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#### Abstract

The geometric McKay correspondence establishes a bijection between the set of nontrivial irreducible representations of a finite subgroup $\Gamma$ of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and the set of irreducible components of the exceptional divisor in a minimal resolution of the Klein singularity $\mathbb{C}^{2} / \Gamma$. Recently, Y. Ito and I. NaKamura found a new interpretation of this fact via a certain Hilbert scheme by which one can construct the minimal resolution in terms of invariant theory of the group $\Gamma$. In the case of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ containing no reflections there exists a generalized McKay correspondence if we only consider so-called special representations. Also the Hilbert scheme picture remains true as was conjectured by the author and proven by A. IsHiI. In this paper, we demonstrate that the conjecture is - in the case of cyclic quotient singularities - an immediate consequence of an explicit description of the Hilbert scheme given by Rie Kidoh in this journal, and add a proof for some characterizations of special representations and special reflexive sheaves.
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## Introduction

The theory of Klein singularities establishes a 1-1-correspondence between the conjugacy classes of finite subgroups of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ (also called binary polyhedral groups by abuse of language) and the Coxeter-Dynkin-Witt diagrams (or Dynkin-diagrams, as they are usually called) of type ADE via the following scheme:
\{finite subgroups $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})\} /$ conjugacy $\quad\{\mathrm{CDW}$-diagrams of type ADE $\}$
$\downarrow$
$\left\{\right.$ Klein singularities $\left.X_{\Gamma}=\mathbb{C}^{2} / \Gamma\right\} / \sim \longleftrightarrow$ minimal resolutions $\left.\widetilde{X}_{\Gamma}\right\} / \sim$
Here, the symbol $\sim$ in the last row denotes complex-analytic equivalence. The arrow in the second column is given in the upward direction by asso-

[^0]ciating to a minimal resolution $\widetilde{X}_{\Gamma}$ of $X_{\Gamma}$ the dual graph of its exceptional set $E \subset \widetilde{X}_{\Gamma}$.

In 1979, McKAY [16] constructed directly via representation theory the resulting bijection in the first row of this diagram (see Section 1). In particular, according to this so called McKAY correspondence, each (nontrivial) irreducible complex representation of $\Gamma$ corresponds uniquely to an irreducible component of the exceptional set $E$.

Of course, geometers wanted to understand this phenomenon geometrically, and the first who succeeded in this attempt were GonzalesSprinberg and Verdier [8] in 1983. They associated to each nontrivial irreducible representation of $\Gamma$ a vector bundle $\mathcal{F}$ on $\widetilde{X}_{\Gamma}$ whose first Chern class $c_{1}(\mathcal{F})$ hits precisely one component of $E$ transversally. Their proof was not completely satisfying since they had to check the details case by case. But in 1985, Artin and Verdier [1] gave a conceptual proof using only standard facts on rational singularities, and in combination with the so called multiplication formula contained in the paper [6] of HÉlène Esnault and KNÖRrer from the same year it became clear how to understand the full strength of the correspondence, i.e. how to reconstruct the dual graph of $E \subset \widetilde{X}_{\Gamma}$ from the representations of $\Gamma$, completely in geometrical terms.

Research then followed different directions. One trend (from 1992 on) proposed to treat the higher dimensional case $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ under the slogan: if $X_{\Gamma}$ has a crepant resolution $\widetilde{X}_{\Gamma}$, i.e. if the canonical sheaf of $\widetilde{X}_{\Gamma}$ is trivial, then there should be a bijection
$\{$ nontrivial irreducible representations of $\Gamma\} \longleftrightarrow$ basis of $H^{*}\left(\widetilde{X}_{\Gamma}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$
which - in case $n=2$ - is just a rephrasing of the result for finite subgroups in $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. (Cf. the notes [18] of Reid).

Another path started earlier: to understand the more general 2dimensional case of finite subgroups $\Gamma \subset G L(2, \mathbb{C})$. Here, one has to name Esnault with the paper [5] in 1985, a doctoral thesis of Wunram written under my supervision in 1986 (published 1988 in Mathematische Annalen [23]) and some unpublished notes of myself [19] from 1987.

In June 1997 I learned from a lecture given by Yukari Ito at RIMS in Kyoto that she and I. Nakamura constructed in joint work the minimal resolution $\widetilde{X}_{\Gamma}$ in the case of finite subgroups of the special linear group $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ by invariant theory of $\Gamma$ acting on a certain Hilbert scheme. They were able, again by checking case by case, to produce the correct represen-
tations from the irreducible components of $E \subset \widetilde{X}_{\Gamma}$ (and even more). You may find this in the beautiful article [12] (see also [11] and Section 2 of the present notes). In 1999 Crawley-Boevey released a note (cf. [4]) in which he proved the result of Ito-Nakamura without case by case checking; he uses the theory of preprojective algebras associated to McKay quivers. However, these methods are not available for general quotients.

A year later, Nakamura lectured in 1998 on this topic in Hamburg; I soon became aware of how one should generalize the statement to (small) subgroups of the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and developed some vague ideas how to prove this without too many calculations. This conjecture could be checked in the case of cyclic quotients by a simple computation which depended on the concrete results in the doctoral thesis of Rie Kidor, written under the supervision of Nakamura. I sent her my results and asked her for inserting them into the version she was preparing for publication in this journal [14]. However, she decided not to follow my suggestion.

I gave some lectures on this topic in Japan during September 1999 and was very happy to learn from Akira Ishir in August 2000 that he succeeded in proving the conjecture via rephrasing the multiplication formula of WUNRAM in terms of a functor between certain derived categories (cf. [9] and Section 5).

It is the purpose of these notes to give a more detailed introduction to these results and to present the very easy proof in the case of cyclic quotients as an appendix to [14]. Besides the general proof of A. Ishii [9] which uses much heavier machinery there exists now another independend proof in the cyclic case via toric geometry by Y. Ito [10]; she doesn't use Kidoh's explicit construction but a characterization of special representations (see Theorem 5) whose (easy) proof, however, was never published in a journal. I therefore include it here in Section 6 as a benefit to the reader.

I would like to add the remark that it has been and still is one of my wishes to describe the deformation theory of $X_{\Gamma}$ by using only the representation theory of $\Gamma$. This is possible for the infinitesimal deformations according to a result of Pinkham [17] which was used by Behnke, Kahn and myself [2] for calculating the vector space $T^{1}$ of first order deformations yielding a nice dimension formula, but no clear image how to construct the versal deformation as - to some extent - has been achieved by KronHEIMER [15] in the case of binary polyhedral groups. At least in the cyclic case, I found a rather strange way to produce the deformation space over
the Artin component combining a quiver construction and some invariant theoretic ideas [20].

## 1. McKay's observation

Let me first recall the construction of the McKAY quiver associated to a finite small subgroup $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. Let $\operatorname{Irr} \Gamma:=\left\{\rho_{0}, \rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{r}\right\}$ denote the set of irreducible complex representations of $\Gamma, \rho_{0}$ the trivial one, and $c$ the natural representation on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ given by the inclusion $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. Then,

$$
\rho_{i} \otimes c^{*}=\sum_{j} a_{i j} \rho_{j}
$$

where $c^{*}$ denotes the dual representation of $c$. The McKAY quiver is formed in the following way: Associate to each representation a vertex and join the ith vertex with the jth vertex by $a_{i j}$ arrows.

For the binary tetrahedral group $\mathbb{T}$, that is the preimage of the symmetry group $T \subset \mathrm{SO}(3, \mathbb{R})$ of a regular tetrahedron under the canonical group epimorphism

$$
(0 \longrightarrow\{ \pm 1\} \longrightarrow) \mathrm{SU}(2, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(3, \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow 1
$$

the resulting quiver looks as on the left side of the following diagram where a subgraph $\longrightarrow$ stands for a double arrow, i.e. two arrows in opposite direction. Replacing such subgraphs by a simple line $\bullet \bullet$, forgetting $\rho_{0}$ and inserting the ranks of the corresponding representations, yields the other diagram on the right side which, in fact, is not only the CDW diagram of type $E_{6}$ but also represents the fundamental cycle $Z$ of the singularity $\mathbb{C}^{2} / \mathbb{T}$.


MCKAY's observation may be formulated in the following way:

For every finite subgroup $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, one has $a_{j i}=a_{i j} \in\{0,1\}$. Replacing each double arrow by a line, one finds exactly the (extended) CDW diagrams of correct type.

## 2. The Ito-Nakamura construction

Let $\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$ be the Hilbert scheme of all 0-dimensional subschemes on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ of colength $n$. It is well known that the canonical Hilbert-Chowmorphism

$$
\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)=\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{n} / \mathfrak{S}_{n}
$$

is a resolution of singularities (FOGARTY), and $\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$ carries a holomorphic symplectic structure (BEAUVILLE). Let $\Gamma \subset G L(2, \mathbb{C})$ be a finite small subgroup of order $n=\operatorname{ord} \Gamma$, and take the invariant part of the natural action of $\Gamma$ on $\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$. The resulting space $\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{\Gamma}$ is smooth and maps under the canonical mapping

$$
\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{\Gamma} \longmapsto \operatorname{Sym}^{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{\Gamma} \cong \mathbb{C}^{2} / \Gamma
$$

to $X_{\Gamma}$. It may a priori have several components, but there is exactly one which maps onto $X_{\Gamma}$ and thus constitutes a resolution of $X_{\Gamma}$ which will be denoted by

$$
\tilde{X}_{\Gamma}=\operatorname{Hilb}^{\Gamma}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right) .
$$

In fact, $\operatorname{Hilb}^{\Gamma}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$ is equal to the open subset of so-called $\Gamma$-invariant $n$ clusters in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, and the resolution is minimal (cf. [9]). The last fact has been known before in the case $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ by Ito-Nakamura and for cyclic subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ by KIDOH ; it has been conjectured for general finite small subgroups $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ by Ginzburg-Kapranov [7].

Hence, a point on the exceptional set $E$ of $\widetilde{X}_{\Gamma}$ may be regarded as a $\Gamma$-invariant ideal $I \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}$ with support in 0 . Now, let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0}, \mathfrak{m}_{X}$ that of $\mathcal{O}_{X, 0}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0}^{\Gamma}$ and $\mathfrak{n}=\mathfrak{m}_{X} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0}$. Put

$$
V(I):=I /(\mathfrak{m} I+\mathfrak{n}) .
$$

This is a (finite-dimensional) $\Gamma$-module. For a (nontrivial) irreducible representation $\rho \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0} \Gamma:=\operatorname{Irr} \Gamma \backslash\left\{\rho_{0}\right\}$ with representation space $V_{\rho}$ put

$$
E_{\rho}=\left\{I: V(I) \text { contains } V_{\rho}\right\} .
$$

In the case of KLEIN singularities, i.e. for finite subgroups $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, one has the following beautiful result of Ito and Nakamura which opened up a new way to understand the MCKAY correspondence completely in terms of the binary polyhedral group $\Gamma$.

Theorem 1 (Ito-Nakamura [11]) For $\rho \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0} \Gamma, E_{\rho} \cong \mathbb{P}_{1}$. Moreover, $E_{\rho} \cap E_{\rho^{\prime}}$ is empty or consists of exactly one point for $\rho \neq \rho^{\prime}$, and

$$
E=\bigcup_{\rho \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0} \Gamma} E_{\rho} .
$$

More precisely, $V(I)=V_{\rho}$ for the ideals $I \in E_{\rho}$ corresponding to smooth points of $E$, and

$$
E_{\rho} \cap E_{\rho^{\prime}} \ni I \Longleftrightarrow V(I)=V_{\rho} \oplus V_{\rho^{\prime}} .
$$

It is natural to ask how this result can be generalized to finite small subgroups $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. The purpose of these notes is to make the following theorem plausible, in particular by proving it for cyclic quotients.

Theorem 2 (A. Ishii [9]) The Ito-Nakamura construction yields the same result as above also for finite small subgroups $\Gamma \subset G L(2, \mathbb{C})$ if the set $\operatorname{Irr}^{0} \Gamma$ of all nontrivial irreducible representations is replaced by the subset of socalled special ones.

Remark For the definition of special representations, see Section 4. Theorem 2 has been conjectured by the author in [21]. Some ingredients of the proof by Akira Ishii will be reviewed in Section 5 .

## 3. Kidoh's calculation in the cyclic case

We are going to investigate in this section the case of cyclic groups $\Gamma$. This is merely a simple observation and follows easily by a calculation using Kidoh's determination of the invariant ideals in this case in terms of continued fraction expansions.

Recall that cyclic quotient surface singularities of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ are determined by two natural numbers $n, q$ with $1 \leq q<n$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(n, q)=1$. The cyclic group $C_{n, q}$ acting is generated by the linear map with matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\zeta_{n} & 0 \\
0 & \zeta_{n}^{q}
\end{array}\right), \quad \zeta_{n}=\exp (2 \pi i / n) \text { an } n^{\text {th }} \text { primitive root of unity }
$$

that operates on the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[u, v]$ by $(u, v) \longmapsto\left(\zeta_{n} u, \zeta_{n}^{q} v\right)$. A monomial $u^{\alpha} v^{\beta}$ is invariant under this action if and only if

$$
\alpha+q \beta \equiv 0 \bmod n,
$$

e.g. for $(\alpha, \beta)=(n, 0),(n-q, 1),(0, n)$. The Hirzebruch-Jung continued fraction

$$
\frac{n}{n-q}=a_{1}-\frac{1}{a_{2}-1 / \cdots}=a_{1}-1 \sqrt{a_{2}}-\cdots-1 \sqrt{a_{m}}, \quad a_{\mu} \geq 2
$$

gives a strictly decreasing sequence

$$
\alpha_{0}=n>\alpha_{1}=n-q>\alpha_{2}=a_{1} \alpha_{1}-\alpha_{0}>\cdots
$$

stopping with $\alpha_{m+1}=0$, and a strictly increasing sequence

$$
\beta_{0}=0<\beta_{1}=1<\beta_{2}=a_{1} \beta_{1}-\beta_{0}<\cdots<\beta_{m+1}=n .
$$

It is well known that the monomials

$$
u^{\alpha_{\mu}} v^{\beta_{\mu}}, \quad \mu=0, \ldots, m+1
$$

generate the invariant algebra

$$
A_{n, q}:=\mathbb{C}\langle u, v\rangle^{C_{n, q}}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{2} / C_{n, q}, 0}
$$

minimally. In particular, embdim $A_{n, q}=m+2$, hence, mult $A_{n, q}=m+1$. The numbers $a_{\mu}$ are exponents in canonical equations for $A_{n, q}$. On the other hand, the continued fraction expansion

$$
\frac{n}{q}=b_{1}-1 \sqrt{b_{2}}-\cdots-1 \sqrt{b_{r}}, \quad b_{k} \geq 2
$$

gives invariants for the minimal resolution of $\mathbb{C}^{2} / C_{n, q}$ whose exceptional divisor consists of a string of rational curves with selfintersection numbers $-b_{k}$.

Define correspondingly the decreasing sequence

$$
i_{0}=n>i_{1}=q>i_{2}=b_{1} i_{1}-i_{0}>\cdots>i_{r}=1>i_{r+1}=0
$$

and

$$
j_{0}=0<j_{1}=1<j_{2}=b_{1} j_{1}-j_{0}<\cdots<j_{r+1}=n .
$$

Theorem 3 (R. Kidoh [14]) Let $(n, q)$ be given. Then, Hilb ${ }^{C_{n, q}}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$ consists of the following $C_{n, q}$-invariant ideals of colength $n=\operatorname{ord} C_{n, q}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{k}\left(s_{k}, t_{k}\right)=\left(u^{i_{k-1}}-s_{k} v^{j_{k-1}}, v^{j_{k}}-t_{k} u^{i_{k}}, u^{i_{k-1}-i_{k}} v^{j_{k}-j_{k-1}}-s_{k} t_{k}\right) \\
1 \leq k \leq r+1, \quad\left(s_{k}, t_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Remarks 1. These are in fact $C_{n, q}$-invariant ideals, since $i_{k} \equiv q j_{k} \bmod$ $n$ and the functions $u^{i_{k-1}-i_{k}} v^{j_{k}-j_{k-1}}$ are invariant.
2. The $(r+1)$ copies of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ patch together to form the minimal resolution of $\mathbb{C}^{2} / C_{n, q}$, i.e. $I_{k}\left(s_{k}, t_{k}\right)=I_{k+1}\left(s_{k+1}, t_{k+1}\right) \Longleftrightarrow s_{k+1} t_{k}=1$ and $t_{k+1}=t_{k}^{b_{k}} s_{k}$.
3. The exceptional divisor $E$ equals

$$
I_{1}\left(0, t_{1}\right) \cup \bigcup_{k=2}^{r}\left\{I_{k}\left(s_{k}, t_{k}\right): s_{k} t_{k}=0\right\} \cup I_{r+1}\left(s_{r+1}, 0\right) .
$$

4. It is not difficult to deduce Kidoh's result by induction using the well known partial resolution of cyclic quotient singularities constructed by Fujiki.

What about the representations of $C_{n, q}$ on the $V\left(I_{k}\right)$ ? For $I_{1}\left(0, t_{1}\right)$ the first generator $u^{i_{0}}=u^{n}$ is an invariant. The third is such in all cases anyway. So, $C_{n, q}$ acts on $V\left(I_{1}\left(0, t_{1}\right)\right) \cong \mathbb{C}$ as the character $\chi_{i_{1}}$ where

$$
\chi_{i}: z \longmapsto \zeta_{n}^{i} z
$$

(recall that $q j_{k} \equiv i_{k} \bmod n$ ). This remains automatically true for $I_{2}\left(s_{2}, t_{2}\right)$ with $t_{2}=0, s_{2} \neq 0$. The first normal crossing point of the exceptional set is the ideal $I_{2}(0,0)$ which is generated by $u^{i_{1}}, v^{j_{2}}$ and an invariant. Therefore, the corresponding representation is the sum

$$
\chi_{i_{1}} \oplus \chi_{q j_{2}}=\chi_{i_{1}} \oplus \chi_{i_{2}} .
$$

The ideal $I_{2}\left(0, t_{2}\right), t_{2} \neq 0$, is generated by $u^{i_{1}}, v^{j_{2}}-t_{2} u^{i_{2}}$ and the invariant $u^{i_{1}-i_{2}} v^{j_{2}-j_{1}}$. Now,

$$
t_{2} u^{i_{1}}=v^{j_{1}}\left(u^{i_{1}-i_{2}} v^{j_{2}-j_{1}}\right)-u^{i_{1}-i_{2}}\left(v^{j_{2}}-t_{2} u^{i_{2}}\right) \in \mathfrak{m} I_{2}\left(0, t_{2}\right) .
$$

Therefore, the representation is just the one-dimensional

$$
\chi_{i_{2}}=\chi_{q j_{2}} .
$$

It should be clear how this game goes on: We get precisely the $r$ representations $\chi_{i_{k}}, k=1, \ldots, r$, resp. the correct sum of two of them at the intersection points.

What is so special about these representations under all representations $\chi_{i}$ ? The answer is known to me since a long time, although it is still rather mysterious.

## 4. Special full sheaves, special reflexive sheaves and special representations

Let $\Gamma$ be a finite small subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $\rho$ a representation of $\Gamma$ on the vector space $V=V_{\rho}$. $\Gamma$ operates on $\mathbb{C}^{2} \times V$ via the natural representation $c$ and $\rho$, and the quotient is a vector bundle on $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash\{0\}\right) / \Gamma$ whose (locally free) sheaf of holomorphic sections extends to a reflexive sheaf $M_{\rho}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{2} / \Gamma=X_{\Gamma}$ :

$$
M_{\rho}:=\mu_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \otimes V_{\rho^{*}}\right)^{\Gamma},
$$

where $\mu$ denotes the canonical projection $\mathbb{C}^{2} \rightarrow X_{\Gamma}$ and $\rho^{*}$ is the dual representation. In fact, one gets all reflexive modules $M$ on $X_{\Gamma}$ in this manner (for more details, see Theorem 12). $M$ is indecomposable if and only if $\rho$ is irreducible.

We can study more generally any rational surface singularity $X$ and an arbitrary reflexive module $M$ on it. Let $\pi: \widetilde{X} \longrightarrow X$ be a minimal resolution, and put

$$
\widetilde{M}:=\pi^{*} M / \text { torsion. }
$$

Such sheaves on $\widetilde{X}$ were baptized full sheaves by Esnault. By local duality, one has the following
Theorem 4 (Esnault [5]) A sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\tilde{X}$ is full if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $\mathcal{F}$ is locally free, i.e. (the sheaf of holomorphic sections in) a vector bundle,
2. $\mathcal{F}$ is generated by global sections, in particular $H^{1}(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{F})=0$,
3. $H^{1}\left(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{F}^{*} \otimes \omega_{\tilde{X}}\right)=0$, where $\omega_{\tilde{X}}$ denotes the canonical sheaf on $\tilde{X}$.

Under these assumptions, $M=\pi_{*} \mathcal{F}$ is reflexive and $\mathcal{F}=\widetilde{M}$. Moreover, $M^{*}=\pi_{*}\left(\mathcal{F}^{*}\right)$ (but, $\mathcal{F}^{*}$ is, in general, not a full sheaf).

Let $\mathcal{F}=\widetilde{M}$ be of rank $r$ and full. Then one can construct an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}^{r} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $D:=\operatorname{supp} N$ a divisor in a neighborhood of the exceptional set $E$ which cuts $E$ transversally at regular points only. We call $D$ the CHERN divisor $c_{1}(\mathcal{F})$.

Definition A full sheaf $\widetilde{M} /$ reflexive module $M /$ representation $\rho$ is called special (perhaps better exceptional), if and only if $H^{1}\left(\widetilde{X},(\widetilde{M})^{*}\right)=0$ (where $M:=M_{\rho}$ in case of a representation $\rho$ ).

Special full sheaves have been characterized in [23], special reflexive modules and representations in [19]. Notice that in [19], [22] and [23], we associated the module $\mu_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \otimes V_{\rho}\right)^{\Gamma}$ to a representation $\rho$ instead of $\mu_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \otimes V_{\rho^{*}}\right)^{\Gamma}$. Hence, we are dealing in the present paper with the dual representations which fit better into the framework of the Ito-Nakamura construction.

## Theorem 5

1) $\widetilde{M}$ special $\Longleftrightarrow$ the canonical map $\widetilde{M} \otimes \omega_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow\left[\left(M \otimes \omega_{X}\right)^{* *}\right] \sim$ is an isomorphism.
2) $M$ special $\Longleftrightarrow M \otimes \omega_{X} /$ torsion is reflexive.
3) $\rho$ special $\Longleftrightarrow$ the canonical map $\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0}^{2}\right)^{\Gamma} \otimes\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0} \otimes V_{\rho^{*}}\right)^{\Gamma}$
$\rightarrow\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0}^{2} \otimes V_{\rho^{*}}\right)^{\Gamma}$ is surjective.
Here, of course, two stars denote the double dual (or reflexive hull) of a coherent analytic sheaf, $\Omega_{X}^{m}$ is the sheaf of KÄHLER $m$-forms and $\omega_{X}:=$ $\left(\Omega_{X}^{2}\right)^{* *}$ the dualizing sheaf on a complex analytic surface $X$.

Since the paper [19] is not easily available, we include a proof of Theorem 5 in Section 6.

Theorem 6 (Wunram [23]) There is a bijection
\{special nontrivial indecomposable reflexive modules $\}$
$\longleftrightarrow\left\{\right.$ irreducible components $E_{j}$ of $\left.E\right\}$
via

$$
M \longmapsto c_{1}(\widetilde{M}) E_{k}=\delta_{j k}
$$

The rank of $M_{j}$ equals the multiplicity $r_{j}$ of the curve $E_{j}$ in the fundamental cycle $Z=\sum r_{j} E_{j}$.

As a Corollary, one gets once more the McKay correspondence since for the Klein singularities one has $\omega_{X} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X}$ (Gorenstein property) and $\omega_{\tilde{X}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$.

Wunram has, in particular, computed the irreducible special representations in the case of cyclic quotients [22]. As it turns out, they coincide with the representations we have found in Section 3. In fact, one has to dualize twice to get the correct result. In our notation for $M_{\rho}$ which is dual to Wunram's, the special representations are exactly the dual ones to those computed in section 3 with respect to the given action on the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[u, v]$. But this action, in turn, is dual to the action on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ we should start with. Thus, Theorem 2 is proven (more or less by inspection) in the cyclic case.

## 5. The manuscript of A. Ishii

McKay correspondence may be understood as an equivalence of derived categories. This has been worked out by Kapranov and Vasserot for $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})[13]$ and by Bridgeland, King, Reid [3] in dimension 3. The last paper led A. Ishii to study more closely the canonical functor

$$
\Psi: D_{c}^{\Gamma}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right) \longrightarrow D_{c}(Y)
$$

where $D_{c}^{\Gamma}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$ denotes the derived category of $\Gamma$-equivariant coherent analytic sheaves with compact support on $\mathbb{C}^{2}, \Gamma$ a finite small subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, and $D_{c}(Y)$ the derived category of coherent analytic sheaves on $Y=\operatorname{Hilb}^{\Gamma}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$ with compact support.

The main ingredient of his proof is Wunram's multiplication formula which generalizes the one of Esnault and Knörrer. We denote by $M$ a reflexive module on $X=\mathbb{C}^{2} / \Gamma$, its Auslander-Reiten translate $\left(M \otimes \omega_{X}\right)^{* *}$ by $\tau(M)$, and finally, we write $N_{M}=\left(M \otimes \Omega_{X}^{1}\right)^{* *}$. Then we have:
Theorem 7 (Wunram [23])

$$
c_{1}\left(\widetilde{N}_{M}\right)-c_{1}(\widetilde{M})-c_{1}(\widetilde{\tau(M)})=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
E_{j}, & M=M_{j} \text { special, } j \neq 0 \\
Z, & M=M_{0}:=\mathcal{O}_{X} \\
0, & M \text { nonspecial }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here, $Z$ denotes the fundamental cycle of the minimal resolution of $X$.
A. Ishii first restates and proves once more WUNRAM's multiplication formula in the following form.

Theorem 8 (A. Ishii [9]) Let $\rho$ be an irreducible representation of $\Gamma \subset$ $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and put $\mathcal{O}_{0}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0} / \mathfrak{m}$, where $\mathfrak{m}$ denotes the maximal ideal of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ at the origin. Then

$$
\Psi\left(\mathcal{O}_{0} \otimes V_{\rho^{*}}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\mathcal{O}_{E_{j}}(-1)[1], & \rho=\rho_{j} \text { special, } j \neq 0 \\
\mathcal{O}_{Z}, & \rho=\rho_{0} \\
0, & \rho \text { nonspecial. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

He then explicitly constructs a right adjoint $\Phi$ to $\Psi$. The resulting isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{D_{c}(Y)}(\Psi(\Delta), \nabla) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{D_{c}^{\Gamma}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)}(\Delta, \Phi(\nabla))
$$

leads to the desired result when applied to $\Delta:=\mathcal{O}_{0} \otimes V_{\rho^{*}}, \nabla:=\mathcal{O}_{y}, y \in Y$.

## 6. A characterization of special reflexive modules and special representations

In this section we present a proof of Theorem 5 which is taken from [23] and [19]. More precisely, we show the following

Lemma 9 Let $M$ be a reflexive module on the rational singularity $X$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
a) $M$ is special, i.e. $R^{1} \pi_{*}(\widetilde{M})^{*}=0$;
b) the canonical map $\widetilde{M} \otimes \omega_{\widetilde{X}} \rightarrow \tau(M)^{\sim}$ is bijective;
c) $M \otimes \omega_{X} /$ torsion $\cong \tau(M)$.

Proof. The equivalence of b) and c) will be deduced from a more general lemma that is proven below. The equivalence of a) and b) is obviously the same as the claim that $\widetilde{M} \otimes \omega_{\widetilde{X}}$ is full if and only if $R^{1} \pi_{*} \widetilde{M}^{*}=0$. But $\widetilde{M} \otimes \omega_{\tilde{X}}$ is locally free and generated by global sections. Hence, $\widetilde{M} \otimes \omega_{\tilde{X}}$ is full if and only if $R^{1} \pi_{*}\left(\left(\widetilde{M} \otimes \omega_{\tilde{X}}\right)^{*} \otimes \omega_{\tilde{X}}\right)=0$.

The rest is a consequence of the next
Lemma 10 Let $M$ and $N$ be reflexive modules on the rational singularity $X$. Then the following are equivalent:
i) $\widetilde{M} \otimes \widetilde{N} \xrightarrow{\sim}\left[(M \otimes N)^{* *}\right]^{\sim}$;
ii) $\pi_{*}(\widetilde{M} \otimes \widetilde{N}) \xrightarrow{\sim}(M \otimes N)^{* *}$;
iii) $M \otimes N \longrightarrow(M \otimes N)^{* *}$ is surjective;
iv) $M \otimes N /$ torsion is reflexive.

Proof. i) $\Rightarrow$ ii) is trivial due to the last sentence in Theorem 4. Since $\pi_{*}(\widetilde{M} \otimes \widetilde{N})$ has no torsion and $\alpha: \pi_{*}(\widetilde{M} \otimes \widetilde{N}) \rightarrow(M \otimes N)^{* *}$ is an isomorphism on $X^{\prime}=X \backslash\left\{x_{0}\right\}, \alpha$ is always a monomorphism. Hence, ii) is equivalent to the surjectivity of $\alpha$ which follows from iii) using the factorizations

$$
\begin{align*}
M \otimes N & \longrightarrow \pi_{*} \pi^{*}(M \otimes N) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_{*}\left(\pi^{*} M \otimes \pi^{*} N\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{*}(\widetilde{M} \otimes \widetilde{N})  \tag{*}\\
& \longrightarrow(M \otimes N)^{* *} .
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that all maps in (*) are isomorphisms outside $x_{0}$. The equivalence of iii) and iv) is easily deduced from the commutative diagram


The implication ii) $\Rightarrow \mathrm{i}$ ) is a consequence of the fact that the injective map in i) is dominated by the epimorphism

$$
\pi^{*} \pi_{*}(\widetilde{M} \otimes \widetilde{N}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi^{*}\left((M \otimes N)^{* *}\right) \longrightarrow\left[(M \otimes N)^{* *}\right]^{\sim} .
$$

For the remaining implication ii) $\Rightarrow$ iii) to be true, we must show that the map $M \otimes N \rightarrow \pi_{*}(\widetilde{M} \otimes \widetilde{N})$ is surjective. We split this statement up into two parts:
v) the morphism $M \otimes N \longrightarrow \pi_{*}\left(\pi^{*} M \otimes \tilde{N}\right)$ is surjective,
vi) the morphism $\pi_{*}\left(\pi^{*} M \otimes \widetilde{N}\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{*}(\widetilde{M} \otimes \widetilde{N})$ is surjective.
ad v). Take a local presentation

$$
\mathcal{O}_{X}^{q} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}^{p} \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0
$$

to get the exact sequence

$$
\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}^{q} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}^{p} \longrightarrow \pi^{*} M \longrightarrow 0
$$

Tensorizing with $\widetilde{N}$, we find exact sequences

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow \tilde{N}^{q} \longrightarrow \quad Q \quad \longrightarrow 0, \\
& 0 \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow \tilde{N}^{p} \longrightarrow \pi^{*} M \otimes \tilde{N} \longrightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

which imply, because of $R^{1} \pi_{*} \widetilde{N}=0, R^{2} \pi_{*} R=0$, that $R^{1} \pi_{*} Q=0$ and

$$
0 \longrightarrow \pi_{*} Q \longrightarrow \pi_{*} \tilde{N}^{p} \longrightarrow \pi_{*}\left(\pi^{*} M \otimes \tilde{N}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

is an exact sequence. We also have a commutative diagram

with a surjective upper row. Taking direct images, adjoining to the new diagram the canonical morphism $N^{p} \rightarrow M \otimes N$ from above, and remembering that the composite map $N^{p} \rightarrow \pi_{*} \widetilde{N}^{p}$ is an isomorphism and the last row is an epimorphism, the proof of $v$ ) is accomplished.

Note that the proof of $v$ ) is correct for an arbitrary coherent module $M$. So, we have

Corollary 11 The canonical morphism $M \rightarrow \pi_{*} \pi^{*} M$ is surjective for an arbitrary coherent module on a rational singularity $X$.
ad vi) We regard the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \widetilde{T}_{M} \longrightarrow \pi^{*} M \longrightarrow \widetilde{M} \longrightarrow 0
$$

and form direct images:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \pi_{*} \widetilde{T}_{M} \longrightarrow \pi_{*} \pi^{*} M \longrightarrow \pi_{*} \widetilde{M} \longrightarrow R^{1} \pi_{*} \widetilde{T}_{M} \longrightarrow R^{1} \pi_{*} \pi^{*} M=0
$$

Since the composite map $M \rightarrow \pi_{*} \pi^{*} M \rightarrow \pi_{*} \widetilde{M}$ is an isomorphism, the morphism $M \rightarrow \pi_{*} \pi^{*} M$ is injective and, by Corollary 11, bijective. Consequently,

$$
\pi_{*} \widetilde{T}_{M} \cong R^{1} \pi_{*} \widetilde{T}_{M}=0
$$

and, due to the fact that $\widetilde{N}$ is generated by global sections,

$$
R^{1} \pi_{*}\left(\widetilde{T}_{M} \otimes \tilde{N}\right)=0
$$

Tensorizing the first exact sequence by $\widetilde{N}$ and forming the long exact direct image sequence gives the result.

It remains to show that for quotient singularities a representation $\rho$ is special if and only if 3 ) in Theorem 5 is satisfied. To see this, let us start with a reflexive module $M$ on $X$, form the pull back $\mu^{*} M$ with respect to the finite covering $\mu: \mathbb{C}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2} / \Gamma=X$ and denote its reflexive hull by $\widehat{M}$. This is a (locally) free sheaf on $W:=\mathbb{C}^{2}$ which carries a natural $\Gamma$-action: Starting with a local presentation

$$
\mathcal{O}_{X}^{q} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}^{p} \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0
$$

we get the exact sequences

$$
\mathcal{O}_{W}^{q} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{W}^{p} \longrightarrow \mu^{*} M \longrightarrow 0
$$

and

$$
0 \longrightarrow\left(\mu^{*} M\right)^{*} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{W}^{p} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{W}^{q}
$$

In particular, the sheaf $\left(\mu^{*} M\right)^{*}$ is already reflexive on $W$ and thus locally free. The map $\mathcal{O}_{W}^{p} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{W}^{q}$ is $\Gamma$-equivariant since it is defined by a matrix with entries in the invariant ring under $\Gamma$. Thus, the sheaf $\left(\mu^{*} M\right)^{*}$ and its dual $\widehat{M}$ carry canonical $\Gamma$-actions. We furthermore associate to the reflexive module $M$ the natural representation of $\Gamma$ on the finite dimensional vector space $\left(\mu^{*} M\right)_{0}^{*} / \mathfrak{m}\left(\mu^{*} M\right)_{0}^{*}, \mathfrak{m}:=\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0}$. This action is dual to the one on $\widehat{M}_{0} / \mathfrak{m} \widehat{M}_{0}$ and hence the same as the action on the fiber over the origin of the vector bundle associated to $\widehat{M}$.

Theorem 12 (H. Esnault [5]) There exists a one-to-one correspondence between
$\left\{(\right.$ indecomposable $)$ reflexive modules $M$ on $\left.\left(X, x_{0}\right)\right\}$,
$\left\{\left(\Gamma\right.\right.$-indecomposable) free modules $\widehat{M}$ on $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)$ with a $\Gamma$-action $\}$
and

$$
\{(\text { irreducible ) representations } \rho \text { of } \Gamma\} .
$$

If we write $W$ for $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ as above, then the canonical representation $c$ : $\Gamma \rightarrow$ Aut $W$ induces a character

$$
\chi: \Gamma \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut} \wedge^{2} W^{*}
$$

and, as $\Gamma$-modules,

$$
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \otimes \wedge^{2} W^{*} \cong \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}^{2}
$$

For each representation $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow$ Aut $V$, there exists an obvious morphism
$(* *) \quad \mu_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \otimes V_{\rho^{*}}\right)^{\Gamma} \otimes \mu_{*}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}^{2}\right)^{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \mu_{*}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}^{2} \otimes V_{\rho^{*}}\right)^{\Gamma}$
where $\mu_{*}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}^{2} \otimes V_{\rho^{*}}\right)^{\Gamma}$ is the reflexive hull of $\omega_{X} \otimes M$. So, the map (**) coincides with the homomorphism $M \otimes \omega_{X} \rightarrow \tau(M)$ in item 3. of Theorem 5 . Criterion iii) in Lemma 10 translates into the desired proof.
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