

ON THE COEFFICIENTS OF FUNCTIONS WITH BOUNDED BOUNDARY ROTATION

W. E. Kirwan

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note, we discuss the class of functions

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

that are analytic in the unit disc U , satisfy the condition $f'(z) \neq 0$ in U , and map U onto a domain with bounded boundary rotation (for a definition of this concept, see [3]). In particular, we denote by V_k the family of functions that satisfy the above conditions and map U onto a domain with boundary rotation at most $k\pi$. V. Paatero [3] showed that $f \in V_k$ if and only if

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = \int_0^z \exp \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \log(1 - ze^{-it})^{-1} d\mu(t) \right\} dz,$$

where $\mu(t)$ is real-valued and of bounded variation on $[0, 2\pi]$ and satisfies the conditions

$$\text{i) } \int_0^{2\pi} d\mu(t) = 2, \quad \text{ii) } \int_0^{2\pi} |d\mu(t)| \leq k.$$

V_2 is precisely the class of normalized univalent functions that map U onto a convex domain, and it is known [3] that for $2 \leq k \leq 4$, V_k consists only of univalent functions.

In spite of considerable effort, the problem of determining

$$(1.2) \quad A_n(k) = \max_{f \in V_k} |a_n|$$

remains unsolved, except for $k = 2$ and $k = 4$.

K. Loewner [2] proved that $A_n(2) = 1$, and A. Rényi [5] proved that $A_n(4) = n$. Rényi's result shows that

$$(1.3) \quad A_n(k) \leq n \quad (k \leq 4);$$

in addition Rényi proved that

$$(1.4) \quad A_n(k) \leq n^{k-2},$$

Received December 23, 1967.

Work on this paper was supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation, NSF GP-6891.

which in view of (1.3) is of interest only when $k \leq 3$. O. Lehto [1] proved that $A_2(k) = k/2$ and $A_3(k) = (k^2 + 2)/6$ (Lehto states that $A_2(k) = k/2$ had been proved earlier by G. Pick). Lehto also proved that

$$A_n(k) \sim \frac{k^{n-1}}{n!} \quad (k \rightarrow \infty).$$

Finally, necessary conditions on the extremal function for this extremal problem have recently been obtained in [4] and [6].

The purpose of this note is to prove the estimate

$$(1.5) \quad A_n(k) < e \left(2 - \frac{1}{n} \right)^{k/2-2} n^{k/2-1},$$

and to show that the order $n^{k/2-1}$ cannot be improved for any k . We note that for sufficiently large n , (1.5) is an improvement on (1.3) and (1.4).

2. COEFFICIENT ESTIMATES

Our main result is a consequence of the following theorem, which is of some interest in itself.

THEOREM 1. *Let $f(z) \in V_k$. If $\lambda \geq 1$, then*

$$I_\lambda(r, f') = \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(re^{i\theta})|^\lambda d\theta \leq 2\pi \left(\frac{1}{1-r^2} \right)^\lambda \left(\frac{1+r}{1-r} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}k\lambda-1} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq r < 1.$$

Proof. It follows from (1.1) that

$$(2.1) \quad f'(z) = \exp \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \log(1 - ze^{-it})^{-1} d\mu(t) \right\},$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} d\mu(t) = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^{2\pi} |d\mu(t)| \leq k.$$

If $\gamma(t)$ denotes the variation of $\mu(t)$ over $[0, t]$, then

$$\mu(t) = \frac{1}{2} \{ \gamma(t) + \mu(t) \} - \frac{1}{2} \{ \gamma(t) - \mu(t) \} = \nu(t) - \sigma(t)$$

is a decomposition of $\mu(t)$ into a difference of increasing functions. Moreover, by (2.2),

$$(2.3) \quad 2 \leq \nu(2\pi) - \nu(0) = a \leq \frac{1}{2}k + 1, \quad 0 \leq \sigma(2\pi) - \sigma(0) \leq \frac{1}{2}k - 1.$$

It is clear from (2.1) and (2.3) that if $z = re^{i\theta}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_\lambda(r, f') &= \int_0^{2\pi} \exp \left\{ \lambda \int_0^{2\pi} \log |1 - ze^{-it}|^{-1} d(\nu(t) - \sigma(t)) \right\} d\theta \\
 &\leq (1+r)^{\lambda(k/2-1)} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp \left\{ \lambda \int_0^{2\pi} \log |1 - ze^{-it}|^{-1} d\nu(t) \right\} d\theta .
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying Jensen's inequality in the previous estimate we may write

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_\lambda(r, f') &\leq (1+r)^{\lambda(k/2-1)} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp \left\{ a\lambda \int_0^{2\pi} \log |1 - ze^{-it}|^{-1} d\left(\frac{\nu(t)}{a}\right) \right\} d\theta \\
 (2.4) \quad &\leq (1+r)^{\lambda(k/2-1)} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |1 - ze^{-it}|^{-a\lambda} d\left(\frac{\nu(t)}{a}\right) d\theta \\
 &= (1+r)^{\lambda(k/2-1)} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |1 - ze^{-it}|^{-a\lambda} d\theta d\left(\frac{\nu(t)}{a}\right) .
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $2 \leq a\lambda \leq \lambda(k/2 + 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^{2\pi} |1 - ze^{-it}|^{-a\lambda} d\theta &\leq \frac{1}{(1-r)^{a\lambda-2}} \int_0^{2\pi} |1 - ze^{-it}|^{-2} d\theta \\
 &= \frac{2\pi}{(1-r^2)(1-r)^{a\lambda-2}} \leq \frac{2\pi}{(1+r)(1-r)^{\lambda(k/2+1)-1}} .
 \end{aligned}$$

Using this inequality in (2.4), we obtain the estimate

$$I_\lambda(r, f') \leq 2\pi \left(\frac{1}{1-r^2}\right)^\lambda \left(\frac{1+r}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}k\lambda-1} ,$$

and the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

THEOREM 2. *Let $A_n(k)$ be defined by (1.2); then*

$$(2.5) \quad A_n(k) < e \left(2 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{k/2-2} n^{k/2-1} .$$

Proof. If $f(z) \in V_k$ and $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, then (with the notation $z = re^{i\theta}$)

$$n |a_n| = \frac{1}{2\pi r^n} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} z f'(z) e^{-in\theta} d\theta \right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi r^{n-1}} \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(re^{i\theta})| d\theta .$$

Using the estimate of Theorem 1 and taking $r = \frac{n-1}{n}$, we get the inequality

$$(2.6) \quad n |a_n| \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n-1}\right)^{n-1} \left(2 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{k/2-2} n^{k/2},$$

which implies that

$$|a_n| < e \left(2 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{k/2-2} n^{k/2-1}.$$

The theorem follows from this inequality.

Since we are only interested in estimating $A_n(k)$ for $n \geq 4$, we can simplify the estimate in Theorem 2 as follows.

COROLLARY. For $n \geq 4$,

$$A_n(k) < \begin{cases} e \cdot \left(\frac{4}{7}\right)^{2-k/2} n^{k/2-1} & (k \leq 4), \\ e \cdot 2^{k/2-2} n^{k/2-1} & (k \geq 4). \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $n \geq 4$,

$$\left(2 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{k/2-2} \leq \begin{cases} \left(\frac{4}{7}\right)^{2-k/2} & (k \leq 4), \\ 2^{k/2-2} & (k \geq 4), \end{cases}$$

and the result follows from (2.5).

It is interesting to compare (2.5) with the actual value for $A_n(k)$ in the two cases where the value is known. For $k = 2$, (2.5) yields

$$1 = A_n(2) < e \cdot \frac{n}{2n-1},$$

and for $k = 4$,

$$n = A_n(4) < e \cdot n.$$

Four is the smallest value of n for which $A_n(k)$ is not known. We use (2.6) to give the following estimate.

COROLLARY. $A_4(k) \leq \frac{4^4}{3^3} \cdot 7^{k/2-2}.$

It remains to show that the order $n^{k/2-1}$ in (2.5) cannot be improved. Let $\mu(t)$ be a step function on $[0, 2\pi]$, with two discontinuities. One discontinuity occurs at $t = 0$, with positive jump $1 + k/2$, and the other at $t = \pi$, with negative jump $1 - k/2$. The function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) belongs to V_k , and it is given by

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{k} \left[\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^{k/2} - 1 \right] = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n z^n.$$

Since

$$(1 - z)^{-k/2} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{1}{2}k \left(\frac{1}{2}k + 1\right) \cdots \left(\frac{1}{2}k + n - 1\right)}{n!} z^n$$

and

$$(1 + z)^{k/2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{k/2}{n} z^n,$$

it follows that

$$(2.7) \quad A_n = \frac{1}{k} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{k/2}{j} \frac{\frac{1}{2}k \left(\frac{1}{2}k + 1\right) \cdots \left(\frac{1}{2}k + n - j - 1\right)}{(n - j)!} \right] + \frac{1}{k} \binom{k/2}{n}.$$

Now $\binom{k/2}{j}$ is nonnegative if $j \leq [k/2] + 1$ (greatest-integer notation). If $j > [k/2] + 1$, then $\binom{k/2}{j}$ is nonnegative or nonpositive according as $j - [k/2] - 1$ is an even or an odd integer. Thus, if $[k/2]$ is not an integer, the sign of $\binom{k/2}{j}$ is alternating for $j \geq [k/2] + 1$. For $j \leq n$,

$$\frac{\frac{1}{2}k \left(\frac{1}{2}k + 1\right) \cdots \left(\frac{1}{2}k + n - j - 1\right)}{(n - j)!} \geq \frac{\frac{1}{2}k \left(\frac{1}{2}k + 1\right) \cdots \left(\frac{1}{2}k + n - j - 2\right)}{(n - j - 1)!},$$

and for $j \geq [k/2] + 1$,

$$\left| \binom{k/2}{j} \right| \geq \left| \binom{k/2}{j+1} \right|.$$

It follows that the terms of the series in (2.7) are decreasing in absolute value if $j \geq [k/2] + 1$. The term corresponding to $j = [k/2] + 1$ is nonnegative. Therefore, the sum of the series in (2.7) is larger than its first term. Consequently, we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(k) &> \frac{1}{k} \frac{\frac{1}{2}k \left(\frac{1}{2}k + 1\right) \cdots \left(\frac{1}{2}k + n - 1\right)}{n!} + \frac{1}{k} \binom{k/2}{n} \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \frac{\frac{1}{2}k \left(\frac{1}{2}k + 1\right) \cdots \left(\frac{1}{2}k + n - 1\right)}{(n - 1)^{k/2} (n - 1)!} \cdot \frac{(n - 1)^{k/2}}{n} + \frac{1}{k} \binom{k/2}{n} \sim \frac{n^{k/2-1}}{k \Gamma(k/2)} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

The author wishes to conclude this note by expressing his appreciation to D. A. Brannan for several helpful conversations during the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. O. Lehto, *On the distortion of conformal mappings with bounded boundary rotation*. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.-Phys. No. 124 (1952).
2. K. Loewner, *Untersuchungen über die Verzerrung bei konformen Abbildungen des Einheitskreises $|z| < 1$, die durch Funktionen mit nicht verschwindender Ableitung geliefert werden*. Berichte Königl. Sächs. Ges. Wissensch. Leipzig 69 (1917), 89-106.
3. V. Paatero, *Über die konforme Abbildung von Gebieten, deren Ränder von beschränkter Drehung sind*. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. 33 No. 9 (1931).
4. B. Pinchuk, *Extremal problems for functions of bounded boundary rotation*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 73 (1967), 708-711.
5. A. Rényi, *On the coefficients of schlicht functions*. Publ. Math. Debrecen 1 (1949), 18-23.
6. M. Schiffer and O. Tammi, *A method of variations for functions with bounded boundary rotation*. J. Analyse Math. 17 (1966), 109-144.

University of Maryland
College Park, Maryland 20740