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#### Abstract

We consider the symplectic geometry of toric hyperKähler quotients. Under a mild condition, we obtain that toric hyperKähler quotients have stability about its underlying symplectic structures.
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## 1. Introduction

Symplectic manifolds have the properties of both softness and hardness. For softness, there is a classical theorem due to Moser [6].

Theorem 1.1 (Moser) Let $M$ be a closed manifold and $\left\{\omega_{t}\right\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1} a$ smooth family of cohomologous symplectic forms on $M$. Then there exists $\left\{\phi_{t}\right\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ a smooth family of diffeomorphisms of $M$ such that $\phi_{t}^{*} \omega_{t}=\omega_{0}$ for all $t \in[0,1]$.

This theorem is proved by constructing a family of vector fields $\left\{Z_{t}\right\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ whose integral flows induce $\left\{\phi_{t}\right\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$. Therefore the completeness of these vector fields is necessary. But this is automatically satisfied since $M$ is compact. In this paper, we prove that an analog of this theorem holds in the case of not necessarily compact but complete hyperKähler quotients under a mild condition.

Let ( $M, g, I, J, K$ ) be a complete hyperKähler manifold, i.e. $g$ is a complete Riemannian metric and $I, J, K$ are almost complex structures of $M$ satisfying
(i) $g$ is Hermitian with respect to $I, J, K$,
(ii) $I^{2}=-1, J^{2}=-1, K^{2}=-1, I J=K, J K=I, K I=J$,
(iii) $\nabla I=0, \nabla J=0, \nabla K=0$,
where $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $g$.
We define the 2 -forms $\omega_{I}, \omega_{J}, \omega_{K}$ by $\omega_{I}(X, Y)=g(I X, Y)$, etc. From the condition above, it follows that $I, J, K$ are integrable and $\omega_{I}, \omega_{J}, \omega_{K}$

[^0]are Kähler with respect to $I, J, K$ respectively.
Let $G$ be a compact connected Lie group and $\mathfrak{g}$ its Lie algebra. We assume that $G$ acts on $M$ preserving its hyperKähler structure with a moment map
$$
\mu=\left(\mu_{I}, \mu_{J}, \mu_{K}\right): M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{*} \times \mathfrak{g}^{*} \times \mathfrak{g}^{*}
$$
i.e. $G$ preserves the metric $g$ and acts on $M$ in a Hamiltonian way for every symplectic forms $\omega_{I}, \omega_{J}, \omega_{K}$ with moment maps $\mu_{I}, \mu_{J}, \mu_{K}$ respectively. Note that $\mu$ is a $G$-equivariant map.

We denote by $\mathcal{C}$ the set of $G$-invariant elements of $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$. Let $\xi=$ $\left(\xi_{I}, \xi_{J}, \xi_{K}\right) \in \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$ be a regular value of $\mu$. Then $M^{\xi}=\mu^{-1}(\xi)$ is a $G$-invariant smooth submanifold of $M$. If $G$ acts on $M^{\xi}$ freely, the quotient manifold $M^{\xi} / G$ has the three induced Kähler forms $\omega_{I}^{\xi}, \omega_{J}^{\xi}, \omega_{K}^{\xi}$ from $\omega_{I}, \omega_{J}, \omega_{K}$ and the induced metric from $g^{\xi}$ as the Riemannian submersion $M^{\xi} \rightarrow M^{\xi} / G$. These define the hyperKähler structure on $M^{\xi} / G$. This manifold $M^{\xi} / G$ is called the hyperKähler quotient of $M$ by the moment map $\mu$. For further detail, we refer to Section 3 in [4].

In Section 2, we prove the Moser type theorem for hyperKähler quotients. Roughly speaking, under a mild condition, the symplectic diffeomorphism class of the hyperKähler quotient $\left(M^{\xi} / G, \omega_{I}^{\xi}\right)$ is independent of $\xi_{J}$ and $\xi_{K}$ (Theorem 2.1). By Duistermaat and Heckman [3], we note that the cohomology class of $\omega_{I}^{\xi}$ changes for various choice of $\xi_{I}$ in general.

The idea of the proof is as follows. We take an embedded path $\gamma=$ $\left(\gamma_{I}, \gamma_{J}, \gamma_{K}\right):[0,1] \rightarrow(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}) \cap \mu(M)$ such that $\gamma_{I}$ is a constant $\xi_{I}$ which is a regular value of $\mu_{I}$. We also denote by $\gamma$ its image. Then $M^{\gamma}=\mu^{-1}(\gamma)$ is a $G$-invariant smooth submanifold of $M$. If $G$ acts on $M^{\gamma}$ freely, we obtain a family of symplectic manifolds $\left(M^{\gamma(t)} / G, \omega_{I}^{\gamma(t)}\right), t \in[0,1]$ in the manifold $M^{\gamma} / G$. These manifolds are considered as symplectic submanifolds of the symplectic quotient of $\left(M, \omega_{I}\right)$ by the moment map $\mu_{I}$ at the point $\xi_{I}$. Because $\gamma_{I}$ is constant, $M^{\gamma} / G$ has the induced closed 2-form $\omega_{I}^{\gamma}$ from $\omega_{I}$. This closed 2-form is necessarily degenerate. By using the kernel of $\omega_{I}^{\gamma}$, we construct the vector field $Z$ on $M^{\gamma} / G$. If $Z$ is complete, we can construct symplectic diffeomorphisms between $\left(M^{\gamma(0)} / G, \omega_{I}^{\gamma(0)}\right)$ and $\left(M^{\gamma(t)} / G, \omega_{I}^{\gamma(t)}\right)$ for all $t \in[0,1]$ by using its integral flows.

The assumptions we used here consist of two parts:
(i) the action of $G$ on $M^{\gamma}$ is free.
(ii) the vector field $Z$ on $M^{\gamma} / G$ is complete.

In Section 3, we consider toric hyperKähler linear actions on quarternionic vector spaces with certain moment maps. A sufficient condition for (i) is given by Konno [5]. We prove that the assumption (ii) holds for this case (Theorem 3.1).

This problem was suggested to me by Professor K. Ono. I should like to express my gratitude to him for suggesting this problem.

## 2. Moser type theorem

We use here the same notations in introduction.
Let $(M, g, I, J, K)$ be a complete hyperKähler manifold and $G$ a compact connected Lie group. We denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ its Lie algebra. We assume that $G$ acts on $M$ preserving its hyperKähler structure with a moment map

$$
\mu=\left(\mu_{I}, \mu_{J}, \mu_{K}\right): M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{*} \times \mathfrak{g}^{*} \times \mathfrak{g}^{*} .
$$

Let $\xi_{I} \in \mathcal{C}$ be a regular value of $\mu_{I}$. We define the space $\mathcal{B}$ by

$$
\mathcal{B}=\left(\xi_{I} \times \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}\right) \cap \mu(M)
$$

We take an embedded path

$$
\gamma=\left(\gamma_{I}, \gamma_{J}, \gamma_{K}\right):[0,1] \rightarrow \mathcal{B} .
$$

Let $Y^{1}, \ldots, Y^{n}$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$. We define the matrix $g(x)=\left(g_{i j}(x)\right)$ by

$$
g_{i j}(x)=g\left(\underline{Y}_{x}^{i}, \underline{Y}_{x}^{j}\right), \quad x \in M^{\gamma}
$$

where we denote by $\underline{Y}$ the fundamental vector field associated to $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. By Lemma 2.2 below, every point of $\mathcal{B}$ is a regular value of $\mu$. So the action of $G$ on $M^{\gamma}$ is locally free. Hence we have $\operatorname{det} g(x) \neq 0$.
We denote by $g^{-1}(x)=\left(g^{i j}(x)\right)$ the inverse matrix of $g(x)$. We define the function $\nu: M^{\gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\nu(x)=\left|g^{-1}(x)\right|=\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left|g^{i j}(x)\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Note that the boundedness of $\nu$ does not depend on the choice of a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$.

The main theorem in this section is the following:
Theorem 2.1 If $G$ acts on $M^{\gamma}$ freely and $\nu: M^{\gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded
function, then the manifolds $\left(M^{\gamma(t)} / G, \omega_{I}^{\gamma(t)}\right), t \in[0,1]$ are symplectic diffeomorphic each other.

This theorem follows from the arguments below.
First of all, we shall review the tangent spaces of the manifolds $M^{\gamma}$ and $M^{\xi}$. We set $\xi=\mu(x)=\gamma(t)$. The tangent space of $M^{\gamma}$ at $x$ is the inverse image of $T_{\mu(x)} \gamma$ by $(d \mu)_{x}$. The tangent vector $X_{x} \in T_{x} M$ belongs to $T_{x} M^{\gamma}$ if and only if there exists $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and the following equations are satisfied:

$$
\begin{align*}
g\left(I \underline{Y}_{x}, X_{x}\right) & =0 \\
g\left(J \underline{Y}_{x}, X_{x}\right) & =s\left\langle\dot{\gamma}_{J}(t), Y\right\rangle \\
g\left(K \underline{Y}_{x}, X_{x}\right) & =s\left\langle\dot{\gamma}_{K}(t), Y\right\rangle \quad \text { for all } Y \in \mathfrak{g} \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

The tangent space of $M^{\xi}$ at $x$ is the kernel of $(d \mu)_{x}$. The tangent vector $X_{x} \in T_{x} M$ belongs to $T_{x} M^{\xi}$ if and only if we can take $s=0$ in the above condition. The tangent space of $G$-orbit at $x$ is generated by the fundamental vector fields. Every element of $T_{x}(G x)$ is represented by $\underline{Y}_{x}$ for some $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. It is easy to see that $T_{x}(G x) \subset T_{x} M^{\xi} \subset T_{x} M^{\gamma}$.

Lemma 2.2 The vector subspaces of $T_{x} M$

$$
T_{x}(G x), I T_{x}(G x), J T_{x}(G x) \text { and } K T_{x}(G x)
$$

are mutually orthogonal with respect to $g$.
Proof. $\quad$ Since $\mu_{I}(h x)=\mu_{I}(x)$ for all $h \in G$, it follows that $\left(d \mu_{I}\right)_{x} \underline{X}_{x}=0$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. By the property of moment maps, for every $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have $g\left(I \underline{Y}_{x}, \underline{X}_{x}\right)=\left\langle\left(d \mu_{I}\right)_{x} \underline{X}_{x}, Y\right\rangle=0$. Hence $T_{x}(G x)$ and $I T_{x}(G x)$ are mutually orthogonal. The other cases are proved in the same way.

Lemma 2.3 Every point of $\mathcal{B}$ is a regular value of $\mu$.
Proof. Take an arbitrary $\left(\xi_{I}, \xi_{J}, \xi_{K}\right) \in \mathcal{B}$ and an arbitrary $x \in$ $\mu^{-1}\left(\xi_{I}, \xi_{J}, \xi_{K}\right)$. Because $x$ is a regular value of $\mu_{I}$, we have $g_{i i}(x) \neq 0$. For every $\left(\xi_{I}^{\prime}, \xi_{J}^{\prime}, \xi_{K}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathfrak{g}^{*} \times \mathfrak{g}^{*} \times \mathfrak{g}^{*}$, we define the tangent vector in $T_{x} M$

$$
X_{x}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{g_{i i}(x)}\left(\left\langle\xi_{I}^{\prime}, Y^{i}\right\rangle I \underline{Y}_{x}^{i}+\left\langle\xi_{J}^{\prime}, Y^{i}\right\rangle J \underline{Y}_{x}^{i}+\left\langle\xi_{K}^{\prime}, Y^{i}\right\rangle K \underline{Y}_{x}^{i}\right)
$$

By using Lemma 2.2, it is easy to see that $(d \mu)_{x} X_{x}=\left(\xi_{I}^{\prime}, \xi_{J}^{\prime}, \xi_{K}^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore $(d \mu)_{x}$ is surjective.

We assume that $G$ acts on $M^{\gamma}$ freely. Then the canonical projection

$$
p: M^{\gamma} \rightarrow M^{\gamma} / G
$$

is submersion.
Lemma 2.4 The closed 2-form $\omega_{I}$ on $M^{\gamma}$ induces the closed 2-form $\omega_{I}^{\gamma}$ on $M^{\gamma} / G$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\omega_{I}^{\gamma}\right)_{p(x)}\left(X_{p(x)}, Y_{p(x)}\right)=\omega_{I}\left(X_{x}, Y_{x}\right), \quad X_{p(x)}, Y_{p(x)} \in T_{p(x)}\left(M^{\gamma} / G\right), \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{x}$ and $Y_{x}$ are any tangent vectors in $T_{x} M^{\gamma}$ which project to $X_{p(x)}$ and $Y_{p(x)}$ respectively.
Proof. First, we show that the definition (2.2) is independent of the choice of a point in the $G$-orbit $p(x)$. From (2.1), we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{I}\left(T_{x} M^{\gamma}, T_{x}(G x)\right)=0 . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $h \in G$, we take tangent vectors $X_{h x}^{\prime}$ and $Y_{h x}^{\prime}$ in $T_{h x} M^{\gamma}$ which project to $X_{p(x)}$ and $Y_{p(x)}$ respectively. Because of $p(h x)=p(x)$, it follows that both $(d h)_{x} X_{x}-X_{h x}^{\prime}$ and $(d h)_{x} Y_{x}-Y_{h x}^{\prime}$ belong to $T_{h x}(G x)$, where we identify the element $h \in G$ and the diffeomorphism $M^{\gamma} \rightarrow M^{\gamma}, x \mapsto h x$. By (2.3) and the $G$-invariance of $\omega_{I}$, we have $\omega_{I}\left(X_{h x}^{\prime}, Y_{h x}^{\prime}\right)=\omega_{I}\left(X_{x}, Y_{x}\right)$. So (2.2) is well-defined.

Next, we show that $\omega_{I}^{\gamma}$ is closed. By construction, we have $p^{*}\left(d \omega_{I}^{\gamma}\right)=$ $d\left(p^{*} \omega_{I}^{\gamma}\right)=d \omega_{I}$. Since $p$ is submersion and $\omega_{I}$ is closed, so is $\omega_{I}^{\gamma}$.

Because the manifold $\left(M^{\xi} / G, \omega_{I}^{\xi}\right)$ has codimension one in ( $M^{\gamma} / G, \omega_{I}^{\gamma}$ ) and the 2 -form $\omega_{I}^{\xi}$ is non-degenerate, the 2 -form $\omega_{I}^{\gamma}$ has 1-dimensional kernel.

We define the submersion

$$
\bar{\mu}: M^{\gamma} / G \rightarrow \gamma
$$

by

$$
\bar{\mu}(p(x))=\mu(x) .
$$

Lemma 2.5 The restriction of the differential of $\bar{\mu}$

$$
(d \bar{\mu})_{p(x)}: \operatorname{ker}\left(\omega_{I}^{\gamma}\right)_{p(x)} \rightarrow T_{\mu(x)} \gamma
$$

is a linear isomorphism.
Proof. Because $(d \bar{\mu})_{p(x)}$ is a linear map between 1-dimensional vector spaces, it is enough to show that $(d \bar{\mu})_{p(x)}$ is non-trivial. Let $X_{p(x)} \in$ $\operatorname{ker}\left(\omega_{I}^{\gamma}\right)_{p(x)}$ be a non-zero element. We take a tangent vector $X_{x} \in T_{x} M^{\gamma}$ which project to $X_{p(x)}$. If $X_{x}$ belongs to $T_{x} M^{\xi}$, it follows that $X_{x}=0$ from the non-degeneracy of $\omega_{I}$ on $T_{x} M^{\xi}$. This contradicts that $X_{p(x)}$ is non-zero. Hence $X_{x}$ does not belong to $T_{x} M^{\xi}$. This means that $(d \mu)_{x} X_{x} \neq 0$. Hence we conclude that $(d \bar{\mu})_{p(x)} X_{p(x)} \neq 0$.

We define the vector field $Z$ on $M^{\gamma} / G$ by the following conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
(d \bar{\mu})_{p(x)} Z_{p(x)} & =\dot{\gamma}(t) \\
Z_{p(x)} & \in \operatorname{ker}\left(\omega_{I}^{\gamma}\right)_{p(x)} \\
\mu(x) & =\gamma(t) \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 2.5, $Z$ is uniquely determined by these conditions. Because $\dot{\gamma}(t)$ is non-zero, $Z$ is a nowhere vanishing vector field.

We shall consider the submersion

$$
p: M^{\gamma} \rightarrow M^{\gamma} / G
$$

The vertical subspace $V_{x}$ of $T_{x} M^{\gamma}$ is defined by $T_{x}(G x)$. The horizontal subspace $H_{x}$ of $T_{x} M^{\gamma}$ is defined by the orthogonal complement of $T_{x}(G x)$ in $T_{x} M^{\gamma}$. The restriction of $(d p)_{x}$ to the horizontal subspace $H_{x}$ is a linear isomorphism between $H_{x}$ and $T_{p(x)}\left(M^{\gamma} / G\right)$. Therefore any tangent vector $X_{p(x)} \in T_{p(x)}\left(M^{\gamma} / G\right)$ has a unique horizontal lift $\widetilde{X}_{x} \in H_{x}$.

Lemma 2.6 The horizontal lift of $Z_{p(x)}$ is given by

$$
\widetilde{Z}_{x}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{J}^{i}(x) J \underline{Y}_{x}^{i}+a_{K}^{i}(x) K \underline{Y}_{x}^{i}
$$

where $a_{J}^{i}(x)$ and $a_{K}^{i}(x)$ are uniquely determined by the following equation

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
g_{11}(x) & \cdots & g_{1 n}(x) \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
g_{n 1}(x) & \cdots & g_{n n}(x)
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{J}^{1}(x) & a_{K}^{1}(x) \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
a_{J}^{n}(x) & a_{K}^{n}(x)
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left\langle\dot{\gamma}_{J}(t), Y^{1}\right\rangle & \left\langle\dot{\gamma}_{K}(t), Y^{1}\right\rangle  \tag{2.5}\\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\left\langle\dot{\gamma}_{J}(t), Y^{n}\right\rangle & \left\langle\dot{\gamma}_{K}(t), Y^{n}\right\rangle
\end{array}\right)
$$

Proof. From $(2.1),(2.5)$ and Lemma 2.2, it is easy to check that $(d p)_{x} \widetilde{Z}_{x} \in$ $\operatorname{ker}\left(\omega_{I}^{\gamma}\right)_{p(x)}, \widetilde{Z}_{x} \in H_{x}$ and $(d \bar{\mu})_{p(x)}(d p)_{x} \widetilde{Z}_{x}=(d \mu)_{x} \widetilde{Z}_{x}=\dot{\gamma}(t)$. Because the restriction of $(d \bar{\mu})_{p(x)}$ to $\operatorname{ker}\left(\omega_{I}^{\gamma}\right)_{p(x)}$ is a linear isomorphism, we conclude that $(d p)_{x} \widetilde{Z}_{x}=Z_{p(x)}$. Therefore $\widetilde{Z}_{x}$ is the horizontal lift of $Z_{p(x)}$.

Lemma 2.7 There exists some constant $K>0$ such that

$$
g\left(\widetilde{Z}_{x}, \widetilde{Z}_{x}\right) \leq K \nu(x) \quad \text { for all } x \in M^{\gamma}
$$

Proof. We put

$$
K_{1}=\max _{t \in[0,1]}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle\dot{\gamma}_{J}(t), Y^{i}\right\rangle^{2}+\left\langle\dot{\gamma}_{K}(t), Y^{i}\right\rangle^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

It follows from (2.5) that

$$
\left|a_{J}^{i}(x)\right|,\left|a_{K}^{i}(x)\right| \leq K_{1} \nu(x)
$$

By using Lemma 2.2 and (2.5), $g\left(\widetilde{Z}_{x}, \widetilde{Z}_{x}\right)$ is estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(\widetilde{Z}_{x}, \widetilde{Z}_{x}\right) & =\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{J}^{i}(x) a_{J}^{j}(x) g_{i j}(x)+a_{K}^{i}(x) a_{K}^{j}(x) g_{i j}(x) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{J}^{i}(x)\left\langle\dot{\gamma}_{J}(t), Y^{i}\right\rangle+a_{K}^{i}(x)\left\langle\dot{\gamma}_{K}(t), Y^{i}\right\rangle \\
& \leq 2 K_{1}^{2} \nu(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 2.8 If $G$ acts on $M^{\gamma}$ freely and $\nu: M^{\gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded function, then the vector field $Z$ is complete. Its integral curves induce the symplectic diffeomorphism

$$
\phi_{t}:\left(M^{\gamma(0)} / G, \omega_{I}^{\gamma(0)}\right) \rightarrow\left(M^{\gamma(t)} / G, \omega_{I}^{\gamma(t)}\right) \quad \text { for every } t \in[0,1]
$$

Proof. By the boundedness of $\nu$ and Lemma 2.7, there exists some con-
stant $K^{\prime}$ such that

$$
g\left(\widetilde{Z}_{x}, \widetilde{Z}_{x}\right) \leq K^{\prime} \quad \text { for all } x \in M^{\gamma}
$$

From this estimate and the completeness of $M$, it follows that $\widetilde{Z}$ is complete. By using its integral flows and the formulation (2.4), we can construct canonical identifications

$$
\phi_{t}: M^{\gamma(0)} / G \rightarrow M^{\gamma(t)} / G
$$

From (2.4) and the closedness of $\omega_{I}^{\gamma}$ from Lemma 2.4, we have

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\iota_{t} \circ \phi_{t}\right)^{*} \omega_{I}^{\gamma}=\left(\iota_{t} \circ \phi_{t}\right)^{*} \mathcal{L}_{Z} \omega_{I}^{\gamma}=\left(\iota_{t} \circ \phi_{t}\right)^{*}\left(d i_{Z} \omega_{I}^{\gamma}+i_{Z} d \omega_{I}^{\gamma}\right)=0
$$

where $\iota_{t}$ denotes the inclusion map $M^{\gamma(t)} / G \rightarrow M^{\gamma} / G$. Therefore we conclude that $\phi_{t}^{*} \omega_{I}^{\gamma(t)}=\omega_{I}^{\gamma(0)}$.

## 3. Toric hyperKähler linear actions

In this section, we consider toric hyperKähler linear actions on quarternionic vector spaces.

Let $\mathbb{H}=\{a+b I+c J+d K: a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be the quarternion algebra and $\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{H}$ the purely quarternions in $\mathbb{H}$. The right $\mathbb{H}$-linear vector space

$$
\mathbb{H}^{N}=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right): x_{j} \in \mathbb{H}\right\}
$$

has the Euclidean metric $g$ of $\mathbb{R}^{4 N}$ and the three complex structures $I, J$, $K$. These define the hyperKähler structure on $\mathbb{H}^{N}$. We denote by $\omega_{I}, \omega_{J}$, $\omega_{K}$ the associated Kähler forms.

The real torus

$$
T^{N}=\left\{z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{N}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{N}:\left|z_{j}\right|=1\right\}
$$

acts on $\mathbb{H}^{N}$ by

$$
z \cdot x=\left(z_{1} x_{1}, \ldots, z_{N} x_{N}\right)
$$

This action preserves the hyperKähler structure on $\mathbb{H}^{N}$. We denote by $\mathfrak{t}^{N}$ the Lie algebra of $T^{N}$. Let $X^{1}, \ldots, X^{N}$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{t}^{N}$ satisfying

$$
\exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} t_{j} X^{j}\right)=\left(e^{2 \pi \sqrt{-1} t_{1}}, \ldots, e^{2 \pi \sqrt{-1} t_{N}}\right)
$$

where exp: $\mathfrak{t}^{N} \rightarrow T^{N}$ is the exponential map. We denote by $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{N}$ the kernel of exp. Let $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{N}$ be the dual basis of $X^{1}, \ldots, X^{N}$. We identify $\left(\mathfrak{t}^{N}\right)^{*}$ with $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ by using this basis. The moment map

$$
\mu_{0}: \mathbb{H}^{N} \rightarrow\left(\mathfrak{t}^{N}\right)^{*} \otimes \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{H}
$$

is given by

$$
\mu_{0}(x)=\pi\left(\overline{x_{1}} I x_{1}, \ldots, \overline{x_{N}} I x_{N}\right),
$$

where $\bar{x}$ denotes the quarternionic conjugate of $x$.
Let $G$ be an $n$-dimensional subtorus of $T^{N}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ its Lie algebra. We define the lattice $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}=\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{N}$. The basis $Y^{1}, \ldots, Y^{n}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is represented by

$$
Y^{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{i j} X^{j}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n,
$$

where the matrix

$$
A=\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{N}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1 N} \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
a_{n 1} & \cdots & a_{n N}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is a rational matrix of maximal rank. We identify $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$ with $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ by using the dual basis of $Y^{1}, \ldots, Y^{n}$. We denote by $\iota^{*}$ the dual of the inclusion map $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^{N}$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota^{*} u_{j}={ }^{t} \mathbf{a}_{j}, \quad j=1, \ldots, N . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The group $G$ also acts on $\mathbb{H}^{N}$ preserving its hyperKähler structure. The moment map

$$
\mu: \mathbb{H}^{N} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{*} \otimes \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{H}
$$

is given by

$$
\mu(x)=\left(\iota^{*} \circ \mu_{0}\right)(x)=\pi\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{1 j} \overline{x_{j}} I x_{j}, \ldots, \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{n j} \overline{x_{j}} I x_{j}\right) .
$$

It is easy to see that $\mu$ is surjective. We put $M=\mathbb{H}^{N}$ and use the same notations $M^{\xi}$ and $M^{\gamma}$ in the preceding sections.

The main theorem in this section is the following:

Theorem 3.1 Suppose a subtorus $G$ of $T^{N}$ satisfies the condition (ii) of Proposition 3.2 below. For an arbitrary $\xi=\left(\xi_{I}, \xi_{J}, \xi_{K}\right) \in \mathfrak{g}^{*} \times \mathfrak{g}^{*} \times$ $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$ such that $\xi_{I}$ is a regular value of $\mu_{I}$, the toric hyperKähler quotient $M^{\xi} / G$ is smooth and the symplectic diffeomorphism class of $\left(M^{\xi} / G, \omega_{I}^{\xi}\right)$ is independent of $\xi_{J}$ and $\xi_{K}$.

This theorem follows from Theorem 2.1 and Propositions 3.2 and 3.5 below.

Proposition 3.2 (Konno) Let $\xi$ be a regular value of $\mu$. Then following (i) and (ii) are equivalent:
(i) The action of $G$ on $M^{\xi}$ is free.
(ii) For every $\mathcal{J} \subset\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$ such that $\left\{\iota^{*} u_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}}$ forms a basis of $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$,

$$
\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{N}=\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}} \oplus \bigoplus_{j \in \mathcal{J}^{c}} \mathbb{Z} X^{j}
$$

holds as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module, where $\mathcal{J}^{c}$ denotes $\{1, \ldots, N\}-\mathcal{J}$.
Proposition 3.3 (Konno) Fix an element $\xi=\left(\xi_{I}, \xi_{J}, \xi_{K}\right) \in \mathfrak{g}^{*} \times \mathfrak{g}^{*} \times \mathfrak{g}^{*}$. Then the following (i) and (ii) are equivalent:
(i) $\xi$ is a regular value of $\mu$.
(ii) For any $\mathcal{J} \subset\{1, \ldots, N\}$, whose number of elements is less than $n, \xi_{I}$, $\xi_{J}, \xi_{K}$ are not simultaneously contained in the linear subspace of $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$ spanned by $\left\{\iota^{*} u_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}}$.

For the proofs of these propositions, we refer to [5].
The fundamental vector field $\underline{Y}^{i}$ associated to $Y^{i} \in \mathfrak{g}$ is

$$
\underline{Y}_{x}^{i}=\left(2 \pi a_{i 1} I x_{1}, \ldots, 2 \pi a_{i N} I x_{N}\right) \in \mathbb{H}^{N}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n .
$$

So we have

$$
g_{i j}(x)=g\left(\underline{Y}_{x}^{i}, \underline{Y}_{x}^{j}\right)=4 \pi^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_{i k} a_{j k}\left|x_{k}\right|^{2} .
$$

We denote by $\widetilde{g_{i j}}(x)$ the cofactor of $g(x)$ associated to $g_{i j}(x)$. For further discussions, we shall calculate $\operatorname{det} g(x)$ and $\widetilde{g_{i j}}(x)$ explicitly.

Lemma 3.4 The determinant and the cofactor of $g(x)$ are calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} g(x)=\left(4 \pi^{2}\right)^{n} \sum_{1 \leq l_{1}<\cdots<l_{n} \leq N}\left(\operatorname{det}\left(\mathbf{a}_{l_{1}} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{l_{n}}\right)\right)^{2}\left|x_{l_{1}}\right|^{2} \cdots\left|x_{l_{n}}\right|^{2} . \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{g_{i j}}(x)=\left(4 \pi^{2}\right)^{n-1} \sum_{1 \leq l_{1}<\cdots<l_{n-1} \leq N} L_{i j}^{l_{1} \cdots l_{n-1}}\left|x_{l_{1}}\right|^{2} \cdots\left|x_{l_{n-1}}\right|^{2}, \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $L_{i j}^{l_{1} \cdots l_{n-1}}$ vanishes if $\mathbf{a}_{l_{1}}, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{l_{n-1}}$ are linearly dependent.
Proof. (i) is followed by a direct computation. So we prove (ii). We denote by $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ the symmetric group of order $n$. The matrix $g(x)$ can be written as

$$
g(x)=4 \pi^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} A^{k}\left|x_{k}\right|^{2},
$$

where $A^{k}=\mathbf{a}_{k}{ }^{t} \mathbf{a}_{k}$ is $n \times n$-matrix. We denote by $A_{i j}^{k}$ the minor matrix obtained by deleting both the $i$-th row and the $j$-th column from $A^{k}$. By the definition of the cofactor $\widetilde{g_{i j}}(x)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{g_{i j}}(x)=(-1)^{i+j}\left(4 \pi^{2}\right)^{n-1} \operatorname{det}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} A_{i j}^{k}\left|x_{k}\right|^{2}\right) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We calculate the constant $L_{i j}^{l_{1} \cdots l_{n-1}}$ in (ii). For $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-1}$, we denote by $A_{i j}^{\sigma, l_{1} \cdots l_{n-1}}$ the matrix whose $k$-th column consists of the $\sigma(k)$-th column of $A_{i j}^{l_{k}}$. From (3.2), we have

$$
L_{i j}^{l_{1} \cdots l_{n-1}}=(-1)^{i+j} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-1}} \operatorname{det}\left(A_{i j}^{\sigma, l_{1} \cdots l_{n-1}}\right) .
$$

Note that every column of $A_{i j}^{\sigma, l_{1} \cdots l_{n-1}}$ is a constant multiple of

$$
{ }^{t}\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
a_{1 l_{k}} & \cdots & a_{i-1 l_{k}} & a_{i+1 l_{k}} & \cdots & a_{n l_{k}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

for some $k=1,2, \ldots, n-1$. Therefore if $\mathbf{a}_{l_{1}}, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{l_{n-1}}$ are linearly depen$\operatorname{dent}, \operatorname{det} A_{i j}^{\sigma, l_{1} \cdots l_{n-1}}$ vanishes. In particular, if at least two of $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n-1}$ are equal, we have $L_{i j}^{l_{1} \cdots l_{n-1}}=0$.
Proposition 3.5 Let $\xi_{I} \in \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ be a regular value of $\mu_{I}$. For every embedded path

$$
\gamma=\left(\gamma_{I}, \gamma_{J}, \gamma_{K}\right):[0,1] \rightarrow\left\{\xi_{I}\right\} \times \mathfrak{g}^{*} \times \mathfrak{g}^{*},
$$

the function $\nu: M^{\gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded.
Proof. Because of Lemma 3.4 (ii), for an arbitrary path

$$
p=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{N}\right):[0,1) \rightarrow M^{\gamma}
$$

it is enough to show the boundedness for

$$
H(x)=\frac{\left(4 \pi^{2}\right)^{n-1} L_{i j}^{l_{1} \cdots l_{n-1}}\left|x_{l_{1}}\right|^{2} \cdots\left|x_{l_{n-1}}\right|^{2}}{\operatorname{det} g(x)}
$$

along $p$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $l_{k}=k$ for $k=$ $1,2, \ldots, n-1$. We define

$$
q_{k}(s)=\frac{p_{k}(s)}{|p(s)|}, \quad r(s)=|p(s)| \quad \text { for all } s \in[0,1)
$$

Since $M^{\gamma}$ do not contain the origin $0 \in \mathbb{H}^{N}, q_{k}(s)$ is well-defined. We consider the limit of

$$
H(p(s))=\frac{\left(4 \pi^{2}\right)^{n-1} L_{i j}^{1 \cdots n-1}\left|q_{1}(s)\right|^{2} \cdots\left|q_{n-1}(s)\right|^{2}}{r(s)^{2} \operatorname{det} g(q(s))}
$$

as $s \rightarrow 1$. If $\mathbf{a}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{n-1}$ are linearly dependent, by Lemma 3.4 (ii), we have $L_{i j}^{1 \cdots n-1}=0$. So we may assume that $\mathbf{a}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{n-1}$ are linearly independent and $L_{i j}^{1 \cdots n-1}=1$. The equation of $M^{\gamma}$ is given by

$$
\pi r(s)^{2}\left(\mathbf{a}_{1} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{N}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\overline{q_{1}(s)} I q_{1}(s) \\
\vdots \\
\overline{q_{N}(s)} I q_{N}(s)
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\gamma^{1}(t) \\
\vdots \\
\gamma^{n}(t)
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\gamma$ is considered as

$$
\gamma=\left(\gamma^{1}, \ldots, \gamma^{n}\right):[0,1] \rightarrow \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{H} \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{H}
$$

By taking some regular $n \times n$-matrix $P=\left(p_{i j}\right)$, we obtain that

$$
P\left(\mathbf{a}_{1} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{n-1} \mathbf{a}_{n} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{N}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
a_{11}^{\prime} & \cdots & a_{1 n}^{\prime} & a_{1 n+1}^{\prime} & \cdots & a_{1 N}^{\prime}  \tag{3.3}\\
& \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
0 & & a_{n n}^{\prime} & a_{n n+1}^{\prime} & \cdots & a_{n N}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where at least one of the entries $a_{n n}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{n N}^{\prime}$ is non-zero because $A$ has the
maximal rank. Therefore we have an equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \pi r(s)^{2}\left(a_{n n}^{\prime} \overline{q_{n}(s)} I q_{n}(s)+\cdots+a_{n N}^{\prime} \overline{q_{N}(s)} I q_{N}(s)\right) \\
&=p_{n 1} \gamma^{1}(t)+\cdots+p_{n n} \gamma^{n}(t) \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

We define

$$
\delta=\min _{t \in[0,1]}\left|p_{n 1} \gamma^{1}(t)+\cdots+p_{n n} \gamma^{n}(t)\right| .
$$

Suppose that $\delta>0$. From (3.4), we obtain an estimate

$$
\frac{1}{r(s)^{2}} \leq \frac{\pi}{\delta}\left(\left|a_{n n}^{\prime} \| q_{n}(s)\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|a_{n N}^{\prime}\right|\left|q_{N}(s)\right|^{2}\right)
$$

From this and Lemma 3.4 (i), it follows that $H(p(s))$ is less than or equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|q_{1}(s)\right|^{2} \cdots\left|q_{n-1}(s)\right|^{2}\left(\left|a_{n n}^{\prime} \| q_{n}(s)\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|a_{n N}^{\prime}\right|\left|q_{N}(s)\right|^{2}\right)}{4 \pi \delta \sum_{1 \leq l_{1}<\cdots<l_{n} \leq N}\left(\operatorname{det}\left(\mathbf{a}_{l_{1}} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{l_{n}}\right)\right)^{2}\left|q_{l_{1}}(s)\right|^{2} \cdots\left|q_{l_{n}}(s)\right|^{2}} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(P\left(\mathbf{a}_{1} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{n-1} \mathbf{a}_{k}\right)\right)=a_{11}^{\prime} \cdots a_{n-1 n-1}^{\prime} a_{n k}^{\prime}, \quad k=n, \ldots, N .
$$

Because $\mathbf{a}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{n-1}$ are linearly independent, the entries $a_{11}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{n-1 n-1}^{\prime}$ are all non-zero. Therefore $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathbf{a}_{1} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{n-1} \mathbf{a}_{k}\right) \neq 0$ if and only if $a_{n k}^{\prime} \neq 0$. In other words, the numerator of (3.5) has the non-trivial term

$$
\left|a_{n k}^{\prime}\right|\left|q_{1}(s)\right|^{2} \cdots\left|q_{n-1}(s)\right|^{2}\left|q_{k}(s)\right|^{2}
$$

if and only if the denominator of (3.5) has the non-trivial term

$$
\left(\operatorname{det}\left(\mathbf{a}_{1} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{n-1} \mathbf{a}_{k}\right)\right)^{2}\left|q_{1}(s)\right|^{2} \cdots\left|q_{n-1}(s)\right|^{2}\left|q_{k}(s)\right|^{2}
$$

This means that the numerator of (3.5) can be dominated by the denominator of (3.5). Since each summand of the denominator of (3.5) is always positive, we obtain that

$$
H(p(s)) \leq \frac{C}{4 \pi \delta} \quad \text { for all } s \in[0,1)
$$

where $C$ is some constant.
Finally, we prove that $\delta>0$. Suppose that $\delta=0$. Then there exists
some $t_{0} \in[0,1]$ and the following three equations hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{n 1} \gamma_{I}^{1}\left(t_{0}\right)+\cdots+p_{n n} \gamma_{I}^{n}\left(t_{0}\right) & =0 \\
p_{n 1} \gamma_{J}^{1}\left(t_{0}\right)+\cdots+p_{n n} \gamma_{J}^{n}\left(t_{0}\right) & =0 \\
p_{n 1} \gamma_{K}^{1}\left(t_{0}\right)+\cdots+p_{n n} \gamma_{K}^{n}\left(t_{0}\right) & =0 \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\gamma_{I}\left(t_{0}\right)=\left(\gamma_{I}^{1}\left(t_{0}\right), \ldots, \gamma_{I}^{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right) \in \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ and $\gamma_{J}^{i}\left(t_{0}\right), \gamma_{K}^{i}\left(t_{0}\right)$ are defined in a similar fashion. Because $A$ has the maximal rank, there exists some $k=n, \ldots, N$ such that $\left\{\iota^{*} u_{j}\right\}_{j=1, \ldots, n-1, k}$ forms a basis of $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$. Then $\gamma_{I}\left(t_{0}\right)$ can be written as

$$
\gamma_{I}\left(t_{0}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_{j} \iota^{*} u_{j}+c \iota^{*} u_{k}
$$

for some constants $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-1}$ and $c$. From (3.1), (3.3) and (3.6), we have

$$
0=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{n i} \gamma_{I}^{i}\left(t_{0}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} p_{n i}\left(c_{j} a_{i j}+c a_{i k}\right)=c a_{n k}^{\prime}
$$

Since $a_{n k}^{\prime} \neq 0$, we have $c=0$. Hence we obtain that

$$
\gamma_{I}\left(t_{0}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_{j} \iota^{*} u_{j}
$$

In the same way, we obtain that both $\gamma_{J}\left(t_{0}\right)$ and $\gamma_{K}\left(t_{0}\right)$ can be represented by linear combinations of $\iota^{*} u_{1}, \ldots, \iota^{*} u_{n-1}$. Therefore, from Proposition 3.3, $\gamma\left(t_{0}\right)$ is a critical value of $\mu$. This is a contradiction. So at least one of the equations (3.6) does not hold. Hence we have $\delta>0$.
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