# Bases for the derivation modules of two-dimensional multi-Coxeter arrangements and universal derivations

Atsushi WAKAMIKO

(Received May 17, 2010; Revised June 1, 2010)

**Abstract.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an irreducible Coxeter arrangement and  $\mathbf{k}$  be a multiplicity of  $\mathcal{A}$ . We study the derivation module  $D(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k})$ . Any two-dimensional irreducible Coxeter arrangement with even number of lines is decomposed into two orbits under the action of the Coxeter group. In this paper, we will explicitly construct a basis for  $D(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k})$  assuming  $\mathbf{k}$  is constant on each orbit. Consequently we will determine the exponents of  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k})$  under this assumption. For this purpose we develop a theory of universal derivations and introduce a map to deal with our exceptional cases.

 $Key\ words:$  Coxeter arrangement, Coxeter group, multi-arrangement, primitive derivation, multi-derivation module, logarithmic differential form

# 1. Introduction

Let V be an  $\ell$ -dimensional Euclidean space with inner product I. Let S denote the symmetric algebra of the dual space  $V^*$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Denote the Smodule of  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear derivations of S by Der<sub>S</sub>. Let F be the field of quotients of S and Der<sub>F</sub> be the F-vector space of  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear derivations of F. Let  $W \subseteq$ O(V, I) be a finite irreducible reflection group (a Coxeter group) and  $\mathcal{A}$  be the corresponding **Coxeter arrangement**, i.e.,  $\mathcal{A}$  is the set of all reflecting hyperplanes of W. An arbitrary map  $\mathbf{k} \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{Z}$  is called a **multiplicity** of  $\mathcal{A}$ . We say that the pair  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k})$  is a multi-Coxeter arrangement. The S-module  $D(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k})$ , defined in Section 2, of derivations associated with  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k})$  was introduced by Ziegler [13] when im  $\mathbf{k} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  and in [1], [2] for any multiplicity **k**. We say that  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k})$  is **free** if  $D(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k})$  is a free S-module. The polynomial degrees (= pdeg) [7] of a homogeneous S-basis for  $D(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k})$  are called the **exponents** of  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k})$ . If  $\mathbf{k} \equiv 1$ , then  $D(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k})$  coincides with the S-module  $D(\mathcal{A})$  of logarithmic derivations and  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k})$  is free (e.g., [8], [7]). More in general, when **k** is a constant function,  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k})$  is free and we can explicitly construct a basis using basic invariants and a primitive derivation as in [2], [11]. In the case that **k** is not constant, however, we do not know how we can construct a basis for  $D(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k})$  even when  $\ell = 2$ . The main result

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification : 32S22.

of this paper gives an explicit construction of a basis for the module  $D(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k})$ when  $\ell = 2$  and the multiplicity  $\mathbf{k}$  is W-equivariant:  $\mathbf{k}(H) = \mathbf{k}(wH)$  for any  $w \in W$  and  $H \in \mathcal{A}$ .

The structure of this paper is as follows: In Section 2, we define and discuss the **universal derivations** which will be used in the subsequent sections. Theorem 2.8 is the key result there. In Sections 3 and 4, we assume that  $\ell = 2$ . Then  $W = I_2(h)$  is isomorphic to the dihedral group of order 2h. When h is odd,  $\mathcal{A}$  itself is the unique W-orbit. Thus **k** is constant and we can construct a basis (e.g., see [11], [5], [1], [2]). So we may assume that h is even with  $h \geq 4$ . In this case, we have the W-orbit decomposition:  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2$ . Then both  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  are again irreducible arrangements if  $h \geq 6$  (or equivalently if  $W \neq B_2$ ). The corresponding irreducible Coxeter groups  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are both isomorphic to  $I_2(\frac{h}{2})$ . For  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $(a_1, a_2)$  denote the multiplicity  $\mathbf{k} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{Z}$  with  $\mathbf{k}(H) = a_1$  ( $H \in \mathcal{A}_1$ ) and  $\mathbf{k}(H) = a_2$  ( $H \in \mathcal{A}_2$ ). We classify the set  $\{(a_1, a_2) \mid a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  into sixteen cases. The first fourteen cases are listed in Table 1. We call the fourteen cases **ordinary**. The remaining two cases, which are when either  $(a_1, a_2) = (4p, 4q + 2)$  or (4p + 2, 4q), are called to be **exceptional** 

$(a_1, a_2)$	ζ	$ heta_1, heta_2$	basis for $D(\mathcal{A}, (a_1, a_2))$
(4p+1, 4q+1)	$E^{(2p,2q)}$	$E, I^*(dP_2)$	
(4p-1, 4q-1)	$E^{(2p,2q)}$	$D, I^*(dQ/Q)$	
(4p-1, 4q+1)	$E^{(2p,2q)}$	$I^*(dQ_1/Q_1), E$	
(4p+1, 4q-1)	$E^{(2p,2q)}$	$I^*(dQ_2/Q_2), E$	
(4p+1,4q)	$E^{(2p,2q)}$	$E, I^*(dQ_2)$	
(4p+3, 4q+2)	$E^{(2p+1,2q+1)}$	$E, I (aQ_2)$	
(4p-1,4q)	$E^{(2p,2q)}$	$D_1, I^*(dQ_1/Q_1)$	$ abla_{ heta_1}\zeta, abla_{ heta_2}\zeta$
(4p+1, 4q+2)	$E^{(2p+1,2q+1)}$	$D_1, I  (uQ_1/Q_1)$	$\mathbf{v}_{\theta_1}\boldsymbol{\varsigma},  \mathbf{v}_{\theta_2}\boldsymbol{\varsigma}$
(4p, 4q+1)	$E^{(2p,2q)}$	$E, I^*(dQ_1)$	
(4p+2, 4q+3)	$E^{(2p+1,2q+1)}$	$L, I  (a \otimes 1)$	
(4p, 4q-1)	$E^{(2p,2q)}$	$D_2, I^*(dQ_2/Q_2)$	
(4p+2, 4q+1)	$E^{(2p+1,2q+1)}$	$D_2, I (uQ_2/Q_2)$	
(4p, 4q)	$E^{(2p,2q)}$	$\partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_2}$	
(4p+2, 4q+2)	$E^{(2p+1,2q+1)}$	$O_{x_1}, O_{x_2}$	

Table 1. Bases for  $D(\mathcal{A}, (a_1, a_2))$  (ordinary cases)  $(p \ge 0 \text{ or } q \ge 0)$ 

377

because our basis construction method in the ordinary cases does not work for the exceptional ones. The exceptional cases are listed in Table 2. The derivations  $\zeta = E^{(s,t)}$  are universal. We will explain how to read the two Tables in Sections 3 and 4. Section 3 is devoted to the ordinary cases where the main tool is the **Levi-Civita connection** 

$$\nabla : \operatorname{Der}_F \times \operatorname{Der}_F \to \operatorname{Der}_F$$

with respect to I together with **primitive derivations** D and  $D_i$  corresponding to W and  $W_i$  (i = 1, 2) respectively. The recipe here is Abe-Yoshinaga's theory developed in [5] and [1]. The main ingredient in Section 4 is the maps

$$\Phi_{\zeta}^{(1)} : \operatorname{Der}_{S} \to D(\mathcal{A}, (4p+2, 4q)),$$
  
$$\Phi_{\zeta}^{(2)} : \operatorname{Der}_{S} \to D(\mathcal{A}, (4p, 4q+2)),$$

defined by

$$\Phi_{\zeta}^{(1)}(\theta) := Q_1(\nabla_{\theta} \zeta) - (4p+1)\theta(Q_1)\zeta,$$
$$\Phi_{\zeta}^{(2)}(\theta) := Q_2(\nabla_{\theta} \zeta) - (4q+1)\theta(Q_2)\zeta,$$

where  $Q_i$  is a defining polynomial for  $\mathcal{A}_i$  (i = 1, 2) and  $\zeta$  is (2p, 2q)-universal. Actually in Sections 3 and 4, we will construct bases only when either  $p \ge 0$ or  $q \ge 0$  in Tables 1 and 2. Lastly we cover the remaining cases using the duality: the existence of a non-degenerate S-bilinear pairing

$$\Omega(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k}) \times D(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k}) \longrightarrow S,$$

where  $\Omega(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k})$  is the S-module of logarithmic differential 1-forms associated with the multi-Coxeter arrangement  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k})$  defined in [13], [1] and [3]. We

$(a_1, a_2)$	ζ	$ heta_1, heta_2$	basis for $D(\mathcal{A}, (a_1, a_2))$
(4p+2,4q)	$E^{(2p,2q)}$	$\partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_2}$	$\Phi^{(1)}_\zeta( heta_1), \Phi^{(1)}_\zeta( heta_2)$
(4p, 4q+2)	$E^{(2p,2q)}$	$\partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_2}$	$\Phi^{(2)}_{\zeta}( heta_1), \Phi^{(2)}_{\zeta}( heta_2)$

Table 2. Bases for  $D(\mathcal{A}, (a_1, a_2))$  (exceptional cases)  $(p \ge 0 \text{ or } q \ge 0)$ 

conclude this paper with Section 5 in which we present Table 4 showing the exponents of  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k})$ .

**Remark** In addition to  $I_2(h)$  with  $h \ge 4$  even, there exist two kinds of irreducible Coxeter arrangements which have two *W*-orbits:  $B_{\ell}$  ( $\ell \ge 2$ ) and  $F_4$ . For each of these two cases, when **k** is an equivariant multiplicity, a basis for  $D(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k})$  is constructed with a method similar to the one applied to the ordinary cases here. Details are found in [4].

# 2. Universal derivations

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an irreducible Coxeter arrangement. For each hyperplane  $H \in \mathcal{A}$ , choose a linear form  $\alpha_H \in V^*$  such that  $\ker(\alpha_H) = H$ . The product  $Q := \prod_{H \in \mathcal{A}} \alpha_H$  lies in S. Let  $\Omega_S$  be the S-module of regular 1-forms and  $\Omega_F$  be the F-vector space of rational 1-forms on V. Let  $I^*$  denote the inner product on  $V^*$  induced from the inner product I on V. Then  $I^*$  naturally induces an S-bilinear map  $I^* : \Omega_F \times \Omega_F \to F$ . Thus we have an F-linear isomorphism

$$I^*: \Omega_F \to \mathrm{Der}_F$$

by  $[I^*(\omega)](f) = I^*(\omega, df)$  where  $\omega \in \Omega_F$ ,  $f \in F$ . Recall the S-module

$$\Omega(\mathcal{A}, \infty) := \left\{ \omega \in \Omega_F \mid Q^N \omega \text{ and } (Q/\alpha_H)^N \omega \wedge d\alpha_H \\ \text{are both regular for any } H \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } N \gg 0 \right\}$$

of logarithmic 1-forms [2]. We also have the S-module

$$D(\mathcal{A}, -\infty) := I^*(\Omega(\mathcal{A}, \infty))$$
  
= { $\theta \in \operatorname{Der}_F \mid Q^N \theta \in \operatorname{Der}_S$  and  $(Q/\alpha_H)^N \theta(\beta)$  is regular for  
 $\beta \in V^*$  whenever  $I^*(\beta, \alpha_H) = 0$  for any  $H \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $N \gg 0$ }

of logarithmic derivations [2]. Let

$$\nabla \colon \mathrm{Der}_F \times \mathrm{Der}_F \longrightarrow \mathrm{Der}_F$$
$$(\theta, \delta) \longmapsto \nabla_\theta \delta$$

be the Levi-Civita connection with respect to *I*. The derivation  $\nabla_{\theta} \delta \in \text{Der}_F$  is characterized by the equality  $(\nabla_{\theta} \delta)(\alpha) = \theta(\delta(\alpha))$  for any  $\alpha \in V^*$ .

For  $\alpha \in V^*$  let  $S_{(\alpha)}$  denote the localization of S at the prime ideal  $(\alpha)$  of S. For an arbitrary multiplicity  $\mathbf{k} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{Z}$ , define an S-submodule  $D(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k})$  of  $D(\mathcal{A}, -\infty)$  by

$$D(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k}) := \left\{ \theta \in D(\mathcal{A}, -\infty) \mid \theta(\alpha_H) \in \alpha_H^{\mathbf{k}(H)} S_{(\alpha_H)} \text{ for any } H \in \mathcal{A} \right\}$$

from [3]. The module  $D(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k})$  was introduced by Ziegler [13] when im  $\mathbf{k} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Note  $D(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{0}) = \text{Der}_S$  where  $\mathbf{0}$  is the zero multiplicity. For each  $\mathbf{k} \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{Z}$ , define  $Q^{\mathbf{k}} := \prod_{H \in \mathcal{A}} \alpha_H^{\mathbf{k}(H)} \in F$ . Recall the following generalization of Saito's criterion [9]:

**Theorem 2.1** (Abe [1, Theorem 1.4]) Let  $\mathbf{k}: \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_\ell \in D(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k})$ . Then  $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_\ell$  form an S-basis for  $D(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k})$  if and only if  $\det[\theta_j(x_i)] \doteq Q^{\mathbf{k}}$ . Here  $\doteq$  implies the equality up to a non-zero constant multiple.

**Definition 2.2** Let  $\mathbf{k} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\zeta \in D(\mathcal{A}, -\infty)^W$ , where the superscript W stands for the W-invariant part. We say that  $\zeta$  is **k-universal** when  $\zeta$  is homogeneous and the S-linear map

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{\zeta} : \mathrm{Der}_{S} &\longrightarrow D(\mathcal{A}, 2\mathbf{k}) \\ \theta &\longmapsto \nabla_{\theta} \zeta \end{split}$$

is bijective.

**Example 2.3** The Euler derivation E, which is the derivation characterized by  $E(\alpha) = \alpha$  for any  $\alpha \in V^*$ , is **0**-universal because  $\Psi_E(\delta) = \nabla_{\delta} E = \delta$ .

For an irreducible Coxeter group W, there exist algebraically independent homogeneous polynomials  $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_\ell$  with deg  $P_1 < \deg P_2 \leq \cdots \leq \deg P_{\ell-1} < \deg P_\ell$  by Chevalley's Theorem [6], which are called **basic invariants**. When  $D \in \text{Der}_F$  satisfies

$$D(P_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 1 \le j < \ell, \\ 1 & \text{if } j = \ell, \end{cases}$$

we say that D is a **primitive derivation**. It is unique up to a nonzero constant multiple. Let  $R := S^W$  be the W-invariant subring of S and

$$T := \{ f \in R \mid D(f) = 0 \}.$$

**Theorem 2.4** ([2, Theorem 3.9 (1)], [3, Theorem 4.4])

(1) We have a T-linear automorphism

$$\nabla_D : D(\mathcal{A}, -\infty)^W \longrightarrow D(\mathcal{A}, -\infty)^W,$$
$$\theta \longmapsto \nabla_D \theta$$

(2) 
$$\nabla_D(D(\mathcal{A}, 2\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{1})^W) = D(\mathcal{A}, 2\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{1})^W$$
 for any multiplicity  $\mathbf{k} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{Z}$ .

Note that  $\nabla_D^{-1}$  and  $\nabla_D^k$   $(k \in \mathbb{Z})$  are also *T*-linear automorphisms.

Let  $x_1, \ldots, x_\ell$  be a basis for  $V^*$ . Put  $A := [I^*(x_i, x_j)]_{ij}$  which is a nonsingular real symmetric matrix. For simplicity let  $\partial_{x_j}$  and  $\partial_{P_j}$  denote  $\partial/\partial x_j$ and  $\partial/\partial P_j$  respectively. Note that  $D = \partial_{P_\ell}$ .

**Proposition 2.5** Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Here **k** is a constant multiplicity:  $\mathbf{k} \equiv k$ . Then the derivation  $\nabla_D^k E$  is  $(-\mathbf{k})$ -universal.

*Proof.* When  $k \leq 0$ , the result was first proved by Yoshinaga in [12]. Assume k > 0. Recall a basis  $\eta_1^{(-2k)}, \ldots, \eta_\ell^{(-2k)}$  for  $D(\mathcal{A}, -2k)$  introduced in [2, Definition 3.1]. Then we have

$$\left[\nabla_{\partial_{x_1}} \nabla_D^k E, \dots, \nabla_{\partial_{x_\ell}} \nabla_D^k E\right] = \left[\eta_1^{(-2k)}, \dots, \eta_\ell^{(-2k)}\right] A^{-1},$$

which is the second equality of [2, Proposition 4.3] (in the differential-form version).  $\hfill \Box$ 

**Proposition 2.6** Let  $\zeta \in D(\mathcal{A}, -\infty)^W$  be k-universal. Then

(1) the S-linear map

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{\zeta} \colon D(\mathcal{A}, -\mathbf{1}) &\longrightarrow D(\mathcal{A}, 2\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{1}) \\ \theta &\longmapsto \nabla_{\theta} \zeta \end{split}$$

is bijective, (2)  $\zeta \in D(\mathcal{A}, 2\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{1})^W$ , and

(3) 
$$\alpha_H^{-2\mathbf{k}(H)-1}\zeta(\alpha_H)$$
 is a unit in  $S_{(\alpha_H)}$  for any  $H \in \mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* (1) Note that  $\partial_{P_1}, \ldots, \partial_{P_\ell}$  form an S-basis for  $D(\mathcal{A}, -1)$  [2, p. 823]. Let  $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ . Then

$$Q\nabla_{\partial_{P_i}}\zeta = \nabla_{Q\partial_{P_i}}\zeta \in D(\mathcal{A}, 2\mathbf{k})$$

because  $Q\partial_{P_i} \in \text{Der}_S$ . Thus

$$(\nabla_{\partial_{P_j}}\zeta)(\alpha_H) \in \alpha_H^{2\mathbf{k}(H)-1}S_{(\alpha_H)} \quad (H \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Pick  $H \in \mathcal{A}$  arbitrarily and choose an orthonormal basis  $x_1, \ldots, x_\ell$  for  $V^*$  so that  $H = \ker(x_1)$ . For  $i = 2, \ldots, \ell$  define  $g_i := (Q/x_1)^N Q(\nabla_{\partial_{P_j}}\zeta)(x_i) \in S$  for a sufficiently large positive integer N. Let  $s = s_H$  denote the orthogonal reflection through H. Then  $s(g_i) = -g_i$ . Thus  $g_i \in x_1S$  and

$$\left(\nabla_{\partial_{P_j}}\zeta\right)(x_i) = (Q/x_1)^{-N}g_i/Q \in S_{(x_1)}.$$

This implies  $\nabla_{\partial_{P_i}} \zeta \in D(\mathcal{A}, -\infty)$  and thus  $\nabla_{\partial_{P_i}} \zeta \in D(\mathcal{A}, 2\mathbf{k} - 1)$ . One has

$$\det \left[ \left( \nabla_{\partial_{P_j}} \zeta \right)(x_i) \right]$$
  
=  $\det \left( \left[ \left( \nabla_{\partial_{x_j}} \zeta \right)(x_i) \right] \left[ \frac{\partial P_i}{\partial x_j} \right]^{-1} \right) \doteq Q^{-1} \det \left[ \left( \nabla_{\partial_{x_j}} \zeta \right)(x_i) \right]$   
 $\doteq Q^{2\mathbf{k}-1}$ 

by the chain rule  $\partial_{x_j} = \sum_{s=1}^{\ell} (\partial P_s / \partial x_j) \partial_{P_s}$  and the equality det $[\partial P_i / \partial x_j] \doteq Q$ . Applying Theorem 2.1 we conclude that  $\nabla_{\partial_{P_1}} \zeta, \ldots, \nabla_{\partial_{P_\ell}} \zeta$  form an *S*-basis for  $D(\mathcal{A}, 2\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{1})$ .

(2) By (1),  $\nabla_D \zeta \in D(\mathcal{A}, 2\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{1})^W$ . Thanks to Theorem 2.4, we have  $\zeta \in D(\mathcal{A}, 2\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{1})^W$ .

(3) By (2),  $\zeta(\alpha_H) \in \alpha_H^{2\mathbf{k}(H)+1}S_{(\alpha_H)}$  for any  $H \in \mathcal{A}$ . Assume that  $\alpha_H^{-2\mathbf{k}(H)-1}\zeta(\alpha_H)$  is not a unit in  $S_{(\alpha_H)}$  for some  $H \in \mathcal{A}$ . Choose an orthonormal basis  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_\ell$  for  $V^*$  so that  $H = \ker(x_1)$ . Then  $\zeta(x_1) \in x_1^{2\mathbf{k}(H)+2}S_{(x_1)}$ . Thus  $(\nabla_{\partial_{x_j}}\zeta)(x_1) \in x_1^{2\mathbf{k}(H)+1}S_{(x_1)}$  for each j with  $1 \leq j \leq \ell$  and  $Q^{2\mathbf{k}} \doteq \det[(\nabla_{\partial_{x_j}}\zeta)(x_i)] \in x_1^{2\mathbf{k}(H)+1}S_{(x_1)}$ , which is a contradiction.  $\Box$ **Proposition 2.7** (cf. [5, Theorem 10], [1, Theorem 2.1]) If  $\zeta \in D(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ 

 $-\infty)^W$  is k-universal and  $\mathbf{m}:\mathcal{A}\to\{-1,0,1\}$  is a multiplicity, then the S-linear map

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{\zeta} \colon D(\mathcal{A},\mathbf{m}) &\longrightarrow D(\mathcal{A},2\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}) \\ \theta &\longmapsto \nabla_{\theta} \, \zeta \end{split}$$

is bijective.

*Proof.* Note that  $D(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{m}) \subseteq D(\mathcal{A}, -1)$  and  $D(\mathcal{A}, 2\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{m}) \subseteq D(\mathcal{A}, 2\mathbf{k} - 1)$ . By Proposition 2.6 (1), the restriction of

$$\Psi_{\zeta} \colon D(\mathcal{A}, -\mathbf{1}) \longrightarrow D(\mathcal{A}, 2\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{1})$$

to  $D(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{m})$  is injective. Thus it is enough to prove  $\Psi_{\zeta}(D(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{m})) = D(\mathcal{A}, 2\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{m})$ . Let  $\theta \in D(\mathcal{A}, -\mathbf{1})$ . Pick  $H \in \mathcal{A}$  arbitrarily and fix it. Choose an orthonormal basis  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_\ell$  with  $H = \ker(x_1)$ . Let  $k := \mathbf{k}(H)$  and  $m := \mathbf{m}(H)$ . Then, by Proposition 2.6 (3),  $g := x_1^{-2k-1}\zeta(x_1)$  is a unit in  $S_{(x_1)}$ . Compute

$$\begin{split} (\Psi_{\zeta}(\theta))(x_{1}) &= (\nabla_{\theta} \zeta)(x_{1}) = \theta(\zeta(x_{1})) = \theta(x_{1}^{2k+1}g) \\ &= x_{1}^{2k+1}\theta(g) + (2k+1)x_{1}^{2k}\theta(x_{1})g \\ &= x_{1}^{2k+1}\sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\theta(x_{j})(\partial g/\partial x_{j}) + (2k+1)x_{1}^{2k}\theta(x_{1})g \\ &= x_{1}^{2k}\theta(x_{1})\{x_{1}(\partial g/\partial x_{1}) + (2k+1)g\} + x_{1}^{2k+1}\sum_{j=2}^{\ell}\theta(x_{j})(\partial g/\partial x_{j}) \\ &= x_{1}^{2k}\theta(x_{1})U + x_{1}^{2k+1}C, \end{split}$$

where  $U := x_1(\partial g/\partial x_1) + (2k+1)g$  is a unit in  $S_{(x_1)}$  and  $C := \sum_{j=2}^{\ell} \theta(x_j)(\partial g/\partial x_j)$ . Dividing the both sides by  $x_1^{2k+m}$ , we get

$$x_1^{-2k-m}(\Psi_{\zeta}(\theta))(x_1) = x_1^{-m}\theta(x_1)U + x_1^{1-m}C.$$

Note that  $\partial g/\partial x_j \in S_{(x_1)}$  and  $\theta(x_j) \in S_{(x_1)}$   $(j \ge 2)$  because  $\theta \in D(\mathcal{A}, -\infty)$ . So one has  $C \in S_{(x_1)}$  and  $x_1^{1-m}C \in S_{(x_1)}$  for  $m \in \{\pm 1, 0\}$ . Thus we conclude that

$$x_1^{-2k-m}(\Psi_{\zeta}(\theta))(x_1) \in S_{(x_1)} \Longleftrightarrow x_1^{-m}\theta(x_1) \in S_{(x_1)}.$$

This implies that

$$\Psi_{\zeta}(\theta) \in D(\mathcal{A}, 2\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{m}) \iff \theta \in D(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{m})$$

because  $H \in \mathcal{A}$  was arbitrarily chosen. This completes the proof.

The following is the main result in this section.

**Theorem 2.8** Let  $\mathbf{k} \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{Z}$  be a multiplicity of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\zeta \in D(\mathcal{A}, -\infty)^W$  be  $\mathbf{k}$ -universal. Then  $\nabla_D^{-1} \zeta$  is  $(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{1})$ -universal.

*Proof.* It is classically known [8] that  $\xi_j := I^*(dP_j) \in D(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{1})^W$   $(j = 1, \ldots, \ell)$  form an S-basis for  $D(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{1})$ . By Proposition 2.7,  $\nabla_{\xi_j} \zeta \in D(\mathcal{A}, 2\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{1})^W$   $(j = 1, \ldots, \ell)$  form an S-basis for  $D(\mathcal{A}, 2\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{1})$ . Since  $\nabla_D \nabla_{\xi_j} \zeta \in D(\mathcal{A}, 2\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{1})^W$   $(j = 1, \ldots, \ell)$  by Theorem 2.4, we can write

$$\nabla_D \nabla_{\xi_j} \zeta = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} f_{ij} \nabla_{\partial_{P_i}} \zeta$$

with W-invariant polynomials  $f_{ij} \in R$  because of Proposition 2.6 (1). Then  $f_{ij}$  is a homogeneous element with degree  $m_i + m_j - h < h$ , where h is the Coxeter number, and  $f_{ij}$  belongs to  $T = \{f \in R \mid Df = 0\}$ . Since  $m_i + m_{\ell+1-i} - h = 0$ ,  $\det[f_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}$ . Apply  $\nabla_D^{-1}$  to the both sides to get

$$\nabla_{\xi_j} \zeta = \nabla_D^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} f_{ij} \nabla_{\partial_{P_i}} \zeta = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} f_{ij} \nabla_{\partial_{P_i}} \nabla_D^{-1} \zeta.$$

Since  $\nabla_{\xi_j} \zeta \in D(\mathcal{A}, 2\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{1})^W$   $(j = 1, ..., \ell)$  form an S-basis for  $D(\mathcal{A}, 2\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{1})$ , we have det $[f_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$ . This implies that  $\nabla_{\partial_{P_j}} \nabla_D^{-1} \zeta$   $(j = 1, ..., \ell)$  form an S-basis for  $D(\mathcal{A}, 2\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{1})$ . Since  $\nabla_D^{-1} \zeta \in D(\mathcal{A}, 2\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{3})$  by Proposition 2.6 (2) and Theorem 2.4, we conclude that

$$\nabla_{\partial_{x_j}} \nabla_D^{-1} \zeta = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (\partial_{x_j} P_i) \nabla_{\partial_{P_i}} \nabla_D^{-1} \zeta \quad (j = 1, \dots, \ell)$$

form an S-basis for  $D(\mathcal{A}, 2\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{2})$  by Theorem 2.1.

383

#### 3. The ordinary cases

In the rest of this paper we assume dim  $V = \ell = 2$  and  $W = I_2(h)$ such that  $h \ge 4$  is an even number. The orbit decomposition  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2$  satisfies  $|\mathcal{A}_1| = |\mathcal{A}_2| = h/2$ . Recall the equivariant multiplicities  $\mathbf{k} = (a_1, a_2), a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , defined by

$$\mathbf{k}(H) = \begin{cases} a_1 & \text{if } H \in \mathcal{A}_1, \\ a_2 & \text{if } H \in \mathcal{A}_2. \end{cases}$$

Let  $x_1, x_2$  be an orthonormal basis for  $V^*$ . Suppose that  $P_1 := (x_1^2 + x_2^2)/2$  and  $P_2$  are basic invariants of W. Then deg  $P_2 = h$  and  $R = S^W = \mathbb{R}[P_1, P_2]$ . Let  $W_i$  be the (normal) subgroup of W generated by all reflections through  $H \in \mathcal{A}_i$  (i = 1, 2). Let  $Q_i = \prod_{H \in \mathcal{A}_i} \alpha_H$  and  $R_i := S^{W_i}$  (i = 1, 2). Let D be a primitive derivation corresponding to the whole group W. Then it is known [10, (5.1)] that

$$D \doteq \frac{1}{Q}(-x_2\partial_{x_1} + x_1\partial_{x_2}).$$

Lemma 3.1 Define

$$D_1 := Q_2 D \doteq \frac{1}{Q_1} (-x_2 \partial_{x_1} + x_1 \partial_{x_2}), \quad D_2 := Q_1 D \doteq \frac{1}{Q_2} (-x_2 \partial_{x_1} + x_1 \partial_{x_2}).$$

Then

- (1)  $R_1 = \mathbb{R}[P_1, Q_2], R_2 = \mathbb{R}[P_1, Q_1] \text{ and } R = \mathbb{R}[P_1, Q_1^2] = \mathbb{R}[P_1, Q_2^2],$
- (2)  $-x_2(\partial Q_2/\partial x_1) + x_1(\partial Q_2/\partial x_2) \doteq Q_1 \quad and \quad -x_2(\partial Q_1/\partial x_1) + x_1(\partial Q_1/\partial x_2) \doteq Q_2,$ (3)  $D_1(P_1) = D_2(P_1) = 0, \ D_1(Q_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{\times} \ and \ D_2(Q_1) \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}.$
- *Proof.* Thanks to the symmetry we only have to prove a half of the statement. Since Q and  $Q_1$  are both  $W_1$ -antiinvariant,  $Q_2 = Q/Q_1$  is  $W_1$ -

ment. Since 
$$Q$$
 and  $Q_1$  are both  $W_1$ -antiinvariant,  $Q_2 = Q/Q_1$  is  $W_1$ -  
invariant and  $Q_2^2$  is  $W$ -invariant. Note that  $Q_2$  is a product of real linear  
forms. So  $Q_2$  and  $P_1$  are algebraically independent. Since

$$|\mathcal{A}_1| = h/2 = (\deg Q_2 - 1) + (\deg P_1 - 1),$$

we have  $R_1 = \mathbb{R}[P_1, Q_2]$ . Similarly we obtain  $R = \mathbb{R}[P_1, Q_2^2]$ . This proves

#### (1). The Jacobian

$$-x_2(\partial Q_2/\partial x_1) + x_1(\partial Q_2/\partial x_2) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \partial P_1/\partial x_1 \, \partial Q_2/\partial x_1 \\ \partial P_1/\partial x_2 \, \partial Q_2/\partial x_2 \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$$

is equal to  $Q_1$  up to a nonzero constant multiple, which is (2). Compute

$$D_1(P_1) = Q_2 D(P_1) = 0, \quad 2D_1(Q_2) = 2Q_2 D(Q_2) = D(Q_2^2) \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}.$$

This proves (3).

The Euler derivation  $E = I^*(dP_1) = I^*(x_1dx_1 + x_2dx_2) = x_1\partial_{x_1} + x_2\partial_{x_2}$ satisfies  $E(\alpha) = \alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in V^*$  and belongs to  $D(\mathcal{A}, (1, 1))$ .

**Proposition 3.2** A basis for  $D(\mathcal{A}, (a_1, a_2))$  is given in Table 3 for  $-1 \le a_1 \le 1, -1 \le a_2 \le 1$ .

$(a_1, a_2)$	basis for $D(\mathcal{A}, (a_1, a_2))$	exponents of $(\mathcal{A}, (a_1, a_2))$	their difference
(1,1)	$E, I^*(dP_2)$	1, h-1	h-2
(1,0)	$E, I^*(dQ_2)$	1, (h/2) - 1	(h/2) - 2
(0,1)	$E, I^*(dQ_1)$	1, (h/2) - 1	(h/2) - 2
(1, -1)	$I^*(dQ_2/Q_2), E$	-1, 1	2
(0,0)	$\partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_2}$	0,0	0
(-1,1)	$I^*(dQ_1/Q_1), E$	-1, 1	2
(0, -1)	$D_2, I^*(dQ_2/Q_2)$	1 - (h/2), -1	(h/2) - 2
(-1,0)	$D_1, I^*(dQ_1/Q_1)$	1 - (h/2), -1	(h/2) - 2
(-1, -1)	$D, I^*(dQ/Q)$	1 - h, -1	h-2

Table 3. The exponents of  $(A, (a_1, a_2))$   $(-1 \le a_1 \le 1, -1 \le a_2 \le 1)$ 

Proof. Let  $\omega_0 = -x_2 dx_1 + x_1 dx_2$ . Note that  $\omega_0 \wedge d\alpha = -\alpha (dx_1 \wedge dx_2)$  for any  $\alpha \in V^*$ . It is easy to see that each of  $dP_1, dP_2, dQ_1, dQ_2, dQ_1/Q_1, dQ_2/Q_2, \omega_0/Q, \omega_0/Q_1$  and  $\omega_0/Q_2$  belongs to  $\Omega(\mathcal{A}, \infty)$  defined in Section 2. Note that  $D = I^*(\omega_0)/Q$  and  $D_i = I^*(\omega_0)/Q_i$  (i = 1, 2). Thus all of the derivations in the table lie in  $D(\mathcal{A}, -\infty) = I^*(\Omega(\mathcal{A}, \infty))$ .

If P is W-invariant, then  $I^*(dP) \in D(\mathcal{A}, (1, 1))$ . Therefore  $I^*(dQ_1) \in D(\mathcal{A}, (0, 1))$  and  $I^*(dQ_2) \in D(\mathcal{A}, (1, 0))$  because of Lemma 3.1 (1). We thus have  $I^*(dQ_1/Q_1) \in D(\mathcal{A}, (-1, 1))$  and  $I^*(dQ_2/Q_2) \in D(\mathcal{A}, (1, -1))$ . Since  $QD = Q_1D_1 = Q_2D_2$  lies in Der<sub>S</sub>, we get  $D \in D(\mathcal{A}, (-1, -1))$ ,

 $D_1 \in D(\mathcal{A}, (-1, 0))$  and  $D_2 \in D(\mathcal{A}, (0, -1))$ . Now apply Theorem 2.1 noting Lemma 3.1 (2).

**Lemma 3.3** When  $h \ge 6$  is even,  $D_i$  is a primitive derivation of the irreducible Coxeter arrangement  $\mathcal{A}_i$  (i = 1, 2).

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.1 (3).

For  $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $t - s \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ , define

$$E_1^{(s,t)} := \nabla_D^{-t} \nabla_{D_1}^{t-s} E, \quad E_2^{(s,t)} := \nabla_D^{-s} \nabla_{D_2}^{s-t} E.$$

# **Proposition 3.4**

(1) If  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and  $t - s \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ , then  $E_1^{(s,t)}$  is (s,t)-universal, (2) If  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and  $s - t \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ , then  $E_2^{(s,t)}$  is (s,t)-universal.

*Proof.* It is enough to show (1) because of the symmetry of the statement.

Case 1. When  $h \ge 6$  is even,  $\mathcal{A}_1$  is an irreducible Coxeter arrangement of h/2 lines. By Lemma 3.3,  $D_1$  is a primitive derivation of  $\mathcal{A}_1$ . Thus

$$\nabla_{\partial_{x_1}} \nabla_{D_1}^{t-s} E, \dots, \nabla_{\partial_{x_\ell}} \nabla_{D_1}^{t-s} E$$

form an S-basis for  $D(\mathcal{A}, (2(s-t), 0))$ . Note that  $D_1 = Q_2 D$  satisfies

$$w_1 D_1 = D_1, \quad w_2 D_1 = \det(w_2) D_1$$

for any  $w_1 \in W_1$ ,  $w_2 \in W_2$ . Since  $W_1$  is a normal subgroup of W,  $D(\mathcal{A}_1, -\infty)^{W_1}$  is naturally a W-module and the map  $\nabla_{D_1}^n : D(\mathcal{A}_1, -\infty)^{W_1} \to D(\mathcal{A}_1, -\infty)^{W_1}$  is a W-equivariant bijection when n is even. Thus  $\nabla_{D_1}^{t-s} E \in D(\mathcal{A}, -\infty)^W$ . This implies that  $\nabla_{D_1}^{t-s} E$  is (s-t, 0)-universal when  $t - s \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ . Apply Theorem 2.8.

Case 2. Let h = 4. Then W is of type  $B_2$ . We may choose an orthonormal basis for  $V^*$  with  $Q_1 = x_1 x_2$  and  $Q_2 = (x_1 + x_2)(x_1 - x_2)$ . Then

$$D_1 = -\frac{1}{x_1}\partial_{x_1} + \frac{1}{x_2}\partial_{x_2}$$

and

386

$$\nabla_{D_1}^{2n} E = -(4n-3)!! \left( x_1^{1-4n} \partial_{x_1} + x_2^{1-4n} \partial_{x_2} \right) \in D(\mathcal{A}, -\infty)^W \quad (n>0),$$

$$\nabla_{D_1}^{-2n} E = \frac{1}{(4n+1)!!} \left( x_1^{4n+1} \partial_{x_1} + x_2^{4n+1} \partial_{x_2} \right) \in D(\mathcal{A}, -\infty)^W \qquad (n \ge 0),$$

where  $(2m-1)!! = \prod_{i=1}^{m} (2i-1)$ . Thus

$$\nabla_{\partial_{x_1}} \nabla_{D_1}^{2n} E \doteq x_1^{-4n} \partial_{x_1}, \quad \nabla_{\partial_{x_2}} \nabla_{D_1}^{2n} E \doteq x_2^{-4n} \partial_{x_2} \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

This implies that  $\nabla_{D_1}^{t-s} E$  is (s-t, 0)-universal when  $s-t \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ . Apply Theorem 2.8.

We say that a pair  $(a_1, a_2)$  is **exceptional** if

$$a_1 \in 2\mathbb{Z}$$
 and  $a_1 - a_2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .

If  $(a_1, a_2)$  is not exceptional, then we call  $(a_1, a_2)$  ordinary. We may apply Propositions 3.2 and 2.7 to get the following proposition:

**Proposition 3.5** Suppose that  $(a_1, a_2)$  is ordinary and that either  $p \ge 0$  or  $q \ge 0$  in Table 1. Then  $\nabla_{\theta_1}\zeta$ ,  $\nabla_{\theta_2}\zeta$  form an S-basis for  $D(\mathcal{A}, (a_1, a_2))$  as in Table 1, where  $E^{(s,t)}$  stands for  $E_1^{(s,t)}$  if  $t \ge 0$  or it stands for  $E_2^{(s,t)}$  if  $s \ge 0$ .

### 4. The exceptional cases

Suppose that  $(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  is exceptional. Write

$$(a_1, a_2) = (4p + 2, 4q)$$
 or  $(a_1, a_2) = (4p, 4q + 2)$   $(p, q \in \mathbb{Z}).$ 

**Proposition 4.1** Suppose that  $\zeta$  is (2p, 2q)-universal. Then the map

$$\Phi_{\zeta}^{(1)} : \operatorname{Der}_{S} \longrightarrow D(\mathcal{A}, (4p+2, 4q))$$
$$\theta \longmapsto Q_{1}(\nabla_{\theta}\zeta) - (4p+1)\theta(Q_{1})\zeta$$

is an S-linear bijection. Similarly the map

$$\Phi_{\zeta}^{(2)} : \operatorname{Der}_{S} \longrightarrow D(\mathcal{A}, (4p, 4q+2))$$
$$\theta \longmapsto Q_{2}(\nabla_{\theta}\zeta) - (4q+1)\theta(Q_{2})\zeta$$

is an S-linear bijection.

*Proof.* It is enough to show the first half because of the symmetry. Let  $\theta \in \text{Der}_S$ . We first prove that  $\Phi_{\zeta}^{(1)}(\theta) \in D(\mathcal{A}, (4p+2, 4q))$ . Let  $H_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$  and  $\alpha_i := \alpha_{H_i}$  (i = 1, 2). Since  $\zeta \in D(\mathcal{A}, (4p+1, 4q+1))$  by Proposition 2.6 (2), write

$$\zeta(\alpha_1) = \alpha_1^{4p+1} f_1, \quad \zeta(\alpha_2) = \alpha_2^{4q+1} f_2 \quad (f_1 \in S_{(\alpha_1)}, \ f_2 \in S_{(\alpha_2)}).$$

Compute

$$\begin{split} \left[ \Phi_{\zeta}^{(1)}(\theta) \right](\alpha_{1}) \\ &= Q_{1}(\nabla_{\theta}\zeta)(\alpha_{1}) - (4p+1)\theta(Q_{1})\zeta(\alpha_{1}) \\ &= Q_{1}\left(\theta(\alpha_{1}^{4p+1}f_{1})\right) - (4p+1)\theta(Q_{1})\alpha_{1}^{4p+1}f_{1} \\ &= Q_{1}\alpha_{1}^{4p+1}\theta(f_{1}) + (4p+1)f_{1}\alpha_{1}^{4p}Q_{1}\theta(\alpha_{1}) - (4p+1)f_{1}\alpha_{1}^{4p+1}\theta(Q_{1}) \\ &= Q_{1}\alpha_{1}^{4p+1}\theta(f_{1}) - (4p+1)f_{1}\alpha_{1}^{4p+2}\left\{(1/\alpha_{1})\theta(Q_{1}) - (Q_{1}/\alpha_{1}^{2})\theta(\alpha_{1})\right\} \\ &= Q_{1}\alpha_{1}^{4p+1}\theta(f_{1}) - (4p+1)f_{1}\alpha_{1}^{4p+2}\theta(Q_{1}/\alpha_{1}) \in \alpha_{1}^{4p+2}S_{(\alpha_{1})}. \end{split}$$

Also

$$\begin{split} \left[ \Phi_{\zeta}^{(1)}(\theta) \right](\alpha_{2}) \\ &= Q_{1}(\nabla_{\theta}\zeta)(\alpha_{2}) - (4p+1)\theta(Q_{1})\zeta(\alpha_{2}) \\ &= Q_{1}\left(\theta(\alpha_{2}^{4q+1}f_{2})\right) - (4p+1)\theta(Q_{1})\alpha_{2}^{4q+1}f_{2} \\ &= Q_{1}\alpha_{2}^{4q+1}\theta(f_{2}) + (4q+1)f_{2}\alpha_{2}^{4q}Q_{1}\theta(\alpha_{2}) - (4p+1)f_{2}\alpha_{2}^{4q+1}\theta(Q_{1}) \\ &\in \alpha_{2}^{4q}S_{(\alpha_{2})}. \end{split}$$

This shows  $\Phi_{\zeta}^{(1)}(\theta) \in D(\mathcal{A}, (4p+2, 4q))$ . Next we will prove that  $\Phi_{\zeta}^{(1)}(\partial_{x_1})$ and  $\Phi_{\zeta}^{(1)}(\partial_{x_2})$  form an S-basis for  $D(\mathcal{A}, (4p+2, 4q))$ . Define  $M(\theta_1, \theta_2) := [\theta_i(x_j)]_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2}$ . Then

$$\det M\left(\Phi_{\zeta}^{(1)}(\partial_{x_{1}}), \Phi_{\zeta}^{(1)}(\partial_{x_{2}})\right) = \det M\left(Q_{1}\nabla_{\partial_{x_{1}}}\zeta, Q_{1}\nabla_{\partial_{x_{2}}}\zeta\right)$$
$$- (4p+1) \det M\left(Q_{1}\nabla_{\partial_{x_{1}}}\zeta, (\partial_{x_{2}}Q_{1})\zeta\right)$$
$$- (4p+1) \det M\left((\partial_{x_{1}}Q_{1})\zeta, Q_{1}\nabla_{\partial_{x_{2}}}\zeta\right)$$

Note

$$x_1(\nabla_{\partial_{x_1}}\zeta) + x_2(\nabla_{\partial_{x_2}}\zeta) = \nabla_E\zeta = \{1 + h(p+q)\}\zeta$$

because  $\nabla_{\partial_{x_1}}\zeta$ ,  $\nabla_{\partial_{x_2}}\zeta$  are a basis for  $D(\mathcal{A}, (4p, 4q))$  and  $\operatorname{pdeg} \zeta = 1 + h(p+q)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \det M\big(\Phi_{\zeta}^{(1)}(\partial_{x_{1}}), \Phi_{\zeta}^{(1)}(\partial_{x_{2}})\big) \\ &= Q_{1}^{2} \det M\big(\nabla_{\partial_{x_{1}}}\zeta, \nabla_{\partial_{x_{2}}}\zeta\big) - \frac{(4p+1)Q_{1}x_{2}(\partial_{x_{2}}Q_{1})}{1+h(p+q)} \det M\big(\nabla_{\partial_{x_{1}}}\zeta, \nabla_{\partial_{x_{2}}}\zeta\big) \\ &- \frac{(4p+1)Q_{1}x_{1}(\partial_{x_{1}}Q_{1})}{1+h(p+q)} \det M\big(\nabla_{\partial_{x_{1}}}\zeta, \nabla_{\partial_{x_{2}}}\zeta\big) \\ &= \left\{Q_{1}^{2} - \frac{(4p+1)Q_{1}(x_{1}(\partial_{x_{1}}Q_{1}) + x_{2}(\partial_{x_{2}}Q_{1})))}{1+h(p+q)}\right\} \det M\big(\nabla_{\partial_{x_{1}}}\zeta, \nabla_{\partial_{x_{2}}}\zeta\big) \\ &\doteq \left\{1 - \frac{(4p+1)h}{2(1+h(p+q))}\right\}Q_{1}^{2}Q_{1}^{4p}Q_{2}^{4q} = \frac{2-h(2p-2q+1)}{2(1+h(p+q))}Q_{1}^{4p+2}Q_{2}^{4q}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $2 - h(2p - 2q + 1) \neq 0$  and  $1 + h(p + q) \neq 0$  because  $h \geq 4$ . Therefore  $\Phi_{\zeta}^{(1)}(\partial_{x_1})$  and  $\Phi_{\zeta}^{(1)}(\partial_{x_2})$  form an S-basis for  $D(\mathcal{A}, (4p + 2, 4q))$  thanks to Theorem 2.1. Thus  $\Phi_{\zeta}^{(1)}$  is an S-linear bijection.

We may apply Proposition 4.1 to get the following proposition:

**Proposition 4.2** Suppose that  $(a_1, a_2)$  is exceptional and that either  $p \ge 0$  or  $q \ge 0$  in Table 2. Then, for  $i = 1, 2, \Phi_{\zeta}^{(i)}(\theta_1)$  and  $\Phi_{\zeta}^{(i)}(\theta_2)$  form an S-basis for  $D(\mathcal{A}, (a_1, a_2))$  as in Table 2.

Proposition 3.4 asserts that  $E_1^{(s,t)}$  is (s,t)-universal when  $s - t \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $t \geq 0$  and that  $E_2^{(s,t)}$  is (s,t)-universal when  $t - s \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $s \geq 0$ . So Tables 1 and 2 show how to construct a basis for  $D(\mathcal{A}, (a_1, a_2))$  when  $a_1 \geq 0$  or  $a_2 \geq 0$ . We will construct a basis for  $D(\mathcal{A}, (a_1, a_2))$  in the remaining case

that  $a_1 < 0$  and  $a_2 < 0$ . Let

$$\Omega(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k}) := (I^*)^{-1} (D(\mathcal{A}, -\mathbf{k}))$$
$$= \left\{ \omega \in \Omega(\mathcal{A}, \infty) \mid I^*(\omega, d\alpha_H) \in \alpha_H^{-\mathbf{k}(H)} S_{(\alpha_H)} \text{ for all } H \in \mathcal{A} \right\}$$

**Theorem 4.3** (Ziegler [13], Abe [1, Theorem 1.7]) The natural S-bilinear coupling

$$D(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k}) \times \Omega(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k}) \longrightarrow S$$

is non-degenerate and provides S-linear isomorphisms:

$$\alpha: D(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k}) \to \Omega(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k})^*, \quad \beta: \Omega(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k}) \to D(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k})^*.$$

Thus we have the following proposition:

**Proposition 4.4** Let  $(a_1, a_2) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{<0})^2$  and  $x_1, x_2$  be an orthonormal basis. Let  $\theta_1, \theta_2$  be an S-basis for  $D(\mathcal{A}, (-a_1, -a_2))$ . Then

$$\eta_1 := g_{11}\partial_{x_1} + g_{21}\partial_{x_2}, \quad \eta_2 := g_{12}\partial_{x_1} + g_{22}\partial_{x_2},$$

form an S-basis for  $D(\mathcal{A}, (a_1, a_2))$ . Here

$$\begin{pmatrix} g_{11} g_{12} \\ g_{21} g_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1(x_1) \theta_1(x_2) \\ \theta_2(x_1) \theta_2(x_2) \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = Q_1^{a_1} Q_2^{a_2} \begin{pmatrix} \theta_2(x_2) & -\theta_1(x_2) \\ -\theta_2(x_1) & \theta_1(x_1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

#### 5. Conclusion

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a two-dimensional irreducible Coxeter arrangement such that  $|\mathcal{A}|$  is even with  $|\mathcal{A}| \geq 4$ . We have constructed an explicit basis for  $D(\mathcal{A}, (a_1, a_2))$  for an arbitrary equivariant multiplicity  $\mathbf{k} = (a_1, a_2)$  with  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Our recipes are presented in the Tables 1, 2, Propositions 3.5, 4.2 and 4.4. Lastly we show Table 4 for the exponents.

**Acknowledgement** The author expresses his gratitude to Professor Hiroaki Terao for his patient guidance and many helpful discussions. He also thanks the referee for proposing many improvements of an earlier version.

$a_1$	$a_2$	$a_1 - a_2$	exponents of $(\mathcal{A}, (a_1, a_2))$	their difference
odd	odd	$\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$	$\frac{(a_1+a_2-2)h}{4} + 1, \ \frac{(a_1+a_2+2)h}{4} - 1$	h-2
odd	odd	$\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	$\frac{(a_1+a_2)h}{4} + 1, \ \frac{(a_1+a_2)h}{4} - 1$	2
odd	even		$\frac{(a_1+a_2-1)h}{4} + 1, \ \frac{(a_1+a_2+1)h}{4} - 1$	(h/2) - 2
even	odd		$\frac{(a_1+a_2-1)h}{4} + 1, \ \frac{(a_1+a_2+1)h}{4} - 1$	(h/2) - 2
even	even		$\frac{(a_1+a_2)h}{4}, \ \frac{(a_1+a_2)h}{4}$	0

Table 4. The exponents of  $(\mathcal{A}, (a_1, a_2))$   $(a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z})$ 

#### References

- [1] Abe T., A generalized logarithmic module and duality of Coxeter multiarrangements. arXiv:0807.2552v1.
- [2] Abe T. and Terao H., A primitive derivation and logarithmic differential forms of Coxeter arrangements. Math. Z., 264 (2010), 813–828.
- [3] Abe T. and Terao H., Primitive filtrations of the modules of invariant logarithmic forms of Coxeter arrangements. J. Algebra, **330** (2011), 251–262.
- [4] Abe T., Terao H. and Wakamiko A., Equivariant multiplicities of Coxeter arrangements and invariant bases. arXiv:1011.0329v3.
- [5] Abe T. and Yoshinaga M., Coxeter multiarrangements with quasi-constant multiplicities. J. Algebra, **322(8)** (2009), 2839–2847.
- [6] Chevalley C., Invariants of finite groups generated by reflections. Amer. J. Of Math., 77 (1955), 778–782.
- [7] Orlik P. and Terao H., Arrangements of Hyperplanes. Grundlehren der Math. Wiss., 300, Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [8] Saito K., On the uniformization of complements of discriminantial loci. In: Conference Notes, Amer. Math. Soc. Summer. Institute, Williamstown, 1975.
- [9] Saito K., Theory of logarithmic differential forms and logarithmic vector fields. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math., 27(2) (1980), 265–291.
- [10] Solomon L. and Terao H., The double Coxeter arrangement. Comment. Math. Helv., 73 (1998), 237–258.
- Terao H., Multiderivations of Coxeter arrangements. Invent. Math., 148(3) (2002), 659–674.
- [12] Yoshinaga M., The primitive derivation and freeness of multi-Coxeter arrangements. Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci., 78(7) (2002), 116–119.
- [13] Ziegler G. M., Multiarrangements of hyperplanes and their freeness. In:

Singularities, Contemporary Math. 90, Amer. Math. Soc., 1989, 345–359.

2-8-11 Aihara, Midori-ku Sagamihara-shi, Kanagawa, 252-0141 Japan E-mail: atsushi.wakamiko@gmail.com