

A THEOREM ON MAXIMAL SETS

JOSEPH S. ULLIAN

We presuppose familiarity with [2]. Let \mathfrak{U} be the class of recursively enumerable (r.e.) sets with infinite complements. A r.e. set M is *maximal* if $M \in \mathfrak{U}$ and every r.e. superset of M which is in \mathfrak{U} differs only finitely from M . Existence of maximal sets is established in [1].

Theorem: For every maximal set W_z , there is a set in \mathfrak{U} every recursive permutation of which lacks at most finitely much of \overline{W}_z .

Proof: Otherwise, there is a maximal set W_z such that for every r.e. set W_x ,

$$W_x \in \mathfrak{U} \iff (\exists y) (\phi_y \text{ is a recursive permutation \& } \\ \overline{W}_z - \phi_y(W_x) \text{ is infinite}).$$

Since W_z is maximal, $\overline{W}_z - \phi_y(W_x)$ is infinite just in case $\phi_y(W_x) - W_z$ is finite. Thus

$$W_x \in \mathfrak{U} \iff (\exists y) (\phi_y \text{ is a recursive permutation \& } \\ \phi_y(W_x) - W_z \text{ is finite}). \quad (1)$$

Now it is established in [3] that $\{x \mid \phi_x \text{ is a recursive permutation}\} \equiv \overline{\mathbf{S}^{(2)}} \in \Pi_2$, and it is easily verified that $\{x \mid \phi_y(W_x) - W_z \text{ is finite}\} \in \Sigma_3$. But then $\{x \mid \text{r.h.s. of (1) holds}\} \in \Sigma_3$, while we know from [2] that $\{x \mid W_x \in \mathfrak{U}\} \equiv \overline{\mathbf{S}^{(3)}} \notin \Sigma_3$.

This result may be of help in determining whether or not every set in \mathfrak{U} has a maximal superset.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. M. Friedberg, Three theorems on recursive enumeration, *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, vol. 23 (1958), pp. 309-316.

- [2] H. Rogers, Jr., Computing Degrees of Unsolvability, *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 138 (1959), pp. 125-140.
- [3] H. Rogers, Jr., *Theory of Recursive Functions and Effective Computability*, vol. 1, mimeographed by Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

*University of California
Berkeley, California*