On a super class of *p*-hyponormal operators

B.P. DUGGAL

(Received January 24, 1995; Revised June 21, 1995)

Abstract. Given an operator A on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}, A is said to be p-hyponormal $(0 if <math>(AA^*)^p \le (A^*A)^p$. The class H(p) of p-hyponormal operators has been studied in a number of papers in the recent past. Let K(p) denote the class of operators A for which $((AA^*)^p x, x) \le ||x||^{2(1-p)} (A^*Ax, x)^p$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Then $H(p) \subset K(p)$. In this note we study the spectral properties of operators in K(p), and show that a number of the properties enjoyed by hyponormal operators carry over to K(p). Our arguments often lead to an alternative, sometimes simpler, proof of the results for H(p).

Key words: p-hyponormal operators, class K(p), spectral properties.

1. Introduction

We consider operators (i.e., bounded linear transformations) on a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . The operator A is said to be p-hyponormal, 0 , $if <math>(AA^*)^p \leq (A^*A)^p$. It is an easy consequence of the Löwner inequality that a p-hyponormal operator is q-hyponormal for all $0 < q \leq p$. In particular, a 1-hyponormal (or simply hyponormal) operator is p-hyponormal for all 0 , and in studying <math>p-hyponormal operators for a general 0 it is sufficient to consider <math>0 . Semi-hyponormal (or, $<math>\frac{1}{2}$ -hyponormal) operators were introduced by Xia [20], and p-hyponormal operators for 0 were first studied by Aluthge [1]. Recently therehave been a number of papers, especially by Muneo Cho et al. [2, 3, 4,5, 6] and Masatoshi Fujii et al. [10, 11], on <math>p-hyponormal operators, their spectral properties and their relationship to other classes of operators. Generally speaking p-hyponormal have properties very similar to hyponormal operators [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 20, 21].

Let H(p) denote the class of *p*-hyponormal operators, $0 . Then <math>((AA^{\star})^{p}x, x) \leq ((A^{\star}A)^{p}x, x) \leq ||x||^{2(1-p)}(A^{\star}Ax, x)^{p}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Let K(p), 0 , denote the class of operators <math>A for which $((AA^{\star})^{p}x, x) \leq ||x||^{2(1-p)} (A^{\star}Ax, x)^{p}$. Then $H(p) \subset K(p)$ and the class K(p) is monotone decreasing on p; also, operators $A \in K(p)$ are paranormal, i.e., if $A \in$

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification: 47B20, 47A30.

B.P. Duggal

K(p), then $||Ax||^2 \leq ||A^2x||$ for all unit vectors $x \in \mathcal{H}$ [11, Lemma 3 and Theorem 4]. In this note we study spectral properties of operators $A \in H(p)$ by studying $A \in K(p)$, and show that many a property of hyponormal operators is shared by operators in K(p). On the way we give alternative (sometimes simpler) proofs of some of the results for the class H(p).

In the following we shall denote the spectrum, the point spectrum, the approximate point spectrum and the essential spectrum of the operator A by $\sigma(A), \sigma_o(A), \sigma_a(A)$ and $\sigma_e(A)$, respectively. We say that the complex number $\alpha, \alpha \in C$, is in the joint point spectrum $\sigma_{jo}(A)$ (joint approximate point spectrum $\sigma_{ja}(A)$) of A if there exists a unit vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$ (respectively, a sequence of unit vectors $x_n \in \mathcal{H}$) such that $(A - \alpha)x = 0$ and $(A^* - \bar{\alpha})x = 0$ (respectively, $(A - \alpha)x_n \to 0$ and $(A^* - \bar{\alpha})x_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$). We shall denote the kernel and the closure of the range of A by ker A and $\overline{\mathrm{ran}A}$, and the restriction of A to an invariant subspace M will be denoted by A|M. The operator A will be said to be pure (= completely non-normal) if there exists no reducing subspace M of A such that A|M is normal. Throughout the following A will have the (unique) polar decomposition A = U|A|, $|A| = (A^*A)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and the operator A_p will be defined by $A_p = U|A|^p$. We shall denote the boundary of a set S by ∂S . Any other notation will be defined as and when required.

It is my pleasure to thank Professors Muneo Cho and Masatoshi Fujii for supplying me with copies of their pre-prints. My thanks are also due to the referee for his many suggestions: the current title of the paper is due to him.

2. Results

If $A \in K(p)$, then A is paranormal [11, Theorem 4]. Since paranormal operators A are normaloid (i.e., ||A|| = r(A), where r(A) denotes the spectral radius of A) and the inverse, whenever it exists, of a paranormal operator is again paranormal [12], $r(A^{-1}) = ||A^{-1}||$. Also $\sigma_e(A) = \sigma(A) - \sigma_{oo}(A)$, where $\sigma_{oo}(A)$ denotes the set of isolated eigen-values of A of finite multiplicity [9], and if $\sigma(A)$ is countable, then A is normal [17].

As mentioned in the introduction, K(p) is monotone decreasing on $p(0 . Thus, where need be, there is no loss of generality in assuming <math>p = 2^{-n}$ for some integer $n \geq 1$. Recall that the eigen-values of a *p*-hyponormal operator A are normal eigen-values, i.e., $\sigma_o(A) = \sigma_{jo}(A)$

[1, 6, 21]. That a similar result is true for $A \in K(p)$ is the content of the following theorem.

Theorem 1 (cf. [21, Theorem 2.3] and [6, Theorem 4]) If $A \in K(p)$, then $\sigma_o(A) = \sigma_{jo}(A)$.

Proof. It is immediate from the definition of K(p) that if $0 \in \sigma_o(A)$, then 0 is a normal eigen-value of A. Let $\alpha = re^{i\theta}$, $r \neq 0$, be an eigen-value of A with a corresponding eigen-vector x. Then

$$\begin{split} \|Ax\| &= \|U|A|x\| = \||A|x\| = \||A|^{1-q}|A|^q x\| \quad \left(0 < q \le \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ &\le \||A|^{1+q}x\|^{1-q}\||A|^q x\|^q \\ & \text{(by the Hölder-McCarthy inequality [11])} \\ &= \||A|^q U^* U|A|x\|^{1-q}\||A|^q x\|^q \\ &= r^{1-q}\||A|^q U^* x\|^{1-q}\||A|^q x\|^q. \end{split}$$

Since $A \in K(q)$ for all $0 < q \le p$, the definition of K(p) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \||A|^{q}U^{\star}x\|^{2} &= (U|A|^{2q}U^{\star}x, x) \leq \|x\|^{2(1-q)}\||A|x\|^{2q} \\ &= \|x\|^{2(1-q)}\|Ax\|^{2q}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$||Ax|| \le r^{1-q} ||x||^{(1-q)^2} ||Ax||^{q(1-q)} ||A|^q x ||^q,$$

or,

$$||x||^{-(1-q)^2} ||Ax||^{1-q(1-q)} \le r^{1-q} |||A|^q x||^q.$$

Since $Ax = re^{i\theta}x$, this implies

$$r^{q^2} ||x||^q \le ||A|^q x||^q$$
, or, $r^q ||x|| \le ||A|^q x||$.

Also, by the Hölder-McCarthy inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \||A|^{q}x\|^{2} &= (|A|^{2q}x, x) \leq \|x\|^{2(1-q)} (|A|^{2}x, x)^{q} \\ &= \|x\|^{2(1-q)} \||A|x\|^{2q} = \|x\|^{2(1-q)} \|Ax\|^{2q} \\ &= r^{2q} \|x\|^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\||A|^q x\| = r^q \|x\|$$

for all $0 < q \le p$. Choosing q = p/2 (so that $(|A|^p x, x) = r^p ||x||^2$) and q = p (so that $(|A|^{2p}x, x) = r^{2p} ||x||^2$), we have

$$0 \le |||A|^{p}x - r^{p}x||^{2} = (|A|^{2p}x, x) + r^{2p}||x||^{2} - r^{p}(|A|^{p}x, x) - r^{p}(x, |A|^{p}x) \le 0,$$

i.e.,

$$|A|^p x = r^p x,\tag{1}$$

Since there is no loss of generality in assuming $p = 2^{-n}$ for some integer $n \ge 1$, this implies

$$|A|x = rx$$

and (since $Ax = U|A|x = re^{i\theta}x$)
 $Ux = e^{i\theta}x.$ (2)

 \square

Hence $\alpha \in \sigma_{jo}(A)$, and the proof is complete.

Corollary 2 $\alpha = re^{i\theta} \in \sigma_o(A), A \in K(p), if and only if <math>r^p e^{i\theta} \in \sigma_{jo}(A_p).$

Proof. If $\alpha \in \sigma_o(A)$ and x is an eigen-vector corresponding to α , then (as seen in (1) and (2) above) $|A|^p x = r^p x$ and $Ux = e^{i\theta} x$. Hence $\alpha \in \sigma_{jo}(A_p)$. If, on the other hand, $\alpha \in \sigma_{jo}(A_p)$ and x is an eigen-vector corresponding to α , then $|A|^p x = r^p x$ and $Ux = e^{i\theta} x$ imply (as in the proof of the Theorem) that $\alpha \in \sigma_o(A)$.

The operator $A_p(=U|A|^p)$ plays an important role in the study of *p*-hyponormal operators (see [1, 2, 3, 6]). The following theorem shows that there is a deep relationship between the reducing subspaces of $A \in K(p)$ and A_p .

Theorem 3 An invariant subspace M of $A \in K(p)$ reduces A if an only if it reduces A_p .

Proof. It will suffice to consider $p = 2^{-n}$ for some integer $n \ge 1$. Suppose M reduces A_p . Then

$$U|A|^pM \subset M, |A|^pU^{\star}M \subset M \text{ and } |A|^{2p}M \subset M$$

imply

$$|A|M \subset M$$
 and $|A|^p M \subset M$.

100

Hence $U|A|M = AM \subset M$ and $|A|U^*M = A^*M \subset M$, i.e. M reduces A. The converse statement is similarly proved.

We prove next K(p) analogues of some well known results for hyponormal operators [15, 16]; H(p) analogues of some of these results appear in [2], [5, Theorems 1, 2 and 3] and [6, Theorem 8].

Theorem 4 Let $A \in K(p)$. Then :

(i) $\sigma_a(A) = \sigma_{ja}(A)$, and $\alpha = re^{i\theta} \in \sigma_a(A)$ if and only if there exists a sequence of unit vectors x_n such that $(|A| - r)x_n \to 0$ and $(U - e^{i\theta})x_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

(ii) $\alpha \in \sigma(A) \text{ and } \bar{\alpha} \notin \sigma_o(A^\star) \Rightarrow |\alpha| \in \sigma_e(|A|) \cap \sigma_e(|A^\star|).$

(iii) $\alpha \in \partial \sigma(A) \Rightarrow |\alpha| \in \sigma(|A|) \cap \sigma(|A^*|)$. If also A is pure and $(A-z) \in K(p)$ for all $z \in C$, then $|\alpha| \in \sigma_e(|A|) \cap \sigma_e(|A^*|)$.

Proof. (i) Using the Berberian extension technique [21, p. 15] to extend A to an operator A^o on a Hilbert space H^o it is seen that $A^o \in K(p)$ with $\sigma_a(A) = \sigma_a(A^o) = \sigma_o(A^o)$. By Theorem 1, $\sigma_o(A^o) = \sigma_{jo}(A^o)$; this implies $\sigma_a(A) = \sigma_{ja}(A)$.

Now suppose that $\alpha = re^{i\theta} \in \sigma_a(A), r > 0$. Then there exists a sequence of unit vectors $\{x_n\} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $(A - \alpha)x_n \to 0$ and $(A^* - \bar{\alpha})x_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Let $u = [x_n]$ denote the equivalence class of $\{x_n\}$ in H^o . Then u is a unit vector such that $A^o u = \alpha u$ and $A^{o*}u = \bar{\alpha}u$. Thus $|A^o|^2 u = |\alpha|^2 u = r^2 u$; hence $P(|A^o|^2)u = P(r^2)u$ for every polynomial P(z)with P(0) = 0. In particular, $|A^o|u = ru$. This, since $U^o|A^o|u = re^{i\theta}u$, implies $Uu = e^{i\theta}u$. Consequently, $(|A| - r)x_n \to 0$ and $(U - e^{i\theta})x_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Since the reverse implication is obviously true, the proof is complete.

(ii) If $\bar{\alpha} \notin \sigma_o(A^*)$, then (since $\sigma_o(A) = \sigma_{jo}(A)$ for $A \in K(p)$) $\alpha \notin \sigma_o(A)$. This, since $\sigma_e(A) = \sigma(A) - \sigma_{oo}(A)$ [9], implies $\alpha \in \sigma_e(A)$ and (so) there exists a sequence of unit vectors x_n, x_n converges to 0 weakly, such that $(A - \alpha)x_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Let $\alpha = re^{i\theta}$. As in the proof of (i) above, there exists a sequence of unit vectors x_n converging weakly to 0 such that

 $(|A| - r)x_n \to 0 \text{ and } (U - e^{i\theta})x_n \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$

This implies $r = |\alpha| \in \sigma_e(|A|) \cap \sigma_e(|A^*|)$. (Recall that $|A^*| = U|A|U^*$.)

(iii) If $\alpha \in \partial \sigma(A) \subset \sigma_a(A)$, then there exists a sequence of unit vectors x_n such that $(A - \alpha)x_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Let $\alpha = re^{i\theta}$. Since $\sigma_a(A) =$

 $\sigma_{ja}(A), (|A| - r)x_n \to 0 \text{ and } (U - e^{i\theta})x_n \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$ This implies $r = |\alpha| \in \sigma(|A|) \cap \sigma(|A^*|)$. Suppose now that $(A - z) \in K(p)$ for all $z \in C$. Then (A - z) is paranormal [11, Theorem 4], and so, since paranormal operators are normaloid, normaloid (for all $z \in C$). Consequently A is convexoid [18; pp. 539, 542], and hence satisfies growth condition (G_1) (i.e., $||(A - z)^{-1}|| \leq \frac{1}{d(z, \operatorname{conv}\sigma(A))}$, where $d(z, \operatorname{conv}\sigma(A))$ denotes the distance of z from the convex hull of $\sigma(A)$ [18; p. 606]). Recall that if $\alpha \in \partial\sigma(A)$, then either $\alpha \in \sigma_e(A)$ (indeed, α is in the intersection of the left and the right essential spectra of A) or α is an isolated point of $\sigma(A)$ [14]. Since isolated points of the spectrum of an operator satisfying growth condition (G_1) are eigen-values of the operator [18] and since $\sigma_o(A) = \sigma_{jo}(A)$, it follows that if A is pure then $\alpha \in \sigma_e(A)$. This, as in part (ii) implies $|\alpha| \in \sigma_e(|A|) \cap \sigma_e(|A^*|)$.

We note here that if the operator A in Theorem 4(iii) is in $K(p) \cap H(p)$, then A_p being hyponormal satisfies the property that $(A_p - z)$ is hyponormal for all $z \in C$. Hyponormal operators satisfy growth condition (G_1) . Since $\alpha = re^{i\theta} \in \partial\sigma(A)$ implies $r^p e^{i\theta} \in \partial\sigma(A_p)$, $r^p \in \sigma_e(|A_p|) \cap \sigma_e(|A_p^*|) =$ $\sigma_e(|A|^p) \cap \sigma_e(|A^*|^p)$. Hence $r \in \sigma_e(|A|) \cap \sigma_e(|A^*|)$. (See also [5, Theorem 2].)

Let KU(p) denote the class of $A \in K(p)$ for which U in the polar decomposition A = U|A| is unitary.

Theorem 5 If $A \in KU(p)$ is pure, then neither $\min \sigma(|A|)$ nor $\max \sigma(|A|)$ is in σ_{oo} (|A|).

Proof. Suppose $\alpha = \min \sigma(|A|) \in \sigma_{oo}(|A|)$. Let $M_{\alpha} = \{x \in \mathcal{H} : |A|x = \alpha x\}$. Since U is unitary, $\sigma(|A|^{2p}) = \sigma(U|A|^{2p}U^*)$ and $\alpha^{2p} = \min \sigma(U|A|^{2p}U^*)$. Letting $x \in M_{\alpha}$ the definition of K(p) implies

$$((AA^{\star})^{p}x, x) = (U|A|^{2p}U^{\star}x, x) \le ||x||^{2(1-p)} (A^{\star}Ax, x)^{p}$$

Also, since $\alpha^{2p} = \min \sigma(U|A|^{2p}U^{\star})$,

$$\alpha^{2p} \|x\|^2 \le (U|A|^{2p} U^* x, x).$$

Hence

$$\alpha^{2p} \|x\|^2 = (U|A|^{2p}U^{\star}x, x), \text{ or, } U|A|^{2p}U^{\star}x = \alpha^{2p}x.$$

Thus

$$U|A|U^{\star}x = \alpha x, |A|U^{\star}x = \alpha U^{\star}x \text{ and } U^{\star}M_{\alpha} \subset M_{\alpha}.$$

The subspace M_{α} being finite dimensional there exist non-trivial $y \in M_{\alpha}$ and $(0 \neq)\beta \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $U^*y = \beta y$, and then $U|A|y = \alpha Uy = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}y$. Hence $\sigma_o(A) \neq \phi$. Since $\sigma_o(A) = \sigma_{jo}(A)$ and A is pure, we have a contradiction. Consequently, $\alpha \notin \sigma_{oo}(|A|)$.

Now let $a = \max \sigma(|A|) \in \sigma_{oo}(|A|)$, and let $M_a = \{x \in \mathcal{H} : |A|x = ax\}$. Then

$$a^{2p} = \max \sigma(|A|^{2p}), \ (|A|^{2p}x, x) \le a^{2p} ||x||^2 \ (x \in M_a)$$

and

$$\sigma(|A|^2) = \sigma(U^*|A|^2U).$$

The definition of K(p) implies

$$(|A|^{2p}x,x) \le ||x||^{2(1-p)} (U^{\star}|A|^{2}Ux,x)^{p}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Hence, for $x \in M_a$,

$$a^{2p} ||x||^2 = (|A|^{2p}x, x) \le ||x||^{2(1-p)} (U^*|A|^2 Ux, x)^p \le a^{2p} ||x||^2.$$

Thus

$$U^{\star}|A|^2Ux = a^2x.$$

Following an argument similar to that above this implies $\sigma_o(A) = \sigma_{jo}(A) \neq \phi - a$ contradiction. Hence $a \notin \sigma_{oo}(|A|)$.

Given a pure hyponormal contraction A (on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H}) with Hilbert-Schmidt class defect operator $D_A = (1 - A^*A)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, Takahashi and Uchiyama [19] showed that A belongs to the class C_{10} of contractions. That the same is true for p-hyponormal contractions has been proved in [8, Theorems 1 and 2]. The following theorem extends this result to contractions $A \in K(p)$. We assume in the following that \mathcal{H} is separable.

Recall that a contraction A is said to be of the class

$$C_{\cdot 0}(C_{0}) \text{ if } ||A^{\star n}x|| \to 0$$

(resp., $||A^{n}x|| \to 0$) as $n \to \infty$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$;
$$C_{\cdot 1}(C_{1}) \text{ if } \inf_{n} ||A^{\star n}x||$$

(resp. $\inf_{n} ||A^{n}x||$) > 0 for all non-trivial $x \in \mathcal{H}$; $C_{\alpha\beta}, \alpha, \beta = 0, 1$, if $A \in C_{\alpha} \cap C_{\beta}$; C_{0} if there exists an inner function u such that u(A) = 0

[13]. The contraction A is said to be c.n.u. (= completely non-unitary) if there exists no non-trivial reducing subspace M of A such that A|M is unitary. If the c.n.u. contraction $A \in C_{00}$ has Hilbert-Schmidt class defect operator, then $A \in C_0$ [19]. Every C_{0} has contraction A with Hilbert-Schmidt class defect operator has a triangulation

$$A = \begin{vmatrix} A_o & \star \\ 0 & A_3 \end{vmatrix} \text{ of type } \begin{vmatrix} C_0 & \star \\ 0 & C_{10} \end{vmatrix} , \qquad (3)$$

and every C_0 contraction A_o has a triangulation

$$\left|\begin{array}{cc}A_1 & \star \\ 0 & A_2\end{array}\right|,$$

where $\sigma(A_1)$ consists of a countable number of characteristic values in the open unit disc \mathcal{D} and $\sigma(A_2) \subset \partial \mathcal{D}$ [13].

Theorem 6 If the contraction $A \in K(p)$ is pure and has Hilbert-Schmidt class defect operator, then $A \in C_{10}$.

Proof. The hypothesis $A \in K(p)$ implies A is paranormal. Since paranormal contractions have $C_{.0}$ c.n.u. part [7, Theorem 4], $A \in C_{.0}$ and has a triangulation (3). The restriction of a paranormal operator to an invariant subspace being paranormal, A_1 is paranormal, and so, since $\sigma(A_1)$ is countable, normal [17] with $\sigma(A_1) = \sigma_o(A_1)$. By Theorem 1, $\sigma_o(A) = \sigma_{jo}(A)$; hence, since A is pure, A_1 acts on the trivial space and

$$A = \left| \begin{array}{cc} A_2 & \star \\ 0 & A_3 \end{array} \right|$$

As stated above, $\sigma(A_2) \subset \partial \mathcal{D}$; hence A_2 is an invertible paranormal operator, with A_2^{-1} also paranormal [12, 18]. Paranormal operators are normaloid [12]; hence $r(A_2) = r(A_2^{-1}) = 1$, which implies A_2 is unitary. Since A is pure, and so c.n.u., A_2 acts on the trivial space. This implies $A = A_3 \in C_{10}$.

References

- [1] Aluthge, A., On p-hyponormal operators for 0 . Integral Equations and Operator Theory 13 (1990), 307–315.
- [2] Cho M., Spectral properties of p-hyponormal operators. Glasgow Math. J. **36** (1994), 117–122.
- [3] Cho M. and Itoh M., On the angular cutting for p-hyponormal operators. Acra Sci. Math. (Szeged) 59 (1994), 411-420.
- [4] Cho M. and Itoh M., Putnam's inequality for p-hyponormal operators. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 123 (1995), 2435-2440.
- [5] Cho M., Itoh M. and Huruya T., Spectra of completely p-hyponormal operators. Pre-print (1994).
- [6] Cho M. and Huruya T., *p*-hyponormal operators for 0 . Commentationes Math.**33**(1993), 23–29.
- [7] Duggal B.P., On generalised Putnam-Fuglede theorems. Mh. Math. 107 (1989), 309– 332.
- [8] Duggal B.P., On p-hyponormal contractions. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 123 (1995), 81-86.
- [9] Erovenko V.A., The Weyl theorem on the essential spectrum for k-paranormal operators. Vestsi Akad. Navuk BSSR Ser. Fiz.-Mat. Navuk 124 (1986), 30–35.
- [10] Fujii M., Himeji C. and Matsumoto A., Theorems of Ando and Saito for p-hyponormal operators. Math. Japon. 39 (1994), 595–598.
- [11] Fujii M., Nakamoto R. and Watanabe H., The Heinz-Kato-Furuta inequality and hyponormal operators. Math. Japon. 40 (1995), 469–472.
- [12] Istratescu V., Introduction to linear operator theory. Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, Basel (1981).
- [13] Sz.-Nagy, B. and Foias, C., *Harmonic analysis of operators in hilbert space*. Amsterdam, North-Holland, 1970.
- [14] Putnam C.R., The spectra of operators having resolvents of first-order growth. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 133 (1968), 505–510.
- [15] Putnam C.R., Spectra of polar factors of hyponormal operators. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 188 (1974), 419–428.
- [16] Putnam, C.R., Absolute values of completely hyponormal operators. J. Operator Theory 8 (1982), 319–326.
- [17] Chaoxing Q., Paranormal operators with countable spectrum are normal. J. Math. Res. Exposition 7 (1987), 591–594.
- [18] Saito T., Hyponormal operators and related topics. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 247, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [19] Takahashi K. and Uchiyama M., Every C_{oo} contraction with Hilbert-Schmidt defect operator is of class $C_{o..}$ J. Operator Theory **10** (1983), 331–335.
- [20] Xia D., On the non-normal operators-semihyponormal operators. Sci. Sinica 23 (1983), 700–713.
- [21] Xia D., Spectral Theory of Hyponormal Operators. Basel, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1983.

Department of Mathematics College of Science Sultan Qaboos University P.O. Box 36, Al-Khod 123 Oman E-mail: SCW 3310@SQU.EDU.