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#### Abstract

We consider an orientation-preserving homeomorphism $f$ of the disk. The braid type is one of the topological invariants defined for finite invariant sets of $f$. We show that if $f$ has a finite invariant set $S$ whose braid type contains a pseudo-Anosov component, then there are at least $2 n+3$ periodic points of period $\leq n$ for any $n$ with $n \geq \operatorname{per}(S)$, where $\operatorname{per}(S)$ is the maximum of the periods of the points in $S$.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $M$ be a compact connected orientable surface possibly with boundary, and $f: M \rightarrow M$ an orientation-preserving homeomorphism. Given a finite set $S$ which is contained in the interior of $M$ and is invariant under the map $f$, its braid type $\operatorname{bt}(S, f)$ is defined as the isotopy class of $f$ relative to the set $S[3]$ (see Section 2 below). From the Nielsen-Thurston classification theory of isotopy classes for surface homeomorphisms [6, 22], braid types are classified into three classes: pseudo-Anosov, periodic, and reducible. Moreover, in the reducible case, any braid type is decomposed into a finite number of irreducible components, each of which is either pseudo-Anosov or periodic.

This classification for braid types has great significance in the theory of 2 -dimensional dynamical systems: If $f$ has a finite invariant set $S$ whose braid type $\mathrm{bt}(S, f)$ contains a pseudo-Anosov component, then $f$ must have dynamical complexity, e.g. positive topological entropy and an infinite number of periodic points. Also, the following results have been obtained on the existence and the number of periodic points: The growth rate of the number of periodic points of period $n$, as $n$ tends to infinity, is positive. (This follows from Jiang [16, Theorem 3.8]. See also [15].) There exist infinitely many period doubling sequences of periodic orbits (Guaschi [12, Theorem 4]). $f$ has a periodic point with any sufficiently large period, provided that

[^0]$\mathrm{bt}(S, f)$ is pseudo-Anosov [9].
Moreover, some further results on periodic points have been obtained in the special case where $M$ is the closed disk $D$ and the braid type $\operatorname{bt}(S, f)$ is pseudo-Anosov. A result of the author [20, Theorem 2] on embeddings of the plane immediately implies that $f$ has a periodic point of every period greater than three, provided $S$ has three points and $f$ is differentiable. (The same result is valid for the plane as well.) Following an example of Gambaudo et al. [10], Kolev [18] has proved that $f$ has periodic points of all periods if $S$ is a periodic orbit of period 3. Guaschi [11] generalized Kolev's result to the case of invariant sets having 3 or 4 points. Results of Franks and Misiurewicz (Propositions 13.2 and 13.4 in [8]) imply that if $S$ is a periodic orbit, then either $f$ has periodic points of all periods greater than $n-3$ or $f$ has periodic points of all periods divisible by $n$, where $n$ is the period of $S$.

In this paper, we also restrict ourselves to the case of the disk. However, we allow $\mathrm{bt}(S, f)$ to be reducible. Our main theorem gives an estimate for the number of periodic points with period not exceeding a given number. Let $\operatorname{per}(S)$ denote the maximum of the periods of all periodic points contained in $S$.

Theorem 1 Let $f: D \rightarrow D$ be an orientation-preserving homeomorphism on the closed disk, and $S$ a finite invariant set of $f$ in the interior of $D$. Suppose the braid type $\operatorname{bt}(S, f)$ has a pseudo-Anosov component. Then, for every integer $n \geq \operatorname{per}(S)$, $f$ has at least $2 n+3$ periodic points whose periods are less than or equal to $n$.

The above estimate can be improved if we assume some transversality condition. Let $n$ be a positive integer. We say $f$ is $n$-transversal if $f$ is differentiable and for any positive integer $m \leq n$, the differential of $f^{m}$ at each fixed point of $f^{m}$ does not have eigenvalue one.

Theorem 2 Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 1. Suppose $n$ is an integer such that $n \geq \operatorname{per}(S)$ and $f$ is $n$-transversal. Then, $f$ has at least $3 n+6$ periodic points whose periods are less than or equal to $n$.

In the special case of $\operatorname{per}(S)=n=1$, Theorem 2 has been obtained and also shown to be the best possible estimate by the author [21] (Remark, pp. 461-462). When $S$ has three or four points, one can derive sharper estimates than Theorems 1 and 2 for large $n$ from Guashi's result
[11] mentioned above. The author does not know whether our theorems give the best possible estimates in the remaining case.

The author wishes to thank the referee for providing many helpful suggestions and corrections.

## 2. Preliminaries

Here we recall the definition of a braid type and the classification theory for braid types. Also, we prove some results which are necessary for the proof of Theorem 1.

Let $M$ be a compact connected orientable surface possibly with boundary $\partial M$. Let $f: M \rightarrow M$ be an orientation-preserving homeomorphism. A point $x$ in $M$ is a fixed point of $f$ if $f(x)=x . x$ is called a periodic point of $f$ if it is a fixed point of $f^{n}$ for some positive integer $n$. The least such $n$ is called the period of the periodic point and denoted by $\operatorname{per}(x, f)$. A periodic point of period $n$ will also be called an $n$-periodic point. Let $\operatorname{Fix}(f)$ denote the set of fixed points of $f$. Let $P(f)$ denote the set of all periodic points of $f$, and $P_{n}(f)$ the set of periodic points of period $n$. Let

$$
P^{n}(f)=\bigcup_{m \leq n} P_{m}(f)
$$

Namely, $P^{n}(f)$ is the set of periodic points whose periods are less than or equal to $n$. Clearly $P^{1}(f)=P_{1}(f)=\operatorname{Fix}(f)$. The aim of this paper is to give lower bounds for the cardinality of this set $P^{n}(f)$ in the case of $M=D$.

A subset $S$ of $M$ is called an invariant set of $f$ if $f(S)=S$. Suppose $f, g: M \rightarrow M$ are homeomorphisms. Suppose $S$ is a finite set in the interior Int $M$ of $M$ and it is an invariant set for both $f$ and $g$. We say $f$ and $g$ are isotopic relative to $S$ if there exist homeomorphisms $h_{t}: M \rightarrow M$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ such that $h_{0}=f, h_{1}=g, h_{t}(x)$ is continuous in $x$ and $t$, and $h_{t}(S)=S$ for any $t$. An equivalence class under this relation is called an isotopy class relative to $S$.

Definition 1 Suppose $f: M \rightarrow M$ is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism, and $S$ is a finite invariant set of $f$ in Int $M$. Define the braid type $\operatorname{bt}(S, f)$ of the set $S$ with respect to $f$ to be the isotopy class of $f: M \rightarrow M$ relative to $S$.

Braid types can be classified according to the Nielsen-Thurston classification theory on surface homeomorphisms up to isotopy. We give a
brief account of this theory. (We shall mainly follow [4, Section 7].) Let $\phi: M \rightarrow M$ be a homeomorphism. $\phi$ is said to be periodic if $\phi^{m}=\mathrm{id}$ for some positive integer $m$, where id denotes the identity map. Let $S$ be a finite invariant set of $\phi$ in $\operatorname{Int} M . \phi$ is said to be pseudo-Anosov relative to $S$, if the following conditions hold:
(a) There exists a pair of transverse foliations $\mathcal{F}^{u}, \mathcal{F}^{s}$ on $M$, carrying transverse measures which are uniformly expanded and contracted by $\phi$ respectively.
(b) Each foliation has a finite number of singularities which coincide in the interior $\operatorname{Int} M$ and alternate on the boundary $\partial M$. Any singularity is $p$-pronged for some positive integer $p, p \neq 2$.
(c) Any singularity on $\partial M$ is 3 -pronged. (We consider segments of the boundary to be prongs.)
(d) 1-prongs are permitted only at points of $S$.

In the case where $S$ is empty, $\phi$ is simply said to be pseudo-Anosov. $\phi$ is called a generalized pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism if it is pseudo-Anosov relative to some non-empty finite invariant set (see [6]). We say $\phi$ is reducible relative to $S$ if there exists a disjoint union $\Sigma=\Sigma_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \Sigma_{k}$ of simple closed curves, called reducing curves, in Int $M-S$ such that
(a) $\Sigma$ is an invariant set of $\phi$, and
(b) each connected component of $M-(\Sigma \cup S)$ has negative Euler characteristic.

Theorem 3 (Nielsen-Thurston Classification Theorem) Let f:M $\rightarrow M$ be a homeomorphism, and $S$ a finite invariant set of $f$ in Int $M$. Then $f$ is isotopic relative to $S$ to a homeomorphism $\phi$ which is periodic, pseudoAnosov relative to $S$, or reducible relative to $S$. In the reducible case, $\phi$ can be chosen to have an invariant open tubular neighborhood $A(\Sigma)$ of $\Sigma$ such that on each connected component $N$ of the complement of $A(\Sigma)$, $\phi^{\mu}: N \rightarrow N$ is either periodic or pseudo-Anosov relative to $S \cap N$, where $\mu$ is the least positive integer such that $\phi^{\mu}(N)=N$.

The map $\phi$ in the above theorem is called a canonical homeomorphism (or a canonical form) relative to $S$. In the case where $S$ is empty, $\phi$ is simply called a canonical homeomorphism. Each component $N$ is called a component of $\phi$. Also, each connected component of $A(\Sigma)$ is called a reducing annulus. This classification theorem leads to the following definition:

Definition 2 A braid type $\operatorname{bt}(S, f)$ is called periodic, pseudo-Anosov, or reducible if a canonical homeomorphism $\phi: M \rightarrow M$ in the isotopy class of $f$ relative to $S$ is periodic, pseudo-Anosov relative to $S$, or reducible relative to $S$, respectively. We say $\mathrm{bt}(S, f)$ contains a pseudo-Anosov component if so does $\phi$.

Now let $\phi: M \rightarrow M$ be an orientation-preserving pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism relative to $S$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be one of the associated foliations $\mathcal{F}^{u}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{s}$. For $x \in M$, let $p(x)$ denote the number of prongs of $\mathcal{F}$ at $x$. (If $x$ is a regular point of $\mathcal{F}$, we regard the two half leaves emanating from $x$ as the prongs at $x$, and thus set $p(x)=2$.) Let $\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ denote the set of singularities of $\mathcal{F}$. Then we have the following Euler-Poincaré formula [6, p. 75]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{x \in \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})}(2-p(x))=2 \chi(M) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi(M)$ denotes the Euler characteristic of $M$.
Let $x \in \operatorname{Int} M$ be an $n$-periodic point of $\phi$. Then $\phi^{n}$ induces a permutation on the prongs at $x$ of the foliation $\mathcal{F}$. Let $l, a$ be positive integers. We say $x$ has type $(l, a)$ if
(a) $l$ is the least period of a prong at $x$ under the permutation $\phi^{n}$, and
(b) $p(x)=a l$.

This definition makes sense, since the prongs at $x$ have the same period under $\phi^{n}$ and $l, p(x)$ do not depend on the choice of $\mathcal{F}$. Note also that $l$ always divides $p(x)$ and hence $p(x)$ is written in the form (b) above. For example, if $x$ is a one-pronged singularity, then it has type (1,1). If $x$ is a regular point of $\mathcal{F}$, then it has type $(1,2)$ or $(2,1)$.

We recall some facts about the index for a periodic point. Let $f: M \rightarrow$ $M$ be a continuous map. Given a fixed point $x$ of $f$, let ind $(x, f)$ denote its fixed point index. If $x$ is an $n$-periodic point, we define its index to be $\operatorname{ind}\left(x, f^{n}\right)$. It is easy to see that if $x$ is a periodic point of $\phi$ in $\operatorname{Int} M$ which has period $n$ and type $(l, a)$, then for any $q \geq 1$, we have

$$
\operatorname{ind}\left(x, \phi^{n q}\right)= \begin{cases}1-a l & \text { if } q \text { is a multiple of } l  \tag{2}\\ 1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Namely, for an interior fixed point $x$ of an iterate $\phi^{m}, \operatorname{ind}\left(x, \phi^{m}\right)=1$ if
$\phi^{m}$ rotates the prongs at $x$, and $\operatorname{ind}\left(x, \phi^{m}\right)=1-p(x)$ if $\phi^{m}$ preserves each (equivalently some) prong. In particular, the index of an interior periodic point is zero if and only if it is a one-pronged singularity. If $x$ is a periodic point in $\partial M$, then it must be a singularity of $\mathcal{F}^{s}$ or $\mathcal{F}^{u}$, and

$$
\operatorname{ind}\left(x, \phi^{m}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{rll}
-1 & \text { if } & x \in \operatorname{Sing}\left(\mathcal{F}^{s}\right)  \tag{3}\\
0 & \text { if } & x \in \operatorname{Sing}\left(\mathcal{F}^{u}\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

for any $m$ such that $\phi^{m}$ fixes $x$.
Two fixed points $x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$ of a continuous map $f: M \rightarrow M$ are said to be $f$-Nielsen equivalent (or Nielsen equivalent, shortly) if there exists a path $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ such that $\gamma(0)=x_{0}, \gamma(1)=x_{1}$, and $f \circ \gamma$ is homotopic to $\gamma$ fixing end points. (See $[2,14]$.) An equivalence class under this relation is called an $f$-Nielsen class or a Nielsen class. The Nielsen class represented by $x$ is denoted by $N C(x, f)$.

Two invariant sets $K_{0}$ and $K_{1}$ of $f$ are called $f$-related if there exists a path $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ such that $\gamma(0) \in K_{0}, \gamma(1) \in K_{1}$, and $f \circ \gamma$ and $\gamma$ are homotopic via a homotopy $\left\{\gamma_{t}\right\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ of paths in $M$ such that $\gamma_{t}(0) \in K_{0}$ and $\gamma_{t}(1) \in K_{1}$ for any $t$. (See [17, p. 70].) In general, this is not an equivalence relation among invariant subsets. When $K_{0}$ and $K_{1}$ are single points, it reduces to the Nielsen equivalence relation between fixed points of $f$.

Suppose $g: M \rightarrow M$ is a continuous map homotopic to $f$. Let $H=$ $\left\{h_{t}\right\}: M \rightarrow M(0 \leq t \leq 1)$ be a homotopy from $f$ to $g$. Then $x \in \operatorname{Fix}(f)$ and $y \in \operatorname{Fix}(g)$ are said to be $H$-related if there exists a path $\alpha$ in $M$ such that $\alpha(0)=x, \alpha(1)=y$, and two paths $\alpha$ and $h_{t}(\alpha(t))$ are homotopic fixing end points.

Lemma 1 Suppose $K$ is a subset of $M$ such that $h_{t}(K)=K$ for any $t$. Suppose $x \in \operatorname{Fix}(f)$ and $y \in \operatorname{Fix}(g)$ are $H$-related and $y$ is $g$-related to $K$. Then $x$ is $f$-related to $K$.

Proof. Given paths $\omega, \omega^{\prime}$ in $M$ which have the same initial point and whose terminal points are both contained in $K$, we write $\omega \sim \omega^{\prime}$ if there is a homotopy $\left\{\omega_{t}\right\}$ of paths in $M$ with $\omega_{0}=\omega, \omega_{1}=\omega^{\prime}, \omega_{t}(0)=\omega(0)$, $\omega_{t}(1) \in K$ for any $t$. Since $y$ and $K$ are $g$-related, there is a path $\gamma$ in $M$ such that $\gamma(0)=y, \gamma(1) \in K$, and $\gamma \sim g \circ \gamma$. Since $x$ and $y$ are $H$-related, there is a path $\alpha$ in $M$ from $x$ to $y$ such that $\alpha$ and $\bar{\alpha}$, where $\bar{\alpha}$ is given by
$\bar{\alpha}(t)=h_{t}(\alpha(t))$, are homotopic fixing end points. Thus we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \gamma \sim \bar{\alpha}(g \circ \gamma) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define a homotopy $\left\{\beta_{t}\right\}(0 \leq t \leq 1)$ of paths in $M$ by

$$
\beta_{t}(s)= \begin{cases}h_{2 s(1-t)}(\alpha(2 s)) & s \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ h_{1-t}(\gamma(2 s-1)) & s \geq \frac{1}{2}\end{cases}
$$

Then $\beta_{t}(0)=x$ and $\beta_{t}(1) \in K$ for each $t$, and $\beta_{0}=\bar{\alpha}(g \circ \gamma), \beta_{1}=(f \circ$ $\alpha)(f \circ \gamma)=f \circ(\alpha \gamma)$. Therefore, $\bar{\alpha}(g \circ \gamma) \sim f \circ(\alpha \gamma)$ and hence by (4), $\alpha \gamma \sim f \circ(\alpha \gamma)$. This means that $x$ is $f$-related to $K$.

The following lemma is closely related to Lemma 3.4 of Jiang and Guo [17] and Proposition 1.5 of Boyland [5].

Lemma 2 Let $M$ be a compact surface obtained from the disk $D$ by removing finitely many disjoint open disks in $D$. Let $\psi: M \rightarrow M$ be a canonical homeomorphism. Suppose $\psi$ has a pseudo-Anosov component $N$ such that $\psi(N)=N$. Let $K_{0}$ and $K_{1}$ be disjoint connected invariant sets of $\psi$. Suppose either
(a) $K_{0}$ and $K_{1}$ are contained in different connected components of $M-\operatorname{Int} N$, or
(b) $K_{0}$ or $K_{1}$ is a single point contained in $\operatorname{Int} N$. Then $K_{0}$ and $K_{1}$ are not $\psi$-related.

Proof. The method of the proof here is different from those in [5, 17] which use the covering space technique. Instead, we use the hypothesis that $M$ is a subspace of the disk. Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}$ be all of the connected components of $M-\operatorname{Int} N$ and let $C_{i}=N \cap X_{i}$. Then, since $N$ is a component of a canonical homeomorphism of $\psi$, we see that either $X_{i}=C_{i}$ or $X_{i}$ is a compact surface which has $C_{i}$ as one of its boundary circles. We can assume, by rearranging indices if necessary, that $X_{i}=C_{i}$ if $i<q$, and $X_{i}$ is a compact surface if $i \geq q$, where $1 \leq q \leq k+1$. Also, we can assume that $\psi$ preserves each $X_{i}$, by taking its iterate if necessary, because $\psi$-related subsets are $\psi^{m}$-related for any $m \geq 1$. If $i \geq q$, then $C_{i}$ is isotopic to some reducing curve and hence $X_{i}$ has at least two other boundary circles $C_{i, 1}, \ldots, C_{i, b_{i}}$, where $b_{i} \geq 2$. Let $\hat{M}$ be a compact surface obtained from $M$ by collapsing each $C_{i, j}$ to a point, where $i \geq q, 2 \leq j \leq b_{i}$. Denote by $\pi: M \rightarrow \hat{M}$ the projection map, and
let $\hat{X}_{i}=\pi\left(X_{i}\right)$. Since $\pi$ is injective on $N$, we can regard $N$ as a subspace of $\hat{M}$. Then $\hat{M}-\operatorname{Int} N=\hat{X}_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \hat{X}_{k}$. For $i<q$, we have $\hat{X}_{i}=C_{i}$. If $i \geq q$, then $\hat{X}_{i}$ is a closed annulus whose boundary circles are $C_{i}$ and $C_{i, 1}$. Thus $N$ is a strong deformation retract of $\hat{M}$, i.e., there exists a homotopy $r_{t}: \hat{M} \rightarrow \hat{M}$ such that

$$
r_{0}=\mathrm{id}, \quad r_{1}(\hat{M})=N,\left.\quad r_{t}\right|_{N}=\mathrm{id}
$$

for any $t$. Moreover, we can choose $r_{t}$ so that the image of $\hat{M}-\operatorname{Int} N$ under $r_{t}$ is disjoint from Int $N$ for any $t$. Let $r=r_{1}: \hat{M} \rightarrow N$. Let $\hat{\psi}: \hat{M} \rightarrow \hat{M}$ be a homeomorphism induced by $\psi$. Then $\hat{\psi} \circ \pi=\pi \circ \psi, r\left(\hat{X}_{i}\right)=C_{i}$ for any $i$, and $\hat{\psi}=\psi$ on $N$. Also, $\hat{\psi}\left(\hat{X}_{i}\right)=\hat{X}_{i}$ since $\psi$ is assumed to preserve $X_{i}$.

Suppose $K_{0}$ and $K_{1}$ were $\psi$-related. Then there is a path $\gamma$ in $M$ such that $\gamma(0) \in K_{0}, \gamma(1) \in K_{1}$, and $\gamma$ is homotopic to $\psi \circ \gamma$ via a homotopy $\left\{\gamma_{t}\right\}$ of paths in $M$ such that $\gamma_{t}(0) \in K_{0}, \gamma_{t}(1) \in K_{1}$ for any $t$. Define a path $\omega$ in $N$ by $\omega=r \circ \pi \circ \gamma$. We shall make a homotopy $\left\{\omega_{t}\right\}$ of paths in $N$ between $\omega$ to $\psi \circ \omega$. Define two homotopies $\left\{\omega_{t}^{\prime}\right\},\left\{\omega_{t}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ of paths in $N$ by

$$
\omega_{t}^{\prime}=r \circ \pi \circ \gamma_{t}, \quad \omega_{t}^{\prime \prime}=r \circ \hat{\psi} \circ r_{t} \circ \pi \circ \gamma
$$

Then $\omega_{0}^{\prime}=\omega, \omega_{1}^{\prime}=\omega_{0}^{\prime \prime}, \omega_{1}^{\prime \prime}=r \circ \hat{\psi} \circ \omega=\psi \circ \omega$, since $\omega$ is a path in $N$ and $r \circ \psi=\psi$ on $N$. Therefore if we denote by $\left\{\omega_{t}\right\}$ the product of two homotopies $\left\{\omega_{t}^{\prime}\right\}$ and $\left\{\omega_{t}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$, (i.e., $\omega_{t}=\omega_{2 t}^{\prime}$ if $t \leq 1 / 2$ and $\omega_{t}=\omega_{2 t-1}^{\prime \prime}$ if $t \geq 1 / 2)$ then it gives the desired homotopy. Note that if $K_{\epsilon} \subset X_{i}$, where $\epsilon=0,1$, then $\omega_{t}^{\prime}(\epsilon) \in r\left(\hat{X}_{i}\right)=C_{i}, \omega_{t}^{\prime \prime}(\epsilon) \in r\left(\hat{\psi}\left(\hat{X}_{i}\right)\right)=C_{i}$, and hence $\omega_{t}(\epsilon) \in C_{i}$.

Suppose first that the condition (a) holds. Then $K_{0} \subset X_{i_{0}}, K_{1} \subset X_{i_{1}}$, where $i_{0} \neq i_{1}$, and hence $\omega_{t}(\epsilon) \in C_{i_{\epsilon}}$ for $\epsilon=0,1$ and any $t$. This means that different boundary components $C_{i_{0}}, C_{i_{1}}$ of $N$ are related under the pseudoAnosov homeomorphism $\left.\psi\right|_{N}$. This is a contradiction. (See e.g. Jiang and Guo [17, Lemma 2.2] and Boyland [5, Lemma 1.1 (b) (iii)].)

Suppose next the condition (b) holds. We can assume that $K_{0}$ consists of a single point, say $x_{0}$, in Int $N$. Then clearly $\omega_{t}(0)=x_{0}$. Also, since $K_{1}$ is a connected invariant set disjoint from $\left\{x_{0}\right\}, K_{1}$ is contained in some $X_{i}$ or is a single point in $\operatorname{Int} N$. These imply that the fixed point $x_{0}$ in the interior of $N$ is $\left.\psi\right|_{N}$-related to another interior fixed point or some boundary circle $C_{i}$ of $N$. This also contradicts the results of $[5,17]$ cited above. Hence we complete the proof.

Suppose $f, g: M \rightarrow M$ are homotopic continuous maps, and $H=\left\{h_{t}\right\}:$ $M \rightarrow M$ is a homotopy from $f$ to $g$. For a subset $E$ of $M$, define a subset $\Delta_{H}(E)$ of $\operatorname{Fix}(g)$ by

$$
\Delta_{H}(E)=\{y \in \operatorname{Fix}(g) \mid \text { some } x \in E \cap \operatorname{Fix}(f) \text { is } H \text {-related to } y\} .
$$

Note that if $x \in \operatorname{Fix}(f)$ is $H$-related to $y \in \operatorname{Fix}(g)$ and $y$ is $g$-Nielsen equivalent to another $y^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Fix}(g)$, then $x$ is also $H$-related to $y^{\prime}$. As a consequence, $\Delta_{H}(E)$ is empty or a union of $g$-Nielsen classes. For a point $x \in M$, let $\Delta_{H}(x)=\Delta_{H}(\{x\})$. This is a single Nielsen class or an empty set. We say Nielsen classes $F$ of $f$ and $G$ of $g$ are $H$-related if $\Delta_{H}(F)=G$, i.e., every $x \in F$ and every $y \in G$ (equivalently some $x \in F$ and some $y \in G)$ are $H$-related. It is known that $H$-related Nielsen classes have the same fixed point index (see e.g. [2]). In particular, if $F$ is essential (i.e., if its index is nonzero), then $\Delta_{H}(F)$ is also essential and hence not empty.

Proposition 1 Let $f: D \rightarrow D$ be an orientation-preserving homeomorphism with a finite invariant set $S$ in $\operatorname{Int} D$. Let $\phi: D \rightarrow D$ be a canonical homeomorphism relative to $S$ which is isotopic to $f$. Assume $\phi$ has a pseudo-Anosov component. Let $L$ be the union of all pseudo-Anosov components of $\phi$. Then
(a) There exists an injective map $\tau: P(\phi) \cap(\operatorname{Int} L-S) \rightarrow P(f)-S$ which is period-preserving, i.e. $\operatorname{per}(x, \phi)=\operatorname{per}(\tau(x), f)$ for every $x$.
(b) $\sharp P^{n}(f) \geq \sharp\left(P^{n}(\phi) \cap(S \cup \operatorname{Int} L)\right)$ for any $n \geq 1$, where $\sharp$ denotes the cardinality.

Proof. (a) We need the notion of the blow-up of a homeomorphism. Let $D_{S}$ be the surface obtained from $D-S$ by attaching a boundary circle at each point of $S$. Let $\Gamma$ be the union of the attached boundary circles, and $\pi_{S}: D_{S} \rightarrow D$ the projection. Then we have $D_{S}=(D-S) \cup \Gamma$ and $\Gamma=\pi_{S}^{-1}(S)=\partial D_{S}-\partial D$. If $g: D \rightarrow D$ is a homeomorphism with $g(S)=S$ and its restriction to $D-S$ is extendable to a homeomorphism $G: D_{S} \rightarrow D_{S}$, then we call $G$ the blow-up of $g$ at $S$. It is known that any homeomorphism which is smooth at $S$ and whose differential at each point in $S$ is non-singular has the blow-up at $S[1, \mathrm{p} .24]$. Also, it is not difficult to see that any canonical homeomorphism relative to $S$ has the blow-up at $S$. The blow-up of a homeomorphism which is pseudo-Anosov relative to $S$ becomes a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism on $D_{S}$.

Now we begin the proof. Let $\phi_{S}: D_{S} \rightarrow D_{S}$ be the blow-up of $\phi$ at $S$.

For each $x \in S$, we choose an open disk $W^{x}$ centered at $x$ so that these disks are mutually disjoint. Also, for $x \in S$ and $m \geq 1$, choose open disks $V_{m}^{x}$ centered at $x$ so that $V_{m}^{x} \subset W^{x}$ and $f^{m}\left(V_{m}^{x}\right) \subset W^{f^{m}(x)}$. Let $V_{m}=\bigcup_{x \in S} V_{m}^{x}$, and $\left(V_{m}\right)_{S}=\left(V_{m}-S\right) \cup \Gamma$. Then $\left(V_{m}\right)_{S}$ is an open neighborhood of $\Gamma$ in $D_{S}$. We isotope $f$, relative to $S$, to a homeomorphism $f_{m}: D \rightarrow D$ which is smooth at $S$ and has the non-singular differential at every point in $S$. Furthermore, $f_{m}$ can be chosen so that its $m$-th iterate $\left(f_{m}\right)^{m}$ coincides with $f^{m}$ away from $V_{m}$. It follows from the assumption on $V_{m}^{x}$ that the blow-up $\left(f_{m}\right)_{S}$ of $f_{m}$ at $S$ has the property that every fixed point of its $m$-th iterate $\left(f_{m}\right)_{S}^{m}$ in $\left(V_{m}\right)_{S}$ is $\left(f_{m}\right)_{S}^{m}$-related to $\Gamma$. Since $\phi^{m}$ and $\left(f_{m}\right)^{m}$ are isotopic relative to $S$, there is an isotopy $H_{m}=\left\{H_{m, t}\right\}: D_{S} \rightarrow D_{S}$ from $\phi_{S}^{m}$ to $\left(f_{m}\right)_{S}^{m}$.

We shall define the map $\tau$. Suppose $x$ is a periodic point of $\phi$ in $\operatorname{Int} L-S$. Then $x$ is also a periodic point of $\phi_{S}$. Let $m=\operatorname{per}(x, \phi)$. Write $f_{S}$ and $V_{S}$ for $\left(f_{m}\right)_{S}$ and $\left(V_{m}\right)_{S}$ respectively for the sake of simplicity. Since $x \notin S$, it follows from (2) that $x$ has nonzero index, and hence $\{x\}$ is an essential $\phi_{S}^{m}$-Nielsen class. This implies that the $f_{S}^{m}$-Nielsen class $\Delta_{H_{m}}(x)$ is essential and hence not empty. Choose an element from this class, and denote it by $\tau(x)$. Namely, $\tau(x)$ is a fixed point of $f_{S}^{m}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
N C\left(\tau(x), f_{S}^{m}\right)=\Delta_{H_{m}}(x) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We show that the orbit of $\tau(x)$ under $f_{S}$ is contained in $D_{S}-V_{S}$. Suppose to the contrary that $f_{S}^{j}(\tau(x)) \in V_{S}$ for some $j$. This implies that $f_{S}^{j}(\tau(x))$ is $f_{S}^{m}$-related to $\Gamma$ by the property of $f_{S}$, and hence $\tau(x)$ is $f_{S}^{m}$-related to $\Gamma$. Since $x$ and $\tau(x)$ are $H_{m}$-related, it follows from Lemma 1 that $x$ is $\phi_{S}^{m}$-related to $\Gamma$. This contradicts Lemma 2. Hence the orbit of $\tau(x)$ is contained in $D_{S}-V_{S}=D-V_{m}$. Since $f^{m}$ and $\left(f_{m}\right)^{m}$ coincide on $D-V_{m}$, $\tau(x)$ is a fixed point of $f^{m}$ in $D-S$. Thus we have defined the map $\tau$.

We show $\tau$ is period-preserving. Let $x \in P(\phi) \cap(\operatorname{Int} L-S)$, and let $m, m^{\prime}$ be the periods of $x, \tau(x)$ respectively. Since $\phi_{S}^{m^{\prime}}(x)$ is $H_{m}$-related to $f_{S}^{m^{\prime}}(\tau(x))$, we have by (5)

$$
\Delta_{H_{m}}\left(\phi_{S}^{m^{\prime}}(x)\right)=N C\left(f_{S}^{m^{\prime}}(\tau(x)), f_{S}^{m}\right)=N C\left(\tau(x), f_{S}^{m}\right)=\Delta_{H_{m}}(x)
$$

Then $x$ and $\phi_{S}^{m^{\prime}}(x)$ are $\phi_{S}^{m}$-Nielsen equivalent, and so they are identical. Therefore $m^{\prime}$ must be a multiple of $m$. On the other hand, since $\tau(x) \in$ $\operatorname{Fix}\left(f_{S}^{m}\right), m$ is a multiple of $m^{\prime}$. Therefore $m=m^{\prime}$.

We show $\tau$ is injective. Suppose $x, y \in P(\phi) \cap(\operatorname{Int} L-S)$ have the same
image under $\tau$. Then, since $\tau$ is period-preserving, they have the same period, say $m$, and (5) implies $x$ and $y$ are $\phi_{S}^{m}$-Nielsen equivalent. Then by Lemma 2, $x$ and $y$ must be equal.
(b) By (a), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sharp P^{n}(f) & =\sharp\left(P^{n}(f)-S\right)+\sharp\left(P^{n}(f) \cap S\right) \\
& \geq \sharp\left(P^{n}(\phi) \cap(\operatorname{Int} L-S)\right)+\sharp\left(P^{n}(\phi) \cap S\right) \\
& =\sharp\left(P^{n}(\phi) \cap(S \cup \operatorname{Int} L)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $g$ be a homeomorphism of the closed annulus $A=S^{1} \times[0,1]$ isotopic to the identity. Let $\tilde{g}$ be a lift of $g$ to the universal cover $\tilde{A}=\mathbf{R} \times[0,1]$. The projection onto the first factor is denoted by $\pi_{1}: \tilde{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$.

Given $x \in A$, choose a lift $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{A}$ and define its rotation number under $\tilde{g}$ as

$$
\rho(x, \tilde{g})=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi_{1}\left(\tilde{g}^{n}(\tilde{x})\right)-\pi_{1}(\tilde{x})}{n}
$$

if this limit exists. It is clear that the rotation number does not depend on the choice of the lift $\tilde{x}$. The set of all rotation numbers under $\tilde{g}$ is denoted by $\rho(\tilde{g})$. On each boundary circle $\Gamma$ of the annulus $A$, every point has the same rotation number, since the restriction of $g$ to $\Gamma$ is an orientation-preserving circle homeomorphism. We denote this rotation number by $\rho(\Gamma, \tilde{g})$. The following theorem is an immediate consequence of Franks [7, Cor. 2.4].

Theorem 4 ([7]) Let $g: A \rightarrow A$ be a generalized pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism of the annulus isotopic to the identity. Let $\tilde{g}: \tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{A}$ be a lift of $g$. Suppose $\alpha, \beta$ are distinct numbers contained in $\rho(\tilde{g})$. Then for every rational number $p / q$ between $\alpha$ and $\beta$, where $p$ and $q$ are relatively prime, $g$ has a periodic point of period $q$ and rotation number $p / q$.

Let $\phi: D \rightarrow D$ be an orientation-preserving generalized pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism. Let $x_{0}$ be a fixed point of $\phi$ in Int $D$. Let $g: A \rightarrow A$ be a homeomorphism obtained by blowing up $\phi$ at the fixed point $x_{0}$. Then $g$ is a generalized pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism on $A$ isotopic to the identity. Let $\tilde{g}: \tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{A}$ be a lift of $g$. We have the following lemma:

Lemma 3 Suppose $l$ and $n$ are positive integers, and $x$ is a periodic point of $\phi$ in $D-\left\{x_{0}\right\}$. Assume the following conditions:
(a) $\operatorname{per}(x, \phi)<l \operatorname{per}\left(x, \phi^{l}\right)$.
(b) $\rho(\tilde{g}) \ni k / l$ for some integer $k$ prime to $l$.
(c) $n \geq 2 l+\operatorname{per}(x, \phi)$.

Then $\phi$ has at least $2 n+3$ periodic points of period $\leq n$ in the interior of D.

Proof. We first show that $\rho(x, \tilde{g}) \neq k / l$. Assume $\rho(x, \tilde{g})$ were equal to $k / l$. Then $\operatorname{per}(x, \phi)$ must be a multiple of $l$, and hence $\operatorname{per}\left(x, \phi^{l}\right)=\operatorname{per}(x, \phi) / l$. This contradicts the hypothesis (a). Thus $\rho(x, \tilde{g}) \neq k / l$.

We assume $\rho(x, \tilde{g})>k / l$, the other case being similar. Write $\rho(x, \tilde{g})$ as $\rho(x, \tilde{g})=k^{\prime} / l^{\prime}$, where $k^{\prime} / l^{\prime}$ is expressed in lowest terms. Let $I$ be the interval $\left[k / l, k^{\prime} / l^{\prime}\right]$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{n}$ be the Farey series of order $n$, i.e. the ascending series of rational numbers between 0 and 1 whose denominators do not exceed $n$. Write $\mathcal{F}_{n} \cap I$ as

$$
\mathcal{F}_{n} \cap I=\left\{k_{1} / l_{1}, \ldots, k_{\beta} / l_{\beta}\right\}
$$

where $k_{1} / l_{1}=k / l, k_{\beta} / l_{\beta}=k^{\prime} / l^{\prime}, k_{i} / l_{i}<k_{i+1} / l_{i+1}$ and $k_{i} / l_{i}$ is expressed in lowest terms for every $i$.

The assumption (c) implies $\beta \geq 4$. In fact, since $2 l+l^{\prime} \leq 2 l+\operatorname{per}(x, \phi) \leq$ $n$, the set $\mathcal{F}_{n} \cap I$ contains, besides $k / l, k^{\prime} / l^{\prime}$, two distinct rationals $(k+$ $\left.k^{\prime}\right) /\left(l+l^{\prime}\right),\left(2 k+k^{\prime}\right) /\left(2 l+l^{\prime}\right)$.

Since $\rho(\tilde{g})$ contains $k / l$ by (b) and also contains $\rho(x, \tilde{g})=k^{\prime} / l^{\prime}$, we see by Theorem 4 that, for any $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq \beta, g$ has an $l_{i}$-periodic point $x_{i} \in A$ with rotation number $k_{i} / l_{i}$. A result of Guaschi [11, Theorem 3] says that, for a generalized pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism on the closed annulus, there are periodic points in the interior whose rotation numbers are those of the boundaries. Hence, we can take all of the periodic points $x_{i}$ to be contained in the interior of $A$. Hence, they become periodic points of $\phi$ in $\operatorname{Int} D-\left\{x_{0}\right\}$. Since the orbit of $x_{i}$ consists of $l_{i}$ points, this implies $\phi$ has at least $l_{1}+\cdots+l_{\beta}$ periodic points of period $\leq n$ except for $x_{0}$. Since $\beta \geq 4$ and the sum of denominators of any consecutive two numbers in $\mathcal{F}_{n}$ is greater than $n$ (see e.g. [13, Theorem 30]), $l_{1}+\cdots+l_{\beta} \geq 2(n+1)$. Hence we have the proof.

Let $\psi: S^{2} \rightarrow S^{2}$ be an orientation-preserving generalized pseudoAnosov homeomorphism of a sphere $S^{2}$. Given positive integers $n, l, a$, let $P_{n}(l, a)$ denote the set of $n$-periodic points of $\psi$ of type $(l, a)$. Let $P_{n}^{+}$ be the set of $n$-periodic points of $\psi$ with index one. We have the following formula on these numbers:

## Proposition 2

$$
\sharp P_{n}^{+}=\sum_{a \geq 1}\left(\sum_{\substack{l>1 \\ l \mid n}} a l \sharp P_{\frac{n}{l}}(l, a)+(a-1) \sharp P_{n}(1, a)\right)+\epsilon_{n},
$$

where $l \mid n$ means that $l$ is a divisor of $n$, and $\epsilon_{n}=0$ or 2 according to $n>1$ or $n=1$.

Proof. Let $q$ be a positive integer. Since $\operatorname{Fix}\left(\psi^{q}\right)$ is the disjoint union of $P_{n}(l, a)$ for all positive integers $l, a$ and all $n$ with $n \mid q$, we have by (2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 & =\chi\left(S^{2}\right)=\sum_{x \in \operatorname{Fix}\left(\psi^{q}\right)} \operatorname{ind}\left(x, \psi^{q}\right) \\
& =\sum_{n \mid q} \sharp P_{n}(\psi)-\sum_{a \geq 1} \sum_{l, n} a l \sharp P_{n}(l, a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that for each $a$,

$$
\sum_{\substack{l, n \\ l n \mid q}} a l \sharp P_{n}(l, a)=\sum_{\substack{l, m \\ l|m| q}} a l \sharp P_{\frac{m}{l}}(l, a)=\sum_{\substack{l, n \\ l|n| q}} a l \sharp P_{\frac{n}{l}}(l, a) .
$$

Therefore if we define an integer $\Omega_{n}$ for any $n \geq 1$ by

$$
\Omega_{n}=\sharp P_{n}(\psi)-\sum_{a \geq 1} \sum_{l \mid n} a l \sharp P_{\frac{n}{l}}(l, a),
$$

then we have $\sum_{n \mid q} \Omega_{n}=2$ for any $q \geq 1$. In the case of $q=1$, this means $\Omega_{1}=2$. Hence we have $\sum_{n>1, n \mid q} \Omega_{n}=0$ for any $q>1$. Therefore we can easily prove that $\Omega_{n}=0$ for $n>1$ by induction on $n$. Thus we have proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{n}=\epsilon_{n} \text { for any } n \geq 1 . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $P_{n}(l, a) \subset P_{n}^{+}$if and only if $l \geq 2, P_{n}(\psi)$ is the disjoint union of $P_{n}^{+}$ and $\bigcup_{a} P_{n}(1, a)$ and hence $\sharp P_{n}^{+}=\sharp P_{n}(\psi)-\sum_{a} \sharp P_{n}(1, a)$. Therefore by ( 6 ), the proof is completed.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

Let $\phi: D \rightarrow D$ be a canonical homeomorphism which is isotopic to $f$ relative to $S$. Since $\mathrm{bt}(S, f)$ contains a pseudo-Anosov component, so
does $\phi$. Let $L$ be the union of all pseudo-Anosov components of $\phi$. By Proposition 1, it suffices for the proof to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sharp\left(P^{n}(\phi) \cap(S \cup \operatorname{Int} L)\right) \geq 2 n+3 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $n \geq \operatorname{per}(S)$. We shall fix an integer $n$ with $n \geq \operatorname{per}(S)$. The proof is divided into two parts (I), (II).
(I) Here we consider the case where $\mathrm{bt}(S, f)$ is pseudo-Anosov, that is, the canonical homeomorphism $\phi$ is pseudo-Anosov relative to $S$. Note that, in this case, $S \cup \operatorname{Int} L=\operatorname{Int} D$ and the inequality (7) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sharp\left(P^{n}(\phi) \cap \operatorname{Int} D\right) \geq 2 n+3 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the Euler characteristic of the disk is one, $\phi$ has a fixed point $x_{1}$ in Int $D$ of index 1 . Choose a singularity $x_{2}$ of $\mathcal{F}^{s}$ in $\partial D$. By (3), $x_{2}$ is a periodic point with index -1 . Let $\left(l_{1}, a_{1}\right)$ denote the type of $x_{1}$, and $l_{2}$ the period of $x_{2}$. Note that $l_{1}>1$ since $x_{1}$ has index 1 , but $l_{2}$ may be 1 .

We claim that $\phi$ has an $l_{1}$-periodic point $y_{1}$ and an $l_{2}$-periodic point $y_{2}$ in Int $D-\left\{x_{1}\right\}$ which have index 1 and belong to different orbits. Let $\psi: S^{2} \rightarrow S^{2}$ be the generalized pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism of the sphere induced by $\phi$ by collapsing the boundary of $D$ to a point denoted by $\infty$. Then $\infty$ is a fixed point of $\psi$ of type $\left(l_{2}, a_{2}\right)$ for some $a_{2} \geq 1$. By Proposition 2, we have

$$
\sharp P_{n}^{+} \geq \begin{cases}\sum_{a} a n \sharp P_{1}(n, a) & \text { if } n>1  \tag{9}\\ 2 & \text { if } n=1 .\end{cases}
$$

Since $P_{1}\left(l_{1}, a_{1}\right) \ni x_{1}$, this implies that $\sharp P_{l_{1}}^{+} \geq a_{1} l_{1} \sharp P_{1}\left(l_{1}, a_{1}\right)>0$. Therefore, there exists an $l_{1}$-periodic point $y_{1}$ of $\psi$ with index 1 . Since $l_{1}>1$, we see $y_{1} \neq \infty$ and $y_{1}$ is a periodic point of $\phi \operatorname{in} \operatorname{Int} D-\left\{x_{1}\right\}$. Let $Y_{1}$ be the orbit of $y_{1}$ under the map $\phi$, and let $Q=P_{l_{2}}^{+}-\left(Y_{1} \cup\left\{x_{1}, \infty\right\}\right)$. To show the existence of the desired $l_{2}$-periodic point $y_{2}$, it suffices to see that $\sharp Q>0$. Consider first the case of $l_{2} \neq l_{1}$. If $l_{2} \geq 2$, then $Q=P_{l_{2}}^{+}$. Therefore, since $P_{1}\left(l_{2}, a_{2}\right) \ni \infty$, we have $\sharp Q>0$ by (9). If $l_{2}=1$, then the fixed point $\infty$ has index $1-a_{2} \leq 0$. Therefore $Q$ is equal to $P_{1}^{+}-\left\{x_{1}\right\}$ and hence $\sharp Q>0$ by (9). Consider next the case of $l_{2}=l_{1}$. Then $\bigcup_{a} P_{1}\left(l_{2}, a\right) \supset\left\{x_{1}, \infty\right\}$, and $l_{2}>1$. Hence $\sharp Q=\sharp\left(P_{l_{2}}^{+}-Y_{1}\right) \geq a_{1} l_{1}+a_{2} l_{2}-l_{1}>0$. Thus we have verified the existence of $y_{2}$. Let $Y_{2}$ be the orbit of $y_{2}$. For $i=1,2$, let $\left(m_{i}, b_{i}\right)$ be the type of $y_{i}$. Since $y_{i}$ has index $1, m_{i} \geq 2$.

Arguing similarly as above, we can show that for $i=1,2, \phi$ has an $l_{i} m_{i}$-periodic point $z_{i}$ in $\operatorname{Int} D-\left(Y_{1} \cup Y_{2}\right)$ of index 1 such that $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ belong to different orbits. Let $Z_{i}$ be the orbit of $z_{i}$. It is clear that $\left\{x_{1}\right\}$, $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Z_{1}, Z_{2}$ are mutually disjoint.

There are two cases to consider:
(1) $n \geq l_{1}\left(m_{1}+2\right)$ or $n \geq l_{2}\left(m_{2}+2\right)$.
(2) $n<l_{i}\left(m_{i}+2\right)$ for $i=1$ and 2 .

Case (1): In this case $n \geq l_{i_{0}}\left(m_{i_{0}}+2\right)$, where $i_{0}=1$ or 2 . To simplify the notation, let $l=l_{i_{0}}, a=a_{i_{0}}, m=m_{i_{0}}, b=b_{i_{0}}$. Let $A$ be the annulus obtained from $D$ by blowing up the point $y_{i_{0}}$ into a circle $\Gamma$. Let $g: A \rightarrow A$ be the blow-up of $\phi^{l}$ at $y_{i_{0}}$. Since $x_{1}$ is a fixed point of $g, \rho\left(x_{1}, \tilde{g}\right)$ is an integer. By choosing an appropriate lift $\tilde{g}$ of $g$, we can assume $\rho\left(x_{1}, \tilde{g}\right)=0$. Since $y_{i_{0}}$ has type $(m, b), \rho(\Gamma, \tilde{g})=d / m$ for some integer $d$ prime to $m$. These imply that $\rho(\tilde{g})$ contains 0 and $d / m$, and hence it contains $[0,1 / m]$ or $[-1 / m, 0]$. We assume $\rho(\tilde{g}) \supset[0,1 / m]$, the other case being similar. Then, by Theorem 4, for any $s>m, g$ has an $s$-periodic point $u_{s}$ with $\rho\left(u_{s}, \tilde{g}\right)=1 / s$. Since $s>m, u_{s} \notin \Gamma$ and hence $u_{s}$ is an $s$-periodic point of $\phi^{l}$ and so $\operatorname{per}\left(u_{s}, \phi\right)$ is a divisor of $l s$.

There are two subcases:
(i) $\operatorname{per}\left(u_{s}, \phi\right)<l s$ for some $s$ with $m<s \leq n / l$.
(ii) $\operatorname{per}\left(u_{s}, \phi\right)=l s$ for every $s$ with $m<s \leq n / l$.

Case (i): Choose an $s$ such that $m<s \leq n / l$ and $\operatorname{per}\left(u_{s}, \phi\right)<l s$. Let $A^{\prime}$ be the annulus obtained by blowing up the point $x_{1}$ to a circle $\Gamma^{\prime}$, and $g^{\prime}: A^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ the blow-up of $\phi$ at $x_{1}$. Let $\tilde{g}^{\prime}: \tilde{A}^{\prime} \rightarrow \tilde{A}^{\prime}$ be a lift of $g^{\prime}$ to the universal cover $\tilde{A}^{\prime}$ of $A^{\prime}$. We show that the hypotheses (a), (b), (c) of Lemma 3 are satisfied if we replace $x_{0}, x, g$ there by $x_{1}, u_{s}, g^{\prime}$ respectively.
(a) This is the assumption of Case (i).
(b) If $i_{0}=2$, then $\rho\left(\tilde{g}^{\prime}\right) \ni \rho\left(\partial D, \tilde{g}^{\prime}\right)=k / l$, where $k$ is an integer prime to $l$. If $i_{0}=1$, then since $x_{1}$ is of type $(l, a), \rho\left(\tilde{g}^{\prime}\right) \ni \rho\left(\Gamma^{\prime}, \tilde{g}^{\prime}\right)=k / l$ for some $k$ prime to $l$.
(c) Since $\operatorname{per}\left(u_{s}, \phi\right)$ is a proper divisor of $l s$, it does not exceed $l s / 2$. Therefore, since $m \geq 2$ and the inequality $n \geq l(m+2)$ is assumed,

$$
2 l+\operatorname{per}\left(u_{s}, \phi\right) \leq \frac{l(m+2)}{2}+\frac{l s}{2} \leq \frac{n}{2}+\frac{n}{2}=n .
$$

Thus, all the hypotheses of Lemma 3 have been verified, and hence (8)
holds.
Case (ii): Let $\alpha=[n / l]$, where [ ] denotes the Gauss symbol, i.e. $\alpha$ is the greatest integer which does not exceed $n / l$. The hypothesis $n \geq l(m+2)$ implies that $\alpha \geq m+2 \geq 4$. Since $\sharp\left(P^{n}(\phi) \cap \operatorname{Int} D\right)$ is equal to the sum of periods of all interior periodic orbits with period $\leq n$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sharp\left(P^{n}(\phi) \cap \operatorname{Int} D\right) \geq & \operatorname{per}\left(x_{1}, \phi\right)+\operatorname{per}\left(y_{i_{0}}, \phi\right)+\operatorname{per}\left(z_{i_{0}}, \phi\right) \\
& +\sum_{s=m+1}^{\alpha} \operatorname{per}\left(u_{s}, \phi\right) \\
\geq & 1+l+\sum_{s=m}^{\alpha} l s \geq 1+l+\sum_{s=\alpha-2}^{\alpha} l s \\
\geq & 3 \alpha l-2 l+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\alpha l \geq n-l+1$ and $\alpha \geq 4$, we have $3 \alpha l-2 l+1 \geq 2(n-l+1)+(\alpha-2) l+1 \geq$ $2 n+3$. Therefore (8) holds.

Case (2): Let $\mathcal{S}$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_{1}$ ) be the set of singularities (resp. one-pronged singularities) of $\mathcal{F}^{s}$. Note that $\mathcal{S}_{1}$ is a subset of $S$ by the definition of a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism relative to $S$. Also, $\mathcal{S}_{1}$ has no intersectin with the disjoint union of $\left\{x_{1}\right\}, Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Z_{1}, Z_{2}$, since every point in $\mathcal{S}_{1}$ has index zero. Clearly $P^{n}(\phi) \cap \operatorname{Int} D$ contains $x_{1}$. Also it contains $\mathcal{S}_{1}$, since $n$ is taken to satisfy $n \geq \operatorname{per}(S)$. Note that $P^{n}(\phi) \cap \operatorname{Int} D \supset Y_{i}$ (resp. $Z_{i}$ ) if $n \geq l_{i}$ (resp. $n \geq l_{i} m_{i}$ ). Therefore, if we let $\delta(k)=1$ or 0 according to whether $k \leq n$ or $k>n$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sharp\left(P^{n}(\phi) \cap \operatorname{Int} D\right) \geq 1+\sharp \mathcal{S}_{1}+\sum_{i=1,2}\left(l_{i} \delta\left(l_{i}\right)+l_{i} m_{i} \delta\left(l_{i} m_{i}\right)\right) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the number of prongs at $x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{i}$, and any point in $\mathcal{S}_{1}$ are $a_{1} l_{1}, 3$, $b_{i} m_{i}, 1$ respectively, the formula (1) implies the following:

$$
-2=\sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}}(p(x)-2) \geq\left(a_{1} l_{1}-2\right)+a_{2} l_{2}+\sum_{i=1,2} l_{i}\left(b_{i} m_{i}-2\right)-\sharp \mathcal{S}_{1}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sharp \mathcal{S}_{1} \geq \sum_{i=1,2} l_{i}\left(m_{i}-1\right) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\mu_{i}=l_{i}\left(m_{i}-1\right)+l_{i} \delta\left(l_{i}\right)+l_{i} m_{i} \delta\left(l_{i} m_{i}\right)
$$

Then we have by (10), (11),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sharp\left(P^{n}(\phi) \cap \operatorname{Int} D\right) \geq \mu_{1}+\mu_{2}+1 . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have by the definition of $\mu_{i}$ :

$$
\mu_{i}= \begin{cases}l_{i}\left(m_{i}-1\right) & \text { if } n<l_{i} \\ l_{i} m_{i} & \text { if } l_{i} \leq n<l_{i} m_{i} \\ 2 l_{i} m_{i} & \text { if } l_{i} m_{i} \leq n<l_{i}\left(m_{i}+2\right)\end{cases}
$$

Since $l_{i}\left(m_{i}-1\right) \geq l_{i}$ and $2 l_{i} m_{i} \geq l_{i}\left(m_{i}+2\right)>n$, this implies that $\mu_{i} \geq n+1$. Hence (12) implies (8).
(II) We consider here the case where $\operatorname{bt}(S, f)$ is reducible and contains a pseudo-Anosov component. Choose a pseudo-Anosov component of $\phi$ and denote it by $N$. Let $\mu$ be the least positive integer such that $\phi^{\mu}(N)=N$. Let $d$ be the number of boundary circles of $N$. Since $N$ is homeomorphic to a disk possibly with finitely many open disks removed, $N$ has a boundary circle $C_{0}$ such that all the other boundary circles (called the inner boudary circles) lie inside of $C_{0}$. Denote the inner boundary circles of $N$ by $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{d-1}$. Let $D^{\prime}$ be the disk obtained from $N$ by collapsing each $C_{i}(i=1, \ldots, d-1)$ to a point, which we denote by $c_{i}$. Let $S^{\prime}=(S \cap N) \cup\left\{c_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{d-1}$. Let $\phi^{\prime}: D^{\prime} \rightarrow D^{\prime}$ be a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism relative to $S^{\prime}$ induced by $\phi^{\mu}: N \rightarrow N$.

Let $n^{\prime}=[n / \mu]$. We show $n^{\prime} \geq \operatorname{per}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$, where $\operatorname{per}\left(S^{\prime}\right)=\max _{x \in S^{\prime}}$ $\operatorname{per}\left(x, \phi^{\prime}\right)$. If $x \in S \cap N$, clearly $\operatorname{per}\left(x, \phi^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{per}(x, \phi) / \mu \leq \operatorname{per}(S) / \mu$. Let $X_{i}$ be the disk bounded by the simple closed curve $C_{i}$. Then $S \cap X_{i}$ has at least two points, since $C_{i}$ is isotopic to a reducing curve in $D-S$. Choose a point $w_{i}$ in $S \cap X_{i}$. Then $C_{i}$ must go back to itself under per $\left(w_{i}, \phi\right)$ times iterate of $\phi$. Hence $\operatorname{per}\left(c_{i}, \phi^{\prime}\right) \leq \operatorname{per}\left(w_{i}, \phi\right) / \mu \leq \operatorname{per}(S) / \mu$. Therefore we have $\operatorname{per}\left(S^{\prime}\right) \leq[\operatorname{per}(S) / \mu] \leq n^{\prime}$.

Thus, replacing $\phi, D, n$ in the estimate (8) by $\phi^{\prime}, D^{\prime}, n^{\prime}$ respectively, we have $\sharp\left(P^{n^{\prime}}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right) \cap \operatorname{Int} D^{\prime}\right) \geq 2 n^{\prime}+3$. Since $\operatorname{Int} N=\operatorname{Int} D^{\prime}-\left\{c_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{d-1}$, this implies $\sharp\left(P^{n^{\prime}}\left(\phi^{\mu}\right) \cap \operatorname{Int} N\right) \geq 2 n^{\prime}+4-d$. Therefore, letting $\bar{N}$ be the disjoint union of $N, \phi(N), \ldots, \phi^{\mu-1}(N)$, we have the following: (Note that
$\sharp\left(P^{n}(\phi) \cap \phi^{i}(\operatorname{Int} N)\right)$ is independent of $i$.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sharp\left(P^{n}(\phi) \cap \operatorname{Int} \bar{N}\right) & =\mu \sharp\left(P^{n}(\phi) \cap \operatorname{Int} N\right) \geq \mu \sharp\left(P^{n^{\prime}}\left(\phi^{\mu}\right) \cap \operatorname{Int} N\right) \\
& \geq \mu\left(2 n^{\prime}+4-d\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, since $L \supset \bar{N}, S \subset P^{n}(\phi)$, and $\sharp(S-\operatorname{Int} \bar{N}) \geq \mu \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \sharp\left(S \cap X_{i}\right) \geq$ $2 \mu(d-1)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sharp\left(P^{n}(\phi) \cap(S \cup \operatorname{Int} L)\right) & \geq \sharp\left(P^{n}(\phi) \cap \operatorname{Int} \bar{N}\right)+\sharp(S-\operatorname{Int} \bar{N}) \\
& \geq \mu\left(2 n^{\prime}+4-d\right)+2 \mu(d-1) \\
& \geq \mu\left(2 n^{\prime}+3\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $n^{\prime} \geq(n-\mu+1) / \mu$, we have $\mu\left(2 n^{\prime}+3\right) \geq 2 n+\mu+2 \geq 2 n+3$. Thus (7) is proved and the proof is completed.

## 4. Transversal homeomorphisms

We prove here some results on $n$-transversal homeomorphisms on the disk which will be needed to prove Theorem 2. Let $S$ be a finite set in Int $D$. Let $\phi: D \rightarrow D$ be a canonical homeomorphism relative to $S$ with a pseudoAnosov component. Let $L$ be the union of all pseudo-Anosov components of $\phi$.

Given a periodic point $x \in L$ of $\phi$ with period $m$, define integers $\nu^{n}(x, \phi)$ for $n \geq 1$ by

$$
\nu^{n}(x, \phi)= \begin{cases}\max _{1 \leq k \leq n / m}\left|\operatorname{ind}\left(x, \phi^{m k}\right)\right| & \text { if } n \geq m \text { and } x \notin \mathcal{S}_{1} \\ 2 & \text { if } n \geq m \text { and } x \in \mathcal{S}_{1} \\ 0 & \text { if } n<m\end{cases}
$$

Note that if $x$ is in $\operatorname{Int} L-\mathcal{S}_{1}$ and has type $(l, a)$, then by $(2), \nu^{n}(x, \phi)=a l-1$ if $n \geq m l$ and $\nu^{n}(x, \phi)=1$ if $m \leq n<m l$.

We can assume without loss of generality that $\phi$ has no periodic points on the open tubular neighborhood $A(\Sigma)$, and moreover that $\phi$ sends every point in $A(\Sigma)-\Sigma$ to a point having a greater distance from $\Sigma$. Then any singular point of $\mathcal{F}^{s}$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}^{u}$ ) on $\partial L$ has index -1 (resp. 0) as a periodic point of $\phi$. This implies that if $x$ is an $m$-periodic point in $\partial L$, then for any $n \geq m$, we have $\nu^{n}(x, \phi)=1$ or 0 according to whether $x \in \operatorname{Sing}\left(\mathcal{F}^{s}\right)$ or $x \in \operatorname{Sing}\left(\mathcal{F}^{u}\right)$.

We extend the definition of $\nu^{n}(x, \phi)$ for all points in $L$ by setting
$\nu^{n}(x, \phi)=0$ for every non-periodic point $x$. For a subset $E$ of $L$, let

$$
\nu^{n}(E, \phi)=\sum_{x \in E} \nu^{n}(x, \phi) .
$$

This sum can be defined, since $P^{n}(\phi)$ has finitely many points and so $\nu^{n}(x, \phi)=0$ except for finitely many $x$. To simplify the notation, we shall write $\nu^{n}(x), \nu^{n}(E)$ for $\nu^{n}(x, \phi), \nu^{n}(E, \phi)$ respectively. We have:

Proposition 3 Let $n$ be a positive integer. Suppose $f: D \rightarrow D$ is an orientation-preserving $n$-transversal homeomorphism with $f(S)=S$ and $\operatorname{per}(S) \leq n$. Suppose $f$ is isotopic to $\phi$ relative to $S$. Let $N$ be a pseudoAnosov component of $\phi$. Let $\mu$ be the least positive integer such that $\phi^{\mu}(N)=N$. Then we have

$$
\sharp P^{n}(f) \geq \mu\left(\nu^{n}(N)+2 d_{0}-d_{1}\right)
$$

where $d_{0}$ (resp. $d_{1}$ ) is the number of inner boundary circles $C$ of $N$ which satisfy the condition that the common period of the periodic points on $C$ is greater than $n$ (resp. less than or equal to $n$ ).

Proof. Let $\bar{N}=\bigcup_{j=0}^{\mu-1} \phi^{j}(N)$. Let $d$ be the number of boundary circles of $N$. Let $C_{0}$ be the outer boundary circle of $N$, and $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{d-1}$ the inner boundary circles. Let $\bar{C}_{0}=\bigcup_{j=0}^{\mu-1} \phi^{j}\left(C_{0}\right)$. Let $X_{0}$ be the outer connected component of $D-\operatorname{Int} \bar{N}$, i.e., the connected component of $D-\operatorname{Int} \bar{N}$ which contains $\partial D$. Then $C_{0}=X_{0} \cap N$, and either $X_{0}=C_{0}=\partial D$ or $X_{0}$ is a compact surface with boundary $\bar{C}_{0} \cup \partial D$. For $1 \leq i \leq d-1$, let $X_{i}$ be the connected component of $D-\operatorname{Int} \bar{N}$ whose boundary circle is $C_{i}$. Namely, $X_{i}$ is a disk bounded by the simple closed curve $C_{i}$. Clearly, for every $i>0$, $X_{i}$ lies inside of $C_{0}$.

Let $D_{S}$ be the compactification of $D-S, \pi_{S}: D_{S} \rightarrow D$ the projection, and $\Gamma$ the union of the attached boundary circles. For $x \in S$, let $\Gamma_{x}=$ $\pi_{S}^{-1}(x)$. Let $N_{S}=\pi_{S}^{-1}(N) . N_{S}$ is a compact surface whose boundary circles are $C_{0}, C_{1}, \ldots, C_{d-1}, \Gamma_{x}(x \in S \cap N)$. Let $Y_{i}=\pi_{S}^{-1}\left(X_{i}\right)$ for $0 \leq i \leq d-1$. For $i>0, Y_{i}$ is a compact surface whose boundary is the union of $C_{i}$ and $\Gamma_{x}, x \in S \cap X_{i}$.

Let $\phi_{S}: D_{S} \rightarrow D_{S}$ be the blow-up of $\phi$ at $S$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the collection of the following subsets of $D_{S}$ :

$$
\{x\}(x \in \operatorname{Int} N-S), \quad \Gamma_{x}(x \in S \cap N), \quad Y_{i}(1 \leq i \leq d-1)
$$

Let

$$
\overline{\mathcal{E}}=\left\{Y_{0}\right\} \cup\left\{\phi_{S}^{j}(E) \mid E \in \mathcal{E}, 0 \leq j \leq \mu-1\right\}
$$

Then $D_{S}$ is the disjoint union of the sets in $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$.
An important property of $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ is that for any $m>0$, every $\phi_{S}^{m}$-Nielsen class is contained in exactly one of the elements of $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$. This follows easily from Lemma 2. Furthermore, if two invariant sets of $\phi_{S}^{m}$ are $\phi_{S}^{m}$-related, then they are contained in the same element of $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$.

For $x \in S$ and $m \geq 1$, let $V_{m}^{x}$ be the open disk centered at $x$ introduced in the proof of Proposition 1. Since $f$ is $n$-transversal, any fixed point of $f^{m}$ is isolated for all $m \leq n$. Hence we can assume that $x$ is the only point in the set $P^{n}(f) \cap V_{m}^{x}$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{x} & =\bigcap_{m=1}^{n} V_{m}^{x}, \quad V=\bigcup_{x \in S} V^{x}, \quad V_{S}^{x}=\left(V^{x}-\{x\}\right) \cup \Gamma_{x} \\
V_{S} & =(V-S) \cup \Gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

These sets are open neighborhoods of $x, S, \Gamma_{x}$ and $\Gamma$ respectively. Perturbing $f$ in a sufficiently small neighborhood of $S$ contained in $V$, we obtain a homeomorphism $f^{\prime}: D \rightarrow D$ which is isotopic to $f$ relative to $S$ and has the blow-up, which we denote by $f_{S}$, at $S$. We can also require that for all $m \leq n, f^{m}$ and $\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{m}$ coincide away from $V$. Then for all $m \leq n$, we have $\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{m}\left(V^{x}\right)=f^{m}\left(V^{x}\right) \subset W^{f^{m}(x)}$ and hence every fixed point of $f_{S}^{m}$ in $V_{S}^{x}$ is $f_{S}^{m}$-related to $\Gamma_{x}$. Note also that $P^{n}\left(f_{S}\right)-V_{S}=P^{n}(f)-V$. Let $H=\left\{H_{t}\right\}: D_{S} \rightarrow D_{S}$ be the isotopy from $\phi_{S}$ to $f_{S}$.

For $E \in \overline{\mathcal{E}}$ and $m \geq 1$, define a subset $\Delta_{m}(E)$ of $\operatorname{Fix}\left(f_{S}^{m}\right)$ by

$$
\Delta_{m}(E)=\Delta_{H^{m}}(E)\left(=\Delta_{H^{m}}\left(E \cap \operatorname{Fix}\left(\phi_{S}^{m}\right)\right)\right)
$$

where $H^{m}=\left\{H_{t}^{m}\right\}$. If $E, E^{\prime}$ are distinct elements of $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$, then $\Delta_{m}(E)$ and $\Delta_{m^{\prime}}\left(E^{\prime}\right)$ are disjoint for any $m, m^{\prime}$. In fact, if they have an intersection for some $m, m^{\prime}$, then some $x \in E \cap \operatorname{Fix}\left(\phi_{S}^{m}\right)$ and some $x^{\prime} \in E^{\prime} \cap \operatorname{Fix}\left(\phi_{S}^{m^{\prime}}\right)$ are $H^{m m^{\prime}}$-related to the same point, and hence $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ are $\phi_{S}^{m m^{\prime}}$-Nielsen equivalent. This contradicts the property of $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ stated above.

Let $E$ be an element of $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$. Since $E \cap \operatorname{Fix}\left(\phi_{S}^{m}\right)$ is empty or a union of $\phi_{S^{-}}^{m}$ Nielsen classes and $\Delta_{H^{m}}$ preserves the fixed point index for each $\phi_{S}^{m}$-Nielsen class, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ind}\left(\Delta_{m}(E), f_{S}^{m}\right)=\operatorname{ind}\left(E \cap \operatorname{Fix}\left(\phi_{S}^{m}\right), \phi_{S}^{m}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m \geq 1$, let $\Theta_{m}(E)$ be the set of fixed points $x$ of $f_{S}^{m}$ satisfying the condition that $x$ is $f_{S}^{m}$-related to some $f_{S}^{m}$-invariant, connected component of $\Gamma \cap E$. Note that $\Theta_{m}(E)-\Delta_{m}(E)$ is a union of $f_{S}^{m}$-Nielsen classes, and moreover all of these Nielsen classes are inessential. In fact, suppose $F$ is an essential $f_{S}^{m}$-Nielsen class contained in $\Theta_{m}(E)$. If we denote by $F^{\prime}$ the unique $\phi_{S}^{m}$-Nielsen class satisfying $\Delta_{m}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=F$, then $F^{\prime}$ is $\phi_{S}^{m}$-related to $\Gamma \cap E$ by Lemma 1 and so $F^{\prime} \subset E$. This implies $F \subset \Delta_{m}(E)$, and hence $\Theta_{m}(E)-\Delta_{m}(E)$ contains no essential Nielsen classes. Thus the set $\Delta_{m}(E) \cup \Theta_{m}(E)$ has the same fixed point index under $f_{S}^{m}$ as the set $\Delta_{m}(E)$. Note also that $\Delta_{m}(\Gamma \cap E) \subset \Theta_{m}(E)$. If $E$ is a single point in $\operatorname{Int} N-S$, then $\Theta_{m}(E)$ is empty for every $m$, since $\Gamma \cap E$ is empty in this case. Let $V_{S}^{E}=\bigcup_{x} V_{S}^{x}$, where the union is taken over all $x \in S$ with $\Gamma_{x} \subset E$. It is clear that if $E$ is a single point in $\operatorname{Int} N-S$, then $V_{S}^{E}$ is empty. Also, if $E$ is $\Gamma_{x}$, where $x \in S$, then $V_{S}^{E}=V_{S}^{x}$. We show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta_{m}(E) \cup \Theta_{m}(E)\right) \cap V_{S} \subset V_{S}^{E} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose first that $y$ is a point in $\Delta_{m}(E) \cap V_{S}$. Then there is a point $x \in E \cap \operatorname{Fix}\left(\phi_{S}^{m}\right)$ which is $H^{m}$-related to $y$. Also, $y \in V_{S}^{z}$ for some $z \in S$. It follows from the property of $V^{z}$ that $y$ and $\Gamma_{z}$ are $f_{S}^{m}$-related. Therefore by Lemma 1, $x$ and $\Gamma_{z}$ are $\phi_{S}^{m}$-related, and hence by the property of $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$, they are contained in the same element of $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$. Since $x \in E$, this element must be $E$ and hence $\Gamma_{z} \subset E$. Thus, $y \in V_{S}^{E}$. Suppose next that $y \in \Theta_{m}(E) \cap V_{S}$. Then $y \in V_{S}^{z}$ for some $z$, which implies $y$ is $f_{S}^{m}$-related to $\Gamma_{z}$. On the other hand, $y \in \Theta_{m}(E)$ implies $y$ is $f_{S}^{m}$-related to a component of $\Gamma \cap E$. These imply $\Gamma_{z}$ is $f_{S}^{m}$-related, and so $\phi_{S}^{m}$-related, to $\Gamma \cap E$. Hence $\Gamma_{z} \subset E$ and $y \in V_{S}^{E}$. Thus (14) is proved.

For $E \in \overline{\mathcal{E}}$, define a subset $F^{n}(E)$ of $P^{n}\left(f_{S}\right)-V_{S}$ by

$$
F^{n}(E)=\bigcup_{m=1}^{n}\left(\Delta_{m}(E) \cup \Theta_{m}(E)\right)-V_{S}
$$

Then it follows from the property of $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ that $F^{n}(E), E \in \overline{\mathcal{E}}$, are disjoint subsets of $P^{n}(f)-S$. We can assume that $V^{f^{j}(x)} \subset f^{j}\left(V^{x}\right)$ for every $x \in S$ inside $C_{0}$ and for all positive integers $j<\mu$. Then, for any $E \in \mathcal{E}$ and every positive $j<\mu$, we have $F^{n}\left(\phi_{S}^{j}(E)\right) \supset f_{S}^{j}\left(F^{n}(E)\right.$ ) and hence $\sharp F^{n}\left(\phi_{S}^{j}(E)\right) \geq \sharp F^{n}(E)$. Thus we obtain the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sharp P^{n}(f) \geq \mu \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}} \sharp F^{n}(E)+\sharp F^{n}\left(Y_{0}\right)+\sharp S . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now estimate each term in the right-hand side of this inequality. Suppose $m$ is a positive integer with $m \leq n$. Since $f$ is $n$-transversal, any fixed point $x$ of $f^{m}$ has index 1 or -1 if $x \in \operatorname{Int} D$ (see 3.2 (2) in [14, p. 12]), and index $1,-1$, or 0 if $x \in \partial D$. Therefore, for any set $K$ of fixed points of $f_{S}^{m}$ in $D_{S}-V_{S}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sharp K \geq\left|\operatorname{ind}\left(K, f_{S}^{m}\right)\right| \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, since for any $x \in S,\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{m}$ and $f^{m}$ have the same fixed point index on $V^{x}$ and $\operatorname{Fix}\left(f^{m}\right) \cap V^{x}=\{x\}$, we have for any $x \in \operatorname{Fix}\left(f^{m}\right) \cap S$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ind}\left(\operatorname{Fix}\left(f_{S}^{m}\right) \cap V_{S}^{x}, f_{S}^{m}\right)=\operatorname{ind}\left(x, f^{m}\right)-1=0 \text { or }-2 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (16), we see that if $\Delta_{m}(E)$ is disjoint from $V_{S}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sharp \Delta_{m}(E) \geq\left|\operatorname{ind}\left(\Delta_{m}(E), f_{S}^{m}\right)\right|=\left|\operatorname{ind}\left(E \cap \operatorname{Fix}\left(\phi_{S}^{m}\right), \phi_{S}^{m}\right)\right| \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $x \in \operatorname{Int} N-S$. We show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sharp F^{n}(\{x\}) \geq \nu^{n}(x) . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $x \notin P^{n}(\phi)$, then clearly $F^{n}(\{x\})$ is empty and hence $\sharp F^{n}(\{x\})=0=$ $\nu^{n}(x)$. Suppose $x \in P^{n}(\phi)$. Let $m=\operatorname{per}(x, \phi)$. By (14), $\Delta_{j}(\{x\}) \cap V_{S}=\emptyset$ for any $j>0$, and hence $F^{n}(\{x\}) \supset \bigcup_{k \leq n / m} \Delta_{m k}(\{x\})$. Also, by (18) we have

$$
\sharp \Delta_{m k}(\{x\}) \geq\left|\operatorname{ind}\left(x, \phi_{S}^{m k}\right)\right|=\left|\operatorname{ind}\left(x, \phi^{m k}\right)\right|
$$

for any $k$. Therefore

$$
\sharp F^{n}(\{x\}) \geq \max _{k \leq \frac{n}{m}}\left|\operatorname{ind}\left(x, \phi^{m k}\right)\right|=\nu^{n}(x) .
$$

Thus (19) is proved.
Suppose $x \in S \cap N$. Let $(l, a)$ be its type with respect to $\phi$ and $m=$ $l \operatorname{per}(x, \phi)$. Then $m$ is the period of the periodic points of $\phi_{S}$ on $\Gamma_{x}$. Let $Q=\Delta_{m}\left(\Gamma_{x}\right) \cup \Theta_{m}\left(\Gamma_{x}\right), Q^{\prime}=Q \cap V_{S}^{x}$. Since $Q \cap V_{S} \subset Q^{\prime}$ by (14), we have $Q-V_{S} \supset Q-Q^{\prime}$ and hence by (16),

$$
\sharp F^{n}\left(\Gamma_{x}\right) \geq \delta(m) \sharp\left(Q-V_{S}\right) \geq \delta(m)\left|\operatorname{ind}\left(Q, f_{S}^{m}\right)-\operatorname{ind}\left(Q^{\prime}, f_{S}^{m}\right)\right|,
$$

where $\delta(m)$ is the number defined in I, Case (2) of Section 3. Since $\phi_{S}^{m}$ has al fixed points of index -1 on $\Gamma_{x}$, we see by (13) that

$$
\operatorname{ind}\left(Q, f_{S}^{m}\right)=\operatorname{ind}\left(\Delta_{m}\left(\Gamma_{x}\right), f_{S}^{m}\right)=\operatorname{ind}\left(\Gamma_{x} \cap \operatorname{Fix}\left(\phi_{S}^{m}\right), \phi_{S}^{m}\right)=-a l
$$

Since $Q^{\prime}=\operatorname{Fix}\left(f_{S}^{m}\right) \cap V_{S}^{x}$, we have by (17) that if $m \leq n$ then $\operatorname{ind}\left(Q^{\prime}, f_{S}^{m}\right)=$ $0,-2$. As a consequence, if $x \notin \mathcal{S}_{1}$, then $\sharp F^{n}\left(\Gamma_{x}\right) \geq \delta(m)(a l-2) \geq \nu^{n}(x)-1$. Suppose $x \in \mathcal{S}_{1}$. Then since $m=\operatorname{per}(x, \phi) \leq \operatorname{per}(S) \leq n$, we have $\delta(m)=1$. Also ind $\left(Q, f_{S}^{m}\right)=-a l=-1$. Therefore we have $\sharp F^{n}\left(\Gamma_{x}\right) \geq 1=\nu^{n}(x)-1$. Thus, in either case, we have proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sharp F^{n}\left(\Gamma_{x}\right) \geq \nu^{n}(x)-1 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $i$ be an integer with $0 \leq i \leq d-1$. Let $n_{i}$ denote the period of a periodic point of $\phi$ on $C_{i}$. Note that $n_{i}$ does not depend on the choice of a periodic point. Note also that $d_{0}$ (resp. $d_{1}$ ) is equal to the number of integers $i$ such that $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ and $n<n_{i}$ (resp. $n \geq n_{i}$ ). Let $u_{i}=\sharp\left(\operatorname{Sing}\left(\mathcal{F}^{s}\right) \cap C_{i}\right)$, i.e., the cardinality of the set of periodic points of $\phi_{S}$ on $C_{i}$ with index -1 . Then $u_{i}>0$, since $\mathcal{F}^{s}$ has at least one singularity on each boundary circle. Let $\lambda_{i}$ be the number of points in $S \cap X_{i}$ which are fixed by $\phi^{n_{i}}$ (or equivalently by $\cdot f^{n_{i}}$ ). Then $\lambda_{i}$ is equal to the number of connected components of $\Gamma \cap Y_{i}$ which are invariant under $\phi_{S}^{n_{i}}$. Therefore, since $\Theta_{n_{i}}\left(Y_{i}\right) \cap V_{S}$ is identical to $\operatorname{Fix}\left(f_{S}^{n_{i}}\right) \cap V_{S}^{Y_{i}}$, which is the union of $\operatorname{Fix}\left(f_{S}^{n_{i}}\right) \cap V_{S}^{x}$ for all $x \in S \cap X_{i} \cap \operatorname{Fix}\left(f^{n_{i}}\right)$, we have by (17) that if $n \geq n_{i}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \geq \operatorname{ind}\left(\Theta_{n_{i}}\left(Y_{i}\right) \cap V_{S}, f_{S}^{n_{i}}\right) \geq-2 \lambda_{i} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the case of $i>0$. Let $A_{i}$ be the reducing annulus which is contained in $Y_{i}$ and is adjacent to $N$. Let $\Sigma_{i}$ be the reducing curve lying in $A_{i}$. Let $A_{i}^{\prime}$ be the connected components of $A_{i}-\Sigma_{i}$ which is adjacent to $N$, and let $Y_{i}^{0}=Y_{i}-A_{i}^{\prime}$. Then, $Y_{i}^{0}$ is a compact surface which has $\Sigma_{i}$ as a boundary circle, and $\phi_{S}^{n_{i}}$ maps $Y_{i}^{0}$ onto itself. Let $\eta$ be the restriction of $\phi_{S}^{n_{i}}$ to $Y_{i}^{0}$. Since $\phi$ is assumed to have no periodic points on $A_{i}, \operatorname{ind}\left(\left(Y_{i}-C_{i}\right) \cap \operatorname{Fix}\left(\phi_{S}^{n_{i}}\right), \phi_{S}^{n_{i}}\right)$ is equal to $\operatorname{ind}\left(Y_{i}^{0} \cap \operatorname{Fix}\left(\phi_{S}^{n_{i}}\right), \eta\right)=$ $\operatorname{ind}(\operatorname{Fix}(\eta), \eta)$, and hence equal to the Lefschetz number of $\eta$. Since this Lefschetz number is easily seen to be $1-\lambda_{i}$, we have by (13)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ind}\left(\Delta_{n_{i}}\left(Y_{i}\right), f_{S}^{n_{i}}\right)= & \operatorname{ind}\left(Y_{i} \cap \operatorname{Fix}\left(\phi_{S}^{n_{i}}\right), \phi_{S}^{n_{i}}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{ind}\left(C_{i} \cap \operatorname{Fix}\left(\phi_{S}^{n_{i}}\right), \phi_{S}^{n_{i}}\right) \\
& +\operatorname{ind}\left(\left(Y_{i}-C_{i}\right) \cap \operatorname{Fix}\left(\phi_{S}^{n_{i}}\right), \phi_{S}^{n_{i}}\right) \\
= & -u_{i}+\left(1-\lambda_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, since $\left(\Delta_{n_{i}}\left(Y_{i}\right) \cup \Theta_{n_{i}}\left(Y_{i}\right)\right) \cap V_{S}=\Theta_{n_{i}}\left(Y_{i}\right) \cap V_{S}$, and since $\Delta_{n_{i}}\left(Y_{i}\right) \cup$
$\Theta_{n_{i}}\left(Y_{i}\right)$ has the same fixed point index as $\Delta_{n_{i}}\left(Y_{i}\right)$, we see by (16), (21) that if $n \geq n_{i}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sharp\left(\Delta_{n_{i}}\left(Y_{i}\right) \cup \Theta_{n_{i}}\left(Y_{i}\right)-V_{S}\right) \geq \operatorname{ind}( \left.\Theta_{n_{i}}\left(Y_{i}\right) \cap V_{S}, f_{S}^{n_{i}}\right) \\
&-\operatorname{ind}\left(\Delta_{n_{i}}\left(Y_{i}\right), f_{S}^{n_{i}}\right) \\
& \geq u_{i}-\lambda_{i}-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sharp F^{n}\left(Y_{i}\right) \geq \delta\left(n_{i}\right)\left(u_{i}-\lambda_{i}-1\right)=\nu^{n}\left(C_{i}\right)-\delta\left(n_{i}\right)\left(\lambda_{i}+1\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider the case of $i=0$. Then, in the same way as in the case of $i>0$, we can prove that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ind}\left(\Delta_{n_{0}}\left(Y_{0}\right), f_{S}^{n_{0}}\right)= & \operatorname{ind}\left(\bar{C}_{0} \cap \operatorname{Fix}\left(\phi_{S}^{n_{0}}\right), \phi_{S}^{n_{0}}\right) \\
& +\operatorname{ind}\left(\left(Y_{0}-\bar{C}_{0}\right) \cap \operatorname{Fix}\left(\phi_{S}^{n_{0}}\right), \phi_{S}^{n_{0}}\right) \\
= & -\mu u_{0}+\left(1-\mu-\lambda_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, if $n \geq n_{0}$, then by (16), (21), $\sharp\left(\Delta_{n_{0}}\left(Y_{0}\right) \cup \Theta_{n_{0}}\left(Y_{0}\right)-V_{S}\right) \geq-2 \lambda_{0}-$ $\left(-\mu u_{0}+1-\mu-\lambda_{0}\right) \geq \mu u_{0}-\lambda_{0}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\sharp F^{n}\left(Y_{0}\right) \geq \delta\left(n_{0}\right)\left(\mu u_{0}-\lambda_{0}\right) & \geq \mu \nu^{n}\left(C_{0}\right)-\delta\left(n_{0}\right) \lambda_{0} \\
& \geq \mu \nu^{n}\left(C_{0}\right)-\lambda_{0} . \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $S$ has at least $\max \left\{\lambda_{i}, 2\right\}$ points in $X_{i}$,

$$
\sharp S \geq \mu \sharp(S \cap N)+\mu \sum_{i>0} \max \left\{\lambda_{i}, 2\right\}+\lambda_{0} .
$$

This implies that

$$
-\lambda_{0}+\sharp S \geq \mu\left(\sharp(S \cap N)+\sum_{i>0} \delta\left(n_{i}\right)\left(\lambda_{i}+1\right)+2 d_{0}-d_{1}\right),
$$

since $\max \left\{\lambda_{i}, 2\right\}-\delta\left(n_{i}\right)\left(\lambda_{i}+1\right) \geq 2$ or -1 according to $n<n_{i}$ or $n \geq n_{i}$. Thus by (15), (19), (20), (22), (23),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sharp P^{n}(f) \geq \mu( & \sum_{x \in \operatorname{Int} N-S} \nu^{n}(x)+\sum_{x \in S \cap N}\left(\nu^{n}(x)-1\right) \\
& \left.+\sum_{i>0}\left(\nu^{n}\left(C_{i}\right)-\delta\left(n_{i}\right)\left(\lambda_{i}+1\right)\right)\right)+\mu \nu^{n}\left(C_{0}\right)-\lambda_{0}+\sharp S
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \geq \mu\left(\nu^{n}(N)-\sharp(S \cap N)-\sum_{i>0} \delta\left(n_{i}\right)\left(\lambda_{i}+1\right)\right)-\lambda_{0}+\sharp S \\
& \geq \mu\left(\nu^{n}(N)+2 d_{0}-d_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the rest of this section, we return to the situation of Lemma 3 in Section 2, i.e. $\phi: D \rightarrow D$ is an orientation-preserving generalized pseudoAnosov homeomorphism, $x_{0} \in \operatorname{Int} D$ a fixed point of $\phi, g: A \rightarrow A$ the blow-up of $\phi$ at $x_{0}$, and $\tilde{g}: \tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{A}$ a lift of $g$. Given a positive integer $n$ and an integer $k$ prime to $n$, let

$$
P_{n, k}(g)=\left\{x \in P_{n}(g) \mid \rho(x, \tilde{g})=k / n\right\} .
$$

Each $P_{n, k}(g)$ is identical to some $g^{n}$-Nielsen class. Since $g$ is homotopic to the identity map, every $g^{n}$-Nielsen class has index zero. Therefore, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ind}\left(P_{n, k}(g), g^{n}\right)=0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4 Assume all the hypotheses of Lemma 3. Then $\nu^{n}(D) \geq 3 n+8$.
Proof. Let $k_{1} / l_{1}, \ldots, k_{\beta} / l_{\beta}$ be the rational numbers and $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\beta}$ the interior periodic points of $g$ in the proof of Lemma 3. Let $X_{i}$ denote the orbit of $x_{i}$. Then $X_{i}$ is a periodic orbit of $\phi$ in $\operatorname{Int} D-\left\{x_{0}\right\}$ with period $l_{i} \leq n$. If $X_{i} \subset \mathcal{S}_{1}$, then $\nu^{n}\left(X_{i}\right)=2 l_{i}$ by the definition of $\nu^{n}$. Suppose $X_{i}$ is not contained in $\mathcal{S}_{1}$. Since $x_{i} \in P_{l_{i}, k_{i}}(g)$ and by (2) $\operatorname{ind}\left(x_{i}, g^{l_{i}}\right) \neq 0$, it follows from (24) that there is another $l_{i}$-periodic point $x_{i}^{\prime}$ in $A$ with nonzero index and rotation number $k_{i} / l_{i}$. Let $X_{i}^{\prime}$ be the orbit of $x_{i}^{\prime}$. Since $x_{i}$ and $x_{i}^{\prime}$ have nonzero index, $\nu^{n}\left(X_{i}\right), \nu^{n}\left(X_{i}^{\prime}\right) \geq l_{i}$. Let $\Gamma$ be the boundary circle of $A$ corresponding to $x_{0}$. Divide $\{1, \ldots, \beta\}$ into three subsets $J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{3}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{1}=\left\{i \mid X_{i} \subset \mathcal{S}_{1}\right\}, \quad J_{2}=\left\{i \notin J_{1} \mid X_{i}^{\prime} \cap \Gamma=\emptyset\right\}, \\
& J_{3}=\left\{i \notin J_{1} \mid X_{i}^{\prime} \subset \Gamma\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $X_{i}^{\prime} \subset \Gamma$ implies $k_{i} / l_{i}=\rho(\Gamma, \tilde{g})$, it is impossible that $J_{3}$ contains more than one element. Therefore, $J_{3}$ is empty or consists of a single integer, say $i_{0}$. Consider first the case of $J_{3}=\left\{i_{0}\right\}$. Then $x_{0}$ has type $\left(l_{i_{0}}, a\right)$ for some $a \geq 1$. Since $n \geq l_{i_{0}}$, this implies that $\nu^{n}\left(x_{0}\right) \geq l_{i_{0}}-1$. Therefore, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l_{1}+\cdots+l_{\beta} \geq 2 n+2 \\
& \qquad \begin{aligned}
\nu^{n}(D) & \geq \sum_{i \in J_{1}} \nu^{n}\left(X_{i}\right)+\sum_{i \in J_{2}} \nu^{n}\left(X_{i} \cup X_{i}^{\prime}\right)+\nu^{n}\left(X_{i_{0}}\right)+\nu^{n}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
& \geq 2 \sum_{i \neq i_{0}} l_{i}+l_{i_{0}}+\left(l_{i_{0}}-1\right) \geq 4 n+3
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the assumption (a) implies $l \geq 2$, we have $n \geq 5$ by (c) and hence $4 n+3 \geq 3 n+8$. Thus we have the proof in this case. The proof for the case of $J_{3}$ empty is easier.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 2

The proof is divided into two parts (I), (II).
(I) Consider the case where $\mathrm{bt}(S, f)$ is pseudo-Anosov. Then the canonical homeomorphism $\phi$ isotopic to $f$ is pseudo-Anosov relative to $S$, and we have $\sharp P^{n}(f) \geq \nu^{n}(D)$ by Proposition 3. Therefore, it is enough to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu^{n}(D) \geq 3 n+6 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i}(i=1,2)$ be the periodic points of $\phi$ introduced in Section 3. $x_{1}$ is a fixed point of index 1 , and its type is denoted by $\left(l_{1}, a_{1}\right) . x_{2}$ is one of the periodic points on $\partial D$ with index -1 ; its period is $l_{2}$ and there are $a_{2} l_{2}$ periodic points on $\partial D$ with index $-1 . y_{i}$ is an $l_{i}$-periodic point of index 1 , and its type is $\left(m_{i}, b_{i}\right) . z_{i}$ is an $l_{i} m_{i}$-periodic point of index 1. Let $X_{i}, Y_{i}$, $Z_{i}$ be the orbits of $x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i}$ respectively.

We have two cases to consider:
(1) $n \geq l_{i_{0}}\left(m_{i_{0}}+2\right)$, where $i_{0}$ is 1 or 2 .
(2) $n<l_{i}\left(m_{i}+2\right)$ for $i=1$ and 2 .

Case (1): Let $l=l_{i_{0}}, m=m_{i_{0}}$. Let $g: A \rightarrow A$ be the blow-up of $\phi^{l}$ at $y_{i_{0}}$. Let $\Gamma$ be a circle corresponding to $y_{i_{0}}$, and $\alpha=[n / l]$. For $s$ with $m<s \leq \alpha$, let $U_{s}=P_{s, 1}(g) \cup P_{s,-1}(g)$. We have shown in Section 3 that $U_{s}$ is not empty for any $s$, if we choose the lift $\tilde{g}$ appropriately.

Consider first the case where, for some $s, U_{s}$ contains an element $u$ with $\operatorname{per}(u, \phi)<l s$. Then, as has been shown in Case (1), (i) of Section 3, all the hypotheses of Lemma 3 are satisfied if $x_{0}, x$ are replaced by $x_{1}, u$ respectively. Thus by Lemma 4, (25) is proved.

Consider next the case where $\operatorname{per}(u, \phi)=l s$ for any $s$ and any $u \in U_{s}$.

Let $W=X_{i_{0}} \cup Y_{i_{0}} \cup \operatorname{Fix}(\phi)$. Since $\rho(\Gamma, \tilde{g})=d / m$ for some $d$ prime to $m$, we see $U_{s} \cap \Gamma$ is empty. Therefore $U_{s}$ can be thought of as a subset of $D$. Moreover, $\left\{U_{s}\right\}_{s>m}, Z_{i_{0}}$, and $W$ are mutually disjoint subsets of $D$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu^{n}(D) \geq \sum_{s=m+1}^{\alpha} \nu^{n}\left(U_{s}\right)+\nu^{n}\left(Z_{i_{0}}\right)+\nu^{n}(W) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\nu^{n}\left(Z_{i_{0}}\right) \geq l m$. We show $\nu^{n}\left(U_{s}\right) \geq 2 l s$. In fact, if $U_{s} \subset \mathcal{S}_{1}$, then by the definition of $\nu^{n}, \nu^{n}\left(U_{s}\right) \geq 2 l s$. Also if $U_{s}$ is disjoint from $\mathcal{S}_{1}$, then every point in $U_{s}$ has nonzero index, and hence by (24), $U_{s}$ contains at least two $l s$-periodic orbits with nonzero index. Thus $\nu^{n}\left(U_{s}\right) \geq 2 l s$. Therefore, since $n \geq l(m+2)$ and hence $\alpha-1 \geq m+1$, we have

$$
\sum_{s=m+1}^{\alpha} \nu^{n}\left(U_{s}\right) \geq \sum_{s=\alpha-1}^{\alpha} 2 l s \geq 2 l(2 \alpha-1)
$$

We show $\nu^{n}(W) \geq 2 l+\epsilon$, where $\epsilon=1,-1$ according to $l=1$ or $l \geq 2$. If $l \geq 2$, then

$$
\nu^{n}(W) \geq \nu^{n}\left(X_{i_{0}}\right)+\nu^{n}\left(Y_{i_{0}}\right) \geq(a l-1)+l(b m-1) \geq 2 l-1
$$

In the case of $l=1$, we have $\nu^{n}(W) \geq 3$. In fact, $l=1$ is possible only if $i_{0}=2$. Then $x_{2}$ is a fixed point of $\phi$ on $\partial D$ with index -1 . Therefore, since $\chi(D)=1$, there must be a fixed point of nonzero index different from $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$. Thus, $\nu^{n}(W) \geq \nu^{n}(\operatorname{Fix}(\phi)) \geq 3$.

The above estimates together with (26) imply $\nu^{n}(D) \geq 4 l \alpha+l m+\epsilon$. Since $l \alpha \geq n-l+1$ and $n \geq l(m+2)$, this implies $\nu^{n}(D)-(3 n+6) \geq$ $2 l(m-1)-2+\epsilon>0$. Hence (25) holds.

Case (2): Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_{1} & =2 l_{1}\left(m_{1}-1\right)+\left(2 l_{1}-2\right) \delta\left(l_{1}\right)+2 l_{1}\left(m_{1}-1\right) \delta\left(l_{1} m_{1}\right)+1 \\
\nu_{2} & =2 l_{2}\left(m_{2}-1\right)+2 l_{2} \delta\left(l_{2}\right)+2 l_{2}\left(m_{2}-1\right) \delta\left(l_{2} m_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $i=1,2$, let $Q_{i}=X_{i} \cup Y_{i} \cup Z_{i}$. Then we have:
Lemma $5 \quad \nu^{n}\left(\mathcal{S}_{1} \cup Q_{1} \cup Q_{2}\right) \geq \nu_{1}+\nu_{2}$.
Proof. $\quad$ Since $\operatorname{ind}\left(x_{1}, \phi^{l_{1}}\right)=1-a_{1} l_{1}, \operatorname{ind}\left(x_{2}, \phi^{l_{2}}\right)=-1, \operatorname{ind}\left(y_{i}, \phi^{l_{i} m_{i}}\right)=$
$1-b_{i} m_{i}$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\nu^{n}\left(X_{1}\right)=1+\left(a_{1} l_{1}-2\right) \delta\left(l_{1}\right), & \nu^{n}\left(X_{2}\right)=a_{2} l_{2} \delta\left(l_{2}\right)  \tag{27}\\
\nu^{n}\left(Y_{i}\right)=l_{i} \delta\left(l_{i}\right)+l_{i}\left(b_{i} m_{i}-2\right) \delta\left(l_{i} m_{i}\right), & \nu^{n}\left(Z_{i}\right) \geq l_{i} m_{i} \delta\left(l_{i} m_{i}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Also by (11), we have $\nu^{n}\left(\mathcal{S}_{1}\right)=2 \sharp \mathcal{S}_{1} \geq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{2} l_{i}\left(m_{i}-1\right)$. Therefore, since $\mathcal{S}_{1}, Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ are mutually disjoint, we have the lemma.

## Lemma 6

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nu_{1} \geq \begin{cases}2 n+3 & \text { if } n \leq l_{1} \\
2 n+1 & \text { if } n<3 l_{1} \text { or } m_{1} \geq 3 \\
n+4 & \text { for any } n \geq 1,\end{cases} \\
& \nu_{2} \geq \begin{cases}2 n+2 & \text { if } n<3 l_{2} \text { or } m_{2} \geq 3 \\
n+5 & \text { if } n \geq l_{2} \geq 2 \\
n+3 & \text { if } \quad l_{2}=1\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. These follow from the following, which can be easily verified:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nu_{1}= \begin{cases}2 l_{1}\left(m_{1}-1\right)+1 \geq 2 l_{1}+1 & \text { if } n<l_{1} \\
2 l_{1} m_{1}-1 & \text { if } l_{1} \leq n<l_{1} m_{1} \\
4 l_{1} m_{1}-2 l_{1}-1 & \text { if } l_{1} m_{1} \leq n<l_{1}\left(m_{1}+2\right),\end{cases} \\
& \nu_{2}= \begin{cases}2 l_{2}\left(m_{2}-1\right) \geq 2 l_{2} & \text { if } n<l_{2} \\
2 l_{2} m_{2} & \text { if } l_{2} \leq n<l_{2} m_{2} \\
l_{2}\left(4 m_{2}-2\right) & \text { if } l_{2} m_{2} \leq n<l_{2}\left(m_{2}+2\right) .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\Lambda$ be the set of pairs $(l, m)$ of integers which satisfy $n<3 l$ or $m \geq 3$. There are four subcases.
(i) $\left(l_{2}, m_{2}\right) \in \Lambda$.
(ii) $\left(l_{1}, m_{1}\right) \in \Lambda,\left(l_{2}, m_{2}\right) \notin \Lambda$, and $l_{2} \geq 2$.
(iii) $\left(l_{1}, m_{1}\right) \in \Lambda,\left(l_{2}, m_{2}\right) \notin \Lambda$, and $l_{2}=1$.
(iv) $\left(l_{1}, m_{1}\right),\left(l_{2}, m_{2}\right) \notin \Lambda$.

Case (i): By Lemma 6, $\nu_{1} \geq n+4$ and $\nu_{2} \geq 2 n+2$. Then Lemma 5 implies (25).

Case (ii): Since $\left(l_{2}, m_{2}\right) \notin \Lambda$, we have $n \geq 3 l_{2}$. Therefore, by Lemma 6, $\nu_{1} \geq 2 n+1$ and $\nu_{2} \geq n+5$, and so (25) holds.

Case (iii): This case is possible only if $n=3$. In fact, since $n \geq 3 l_{2}$, $m_{2}=2$, and the inequality $n<l_{2}\left(m_{2}+2\right)$ is assumed, the hypothesis $l_{2}=1$ implies $n=3$. Note that by (11) we see that $\mathcal{S}_{1}$ has at least three points. There are three subcases:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { (iii-a) } & l_{1} \geq 3 . \\
\text { (iii-b) } & l_{1}=2 \text { and } \sharp \mathcal{S}_{1} \geq 4 . \\
\text { (iii-c) } & \sharp \mathcal{S}_{1}=3 .
\end{array}
$$

Case (iii-a): Since $n=3 \leq l_{1}$, by Lemma 6, $\nu_{1} \geq 2 n+3$. Therefore, $\nu_{2} \geq n+3$ implies (25).

Case (iii-b): By (27), we have $\nu^{3}\left(X_{1} \cup X_{2}\right)=a_{1} l_{1}-1+a_{2} l_{2} \geq 2, \nu^{3}\left(Y_{1} \cup\right.$ $\left.Y_{2}\right) \geq l_{1}+l_{2}=3$, and $\nu^{3}\left(Z_{2}\right) \geq l_{2} m_{2}=2$. Therefore, since $\nu^{3}\left(\mathcal{S}_{1}\right) \geq 8$, we see $\nu^{3}\left(\mathcal{S}_{1} \cup Q_{1} \cup Q_{2}\right) \geq 15=3 n+6$.

Case (iii-c): Let $\psi$ be the homeomorphism on the sphere $S^{2}$ induced by $\phi$ by collapsing $\partial D$ to a point $\infty$. Then $\psi$ is pseudo-Anosov relative to the invariant set $\mathcal{S}_{1} \cup\{\infty\}$. Since this invariant set has four points, $\psi^{q}$ has $\operatorname{tr} A^{q}$ fixed points on $S^{2}$ for every $q \geq 1$, where $A$ is a $2 \times 2$ matrix whose entries are all positive integers and $\operatorname{tr} A \geq 3$. (See [19, Theorem 2.4] or [20, p. 70].) This implies $\sharp \operatorname{Fix}\left(\psi^{3}\right) \geq \operatorname{tr} A^{3} \geq 18$, and hence the set $\operatorname{Fix}\left(\phi^{3}\right) \cap \operatorname{Int} D$ has at least 17 points. Since $\nu^{3}(x)>0$ for any $x$ in this set, we have $\nu^{3}(D) \geq 17$ and (25) holds.

Case (iv): The hypotheses imply that $m_{i}=2$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 l_{i} \leq n<l_{i}\left(m_{i}+2\right)=4 l_{i} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i=1$ and 2 . Note that, since $l_{1} \geq 2$, (28) implies $l_{2} \geq 2$ and $Q_{2}$ contains no fixed points. Therefore, if we let $R=\operatorname{Fix}(\phi)-\left(\mathcal{S}_{1} \cup X_{1}\right)$, then $R$ is disjoint from $\mathcal{S}_{1}, Q_{1}, Q_{2}$. Since $l_{1} m_{1}=2 l_{1}<n \leq 4 l_{1}-1$ by (28), we have $\nu^{n}\left(Q_{1}\right) \geq 4 l_{1}-1 \geq n$ by (27). Similarly $\nu^{n}\left(Q_{2}\right) \geq 4 l_{2} \geq n+1$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu^{n}\left(\mathcal{S}_{1} \cup Q_{1} \cup Q_{2} \cup R\right) \geq 2 \sharp \mathcal{S}_{1}+2 n+1+\nu^{n}(R) . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are three subcases.
(iv-a) $\quad l_{2} \geq 2$ and $\sharp \mathcal{S}_{1} \geq 2 l_{2}+2$.
(iv-b) $l_{2}=2$ and $\sharp \mathcal{S}_{1}=5$.

$$
\text { (iv-c) } \quad l_{2} \geq 3 \text { and } \sharp \mathcal{S}_{1} \leq 2 l_{2}+1 \text {, or } l_{2}=2 \text { and } \sharp \mathcal{S}_{1} \leq 4 .
$$

Case (iv-a): In this case, $2 \sharp \mathcal{S}_{1} \geq 4 l_{2}+4 \geq n+5$. Therefore (25) follows from (29).

Case (iv-b): Let $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}=\mathcal{S}-\left(\mathcal{S}_{1} \cup \partial D\right)$. Then by (1) the sum $\sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}}(2-p(x))$ is equal to $2-\sharp \mathcal{S}_{1}+a_{2} l_{2}=2 a_{2}-3$, and hence equal to -1 or a positive number. Since $2-p(x)$ is negative for any $x \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$, this sum must be -1 . This means that there is one and only one singularity in $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ and moreover it must be 3 -pronged. We denote this singurality by $x_{0}$. Then, since $x_{0}$ is 3 -pronged, $\operatorname{ind}\left(x_{0}, \phi\right)=1$ or -2 . Since $l_{2}=2, l_{1}=2$ by (28) and hence $x_{1}$ is a regular point of $\mathcal{F}^{s}$. This implies $x_{0} \neq x_{1}$, and so $x_{0} \in R$. Since $x_{1}$ has index one and any point of $\mathcal{S}_{1}$ has index zero, $R$ has fixed point index zero. Therefore, $R$ has a fixed point of nonzero index different from $x_{0}$. This implies $\nu^{n}(R) \geq 2$. Therefore, since $n=6$ or 7 by (28), we have $2 \sharp \mathcal{S}_{1}+\nu^{n}(R) \geq 12 \geq n+5$. Thus (25) follows from (29).

Case (iv-c): Let $g: A \rightarrow A$ be the blow-up of $\phi^{l_{2}}$ at $y_{2}$. Since $y_{2}$ has type $\left(m_{2}, b_{2}\right)=\left(2, b_{2}\right)$, we see $\rho(\Gamma, \tilde{g})=d / 2$, where $\Gamma$ is a boundary circle corresponding to $y_{2}$ and $d$ is odd. Also, $\rho(\partial D, \tilde{g})$ is an integer. Therefore, we can assume that $\rho(\tilde{g})$ contains $[0,1 / 2]$ or $[-1 / 2,0]$. We assume $\rho(\tilde{g}) \supset$ $[0,1 / 2]$, the other case being similar. Then by Theorem 4, there is a 3 periodic point of $g$ with rotation number $1 / 3$. Choose such a point $w$. It is easy to see that $w$ is an interior periodic point of $\phi$ and $w \in \operatorname{Fix}\left(\phi^{3 l_{2}}\right)-$ $\operatorname{Fix}\left(\phi^{l_{2}}\right)$. Hence $\operatorname{per}(w, \phi)$ is written as $\operatorname{per}(w, \phi)=3 l_{2} / r$, where $r$ is a divisor of $l_{2}$ and $r \neq 3$.

If $r \geq 4$, then $\operatorname{per}(w, \phi)<l_{2} \leq l_{2} \operatorname{per}\left(w, \phi^{l_{2}}\right)$. Also by (28), $2 l_{2}+$ $\operatorname{per}(w, \phi)<3 l_{2} \leq n$. Let $g^{\prime}: A^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ be the blow-up of $\phi$ at $x_{1}$. Then $\rho\left(\tilde{g}^{\prime}\right)$ contains $\rho\left(x_{2}, \tilde{g}^{\prime}\right)$ which is equal to $k / l_{2}$ for some $k$ prime to $l_{2}$. Therefore by Lemma 4, (25) holds.

Assume $r \leq 2$. Consider first the case of $w \in \mathcal{S}_{1}$. Then its orbit $\operatorname{Orb}(w)$ under $\phi$ is contained in $\mathcal{S}_{1}$. By a hypothesis, $\sharp \mathcal{S}_{1} \leq 2 l_{2}+\epsilon$, where $\epsilon=1$ or 0 according to $l_{2} \geq 3$ or $l_{2}=2$. In the present case, we have $r=2$, because if $r=1$, the number $\operatorname{per}(w, \phi)=3 l_{2}$ could exceed the cardinality of $\mathcal{S}_{1}$. Thus $\operatorname{per}(w, \phi)=3 l_{2} / 2$. By (11) and (28), $\sharp \mathcal{S}_{1} \geq l_{1}+l_{2}>7 l_{2} / 4$. Therefore, we have

$$
\frac{l_{2}}{4}<\sharp\left(\mathcal{S}_{1}-\operatorname{Orb}(w)\right)=\sharp \mathcal{S}_{1}-\operatorname{per}(w, \phi) \leq\left(2 l_{2}+\epsilon\right)-\frac{3}{2} l_{2}
$$

$$
=\frac{l_{2}}{2}+\epsilon<l_{2} .
$$

This implies that there is a periodic point $y \in \mathcal{S}_{1}$ of $\phi$ with period less than $l_{2}$. Then $\operatorname{per}(y, \phi)<l_{2} \operatorname{per}\left(y, \phi^{l_{2}}\right)$, and $2 l_{2}+\operatorname{per}(y, \phi)<3 l_{2} \leq n$. Also we showed above that $\rho\left(\tilde{g}^{\prime}\right) \ni k / l_{2}$. Hence by Lemma 4, we have (25).

Consider the case of $w \notin \mathcal{S}_{1}$. Then $\operatorname{ind}\left(w, g^{3}\right) \neq 0$, since any periodic point of index zero is contained in $\mathcal{S}_{1}$ or $\partial D$. Therefore by (24), there is another 3-periodic point $w^{\prime} \notin \mathcal{S}_{1}$ of $g$ with $\rho\left(w^{\prime}, \tilde{g}\right)=1 / 3$. We show $w$, $w^{\prime} \notin Q_{1} \cup Q_{2}$. In fact, if $w \in Q_{2}$, then $\operatorname{per}(w, \phi)=l_{2}$ or $2 l_{2}$, since $x_{2}$, $y_{2}, z_{2}$ have periods $l_{2}, l_{2}, 2 l_{2}$ respectively. Then $3 l_{2} / r=l_{2}$ or $2 l_{2}$, which contradicts that $r=1,2$. Suppose $w \in Q_{1}$. Then $3 l_{2} / r=\operatorname{per}(w, \phi)=l_{1}$ or $2 l_{1}$. Therefore since $r=1,2$ and $3 l_{2}<4 l_{1}$ by (28), we have $3 l_{2}=l_{1}$ or $2 l_{1}$. This contradicts that $3 l_{1}<4 l_{2}$. In the same way, we can verify $w^{\prime} \notin Q_{1} \cup Q_{2}$.

Let $W, W^{\prime}$ be the orbits of $w, w^{\prime}$ respectively. We can assume that $\operatorname{per}\left(w^{\prime}, \phi\right) \geq \operatorname{per}(w, \phi)$ without loss of generality. Then, $\nu^{n}(W), \nu^{n}\left(W^{\prime}\right) \geq$ $3 l_{2} / 2$. Therefore, we have by Lemma 5,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu^{n}\left(\mathcal{S}_{1} \cup Q_{1} \cup Q_{2}\right)+\nu^{n}(W)+\nu^{n}\left(W^{\prime}\right) \geq \nu_{1}+\nu_{2}+3 l_{2} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $n \geq 3 l_{2}$ and $m_{2}=2$, we have $\nu_{2}=6 l_{2}$ by the definition of $\nu_{2}$. Also, by Lemma 6, $\nu_{1} \geq n+4$. Therefore, since $W, W^{\prime}, \mathcal{S}_{1} \cup Q_{1} \cup Q_{2}$ are mutually disjoint, we have $\nu^{n}(D) \geq n+4+9 l_{2}$ by (30). Since $4 l_{2} \geq n+1$, this implies (25).
(II) Here we consider the general case; namely, the case where $\mathrm{bt}(S, f)$ is reducible and contains a pseudo-Anosov component. We shall use the same notations as in (II) of Section 3. Since $\phi^{\prime}: D^{\prime} \rightarrow D^{\prime}$ is pseudo-Anosov relative to $S^{\prime}$ and $n^{\prime} \geq \operatorname{per}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$, applying the result in (I) of this section to $\phi^{\prime}$, we have $\nu^{n^{\prime}}\left(D^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right) \geq 3 n^{\prime}+6$. Let $n_{i}$ (resp. $u_{i}$ ) be the period (resp. the number) of periodic points of $\phi$ on $C_{i}$. Note that $\nu^{n^{\prime}}\left(c_{i}, \phi^{\prime}\right)=1$ or $u_{i}-1$ according to whether $n^{\prime}<n_{i} / \mu$ (equivalently $n<n_{i}$ ) or $n^{\prime} \geq n_{i} / \mu$. Hence we have $\nu^{n}\left(C_{i}, \phi\right)=\nu^{n^{\prime}}\left(c_{i}, \phi^{\prime}\right)-1+2 \delta\left(n_{i}\right)$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu^{n}(N) & =\nu^{n}\left(N-\bigcup_{i=1}^{d-1} C_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \nu^{n}\left(C_{i}\right) \\
& =\nu^{n^{\prime}}\left(D^{\prime}-\left\{c_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{d-1}, \phi^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{d-1}\left(\nu^{n^{\prime}}\left(c_{i}, \phi^{\prime}\right)-1+2 \delta\left(n_{i}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\nu^{n^{\prime}}\left(D^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right)-d_{0}+d_{1}
$$

Therefore by Proposition 3,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sharp P^{n}(f) & \geq \mu\left(\nu^{n}(N)+2 d_{0}-d_{1}\right) \geq \mu\left(\nu^{n^{\prime}}\left(D^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right)+d_{0}\right) \\
& \geq \mu\left(3 n^{\prime}+6\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mu n^{\prime} \geq n-\mu+1$, this shows $\sharp P^{n}(f) \geq 3 n+6$, and the proof is completed.
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