

**ON AN ADDITIVE REPRESENTATION  
ASSOCIATED WITH THE  $L_1$ -NORM  
OF AN EXPONENTIAL SUM**

M.Z. GARAEV

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $N$  be a large positive integer parameter,  $f(n)$  be an integer valued strictly increasing function of the natural argument  $n$ . It is well known that a nontrivial upper bound estimate for the number of solutions of the diophantine equation

$$f(x) + f(y) = f(u) + f(v), \quad 1 \leq x, y, u, v \leq N$$

has an important application in obtaining a lower bound for the  $L_1$ -norm of an exponential sum. In this paper by a short argument we obtain a result which implies a well-known estimate of Konyagin.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $N$  be a large positive integer parameter,  $f(n)$  a strictly increasing integer-valued function of the integer argument  $n$ ,  $1 \leq n \leq N$ . A famous Littlewood conjecture states that

$$(1) \quad \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{n=1}^N \exp(2\pi i \alpha f(n)) \right| d\alpha \gg \log N.$$

This conjecture was independently proved in 1981 by Konyagin [5] and McGehee, et al. [7]. The relation [8, page 67]

$$\int_0^1 \left| \sum_{n=1}^N \exp(2\pi i \alpha n) \right| d\alpha = \frac{4}{\pi^2} \log N + O(1)$$

shows that the order  $\log N$  in (1) is sharp. However, for a wide class of sequences  $f(n)$ , the estimate (1) can be improved. Bochkarev [1] has improved (1) for sequences of the type  $f(n) = [e^{Ax^\beta}]$ , where  $0 < \beta < 1$ .

---

2000 AMS *Mathematics subject classification*. Primary 11D45, 11L03.

*Keywords and phrases.* Exponential sums,  $L_1$ -norm, diophantine equation.

Received by the editors on November 22, 2004, and in revised form on May 3, 2005.

The approach in [1] is based on harmonic analysis. Karatsuba [4] has found a connection between the Littlewood problem and the problem of obtaining a nontrivial upper bound estimate for the number of solutions of the corresponding diophantine equation. Let  $J := J(N)$  denote the number of solutions of the equation

$$f(x) + f(y) = f(u) + f(v), \quad 1 \leq x, y, u, v \leq N.$$

One of the results of [4] reads as follows.

**Lemma 1.** *For arbitrary coefficients  $\gamma(x)$ ,  $|\gamma(x)| = 1$ , the estimate*

$$I := I(N) = \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{x=1}^N \gamma(x) e^{2\pi i \alpha f(x)} \right| d\alpha \geq \left( \frac{N^3}{J} \right)^{1/2}$$

holds.

This statement allows to approach the problem of Littlewood in different ways. For example, in the above mentioned work [4] it is shown how the result of [1] can be improved using tools of analytic number theory. Konyagin [6] has used some methods from combinatorial number theory to get a substantial improvement even for a more general sequence. He established that if

$$(2) \quad 0 < f(2) - f(1) < f(3) - f(2) < \cdots < f(N) - f(N-1)$$

then  $J \ll N^{5/2}$ . In particular,  $I \gg N^{1/4}$ .

In our works [2, 3], among other results, new proofs of Konyagin's estimate have been obtained. The aim of the present paper is to obtain by a short argument new information which also includes Konyagin's estimate. Our argument can also be useful on other related questions.

**2. The result and its corollary.** For a given element  $h$  of the set

$$H = \{f(x) + f(y) \mid 1 \leq x, y \leq N\},$$

we denote by  $m(h)$  the number of solutions of the equation

$$(3) \quad f(x) + f(y) = h, \quad 1 \leq x \leq y \leq N.$$

Let  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_\omega$  be all the elements of the set  $H$  numerated such that  $m(h_1) \geq m(h_2) \geq \dots \geq m(h_\omega)$ . It is clear that

$$(4) \quad J \leq 4 \sum_{i=1}^{\omega} m^2(h_i)$$

and

$$(5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\omega} m(h_i) = \frac{N(N+1)}{2}.$$

For a fixed  $L$ ,  $1 \leq L < N$ , we denote by  $J_L := J_L(N)$  the number of solutions of the equation

$$(6) \quad f(x) + f(y) = f(x+t) + f(z)$$

in positive integers  $x, y, z, t$  subject to the condition

$$(7) \quad 1 \leq x \leq y \leq N, \quad 1 \leq t \leq L, \quad x+t \leq z \leq N.$$

**Theorem 2.** *For any integers  $L, r$  with  $1 \leq L \leq N-1$  and  $1 \leq r \leq \omega$ , the inequality*

$$m(h_r) \leq \frac{N-1}{L} + \frac{J_L}{r} + 1$$

*holds.*

*Proof.* For simplicity, denote  $m_i = m(h_i)$ . If  $m_r = 1$ , then the statement of Theorem 2 is trivial.

Let  $m_r \geq 2$ . Following (3) we write out all the representations of the numbers  $h_1, \dots, h_r$  as

$$\begin{cases} h_1 = f(x_{11}) + f(y_{11}) = f(x_{12}) + f(y_{12}) = \dots = f(x_{1m_1}) + f(y_{1m_1}), \\ \dots \\ h_r = f(x_{r1}) + f(y_{r1}) = f(x_{r2}) + f(y_{r2}) = \dots = f(x_{rm_r}) + f(y_{rm_r}), \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} x_{11} < x_{12} < \cdots < x_{1m_1}, \\ &\dots \\ x_{r1} < x_{r2} < \cdots < x_{rm_r} \end{aligned}$$

and  $x_{i,j} \leq y_{i,j}$ . Suppose that among the  $(m_1 - 1) + (m_2 - 1) + \cdots + (m_r - 1)$  collections of positive integers

$$\begin{aligned} x_{12} - x_{11}, \quad x_{13} - x_{12}, \dots, \quad x_{1m_1} - x_{1,m_1-1}, \\ \dots \\ x_{r2} - x_{r1}, \quad x_{r3} - x_{r2}, \dots, \quad x_{rm_r} - x_{r,m_r-1} \end{aligned}$$

there are  $V$  numbers greater than  $L$  and  $v$  numbers less than or equal to  $L$ . Each number  $l$  of our collection is associated with the corresponding solution of the equation

$$f(x) + f(y) = f(x + l) + f(z), \quad 1 \leq x \leq y \leq N, \quad x + l \leq z \leq N.$$

Therefore,

$$v \leq J_L.$$

On the other hand the total sum of the numbers of our collection is  $\leq Nr - r$ . Hence,

$$VL \leq Nr - r.$$

Besides,

$$(m_1 - 1) + (m_2 - 1) + \dots + (m_r - 1) = V + v.$$

Therefore,

$$r(m_r - 1) \leq \frac{(N - 1)r}{L} + J_L$$

and the result follows.  $\square$

From Theorem 2 we can easily deduce Konyagin's estimate.

**Corollary 3.** *If the function  $f(n)$  satisfies the condition (2), then the estimate*

$$J \ll N^{5/2}$$

*holds.*

Indeed, from (2), (6) and (7) we see that the inequality  $1 \leq y - z < t \leq L$  holds, since otherwise

$$f(y) - f(z) \geq f(z + t) - f(z) > f(x + t) - f(x),$$

contradicting (6). Therefore, the number of all the possible triples  $(y, z, t)$  is less than  $L^2 N$ . For fixed  $y, z$  and  $t$  there is at most one value for  $x$  satisfying (6). Therefore,  $J_L \leq L^2 N$ . From Theorem 2, by taking  $L = [r^{1/3}]$ , we obtain

$$m(h_r) \ll N r^{-1/3}.$$

Combining this with (4) and (5) we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} J &\ll \sum_{i \leq N^{3/2}} m^2(h_i) + \sum_{i > N^{3/2}} m^2(h_i) \\ &\ll N^{5/2} + N^{1/2} \sum_{i \leq \omega} m(h_i) \ll N^{5/2}. \end{aligned}$$

**Acknowledgments.** The author is thankful to the referee for helpful remarks.

## REFERENCES

1. S.V. Bochkarev, *A method for estimating the  $L_1$ -norm of an exponential sum*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **218** (1997), 74–78.
2. M.Z. Garaev, *On lower bounds for the  $L_1$ -norm of exponential sums*, Mat. Zametki **68** (2000), 842–850 (in Russian); Math. Notes **68** (2000), 713–720 (in English).
3. ———, *Upper bounds for the number of solutions of a diophantine equation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **357** (2005), 2527–2534.
4. A.A. Karatsuba, *An estimate of the  $L_1$ -norm of an exponential sum*, Mat. Zametki **64** (1998), 465–468 (in Russian); Math. Notes **64** (1998), 401–404 (in English).
5. S.V. Konyagin, *On the problem of Littlewood*, Izv. Acad. Nauk SSSR **45** (1981), 243–265.
6. ———, *An estimate of the  $L_1$ -norm of an exponential sum*, in *The theory of approximations of functions and operators*, Abstracts of Papers of the International Conference Dedicated to Stechkin's 80th Anniversary (in Russian), Ekaterinburg, 2000, pages 88–89.
7. O.C. McGehee, L. Pigno and B. Smith, *Hardy's inequality and the  $L_1$  norm of exponential sums*, Annals Math. **113** (1981), 613–618.

8. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, Vol. 1., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1959.

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO,  
CAMPUS MORELIA, APARTADO POSTAL 61-3 (XANGARI), C.P. 58089, MORELIA,  
MICHOACÁN, MÉXICO

Email address: [garaev@matmor.unam.mx](mailto:garaev@matmor.unam.mx)