## A NOTE ON DISJOINT INVARIANT SUBSPACES

## H. Bercovici

Let  $\mathfrak{C}$  be a separable Hilbert space, and let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a subspace of  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{K})$ . We denote by  $Q_{\mathfrak{B}}$  the weak\* dual of  $\mathfrak{B}$ , that is, the elements  $\phi$  of  $Q_{\mathfrak{B}}$  are linear functionals on  $\mathfrak{B}$  which are continuous in the induced weak\* topology of  $\mathfrak{B}$ . If h and k are in  $\mathfrak{K}$  we can define an element  $[h \otimes k] \in Q_{\mathfrak{B}}$  by

$$[h \otimes k](T) = \langle Th, k \rangle, T \in \mathfrak{G},$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the scalar product in  $\mathcal{K}$ . The notation  $[h \otimes k]$  is justified by the fact that  $Q_{\mathcal{K}}$  can also be regarded as a quotient of the space  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{K})$  of traceclass operators on  $\mathcal{K}$ , and in this case  $[h \otimes k]$  is the equivalence class of the rankone operator  $h \otimes k$ . Observe that  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{K})$  is a separable Banach space, and we deduce that  $Q_{\mathcal{K}}$  is also a separable Banach space.

Fix an integer  $n \ge 1$ , and recall from [1] that  $\mathfrak{B}$  is said to have property  $(\mathbf{A}_n^{\widetilde{}})$  if the following holds. Given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta = \delta(n, \epsilon) > 0$  such that for every array  $\{\phi_{ij}: 1 \le i, j \le n\} \subset Q_{\mathfrak{B}}$  and every family  $\{h_1, h_2, ..., h_n, k_1, k_2, ..., k_n\} \subset \mathfrak{F}$  satisfying the inequalities

$$\|\phi_{ij}-[h_i\otimes k_j]\|<\delta,\quad 1\leq i,j\leq n,$$

we can find  $\{h'_1, h'_2, h'_3, ..., h'_n, k'_1, k'_2, ..., k'_n\} \subset \mathcal{K}$  such that

$$\phi_{ij} = [h_i' \otimes k_i'], \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n,$$

and

$$||h'_i - h_i|| < \epsilon$$
,  $||k'_j - k_j|| < \epsilon$ ,  $1 \le i, j \le n$ .

Suppose that  $\mathfrak{B}$  has property  $(\mathbf{A}_n^{\sim})$ , and denote  $\delta_n = \delta(n, 1)$ . It is then easy to see that we may choose  $\delta(n, \epsilon) = \epsilon^2 \delta_n$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Let us also recall that  $\mathfrak{B}$  is said to have property  $(\mathbf{A}_{\aleph_0})$  if every array  $\{\phi_{ij}: 1 \le i, j < \aleph_0\}$  in  $Q_{\mathfrak{B}}$  can be written as  $\phi_{ij} = [h_i \otimes k_j], 1 \le i, j \le \aleph_0$ , for some families  $\{h_i: 1 \le i < \aleph_0\}$  and  $\{k_i: 1 \le j < \aleph_0\}$  of vectors in  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

The main purpose of this paper is to prove that a nonzero space  $\mathfrak{B}$  that has property  $(\mathbf{A}_n^{\sim})$  for every positive integer n possesses many disjoint cyclic spaces, that is, there exist  $x, y \in \mathfrak{IC}$  such that  $(\mathfrak{B}x)^- \neq \{0\} \neq (\mathfrak{B}y)^-$  but  $(\mathfrak{B}x)^- \cap (\mathfrak{B}y)^- = \{0\}$ . This result is obtained from an auxiliary result which shows that  $\mathfrak{B}$  has a property stronger than  $(\mathbf{A}_{\mathfrak{R}_0})$ ; a form of this stronger property was first used by Brown [5] to show the existence of full analytic subspaces for certain operators.

Let us note that our results imply that operators T in the class  $A_{\aleph_0}$  defined in [2], and hence operators in the class (BCP), have disjoint invariant subspaces. Indeed, it is known from [4] that the weak\* closed algebra  $\alpha_T$  generated by an

Received October 4, 1986.

Research in this paper was partially supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

Michigan Math. J. 34 (1987).

operator T in  $A_{\aleph_0}$  has property  $(A_n^{\sim})$  for every integer n. Thus we answer, in particular, a problem posed in [3].

The problem of disjoint invariant subspaces for subnormal operators has been studied in great detail by Olin and Thomson in [6] and [7]. In their terminology, we show that operators in the class  $A_{\aleph_0}$  are cellular decomposable.

We begin with a factorization theorem, related to Theorem 1 in [5].

THEOREM 1. Let  $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{K})$  be a subspace which has property  $(\mathbf{A}_n^{\sim})$  for every positive integer n. Assume that  $\mathfrak{D} \subset Q_{\mathfrak{B}}$  is a dense set. For every array  $\{\phi_{ij}: 1 \leq i, j < \infty\}$  in  $Q_{\mathfrak{B}}$  there exist sequences  $\{x_i: 1 \leq i < \infty\}$ ,  $\{y_j: 1 \leq j < \infty\}$ , and  $\{z_j: 1 \leq j < \infty\}$  with the following properties:

- (i)  $[x_i \otimes y_j] = \phi_{ij}, i, j \ge 1;$ 
  - (ii)  $[x_i \otimes z_j] \in \mathfrak{D}$ ,  $i, j \ge 1$ ; and
- (iii)  $\{z_j: 1 \le j < \infty\}$  is dense in  $\Im \mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\delta_n = \delta(n, 1)$  be derived, as before, from property  $(\mathbf{A}_n^{\tilde{n}})$ . Choose inductively positive numbers  $a_1, a_2, \ldots$ , satisfying the inequalities

$$a_i a_j \|\phi_{ij}\| < 4^{-n} \delta_{2n}, \quad n = \max(i, j), \ i, j \ge 1.$$

Fix also a sequence  $\{f_j: 1 \le j < \infty\}$  dense in  $\Im \mathbb{C}$ . We will determine inductively (on n) sequences of vectors  $\{h_i^{(n)}: 1 \le i < \infty\}$ ,  $\{k_j^{(n)}: 1 \le j < \infty\}$ ,  $\{f_j^{(n)}: 1 \le j < \infty\}$  in  $\Im \mathbb{C}$ , and arrays  $\{\psi_{ij}^{(n)}: 1 \le i, j < \infty\} \subset \mathfrak{D}$  satisfying the following properties for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ :

(2) 
$$h_i^{(n)} = k_j^{(n)} = 0, \quad i, j > n;$$

(3) 
$$f_j^{(n)} = f_j, \quad j > n;$$

(4) 
$$\psi_{ij}^{(n)} = 0 \text{ if } \min(i, j) > n;$$

$$[h_i^{(n)} \otimes k_j^{(n)}] = a_i a_j \phi_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n;$$

(6) 
$$[h_i^{(n)} \otimes f_i^{(n)}] = a_i \psi_{ij}^{(n)}, \quad 1 \le i, j \le n;$$

(7) 
$$\psi_{ij}^{(n+1)} = \psi_{ij}^{(n)}, \quad \max(i, j) \le n;$$

(8) 
$$||h_i^{(n+1)} - h_i^{(n)}|| < 2^{-n-1}, \quad i \ge 1;$$

(9) 
$$||k_i^{(n+1)} - k_i^{(n)}|| < 2^{-n-1}, \quad j \ge 1;$$

and

(10) 
$$||f_i^{(n+1)} - f_i^{(n)}|| < 2^{-n-1}, \quad j \ge 1.$$

For n=0 we have  $h_i^{(0)} = k_j^{(0)} = f_j^{(0)} = 0$  and  $\psi_{ij}^{(0)} = 0$  for all i and j, and the conditions (5) and (6) are vacuously satisfied. Suppose that for some  $n \ge 0$  vectors  $h_i^{(n)}$ ,  $k_j^{(n)}$ ,  $f_j^{(n)}$  and elements  $\psi_{ij}^{(n)} \in \mathfrak{D}$  have been found satisfying (2)–(6). We can then choose elements  $\psi_{i,j}^{(n+1)} \in \mathfrak{D}$ ,  $\max(i,j) = n+1$ , such that

(11) 
$$\|[h_i^{(n)} \otimes f_{n+1}^{(n)}] - a_i \psi_{i,n+1}^{(n+1)}\| < 4^{-n-1} \delta_{2(n+1)}, \quad 1 \le i \le n, \\ \|a_{n+1} \psi_{n+1,j}^{(n+1)}\| < 4^{-n-1} \delta_{2(n+1)}, \quad 1 \le j \le n+1.$$

The other values of  $\psi_{i,j}^{(n+1)}$  are dictated by (4):

$$\psi_{i,j}^{(n+1)} = \psi_{i,j}^{(n)}, \quad 1 \le i, j \le n,$$
  
$$\psi_{i,j}^{(n+1)} = 0, \quad \min(i,j) > n+1.$$

Let us note now that we have the inequalities

(12) 
$$||[h_i^{(n)} \otimes k_j^{(n)}] - a_i a_j \phi_{ij}|| < 4^{-n-1} \delta_{2(n+1)}, \quad 1 \le i, j \le n+1,$$

(13) 
$$||[h_i^{(n)} \otimes f_i^{(n)} - a_i \psi_{ij}|| < 4^{-n-1} \delta_{2(n+1)}, \quad 1 \le i, j \le n+1.$$

Indeed, (12) and (13) follow at once from (5), (6), and (11). It follows now from property  $(\mathbf{A}_{2(n+1)}^{\tilde{n}})$  (note that  $4^{-n-1}\delta_{2(n+1)} = \delta(2(n+1), 2^{-n-1})$ ) that we can find vectors  $h_i^{(n+1)}$ ,  $k_j^{(n+1)}$ , and  $f_j^{(n+1)}$ ,  $1 \le i, j \le n+1$ , satisfying (8), (9), and (10) for  $1 \le i, j \le n+1$ , and (5) and (6) with n replaced by n+1. The values of  $h_i^{(n+1)}$ ,  $k_j^{(n+1)}$ , and  $f_j^{(n+1)}$  are prescribed by (2) and (3) for  $i, j \ge n+2$ , and the existence of  $\{h_i^{(n)}: i \ge 1\}$ ,  $\{k_j^{(n)}: j \ge 1\}$ ,  $\{f_j^{(n)}: j \ge 1\}$ , and  $\{\psi_{ij}^{(n)}: i, j \ge 1\}$  for every value of n is proved by induction.

Let us set now  $h_i = \lim_{n \to \infty} h_i^{(n)}$ ,  $k_j = \lim_{n \to \infty} k_j^{(n)}$ ,  $z_j = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_j^{(n)}$ , and  $\psi_{ij} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \psi_{ij}^{(n)} = \psi_{ij}^{(i+j)}$  for  $i, j \ge 1$ . These limits exist by (8), (9), (10), and (7). Note that  $[h_i \otimes k_j] = a_i a_j \phi_{ij}$ ,  $[h_i \otimes z_j] = a_i \psi_{ij}$ , and

$$||z_{j} - f_{j}|| = ||\lim_{n \to \infty} f_{j}^{(n)} - f_{j}^{(j-1)}||$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=j-1}^{\infty} ||f_{j}^{(k+1)} - f_{j}^{(k)}||$$

$$< \sum_{k=j-1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} = 2^{-j+2}$$

for  $j \ge 1$ . These inequalities show that the sequence  $\{z_j : j \ge 1\}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}$ . To conclude the proof it suffices now to set  $x_i = a_i^{-1}h_i$  and  $y_j = a_j^{-1}k_j$  for  $i, j \ge 1$ .  $\square$ 

We are now ready to prove the main result of this paper. If  $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{K})$  is a linear subspace, a space of the form  $(\mathfrak{B}h)^-$  will be called, somewhat improperly, a cyclic space for  $\mathfrak{B}$ .

THEOREM 14. Assume that the subspace  $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{IC})$ ,  $\mathfrak{B} \neq \{0\}$ , has property  $(\mathbf{A}_n^{\sim})$  for every positive integer n. Then there exists a sequence  $\{\mathfrak{M}_j \colon j \geq 1\}$  of nonzero cyclic subspaces for  $\mathfrak{B}$  with the following property. If  $\{K_a \colon a \in A\}$  is a family of subsets of  $\{1, 2, ...\}$  such that  $\bigcap_{a \in A} K_a = \emptyset$  and at least one of the sets  $K_a$  is finite, then  $\bigcap_{a \in A} (\bigvee_{j \in K_a} \mathfrak{M}_j) = \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* As we noted in the introduction, the space  $Q_{\mathfrak{B}}$  is separable, and hence we can choose a sequence  $\mathfrak{D} = \{\phi_j : j \ge 1\}$  dense in  $Q_{\mathfrak{B}}$ . An application of Theorem 1 implies the existence of vectors  $x_i$ ,  $y_{jp}$ ,  $z_j$  in  $\mathfrak{R}$ ,  $i, j, p \ge 1$ , with the following properties:

$$[x_i \otimes y_{jp}] = \delta_{ij} \phi_p, \quad i, j, p \ge 1;$$

(16) 
$$\{z_j: j \ge 1\}$$
 is dense in  $\mathfrak{K}$ ;

and

$$[x_i \otimes z_j] = \phi_{\pi(i,j)} \in \mathfrak{D}, \quad i, j \ge 1.$$

Here  $\pi: N \times N \to N$  is some function provided by the fact that  $[x_i \otimes z_j] \in \mathfrak{D}$ , and, of course,  $\delta_{ij} = 0$  or 1 according to whether  $i \neq j$  or i = j. We will show that the spaces  $\mathfrak{M}_i = (\mathfrak{G}x_i)^-$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , satisfy the conditions of the theorem. First we show that  $\mathfrak{M}_i \neq \{0\}$  for each i. Indeed,  $\mathfrak{G} \neq \{0\}$ , so that there exists  $p \geq 1$  with  $\phi_p \neq 0$ . Choose  $T \in \mathfrak{G}$  such that  $\phi_p(T) \neq 0$ , and note that

$$\langle Tx_i, y_{ip} \rangle = \phi_p(T) \neq 0,$$

and hence  $Tx_i \in \mathfrak{M}_i \setminus \{0\}$ .

Let now  $\{K_a: a \in A\}$  be a family of subsets of  $\{1, 2, ...\}$  with  $K_{a_0}$  finite and  $\bigcap_{a \in A} K_a = \emptyset$ . Fix an element  $x \in \bigcap_{a \in A} (\bigvee_{i \in K_a} \mathfrak{M}_i)$ ; to finish the proof it will suffice to show that x = 0. We show first that

$$\langle x, y_{jp} \rangle = 0, \quad j, p \ge 1.$$

Indeed, fix j, and choose  $a_1 \in A$  with  $j \notin K_{a_1}$ . Since  $x \in \bigvee_{i \in K_{a_1}} \mathfrak{M}_i$ , there exist operators  $T_i^{(n)}$  in  $\mathfrak{B}$  such that for each n,  $T_i^{(n)} \neq 0$  for finitely many values of i, and

$$x = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i \in K_{a_i}} T_i^{(n)} x_i.$$

We deduce from (15) that

$$\langle x, y_{jp} \rangle = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i \in K_{a_1}} [x_i \otimes y_{jp}] (T_i^{(n)}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i \in K_{a_1}} \delta_{ij} \phi_p (T_i^{(n)}) = 0$$

for all  $p \ge 1$ .

Use now the fact that  $x \in \bigvee_{i \in K_{a_0}} \mathfrak{M}_i$  to write

$$x = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i \in K_{a_0}} T_i^{(n)} x_i.$$

For  $j \in K_{a_0}$  and  $p \ge 1$  we deduce from (18) that

(19) 
$$0 = \langle x, y_{jp} \rangle = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i \in K_{a_0}} \delta_{ij} \phi_p(T_i^{(n)}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi_p(T_j^{(n)}).$$

Suppose that  $x \neq 0$ , and choose k such that  $||x - z_k|| < \frac{1}{2}||x||$ ; this is possible by (16). We have

$$|\langle x, z_k \rangle| = |\langle x, x \rangle - \langle x, x - z_k \rangle| \ge ||x||^2 - ||x|| ||x - z_k|| > 0$$

but, on the other hand,

$$\langle x, z_k \rangle = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i \in K_{a_0}} \langle T_i^{(n)} x, z_k \rangle$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i \in K_{a_0}} [x_i \otimes z_k] (T_i^{(n)})$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i \in K_{a_0}} \phi_{\pi(i,k)} (T_i^{(n)}) = 0$$

by (17) and (19). This contradiction shows that necessarily x = 0. The theorem is proved.

## **REFERENCES**

- 1. H. Bercovici, *A reflexivity theorem for weakly closed subspaces of operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 288 (1985), 139–146.
- 2. H. Bercovici, C. Foiaş, and C. Pearcy, *Dilation theory and systems of simultaneous equations in the predual of an operator algebra*, I, Michigan Math. J. 30 (1983), 335–354.
- 3. ——, Dual algebras with applications to invariant subspaces and dilation theory, CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math., No. 56, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1985.
- 4. H. Bercovici, B. Chevreau, C. Foiaş, and C. Pearcy, *Dilation theory and systems of simultaneous equations in the predual of an operator algebra*, II, Math. Z. 187 (1984), 97–103.
- 5. S. Brown, Full analytic subspaces for contractions with rich spectrum, preprint.
- 6. R. Olin and J. Thomson, *Cellular-indecomposable operators*, Integral Equations Operator Theory 7 (1984), 392–430.
- 7. ——, Cellular-indecomposable operators, II, Integral Equations Operator Theory 9 (1986), 600-609.

Department of Mathematics Indiana University Bloomington, IN 47405