

# A MINKOWSKI AREA HAVING NO CONVEX EXTENSION

Edward Silverman

Let  $A = E^4 \wedge E^4$ , and let  $M$  be the set of all simple (decomposable) elements in  $A$ . Let  $\{\lambda_i\}$  denote a set of nonnegative numbers whose sum is 1. Let  $h$  be a real-valued function on  $M$ . Then [3, p. 20]  $h$  is *weakly convex* on  $M$  if

$$h(\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2) \leq \lambda_1 h(x_1) + \lambda_2 h(x_2)$$

whenever  $x_1, x_2 \in M$  and  $\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2 \in M$ . Also,  $h$  is *convex* on  $M$  if

$$(a) \quad h\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i x_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i h(x_i)$$

whenever  $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  is a finite set of points in  $M$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i x_i \in M$ , and

(b) there exists a linear function  $L$  on  $A$  with  $L \leq h$  on  $M$ .

Suppose that  $h$  is convex on  $M$ , and let  $q(x) = \inf \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i h(x_i)$ , where the infimum is taken over all  $k$ -tuples  $\{x_i\}_1^k$  of points in  $M$  and over all  $\{\lambda_i\}_1^k$  such that  $x = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i x_i$ . Then (see [3, p. 21])  $q$  extends  $h$  to  $A$  and is convex.

Let  $K$  be a central convex body in  $E^4$  with its center at the origin. If  $R \in M$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  is the plane determined by  $R$ , let  $f(R) = |R|/e(K \cap \mathcal{R})$ , where  $e(K \cap \mathcal{R})$  is the Euclidean area of  $K \cap \mathcal{R}$ . It is known that the Minkowski area  $f$  is weakly convex [4, p. 62]. We shall show that, for suitable  $K$ ,  $f$  is not convex; this answers a question of Busemann and Petty [2, Problem 10]. This problem was discussed in greater detail in [4] and listed again in [3, p. 33].

Let  $r^i = (r_1^i, r_2^i)$  ( $i \in I = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ ) be linear functions on  $E^2$  that are linearly independent. Let  $p_1$  and  $p_3$  in  $E^2$  be determined by the equations  $r^1(p_1) = r^2(p_1) = 1$  and  $r^2(p_3) = r^3(p_3) = 1$ . Then it is not difficult to verify that, except possibly for sign, the area of the parallelogram spanned by  $p_1$  and  $p_3$  is

$$\frac{[12] + [23] + [31]}{[12][23]}, \quad \text{where } [ij] = \det \begin{vmatrix} r_1^i & r_2^i \\ r_1^j & r_2^j \end{vmatrix}.$$

Thus, if  $P$  is a symmetric octagon whose consecutive sides, in appropriate order, are

$$-r^4 = 1, \quad r^1 = 1, \quad r^2 = 1, \quad r^3 = 1, \quad r^4 = 1, \quad -r^1 = 1, \quad -r^2 = 1, \quad -r^3 = 1,$$

then  $\text{area } P = A = A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4$ , where

Received October 7, 1970.

This research was sponsored in part by the National Science Foundation, under Grant 6075-50-13955.

Michigan Math. J. 18 (1971).

$$A_1 = \frac{[14] + [12] + [42]}{[14][12]}, \quad A_2 = \frac{[12] + [23] + [31]}{[12][23]},$$

$$A_3 = \frac{[23] + [34] + [42]}{[23][34]}, \quad A_4 = \frac{[34] + [14] + [31]}{[34][14]}.$$

Now let  $K = \{x \in E^4 \mid |x^i| \leq 1, i \in I\}$ , and with the notation  $\alpha = 2^{-1/2}$ , let

$$a_1 = (\alpha, 1, \alpha, 0), \quad a_2 = (-\alpha, 0, \alpha, 1), \quad b_1 = (\alpha, 0, -\alpha, 1), \quad b_2 = (\alpha, -1, \alpha, 0).$$

Then

$$a_1 + sb_1 = (\alpha(1+s), 1, \alpha(1-s), s)$$

and

$$a_2 + sb_2 = (-\alpha(1-s), -s, \alpha(1+s), 1)$$

so that

$$R(s) = (a_1 + sb_1) \wedge (a_2 + sb_2)$$

$$= \{\alpha(1-2s-s^2), 1+s^2, \alpha(1+2s-s^2), \alpha(1+2s-s^2), 1+s^2, \alpha(1-2s-s^2)\}$$

for each real number  $s$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}(s)$  be the plane determined by  $R(s)$ , and suppose that  $\delta \in (0, 2\alpha - 1)$ . If  $|s| < \delta$ , then  $K \cap \mathcal{R}(s)$  is a symmetric octagon, and if

$T: \mathcal{R}(s) \rightarrow E^2$  is defined by the equation

$$T(u^1(a_1 + sb_1) + u^2(a_2 + sb_2)) = (u^1, u^2),$$

then the linear transformation  $T$  takes  $K \cap \mathcal{R}(s)$  into an octagon  $P$  whose consecutive sides are in the order given earlier. Hence

$$\text{area } P = A = \frac{4 \{2\alpha(1-s^2) - 1 - s^2\}}{\alpha^2(1+2s-s^2)(1-2s-s^2)}$$

$$= \frac{8 \{(2\alpha - 1) - (2\alpha + 1)s^2\}}{(1-s^2)^2 - 4s^2} = \frac{8}{(2\alpha + 1) - (2\alpha - 1)s^2},$$

so that  $f(R(s)) = e(T\mathcal{R}(s))e^{-1}(P) = A^{-1} = [(2\alpha + 1) - (2\alpha - 1)s^2]/8$ . Thus the function  $\phi = f \circ R$  on  $(-\delta, \delta)$  is not convex.

**THEOREM.** *The Minkowski area  $f$  defined for the central convex body  $K$  is not convex.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f$  is convex on  $M$ . Then there exists a convex function  $g$  on all of  $A$  that extends  $f$ . Thus there exist real-valued  $C^\infty$ -functions  $g_n$  that are convex and converge uniformly to  $g$  on compact subsets of  $A$ . Let  $\psi_n = g_n \circ R$  on  $(-\delta, \delta)$ . Evidently, the sequence  $\{\psi_n\}$  converges uniformly to  $\phi$ . However,

$$\psi_n' = (g_n' \circ R)R' \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_n'' = (g_n'' \circ R)R'(2) \geq 0,$$

since  $R'(s) = a_1 \wedge b_2 + b_1 \wedge a_2$  is independent of  $s$ , and since  $g_n$  is convex. Thus  $\psi_n$ , and hence  $\phi$ , is also convex, so that  $f$  cannot be convex.

The essential part of the proof that  $f$  is weakly convex if  $K$  is symmetric appears in [1]. If  $K$  is not symmetric, then  $f$  need not be weakly convex, as can be seen from the following example. Let

$$M(x, y, z) = \max \{x^+, y^+, z^+\} \quad \text{and} \quad K = \{(x, y, z) \in E^3 \mid M(x, y, z) \leq 1\},$$

where  $r^+ = \max \{r, 0\}$  when  $r$  is a real number. Let

$$a = (1, 0, -1), \quad c = (1, 0, -3), \quad e = (1, 0, -2),$$

$$b = (0, 1, -1), \quad d = (0, 1, -5), \quad m = (0, 1, -3),$$

so that  $e \wedge m = (a \wedge b + e \wedge d)/2$ . It is easy to see that  $f(a \wedge b) = 2/9$ ,  $f(c \wedge d) = 10/27$ , and

$$f(e \wedge m) = \frac{1}{3} > \frac{8}{27} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{2}{9} + \frac{10}{27} \right] = \frac{1}{2} [f(a \wedge b) + f(c \wedge d)].$$

#### REFERENCES

1. H. Busemann, *A theorem on convex bodies of the Brunn-Minkowski type*. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 35 (1949), 27-31.
2. H. Busemann and C. M. Petty, *Problems on convex bodies*. Math. Scand. 4 (1956), 88-94.
3. H. Busemann and G. C. Shephard, *Convexity on nonconvex sets*. Proc. Colloquium on Convexity (Copenhagen, 1965), pp. 20-23. K benhavn Univ. Mat. Inst., Copenhagen, 1967.
4. H. Busemann and E. G. Straus, *Area and normality*. Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960), 35-72.

The University of Michigan  
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104  
and  
Purdue University  
Lafayette, Indiana 47907

