Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic Volume XVII, Number 2, April 1976 NDJFAM

A SHORT EQUATIONAL AXIOMATIZATION OF MODULAR ORTHOLATTICES

BOLESŁAW SOBOCIŃSKI

By definition, *cf.* e.g., [2], p. 52, a modular ortholattice is an ortholattice satisfying the following formula:

F1
$$[abc]: a, b, c \in A . a \leq c . \supseteq . a \cup (b \cap c) = (a \cup b) \cap c$$

In this note it will be proved that:

(A) Any algebraic system

$$\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, \cup, \cap, ^{\perp} \rangle$$

where \cup and \cap are two binary operations and 1 is a unary operation defined on the carrier set A, is a modular ortholattice, if it satisfies the following three mutually independent postulates:

A1
$$[abcd]: a, b, c, d \in A \supseteq ((a \cap b) \cup (a \cap c)) \cup (d \cap d^{\perp}) = ((c \cap a) \cup b) \cap a$$

 $A2 \quad [ab]: a, b \in A \supseteq a = (b \cup a) \cap a$

A3
$$[abc]: a, b, c \in A . \supset (a \cup b) \cup c = a \cup (b^{\perp} \cap c^{\perp})^{\perp 2}$$

Remark I: It is easy to prove that, in the field of any lattice formula, F1 is inferentially equivalent to formula

B1
$$[abc]: a, b, c \in A \supset (a \cap b) \cup (a \cap c) = ((c \cap a) \cup b) \cap a$$

$$[Cf. A9 \text{ in section } 2.2 \text{ below}]$$

Concerning this equivalence, cf. [3], [4], and [5]. The shortest postulate-system of modular lattices known up to now was obtained by J. Ričan who proved in [4] that any algebraic system which satisfies B1 and

B2
$$[abc]: a, b, c \in A \supset a = (c \cup (b \cup a)) \cap a$$

^{1.} Throughout this paper A indicates an arbitrary but fixed carrier set. The so-called closure axioms are assumed tacitly.

^{2.} Of course, in this postulate-system, the operations $\cup,\,\cap$ and \bot are not mutually independent.

is a modular lattice. It remains an open problem whether, in Ričan's axiom-system, B2 can be substituted by A2 given above. In section 2.2 below it will be shown that the axiom system $\{A9\ (BI);\ A2\}$ generates rather a strong algebraic system although, probably, not a modular lattice.

Proof of (A):

- 1 Since in the field of any lattice $\{FI\}
 ightharpoonup \{BI\}$, it is obvious that in the field of any ortholattice $\{FI\}
 ightharpoonup \{A9\}
 ightharpoonup \{AI\}$. Hence, clearly, the postulates AI, A2, and A3 given in (A) are the theses of any modular ortholattice.
- 2 In this section it will be shown that axioms A1, A2, and A3 imply the theses which are needed in order to prove that the system under investigation is a modular ortholattice. The deductions presented here will be divided into three parts. In the first it will be established that $\{A1; A2; A3\}$ imply

A8
$$[ab]: a, b \in A \supset a = a \cup (b \cap b^{\perp})$$

and, therefore, also A9. In the second part it will be proved that the system $\{A9; A2\}$ is a latticoid in which, probably, the associative laws for \cup and for \cap do not hold. (At least, I am unable to obtain them.) Finally, in the third part, using the previously obtained results, it will be proved that in the field of $\{A1; A2; A3\}$ the needed formula A23 holds.

2.1 Let us assume A1, A2, and A3. Then:

```
[acd]: a, c, d \in A \supseteq a = ((a \cap a) \cup (a \cap c)) \cup (d \cap d^{\perp})
A4
PR
           |acd|: Hp (1) .\supset.
            a = ((c \cap a) \cup a) \cap a = ((a \cap a) \cup (a \cap c)) \cup (d \cap d^{\perp})
                                                                                                      [1; A2, b/c \cap a; A1, b/a]
            [ad]: a, d \in A \supseteq a \cap (d \cap d^1) = d \cap d^1
A5
           [ad]: Hp (1) .\supset.
PR
            a \cap (d \cap d^{\perp}) = (((a \cap a) \cup (a \cap a)) \cup (d \cap d^{\perp})) \cap (d \cap d^{\perp})
                                                                                                                               [1; A4, c/a]
                                   = d \cap d^{\perp}
                                                                                     [A2, a/d \cap d^{\perp}, b/(a \cap a) \cup (a \cap a)]
            [ad]: a, d \in A \supseteq a = (a \cap a) \cup ((d \cap d^{\perp})^{\perp} \cap (d \cap d^{\perp})^{\perp})^{\perp}
A6
PR
            [ad]: Hp (1) .\supset.
                                                                                                                      [1; A4, c/d \cap d^{\perp}]
            a = ((a \cap a) \cup (a \cap (d \cap d^{\perp}))) \cup (d \cap d^{\perp})
                = ((a \cap a) \cup (d \cap d^{\perp})) \cup (d \cap d^{\perp})
               = (a \cap a) \cup ((d \cap d^{\perp})^{\perp} \cap (d \cap d^{\perp})^{\perp})^{\perp} \quad [A3, a/a \cap a, b/d \cap d^{\perp}, c/d \cap d^{\perp}]
            [ad]: a, d \in A \supseteq a \cap ((d \cap d^{\perp})^{\perp} \cap (d \cap d^{\perp})^{\perp})^{\perp} = ((d \cap d^{\perp})^{\perp} \cap (d \cap d^{\perp})^{\perp})^{\perp}
A7
PR
            [ad]: Hp (1) .\supset.
            a \cap ((d \cap d^{\perp})^{\perp} \cap (d \cap d^{\perp})^{\perp})^{\perp}
            = ((a \cap a) \cup ((d \cap d^{\perp})^{\perp} \cap (d \cap d^{\perp})^{\perp})^{\perp}) \cap ((d \cap d^{\perp})^{\perp} \cap (d \cap d^{\perp})^{\perp})^{\perp}
            = ((d \cap d^{\perp})^{\perp} \cap (d \cap d^{\perp})^{\perp})^{\perp} \qquad [A2, a/((d \cap d^{\perp})^{\perp} \cap (d \cap d^{\perp})^{\perp})^{\perp}, b/a \cap a]
            [ab]: a, b \in A \supseteq a \cup (b \cap b^{\perp})
A8
PR
            [ab]: Hp (1) .\supset.
            a = ((a \cap a) \cup (a \cap ((b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp} \cap (b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp})^{\perp})) \cup (b \cap b^{\perp})
                                                                            [1; A4, c/((b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp} \cap (b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp})^{\perp}, d/b]
                = ((a \cap a) \cup ((b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp} \cap (b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp})^{\perp}) \cup (b \cap b^{\perp})
                                                                                                                                      [A7, d/b]
                = a \cup (b \cap b^{\perp})
                                                                                                                                      [A6, d/b]
```

```
A9
        [abc]: a, b, c \in A \supset (a \cap b) \cup (a \cap c) = ((c \cap a) \cup b) \cap a
PR
        [ab]: Hp (1) .\supset.
         (a \cap b) \cup (a \cap c) = ((a \cap b) \cup (a \cap c)) \cup (b \cap b^{\perp})
                                                                        [1; A8, a/(a \cap b) \cup (a \cap c)]
                                = ((c \cap a) \cup b) \cap a
                                                                                                [A1, d/b]
Thus, \{A1: A2: A3\} \rightarrow \{A9: A8\}.
2.2 Now, let us assume only A9 and A2. Then:
A10 [ac]: a, c \in A \supset a = (a \cap a) \cup (a \cap c)
        [ac]: Hp (1) .\supset.
PR
        a = ((c \cap a) \cup a) \cap a = (a \cap a) \cup (a \cap c)
                                                                          [1; A2, b/c \cap a; A9, b/a]
A11 [ac]: a, c \in A \supset a \cap a = (a \cap (a \cap c)) \cup (a \cap a)
PR
        [ac]: Hp (1) .\supset.
        a \cap a = ((a \cap a) \cup (a \cap c)) \cap a = (a \cap (a \cap c)) \cup (a \cap a)
                                                                         [1; A10; A9, b/a \cap c, c/a]
A12 \quad [a] : a \in A \quad \supseteq \quad a \cap a = a \cap (a \cap a)
PR
        [a]: Hp(1).\supset.
        a \cap a = ((a \cap a) \cup (a \cap a)) \cap (a \cap a) = a \cap (a \cap a)
                                                            [1; A2, a/a \cap a, b/a \cap a; A10, c/a]
A13 \quad [a]: a \in A . \supset . a = a \cap a
PR [a]: Hp(1) . \supset.
        a = (a \cap a) \cup (a \cap a) = (a \cap (a \cap a)) \cup (a \cap a) = a \cap a
                                                                     [1; A10, c/a; A12; A11, c/a]
A14 \quad [a]: a \in A . \supseteq . a = a \cup a
        [a]: \operatorname{Hp}(1) . \supseteq .
PR
        a = (a \cap a) \cup (a \cap a) = a \cup a
                                                                            [1; A10, c/a; A13; A13]
A15 \quad [ab]: a, b \in A \supset a = a \cup (a \cap b)
PR
        [ab]: Hp (1) .\supset.
         a = (a \cap a) \cup (a \cap b) = a \cup (a \cap b)
                                                                                    [1; A10, c/b; A13]
A16 \quad [ab]: a, b \in A \supset a \cap b = a \cap (a \cap b)
PR
        [ab]: Hp (1) .\supset.
        a \cap b = (a \cup (a \cap b)) \cap (a \cap b) = a \cap (a \cap b) [1; A2, a/a \cap b, b/a; A15]
A17 \quad [ab]: a, b \in A \supseteq (a \cap b) \cup a = (a \cup b) \cap a
PR
        [ab]: Hp (1) .\supset.
         (a \cap b) \cup a = (a \cap b) \cup (a \cap a) = ((a \cap a) \cup b) \cap a = (a \cup b) \cap a
                                                                             [1; A13; A9, c/a; A13]
A18 [ab]: a, b \in A \supset a = (a \cap b) \cup a
PR
        [ab]: Hp (1) .\supset.
        a = a \cap a = (a \cup (a \cap b)) \cap a = ((a \cap a) \cup (a \cap b)) \cap a [1; A13; A15; A13]
           = (a \cap (a \cap b)) \cup (a \cap a) = (a \cap b) \cup a  [A9, b/a \cap b, c/a; A16; A13]
A19 \quad [ab]: a, b \in A \supset a = (a \cup b) \cap a
                                                                                              [A18; A17]
A20 \quad [ab]: a, b \in A \supseteq a = a \cap (a \cup b)
       [ab]: Hp (1) .\supset.
PR
        a = (a \cup (a \cup b)) \cap a = (((a \cup b) \cap a) \cup (a \cup b)) \cap a
                                                                             [1; A19, b/a \cup b; A19]
           = (a \cap (a \cup b)) \cup (a \cap (a \cup b)) = a \cap (a \cup b)
                                                   [A9, b/a \cup b, c/a \cup b; A14, a/a \cap (a \cup b)]
```

Thus, since the theses A9 and A2 imply A14, A13, A15, A20, A21, and A22, it is proved that system $\{A9; A2\}$ is a latticoid in which, probably, the associative laws for \cup and \cap do not hold.

2.3 Now, assume only A3, A21, and A22. Then:

A23
$$[abc]: a, b, c \in A . \supset . (a \cup b) \cup c = (c^{\perp} \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp} \cup a$$

PR $[abc]: Hp (1) . \supset .$
 $(a \cup b) \cup c = a \cup (b^{\perp} \cap c^{\perp})^{\perp} = (b^{\perp} \cap c^{\perp})^{\perp} \cup a = (c^{\perp} \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp} \cup a$
 $[1; A3; A21, b/(b^{\perp} \cap c^{\perp})^{\perp}; A22, a/b^{\perp}, b/c^{\perp}]$

Thus, it follows from sections 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3 that $\{A1; A2; A3\} \rightarrow \{A23; A20; A8\}$.

- 3 Since, on the basis of deductions presented in [6], L. Beran has proved in [1] that any algebraic system which satisfies theses A23, A20, and A8 is an ortholattice, it follows from Remark I and sections 1 and 2 that any algebraic system which satisfies postulates A1, A2, and A3 is a modular ortholattice.
- 4 The mutual independence of axioms A1, A2, and A3 is established by using the following algebraic tables:³

^{3.} Concerning **M1** and **M2** cf. [3], pp. 385-386, and [5], p. 85. Table **M3** is given in [6], p. 143, as table **M4**.

Namely:

- (a) **W1** verifies A2 and A3, but falsifies A1 for a/β , b/α , c/α , and d/α :
- (i) $((\beta \cap \alpha) \cup (\beta \cap \alpha)) \cup (\alpha \cap \alpha^{\perp}) = (\alpha \cup \alpha) \cup (\alpha \cap \beta) = \alpha \cup \beta = \alpha$, (ii) $((\alpha \cap \beta) \cup \alpha) \cap \beta = (\beta \cup \alpha) \cap \beta = \alpha \cap \beta = \beta$.
- (b) **32** verifies A1 and A3, but falsifies A2 for a/β and b/α : (i) $\beta = \beta$,
- (ii) $(\alpha \cup \beta) \cap \beta = \alpha \cap \beta = \alpha$.
- (c) **M3** verifies A1 and A2, but falsifies A3 for a/γ , b/α , and c/α :

(i)
$$(\gamma \cup \alpha) \cup \alpha = \alpha \cup \alpha = \alpha$$
, (ii) $\gamma \cup (\alpha^{\perp} \cap \alpha^{\perp})^{\perp} = \gamma \cup (\gamma \cup \gamma)^{\perp} = \gamma \cup \gamma^{\perp} = \gamma \cup \gamma = \gamma$.

 ${\bf 5}$ It follows immediately from sections ${\bf 3}$ and ${\bf 4}$ that the proof of $({\bf A})$ is complete.

Remark II: It seems to me that the open problem mentioned in Remark I is rather difficult. We have to note that an addition of one of the associative laws, i.e., either the formula:

P1
$$[abc]: a, b, c \in A \supseteq (a \cup b) \cup c = a \cup (b \cup c)$$

or the formula:

R1
$$[abc]: a, b, c \in A \supseteq (a \cap b) \cap c = a \cap (b \cap c)$$

as a new axiom to the system $\{A9; A2\}$ generates a modular lattice. Namely:

(α) Assume A9, A2, and P1. Then:

B2
$$[abc]: a, b, c \in A . \supset . a = (c \cup (b \cup a)) \cap a$$

PR $[abc]: Hp (1) . \supset .$
 $a = ((c \cup b) \cup a) \cap a = (c \cup (b \cup a)) \cap a$ $[1; A2, b/c \cup b; P1, a/c, c/a]$

Thus, the addition of PI as a new axiom to $\{A9; A2\}$ generates Ričan's postulate-system for modular lattices, cf. [4] and Remark I above.

(β) Assume A9, A2, and R1. Then, we have also A13 and A22, cf. section **2.2** above. Hence:

K1 [abcd]: a, b, c, d ∈ A .⊃.
$$((a \cap b) \cap c) \cup (a \cap d) = ((d \cap a) \cup (c \cap b)) \cap a$$

PR [abcd]: Hp (1) .⊃.
 $((a \cap b) \cap c) \cup (a \cap d) = (a \cap (b \cap c)) \cup (a \cap d)$ [1; R1]
 $= ((d \cap a) \cup (b \cap c)) \cap a = ((d \cap a) \cup (c \cap b)) \cap a$
[A9, b/b ∩ c, c/d; A22, a/b, b/c]
K2 [ab]: a, b ∈ A .⊃. $(a \cup (b \cap b)) \cap b = b$ [A2, a/b, b/a; A13, a/b]

Thus, the addition of RI as a new axiom to $\{A9; A2\}$ generates Kolibiar's postulate-system for modular lattices, cf. [3] and [5], p. 81.

But, I am able neither to obtain P1 or R1 in the field of system $\{A9; A2\}$ nor to prove that these formulas are not the consequences of this system.

Remark III: We have to note that, although, clearly, axiom A1 is constructed in a rather mechanical way by combining formulas A9 and A8, A1 is an organic formula in the sense defined in [7], p. 60, point (c).

REFERENCES

- [1] Beran, L., "Three identities for ortholattices," Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic, vol. XVII (1976), pp. 251-252.
- [2] Birkhof, G., *Lattice Theory*, third (new) edition, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Providence, Rhode Island, vol. XXV (1967).
- [3] Kolibiar, M., "On the axiomatic of modular lattices," in Russian, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, vol. 6 (81), (1956), pp. 381-386.
- [4] Ričan, J., "Zu der Axiomatik der modulären Verbände," Acta Facultatiae Nationalis Universitatis Comenianensis, Mathematica, vol. 2 (1958), pp. 257-262.
- [5] Sobociński, B., "A new postulate-system for modular lattices," Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic, vol. XVI (1975), pp. 81-85.
- [6] Sobociński, B., "A short postulate-system for ortholattices," Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic, vol. XVI (1975), pp. 141-144.
- [7] Sobociński, B., "On well constructed axiom systems," Yearbook of the Polish Society of Arts and Sciences Abroad, vol. VI (1956), pp. 54-65.

University of Notre Dame Notre Dame, Indiana