = \{0\}$. Since f or f^{-1} is clearly a contraction, the lemma follows.

PROOF OF (ii) OF THEOREM (6.1). We show that the same assertion as the lemma above holds for Γ/N . Since Γ/Γ_0 is polycyclic and of finite order, there exists a sequence of subgroups

$$\Gamma = \Gamma^{k} \supset \Gamma^{k-1} \supset \cdots \supset \Gamma^{0} = \Gamma_{0}$$

such that for each i=1, ..., k, Γ^{i-1} is normal in Γ^i and Γ^i/Γ^{i-1} is a finite cyclic group. By induction, we show that the same assertion as Lemma (6.6) for each Γ^i is valid. For i=0, this is Lemma (6.6). Assume that the assertion is valid for some i. That is, Γ^i/N is infinite cyclic group and generated by an element \hat{g} which is represented by a contraction g. Since Γ^i/N is normal in Γ^{i+1}/N , it follows that for each $\hat{f} \in \Gamma^{i+1}/N$, $\hat{f} \circ \hat{g} \circ \hat{f}^{-1} = \hat{g}$ or \hat{g}^{-1} . But each $f \in \text{Diff}^r[0, \infty)$ preserves the order of points in $[0, \infty)$. Therefore $\hat{f} \circ \hat{g} \circ \hat{f}^{-1} = \hat{g}$ for each $\hat{f} \in \Gamma^{i+1}/N$, which means that Γ^{i+1}/N is abelian. By Proposition (1.3) we see that N is also the nilradical of Γ^{i+1} . Since we can apply the same argument as Γ_0 to Γ^{i+1} , by Lemma (6.6), we conclude that the assertion for Γ^{i+1} is valid.

REMARK. By Lemma (5.1) and Theorem (6.1), we notice that every polycyclic subgroup of Diff^{*r*}[0, ∞) ($r \ge 2$) is strongly polycyclic.

References

- [C-C] J. CANTWELL and L. CONLON, Poincaré-Bendixson theory for leaves of codimension one. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 265 (1981), 181-209
- [H-H] G. HECTOR and U. HIRSCH, Introduction to the geometry of foliations, Part B, Vieweg, Braunschweiz, 1983.
- [Ko] N. KOPELL, Commuting diffeomorphisms, in Global Analysis, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol XIV, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1970, pp. 165-184.
- [Ma] S. MATSUMOTO, Codimension one foliations on solvable manifolds, to appear.
- [M-Z] D. MONTGOMERY and L. ZIPPIN, *Topological transformation groups*, Interscience Tracts #1, Interscience, New York, 1955.
- [P1] J. F. PLANTE, Solvable groups acting on the line, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 278 (1983), 401-414.
- [P2] J. F. PLANTE, Subgroups of continuous groups acting differentiably on the half-line, Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble **34** (1984), 47-56.
- [P3] J. F. PLANTE, Polycyclic groups and transversely affine foliations, J. Differential Geometry 35 (1992), 521-534.
- [P-T] J. F. PLANTE and W. P. THURSTON, Polynomial growth in holonomy groups of Foliations, Comment. Math. Helvetici 39 (1976), 567-584.
 - [Ra] M. S. RAGUNATHAN, Discrete subgroups of Lie groups, Springer-Verlag, 1972.

Y. Moriyama

- [Sa] R. SACKSTEDER, Foliations and pseudogroups, Amer. J. Math. 87 (1965), 79-102.
- [Se] F. SERGERAERT, Feuilletages et difféomorphismes infiniment tangents à l'identité, Inventiones Math. 39 (1977), 253-275.
- [St] S. STERNBERG, Local Cⁿ transformations of the real line, Duke Math. J. 24 (1957), 97-102.
- [Ta] F. TAKENS, Normal forms for certain singularities of vector fields, Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble 23 (1973), 163-195.

Department of Mathematics Kushiro National College of Technology Nishi 2-32-1 Otanoshike Kushiro Hokkaido 084 Japan (e-mail:my @ marimo. kushiro-ct. ac. jp)

Current Address: Hokkaido Information University 59-2 Nishi-Nopporo Ebetsu Hokkaido 069 Japan (e-mail: moriyama@do-johodai.ac.jp)