

EXTENSIONS OF IRREDUCIBLE MODULES

Irving Reiner

Let G be a finite group of order g , let R be the ring of all algebraic integers in an algebraic number field K , and let RG be the group ring consisting of the R -linear combinations of the elements of G . We consider left RG -modules which are finitely generated over R . For two such modules M and N , let $\text{Ext}_{RG}^1(M, N)$ denote the R -module whose elements are the classes of extensions of M by N . When there is no danger of confusion, we shall write Ext instead of Ext_{RG}^1 .

A well-known form of Maschke's Theorem (see [1], which is a general reference for results cited in this note) asserts that

$$g \cdot \text{Ext}(M, N) = 0$$

for all RG -modules M and N . As has been shown by D. G. Higman [3], it is useful to associate with each fixed RG -module M , the collection $d(M)$ of all elements $a \in R$ such that

$$a \cdot \text{Ext}(M, N) = 0 \quad \text{for all } N.$$

Of course, $d(M)$ is an ideal in R , and $g \in d(M)$.

Now let M be an RG -module which is torsion-free over R , and set

$$KM = K \otimes_R M.$$

We shall call M *absolutely irreducible* if the KG -module KM is absolutely irreducible, that is, if $L \otimes_K KM$ is irreducible for each extension field L of K . For such a module M , it is well known that the dimension $(KM: K)$ divides g . Let us set

$$d_M = \frac{g}{(KM: K)}.$$

The purpose of this note is to establish the following surprising result.

THEOREM. *Let M be an absolutely irreducible R -torsion-free RG -module. Then $d(M)$ is the principal ideal generated by d_M . In other words, d_M annihilates $\text{Ext}_{RG}^1(M, N)$ for all N , and any element of R with this property must be a multiple of d_M .*

In proving the theorem, we may first reduce the problem to the case where the underlying ring R is a principal ideal ring. For P a prime ideal in R , let R_P denote the valuation ring in K belonging to the P -adic valuation of K . Then

$$\text{Ext}_{RG}^1(M, N) \cong (\text{direct sum}) \sum_{P \supset gR} \text{Ext}_{R_P G}^1(R_P M, R_P N),$$

Received January 21, 1963.

This research was supported by the Guggenheim Foundation and the Office of Naval Research.

and so it suffices to work over the principal ideal rings R_P instead of over R . To avoid changing notation, we assume for the remainder of the proof that R is a principal ideal ring containing all the algebraic integers of K .

Next we need a lemma which is due to D. G. Higman [2]. For the convenience of the reader, we shall sketch a proof thereof.

LEMMA. *Let M be an arbitrary R -torsion-free RG -module. An element $a \in R$ lies in $d(M)$ if and only if there exists an R -endomorphism u of M such that*

$$(1) \quad \sum_{x \in G} x^{-1} u(xm) = am \quad (m \in M).$$

Proof. Define the RG -module $F = RG \otimes_R M$, with the action of G given by

$$y(\sum b_i \otimes m_i) = \sum yb_i \otimes ym_i \quad (b_i \in RG, m_i \in M, y \in G).$$

It is easily seen (Swan [4]) that an R -basis of M is also an RG -basis for F , so F is a free RG -module. Let $G = \{x_1, \dots, x_g\}$, where $x_1 = 1$. Then $F = \sum x_i \otimes M$, and the map

$$\sum x_i \otimes m_i \rightarrow \sum m_i$$

is an RG -homomorphism of F onto M . The kernel M' of this homomorphism is the RG -submodule of F given by

$$M' = \sum_{i=2}^g (x_i - 1) \otimes M.$$

Now let N be any RG -module. The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

gives rise to the exact sequence

$$\text{Hom}_{RG}(F, N) \xrightarrow{\theta} \text{Hom}_{RG}(M', N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(M, N) \rightarrow 0,$$

since $\text{Ext}(F, N) = 0$. Thus for $a \in R$, $a \cdot \text{Ext}(M, N) = 0$ if and only if

$$(2) \quad a \cdot \text{Hom}_{RG}(M', N) \subset \theta\{\text{Hom}_{RG}(F, N)\}.$$

Let $a \in R$, and suppose that (1) holds for some $u \in \text{Hom}_R(M, M)$. Define $v \in \text{Hom}_R(M', M')$ by

$$v\{\sum (x_i - 1) \otimes m_i\} = \sum (x_i - 1) \otimes u(m_i).$$

From (1) we find readily that

$$\sum_{y \in G} y^{-1} v y = a \cdot 1_{M'},$$

where $1_{M'}$ denotes the identity map on M' . To prove that (2) holds, let h be any element of $\text{Hom}_{RG}(M', N)$. Then $hv \in \text{Hom}_R(M', N)$. Since M is R -torsion-free, $F \cong M \oplus M'$ as R -modules, and so we can choose $t \in \text{Hom}_R(F, N)$ such that $t(m') = hv(m')$, $m' \in M'$. If we set

$$w = \sum_{y \in G} y^{-1}ty,$$

it is easy to see that $w \in \text{Hom}_{RG}(F, N)$ and that $\theta(w) = a \cdot h$. Hence (2) is true, and therefore $a \in d(M)$.

Conversely, let $a \in d(M)$. Then in particular,

$$a \cdot \text{Hom}_{RG}(M', M') \subset \theta \{ \text{Hom}_{RG}(F, M') \},$$

and so $a \cdot 1_{M'} = \theta(w)$ for some $w \in \text{Hom}_{RG}(F, M')$. Set

$$w(1 \otimes m) = \sum_{i=2}^g (x_i - 1) \otimes u_i(m) \quad (m \in M).$$

Then each $u_i \in \text{Hom}_R(M', M')$, and from the relation $a \cdot 1_{M'} = \theta(w)$, one finds that (1) holds with u chosen as $-u_2$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Assume now that M is absolutely irreducible, and suppose that relative to some R -basis, M affords the matrix representation

$$x \rightarrow A(x) = (a_{ij}(x)) \quad (x \in G),$$

where the indices i and j range from 1 to $(KM:K)$. One of the standard orthogonality relations states that

$$(3) \quad \sum_{x \in G} a_{ij}(x)a_{rs}(x^{-1}) = d_M \delta_{is} \delta_{jr}.$$

Let U be the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $0, \dots, 0, 1$, of the same size as each $A(x)$, and let I be the identity matrix of that size. Then (3) yields

$$\sum_{x \in G} A(x^{-1})UA(x) = d_M I.$$

If u is the R -endomorphism of M defined by U , the above may be written as

$$\sum_{x \in G} x^{-1}u(xm) = d_M m \quad (m \in M).$$

By virtue of the preceding lemma, we conclude from this that $d_M \in d(M)$.

Furthermore, let $a \in d(M)$. Then there exists a matrix $V = (v_{ij})$ with entries in R , such that

$$\sum_{x \in G} A(x^{-1})VA(x) = aI.$$

By using (3), this gives

$$a = d_M \cdot \sum v_{ii},$$

and so a is a multiple of d_M . This completes the proof of the theorem.

COROLLARY. *Let N be an absolutely irreducible R -torsion-free RG -module. Then*

$$d_N \cdot \text{Ext}(M, N) = 0 \quad \text{for all } M,$$

and any element of R with this property must be a multiple of d_N .

Proof. Let N^* denote the contragredient of N , and M^* that of M . Then N^* is also absolutely irreducible, and $d_{N^*} = d_N$. The result now follows from the isomorphism $\text{Ext}(M, N) \cong \text{Ext}(N^*, M^*)$.

REFERENCES

1. C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras*, Wiley, New York, 1962.
2. D. G. Higman, *Relative cohomology*, *Canad. J. Math.* 9 (1957), 19-34.
3. ———, *On representations of orders over Dedekind domains*, *Canad. J. Math.* 12 (1960), 107-125.
4. R. G. Swan, *Induced representations and projective modules*, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 71 (1960), 552-578.

University of Illinois
Urbana, Illinois