

A NOTE ON DEFINING THE RUDIN-KEISLER
ORDERING OF ULTRAFILTERS

DONALD H. PELLETIER

1 This note is prompted by a confusion which arises when one reads M. E. Rudin's initial paper [3] on the Rudin-Keisler order in conjunction with later papers [1], [2], and several others concerning this ordering.*

Let ω denote the natural numbers and let ${}^\omega\omega$ denote the set of all functions from ω to ω . Let p and q be ultrafilters (**u.f.**) on ω . Rudin [3] defines

$$p \leq q \text{ iff } \exists f \in {}^\omega\omega p = fq$$

where, for $a \subseteq \omega$, $fa = \{fn \mid n \in a\}$ and $fq = \{fa \mid a \in q\}$.

In the later papers, we find a different definition. Let q be an **u.f.** on ω .

$$p \leq_* q \text{ iff } \exists f \in {}^\omega\omega p = f_*q$$

where $f_*q = \{a \subseteq \omega \mid f^{-1}a \in q\}$ and $f_a^{-1} = \bigcup_{n \in a} f^{-1}n$.

Before considering the connections between \leq and \leq_* we present some further definitions.

Two **u.f.s** on ω are said to be of the same type iff they are isomorphic when viewed as partially ordered sets under inclusion. It is proved in W. Rudin ([4], Theorem 1.5) that p and q have the same type iff there exists a permutation $\pi \in {}^\omega\omega$ such that $p = \pi q$. We denote the type of p by p^\sim .

Theorem III A of [3] establishes that \leq is a partial order on types, i.e.,

$$p \leq q \wedge q \leq p \rightarrow p^\sim = q^\sim.$$

Kunen remarks in [2] that an easy modification of her argument can be used to show

*The author wishes to acknowledge a profitable discussion with Philip Olin as well as support from the National Research Council of Canada under grant #A8216.

$$p \leq_* q \wedge q \leq_* p \rightarrow p \sim = q \sim$$

i.e., that \leq_* is also a partial order on types.

Thus both \leq and \leq_* are preorderings and we can combine the preceding results together with their obvious converses to conclude $p \leq q \leq p$ iff $p \leq_* q \leq_* p$. This still does not answer the question whether the two preorderings are the same, since distinct preorderings \leq_1, \leq_2 can lead to the same collection of equivalence classes under $p \sim_i q$ iff $p \leq_i q \wedge q \leq_i p$. We show here that they are the same.

2 For an **u.f.** q on ω and an arbitrary $f \in {}^\omega\omega$, it is not in general true that fq is an **u.f.** on ω ; it is not even immediate that fq is an **u.f.** The following proposition, however, has a routine proof.

Proposition Let q be an **u.f.** on ω , let f be an arbitrary element of ${}^\omega\omega$ and let R denote the range of f ; then fq is an **u.f.** on R .

On the other hand, for an **u.f.** q on ω and an arbitrary $f \in {}^\omega\omega$, it is always the case that f_*q is an **u.f.** on ω . The following theorem establishes the connection between fq and f_*q .

Theorem Let q be an **u.f.** on ω , $f \in {}^\omega\omega$ and let R denote the range of f ; then

$$f_*q = \{a \cup b \mid a \in fq \wedge b \subseteq \omega - R\}.$$

Proof: Given $a \in fq$ and $b \subseteq \omega - R$ let $a = fz$ where $z \in q$; then $f^{-1}(a \cup b) = f^{-1}a = f^{-1}(fz) \supseteq z \in q$ so $f^{-1}(a \cup b) \in q$, i.e., $a \cup b \in f_*q$. Conversely, given $z \in f_*q$, write $z = a \cup b$ where $a \subseteq R, b \subseteq \omega - R$; now $f^{-1}z = f^{-1}(a \cup b) = f^{-1}a$, so $f(f^{-1}z) = f(f^{-1}a) = a$ since $a \subseteq R$; thus $a \in fq$ since $f^{-1}z \in q$.

Corollary 1 If q is an **u.f.** on ω and $f \in {}^\omega\omega$ is onto ω , then $fq = f_*q$.

Corollary 2 If p and q are **u.f.s** on ω and $p \leq q$ then $p \leq_* q$.

Proof: The hypothesis implies that $\omega \in p = fq$, and hence that $\text{Range } f = \omega$; so $p = f_*q$ by Corollary 1.

One can give far simpler direct proofs of Corollaries 1 and 2; these particular proofs were given because the information contained in the theorem will also be used to establish the reverse implication between the two orderings.

Corollary 3 If q is an **u.f.** on ω and $p \leq_* q$ then $p \leq q$.

Proof: Case I. $p = f_*q$ for some $f \in {}^\omega\omega$ with finite range, R . By the proposition, fq is an **u.f.** on the finite set R , so fq is the principal **u.f.** on R generated by $\{i\}$ for some $i \in R$. From the theorem we conclude that f_*q must be the principal **u.f.** on ω generated by $\{i\}$. Thus $p \leq q$ since the type of the principal **u.f.s** is least under \leq among the types of **u.f.s** on ω ([3], Section III, C).

Case II. $p = f_*q$ for some $f \in {}^\omega\omega$ with infinite range, R . By the proposition, fq is an **u.f.** on R so we can pick an infinite set $E \in fq$ such that $R - E$

is infinite and define a map $h : R \rightarrow \omega$ by letting $h \upharpoonright E = \text{identity}$ and letting $h \upharpoonright R - E \rightarrow \omega - E$ be an arbitrary onto map. We will show $p \leq q$ by establishing that $p = (h \circ f)q$. Let $x \in fq$; then $x \cap E \in fq$ and $hx \supseteq h(x \cap E) = x \cap E$ since $h \upharpoonright E = \text{id}$; thus $hx \in fq$. But $fq \subseteq f_*q$ by the theorem, so $hx \in p$. Conversely, invoking the theorem once again, let $a \cup b$, with $a \in fq$ and $b \subseteq \omega - R$, be an arbitrary element of p ; now $a \cup b \supseteq a \cap E \in fq$ and $a \cap E = h(a \cap E) \in (h \circ f)q$; thus $a \cup b \in (h \circ f)q$ since, by the proposition $(h \circ f)q$ is an **u.f.** on $\text{Range}(h \circ f) = \omega$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Booth, D., "Ultrafilters on a countable set," *Annals of Mathematical Logic*, vol. 2 (1970), pp. 1-24.
- [2] Kunen, K., "Ultrafilters and independent sets," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 172 (1972), pp. 299-306.
- [3] Rudin, M. E., "Partial orders on the types of $\beta\mathbb{N}$," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 155 (1971), pp. 353-362.
- [4] Rudin, W., "Homogeneity problems in the theory of Cech compactifications," *Duke Mathematical Journal*, vol. 23 (1956), pp. 409-419.

York University
Downsview, Ontario, Canada