SOME CONSTANTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE RIEMANN ZETA-FUNCTION

William E. Briggs

The following proposition was stated without proof by Ramanujan [3, p. 134] and Hardy [2]; two proofs have recently been given by Chowla and the author [1].

THEOREM: The Riemann zeta-function has the representation

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{s-1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \gamma_n}{n!} (s-1)^n,$$

where

$$\gamma_n = \lim_{N \to \infty} \left[\sum_{t=1}^{N} \frac{\log^n t}{t} - \int_1^N \frac{\log^n x}{x} dx \right].$$

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the magnitudes and signs of the constants γ_n .

1. THE SIGNS OF THE CONSTANTS

THEOREM 1. Infinitely many γ_n are positive, and infinitely many are negative. From the identity

$$\zeta(s) = 2^{s} \pi^{s-1} \sin \frac{s\pi}{2} \Gamma(1-s) \zeta(1-s)$$

it follows that

(1)
$$\zeta(1-2m)=(-1)^{m}\frac{2(2m-1)!}{(2\pi)^{m}}\zeta(2m) \quad (m=1, 2, 3, \cdots).$$

Comparing this with the power series representation of $\zeta(s)$, one obtains the relation

(2)
$$\zeta(1-2m) = -\frac{1}{2m} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \gamma_n}{n!} (-2m)^n = -\frac{1}{2m} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_n}{n!} (2m)^n.$$

From (1) it follows that the sign of $\zeta(1-2m)$ is $(-1)^m$, since all other factors are positive. Hence, if m is positive and even, (2) shows that the γ_n can not all be non-positive. Assume that there exist only a finite number of positive γ_n , and that N is

Received November 12, 1955.

This research was supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation. The author is indebted to Professors A. Selberg and S. Chowla for their suggestions.

the largest integer for which $\gamma_n > 0$. Let M be the smallest integer larger than N for which $\gamma_M < 0$. Then each nonzero term in the series

$$\sum_{n=M}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_n}{n!} (2m)^n$$

is negative, and in particular

$$\frac{\gamma_{\rm M}(2\rm m)^{\rm M}}{\rm M!}<0.$$

On the other hand

$$-\frac{1}{2m} + \sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{\gamma_n}{n!} (2m)^n < k(N+1)(2m)^N, \text{ where } k = \max_{0 < n < N} \gamma_n;$$

here k is positive, since $\gamma_{\rm N}>$ 0. Now let k'= - $\gamma_{\rm M}>$ 0, and choose m large enough so that

$$2m > \frac{k}{k!}(N+1)M!$$
.

Then

$$(2m)^{M-N} > \frac{k}{k!} (N+1) M!$$
,

and

$$\frac{k'(2m)^{M}}{M!} > k(N+1)(2m)^{N}$$
,

which shows that $\zeta(1-2m)<0$, which in turn contradicts (1) for even m. Hence there exists an infinite number of positive γ_n . A similar argument holds under the assumption that there exists only a finite number of negative γ_n , and a contradiction is reached by taking m odd. This proves the theorem.

Approximate values of γ_n can be computed from the expression

$$\sum_{t=1}^{r} \frac{\log^{n} t}{t} - \frac{\log^{n+1} r}{n+1} - \frac{\log^{n} r}{2r} ,$$

where r is chosen large enough so that the second derivative of $(\log^n x)/x$ is positive for x > r. This gives the following values:

$$\gamma_1 = -0.073$$
, $\gamma_2 = -0.516$, $\gamma_3 = -0.147$, $\gamma_4 = 0.002$.

2. AN UPPER BOUND FOR γ_n

Various representations of γ_n can be obtained by applying the following easily derived sum formula [5, p. 31]:

Let f(x) have a continuous second derivative in $Q \le x \le R$. Set $\rho(x) = 1/2 - \{x\}$, where $\{x\}$ denotes the fractional part of x, and let $\sigma(x) = \int_0^x \rho(t) dt$. Then

$$\sum_{\mathbf{Q} < \mathbf{x} < \mathbf{R}} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} + \rho(\mathbf{R}) \, \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{R}) - \rho(\mathbf{Q}) \, \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{Q}) - \sigma(\mathbf{R}) \, \mathbf{f}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{R}) + \sigma(\mathbf{Q}) \, \mathbf{f}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{Q}) + \int_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\mathbf{R}} \sigma(\mathbf{x}) \, \mathbf{f}^{\, \prime \prime}(\mathbf{x}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}.$$

In the present case, let Q = 1 and $f_n(x) = (\log^n x)/x$, and take the limit as $R \to \infty$ to obtain the formula

(4)
$$\gamma_{n} = \int_{1}^{\infty} \sigma(x) f_{n}''(x) dx.$$

Since

$$\rho(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin 2k\pi t}{k\pi}$$

when t is not an integer,

$$\sigma(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos 2k\pi x}{k^2} + \frac{1}{12}.$$

Substituting this in (4) and integrating by parts twice, one obtains the representation

(5)
$$\gamma_n = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_1^{\infty} \cos(2k\pi x) f_n(x) dx.$$

Another representation can be obtained by considering the function $(\log^{n+1} x)/(n+1)$. By a mean-value theorem,

$$\frac{1}{n+1} \left[\log^{n+1} (k+1) - \log^{n+1} k \right] = \frac{\log^n k}{k} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{n \log^{n-1} x_k - \log^n x_k}{x_k^2} \right],$$

where $x_k = k + \theta_k$ (0 < θ_k < 1). On summing both sides from k = 1 to k = x - 1 and taking the limit as $x \to \infty$, one gets the representation

(6)
$$\gamma_{n} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log^{n} x_{k} - n \log^{n-1} x_{k}}{x_{k}^{2}}.$$

LEMMA.

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log^n x_k}{x_k^2} = n! + O\left\{\left(\frac{n}{2e}\right)^n\right\} \quad (x_k = k + \theta_k, \ 0 \le \theta_k \le 1).$$

This lemma follows from the relation

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log^n x_k}{x_k^2} = \int_1^{\infty} \frac{\log^n x}{x^2} dx + O\left\{ \max_{1 < x < \infty} \left(\frac{\log^n x}{x^2} \right) \right\} ,$$

since the integral equals n! and the maximum involved occurs at exp n/2.

THEOREM 2.

$$\gamma_{n} = \left(\frac{n}{2e}\right)^{n} \epsilon(n) \quad (|\epsilon(n)| < 1).$$

The theorem follows from (6) and the lemma. It can also be obtained by considering the absolute value of the integral in (4).

Infinitely many of the constants γ_n are much smaller than Theorem 2 indicates. Using the fact that $\zeta(s) - 1/(s-1)$ is an entire function of order one, and applying the theorem [4, p. 253] which states that the necessary and sufficient condition that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ be an entire function of order r is that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf\frac{\log 1/|a_n|}{n\log n}=\frac{1}{r},$$

one obtains the result

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf\frac{\log n!-\log |\gamma_n|}{n\log n}=1.$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf_{\infty}\frac{\log|\gamma_n|}{n\log n}=0,$$

which in turn implies

THEOREM 3. If $\epsilon > 0$, then the inequalities

$$n^{-\epsilon n} < |\gamma_n| < n^{\epsilon n}$$

hold for infinitely many n.

REFERENCES

- 1. S. Chowla and W. E. Briggs, The power series coefficients of $\zeta(s)$, Amer. Math. Monthly 62 (1955), 323-325.
- 2. G. H. Hardy, Note on Dr. Vacca's series for γ , Quart. J. Pure and Appl. Math. 43 (1912), 215-216.
- 3. Collected papers of Srinivasa Ramanujan, Cambridge, 1927.
- 4. E. C. Titchmarch, The theory of functions, Second Edition, Oxford, 1949.
- 5. I. M. Vinogradoff, Number theory, Dover, 1954.

University of Colorado