ROCKY MOUNTAIN JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS Volume 33, Number 4, Winter 2003

## QUASINORMAL OPERATORS AND REFLEXIVE SUBSPACES

## KAMILA KLIŚ AND MAREK PTAK

ABSTRACT. In this note we will show the reflexivity of a weak\*-closed subspace generated by any proper subset of  $\{(T^*)^n, T^n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ , if T is a quasinormal operator. The main tool is a direct integral of subspaces of operators. Relation between the reflexive closure of a direct integral of subspaces and a direct integral of reflexive closure of subspaces is given.

**1. Introduction.** All operators discussed in this note are bounded and act on a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . We write  $B(\mathcal{H})$  for the collection of such operators. By  $P(\mathcal{H})$  we will denote the lattice of orthogonal projections on  $\mathcal{H}$ . The expression *subspace* of operators is reserved for those linear submanifolds in  $B(\mathcal{H})$  which are weak\*-closed. If  $\mathcal{S}$  is any family of operators then  $\overline{span}(\mathcal{S})$  is the subspace generated by  $\mathcal{S}$  and weak\*-closed and  $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{S})$  is the weak\*-closed algebra generated by  $\mathcal{S}$  and identity. Let  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ , denote by  $\mathcal{P}_T$  the set  $\{T^{(n)} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , where  $T^{(n)} = T^n$  if  $n \geq 0$  and  $T^{(n)} = (T^*)^{-n}$  if n < 0.

We recall that the *reflexive closure* of a subspace  $\mathcal{S} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$  is the set

ref 
$$\mathcal{S} = \{A \in B(\mathcal{H}) : Af \in \overline{\mathcal{S}f} \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

A subspace S is said to be *reflexive* if ref S = S. If S is an algebra the definition coincides with the well-known definition of a reflexive algebra.

An operator T is called *quasinormal* if T commutes with  $T^*T$ . In [7] Wogen proved that every quasinormal operator T is reflexive, i.e., the weak operator topology-closed algebra generated by T and the identity operator is reflexive. In [2] reflexive subspaces of all Toeplitz operators

<sup>2000</sup> AMS Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47D15, Secondary 47B20.

*Key words and phrases.* Quasinormal operator, reflexive subspace, direct integral. Received by the editors on February 3, 2000, and in revised form on August 23,

Received by the editors on February 3, 2000, and in revised form on August 23, 2001.

Copyright ©2003 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

K. KLIŚ AND M. PTAK

on the Hardy space  $H^2$  were completely characterized. In particular, it was shown that the subspace  $\overline{span}(\mathcal{P}_S)$ , where S is a unilateral shift, is not reflexive. However, a subspace generated by any proper subset of  $\mathcal{P}_S$  is reflexive. Now consider a quasinormal operator T. One cannot expect the reflexivity of  $\overline{span}(\mathcal{P}_T)$ . In this note we will show the reflexivity of a subspace generated by any proper subset of  $\mathcal{P}_T$ .

2. Direct integral of subspaces. Let us recall a definition of direct integral (see [1] and [5]). Suppose that  $(\Lambda, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  is a measure space such that  $\Lambda$  is a separable metric space and  $\mu$  is a  $\sigma$  – finite complete regular Borel measure on  $\Lambda$ . For  $A \in L^{\infty}(\mu, B(\mathcal{H}))$ , let  $M_A$  denote the operator on  $L^2(\mu, \mathcal{H})$  defined by  $(M_A f)(\lambda) = A(\lambda)f(\lambda)$ . We will identify the operator A with  $M_A$  and call it decomposable. If  $A(\lambda) = a(\lambda)I$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , where  $a(\lambda) \in \mathbf{C}$ , then the operator A is diagonal. We will denote by  $\mathcal{D}$  the algebra of all diagonal operators and call it the diagonal algebra.

Remark 1. Let us note that the results from [1] and [5] are easily adopted to weak\*-closed (ultrastrongly-closed) algebras and subspaces. The easiest way to see this is to note that the strong operator and ultrastrong topologies agree on the infinite ampliation  $B(\mathcal{H})^{(\infty)}$ . Thus ultrastrong totality of  $\{A_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  is equivalent to strong totality of  $\{A_n^{(\infty)}\}$  in  $\mathcal{S}^{(\infty)}$ .

We will be using very often an embedding trick, thus, for simplicity, we will be identifying subspace  $L^2(\mu, \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$  with  $L^2(\mu, \mathcal{H}) \oplus L^2(\mu, \mathcal{H})$ and elements of  $L^{\infty}(\mu, B(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}))$  with operators on  $L^2(\mu, \mathcal{H}) \oplus L^2(\mu, \mathcal{H})$ .

Consider now a weak\*-closed subspace S consisting of decomposable operators on  $L^2(\mu, \mathcal{H})$ . Assume that we can choose a countable generating set  $\{A_n\} \subset L^{\infty}(\mu, B(\mathcal{H}))$  for S and fix Borel representatives  $\lambda \mapsto A_n(\lambda)$  for their decomposition. For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  we define  $S(\lambda)$  as  $\overline{span}\{A_n(\lambda) : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ . Then the subspace S is decomposable and the decomposition of  $S(\lambda)$  over  $\Lambda$  with respect to  $\mathcal{D}$  sometimes called direct integral denoted by  $\int_{\Lambda}^{\oplus} S(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda)$  is the set

$$\{M_A : A \in L^{\infty}(\mu, B(\mathcal{H})), A(\lambda) \in \mathcal{S}(\lambda) \text{ a.e.} \}.$$

Note that in order to see that the above definition of decomposable subspace is correct, it is necessary to show that up to a set of measure zero the subspaces  $\{S(\lambda)\}$  are independent of choice of generators. The definition of direct integral of subspaces is parallel to the definition in [1] of direct integral of algebras, where the authors showed that the definition for the algebra case does not depend on the choice of generators.

Let us choose sets  $\{A_n\}, \{B_n\}$  generating S and fix Borel functions  $\lambda \mapsto A_n(\lambda)$  and  $\lambda \mapsto B_n(\lambda)$  for their decompositions. Define  $S(\lambda)$  as  $\overline{span}\{A_n(\lambda): n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ . To show that decomposition of S is independent of the choice of generators it is enough to prove that  $B_n(\lambda) \in S(\lambda)$  for almost all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{A}$  the algebra  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} aI & S \\ 0 & aI \end{pmatrix} : a \in \mathbf{C}, S \in S \right\} \subset B(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$ . Since S as a subspace has a countable number of generators  $\{A_n\}, \text{thus } \tilde{A}_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_n \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$  generate  $\mathcal{A}$  as an algebra. It means that  $\mathcal{A}$  is decomposable (see [1]) and there exists  $\int_{\Lambda}^{\oplus} \mathcal{A}(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda)$ , where  $\mathcal{A}(\lambda) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a(\lambda)I & S(\lambda) \\ 0 & a(\lambda)I \end{pmatrix} : a(\lambda) \in \mathbf{C}, S(\lambda) \in S(\lambda) \right\}$ . Since  $B_n$  generate S thus  $\tilde{B}_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_n \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{A}$ . Notice that  $\tilde{B}_n$  is decomposable and  $\tilde{B}_n(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_n(\lambda) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . By [1, Proposition 3.3],  $\tilde{B}_n(\lambda) \in \mathcal{A}(\lambda)$  for almost all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Hence  $B_n(\lambda) \in S(\lambda)$  almost everywhere. Thus subspaces  $S(\lambda)$  do not depend on the choice of generators.

Let S be a subspace of  $B(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  the diagonal algebra. Denote by  $[S, \mathcal{D}] = \overline{span} \{ aS : a \in \mathcal{D}, S \in S \}.$ 

**Proposition 2.** Let S be a decomposable subspace and  $\int_{\Lambda}^{\oplus} S(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda)$  be its decomposition with respect to  $\mathcal{D}$ . If  $A = \int_{\Lambda}^{\oplus} A(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda)$  is a decomposable operator, then the set of  $\lambda$  for which  $A(\lambda) \in S(\lambda)$  is measurable. Moreover, the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1)  $A \in [\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{D}].$
- (2)  $A(\lambda) \in \mathcal{S}(\lambda)$  for almost all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

*Proof.* Denote by  $\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} aI & S \\ 0 & aI \end{pmatrix} : a \in \mathcal{C}, S \in \mathcal{S} \right\}$  and by  $\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\tilde{A}$  are decomposable, then by [1, Proposition 3.3] the set of  $\lambda$  for which  $\tilde{A}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A(\lambda) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{A}(\lambda)$  is measurable. Note that  $A(\lambda) \in \mathcal{S}(\lambda)$  if and only if  $\tilde{A}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{A}(\lambda)$ . Thus the first conclusion of the proposition is established.

Assume now that  $A \in [S, D]$ . Note that  $A \in [S, D]$  if and only if  $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{A}, \tilde{D})$ . Then [1, Proposition 3.3] implies that  $\tilde{A}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{A}(\lambda)$  almost everywhere. Thus  $A(\lambda) \in \mathcal{S}(\lambda)$  almost everywhere. Since this chain of reasoning is reversible, the proof is complete.

Let Y be a separable metric space and  $(\Lambda, \mu)$  a complete regular  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measure space. Denote by  $2^Y$  a family of closed nonempty subsets of Y. A multifunction with closed values is a function  $F : \Lambda \to 2^Y$ . Function F may be identified with a subset of  $\Lambda \times Y$ . We will regard a multifunction F as measurable if it is a measurable subset of  $\Lambda \times Y$ . A measurable function  $f : \Lambda \to Y$  such that  $f(\lambda) \in F(\lambda)$  for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  is called a measurable selector for F. Denote by  $C(\mathcal{H})$  the unit ball of  $B(\mathcal{H})$ . Note that different subspaces of  $B(\mathcal{H})$  have different unit balls. Thus we may identify a family of weakly closed subspaces with a subset of  $2^{C(\mathcal{H})}$ . Let  $\{\mathcal{S}(\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be a family of weak\*-closed subspaces. The function  $\lambda \mapsto \mathcal{S}(\lambda)$  is called attainable field of subspaces if there exists a decomposable subspace  $\mathcal{S} \subset B(L^2(\mu, \mathcal{H}))$  with decomposition  $\int_{\Lambda}^{\oplus} \mathcal{S}(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda)$ .

Before we start the lemma, let us notice that for any  $S \subset B(\mathcal{H})$  an operator  $T \in \operatorname{ref} S$  if and only if for any projections  $P, Q \in P(\mathcal{H})$  we have  $Q^{\perp}TP = 0$  whenever QSP = SP for all  $S \in S$ .

**Lemma 3.** Let  $F : \lambda \mapsto S(\lambda)$  be an attainable field of subspaces. Then  $refF : \lambda \mapsto refS(\lambda)$  is measurable.

Proof. Let for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  function  $\lambda \mapsto A_n(\lambda)$  be Borel and  $\{A_n(\lambda)\}$ generate  $S(\lambda)$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the set  $\{(\lambda, (P, Q)) \in \Lambda \times P(\mathcal{H}) \times P(\mathcal{H}) : QA_n(\lambda)P = A_n(\lambda)P$  for all  $n\}$ . Since composition is weak\*-continuous on the unit ball of  $B(\mathcal{H})$  then  $(\lambda, (P, Q)) \mapsto QA_n(\lambda)P - A_n(\lambda)P$  is measurable, thus  $\mathcal{F}$  is the measurable subset of  $\Lambda \times P(\mathcal{H}) \times P(\mathcal{H})$ . By [1, Proposition 5.1] there exists  $\{(P_k, Q_k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  a countable dense set of measurable selectors for  $\mathcal{F}$ . Hence ref  $F = \{(\lambda, B) \in \Lambda \times B(\mathcal{H}) : Q_k^{\perp}(\lambda)BP_k(\lambda) = 0 \text{ for all } k\}$ . Let us consider functions  $\omega_k : \Lambda \times C(\mathcal{H}) \ni$  $(\lambda, B) \mapsto Q_k^{\perp}(\lambda)BP_k(\lambda)$ . Since  $P_k, Q_k$  are measurable, so is  $Q_k^{\perp}$ .

Moreover  $P_k, Q_k$  are bounded so the composition is measurable, too. Hence  $\omega_k$  is measurable. Thus ref  $F = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega_k^{-1}(\{0\})$  is measurable as well. According to the identification we have made, the lemma is proved.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 4.** Let S be a decomposable subspace and  $\int_{\Lambda}^{\oplus} S(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda)$ be the decomposition of S with respect to  $\mathcal{D}$ . Then ref $[S, \mathcal{D}] = \int_{\Lambda}^{\oplus} \operatorname{ref} S(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda)$ . In particular, reflexivity of  $[S, \mathcal{D}]$  is equivalent to the reflexivity of almost all  $S(\lambda)$ .

*Proof.* Since S is decomposable, the multifunction  $\lambda \mapsto S(\lambda)$  is the attainable field of subspaces. Note now that [1, Proposition 5.2], which says that each measurable field of algebras is attainable, remains true with the same proof if we change subalgebras to subspaces. Lemma 3 shows that  $\lambda \mapsto \operatorname{ref} S(\lambda)$  is measurable and hence by [1, Proposition 5.2] it is attainable. Therefore there exists a unique subspace  $\mathcal{B} = \int_{\Lambda}^{\oplus} \operatorname{ref} S(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda)$ .

Suppose  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then  $B(\lambda) \in \operatorname{ref} \mathcal{S}(\lambda)$  almost everywhere. Denote  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} aI & S \\ 0 & aI \end{pmatrix} : a \in \mathbf{C}, S \in \mathcal{S} \right\}$  by  $\mathcal{A}$ . The next part of the proof is based on the simple fact that  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{Alg}\operatorname{Lat} \mathcal{A}$  if and only if  $B \in \operatorname{ref} \mathcal{S}$ . To show that  $B \in \operatorname{ref} [\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{D}]$  it suffices to prove that  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{Alg}\operatorname{Lat} \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{A}, \tilde{\mathcal{D}})$ , where  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$  is the appropriate diagonal algebra. By  $[\mathbf{1}, \operatorname{Proposition} 5.6]$  we have  $\operatorname{Alg}\operatorname{Lat} \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{A}, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}) = \int_{\Lambda}^{\oplus} \operatorname{Alg}\operatorname{Lat} \mathcal{A}(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda)$ , where all  $\operatorname{Alg}\operatorname{Lat} \mathcal{A}(\lambda)$  are equal to

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a(\lambda)I & T(\lambda) \\ 0 & b(\lambda)I \end{pmatrix} : a(\lambda), \, b(\lambda) \in \mathbf{C}, T(\lambda) \in \operatorname{ref} \mathcal{S}(\lambda) \right\}.$$

Note that  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B(\lambda) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{Alg} \operatorname{Lat} \mathcal{A}(\lambda)$  for almost all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Hence by  $[\mathbf{1},$ Proposition 3.3]  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{Alg} \operatorname{Lat} \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{A}, \tilde{\mathcal{D}})$ . Thus  $B \in \operatorname{ref} [\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{D}]$ .

Assume now that  $B \in \operatorname{ref}[\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{D}]$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}$  denote the weak\*-closed algebra spanned by  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : S \in \mathcal{S} \right\}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ . Then  $\tilde{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in$  Alg Lat  $\mathcal{U}$ . Hence the operator  $\tilde{B}$  is decomposable by [1, Proposition 5.6] and  $\tilde{B} = \int_{\Lambda}^{\oplus} \tilde{B}(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda)$ , where  $\tilde{B}(\lambda) \in \operatorname{Alg Lat} \mathcal{U}(\lambda)$  almost

K. KLIŚ AND M. PTAK

everywhere. Moreover,  $\tilde{B}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} a(\lambda)I & B(\lambda) \\ 0 & b(\lambda)I \end{pmatrix}$  for  $a(\lambda), b(\lambda) \in \mathbf{C}$  and  $B(\lambda) \in \operatorname{ref} \mathcal{S}(\lambda)$  for almost all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Therefore  $B = \int_{\Lambda}^{\oplus} B(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda)$  and  $B \in \int_{\Lambda}^{\oplus} \operatorname{ref} \mathcal{S}(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda)$ . The last sentence of the Theorem is a consequence of Proposition 2.

**3.** Applications to quasinormal operators. A subspace of operators  $S \subset B(\mathcal{H})$  has property  $\mathbf{A}_1(1)$  if for all weak\*-continuous linear functionals  $\phi$  on  $B(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there are  $a, b \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\|a\| \cdot \|b\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|\phi\|$  and  $\phi(A) = (Aa, b)$  for all  $A \in S$ . If we do not require the control of the norms  $\|a\| \cdot \|b\|$ , the subspace S is called *elementary*.

Theorem 3.4 of [2] shows that every intransitive subspace  $\mathcal{B}$  of all Toeplitz operators in the Hardy space  $H^2$  (i.e., ref $\mathcal{B} \neq \mathcal{B}(H^2)$ ) is elementary. A careful reader can notice that  $\mathcal{B}$  has in fact property  $\mathbf{A}_1(1)$ . Namely, using the notation from [2], take  $f \in L^1$  which represents the weak<sup>\*</sup> continuous functional  $\hat{f}$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $\|f\|_1 = 1$ . By intransitivity we can fix a non-trivial rank-one operator  $g_1 \otimes h_1$  ( $g_1, h_1 \in$  $H^2$ ) in the preannihilator of  $\mathcal{B}$  with norm smaller then  $\varepsilon$ . Corollary 3.3 of [2] shows that  $\log |f + \alpha g_1 \bar{h}_1| \in L^1$  for almost all  $\alpha$  ( $|\alpha| = 1$ ). By [4] as it was shown in [2, Corollary 3.3] there is  $g_2 \otimes h_2$  ( $g_2, h_2 \in H^2$ ) which agree with functional given by f on  $\mathcal{B}$ . Moreover, by [4, p. 48, first sentence],  $g_2 \otimes h_2$  can be chosen such that  $\|g_2\|_2 \|h_2\|_2 = \|f + \alpha g_1 \bar{h}_1\|_1 \leq$  $1 + \varepsilon$ . Hence we obtained the following

**Proposition 5.** Every intransitive weak<sup>\*</sup> or weakly closed subspace of all Toeplitz operators on the Hardy space has property  $\mathbf{A}_1(1)$ .

Let  $\omega$  be a proper subset of the set of all integers and  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{S}(T, \omega)$  the set  $\overline{span}\{T^{(n)} : n \in \omega\}$ .

**Lemma 6.** Assume that V is an isometry and  $\omega$  is a proper subset of the set of all integers. Then the subspace  $S(V, \omega)$  is reflexive and has property  $\mathbf{A}_1(1)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S \oplus U$  be the Wold decomposition of an isometry V, i.e., S is a shift of certain multiplicity and U is a unitary operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

If the multiplicity is one then [2, Theorem 1.1] shows that  $S(S, \omega)$  is reflexive. As to property  $\mathbf{A}_1(1)$ , the required factorization was shown in Proposition 5. The case of any multiplicity follows from the case of the multiplicity one by Theorem 4 and [5, Corollary 3.7]. The subspace  $\overline{span}(\mathcal{P}_U)$  has the same properties as a subspace of commutative von Neumann algebra generated by U. Also, by Theorem 4 and [5, Corollary 3.7] it is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{S}(S,\omega) \oplus \overline{span}(\mathcal{P}_U)$$

is reflexive and has property  $\mathbf{A}_1(1)$  and its subspace  $\mathcal{S}(V, \omega)$  has the same properties (see [5]).

**Theorem 7.** Let  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$  be a quasinormal operator. A weak<sup>\*</sup>closed subspace generated by any proper subset of  $\{(T^*)^n, T^n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is reflexive and has property  $\mathbf{A}_1(1)$ .

*Proof.* Using the notations introduced before Lemma 6, the considered subspace can be denoted by  $\mathcal{S}(T, \omega)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be the commutative von Neumann algebra generated by  $T^*T$ . By direct integral theory (see [5, Remark 3.1(b)] and [6, Theorem 6, p.19])  $\mathcal{Z}$  can be seen as an algebra of an orthogonal sum of multiplication operators by the scalar function from  $L^{\infty}(\mu_i)$  on the spaces  $L^2(\mu_i, \mathcal{H}_i)$ , where  $(\Lambda_i, \mathcal{M}_i, \mu_i)$  is a complete regular  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measure space and *i* runs through a countable set. Moreover, every operator in  $\mathcal{S}(T, \omega)$  can be seen as an orthogonal sum of the multiplication operators  $A_i$  such that  $(A_i f)(\lambda_i) = A_i(\lambda_i) f(\lambda_i)$ , where  $A_i \in L^{\infty}(\mu_i, B(\mathcal{H}_i))$ .

Let us identify T with  $\bigoplus_i T_i(\cdot)$ . Since T is quasinormal thus  $T_i^*(\lambda_i)T_i(\lambda_i)$  is a scalar multiple of the identity operator for almost all  $\lambda_i \in \Lambda_i$ . Therefore  $T_i(\lambda_i)$  is a scalar multiple of an isometry.

By Lemma 6 we can see that for each  $\lambda_i \in \Lambda_i$  the subspace  $\mathcal{S}(T_i(\lambda_i), \omega)$  is reflexive and has property  $\mathbf{A}_1(1)$ . Hence by Theorem 4 and [5, Corollary 3.7]

$$\int_{\Lambda_i}^{\oplus} \mathcal{S}(T_i(\lambda_i), \omega) \, d\mu_i(\lambda_i)$$

is reflexive and has property  $\mathbf{A}_1(1)$ . Therefore, as above,

$$\bigoplus_{i} \int_{\Lambda_{i}}^{\oplus} \mathcal{S}(T_{i}(\lambda_{i}), \omega) \, d\mu_{i}(\lambda_{i})$$

is reflexive and has property  $\mathbf{A}_1(1)$ . Thus  $\mathcal{S}(T, \omega)$  as a subspace of above has the same properties (by [5, Proposition 2.5]).

**Corollary 8.** Let T be a quasinormal operator and let k be an integer, then subspaces  $\overline{span}\{T^{(n)}: n \ge k\}$  and  $\overline{span}\{T^{(n)}: n \ne k\}$  are reflexive and have property  $\mathbf{A}_1(1)$ .

*Remark* 9. Note that Theorem 6 remains true if we consider weak operator topology instead of weak<sup>\*</sup> operator topology.

Acknowledgments. We would like very much to thank the referee, who made many suggestions which helped to fill the gap in the proof.

## REFERENCES

1. E.A. Azoff, C.K. Fong and F. Gilfeather, A reduction theory for non-selfadjoint operator algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 224 (1976), 351–366.

2. E.A. Azoff and M. Ptak, A dichotomy for linear spaces of Toeplitz Operators, J. Funct. Anal. 156 (1998), 411–428.

**3.** ——, Jointly quasinormal families are reflexive, Acta. Sci. Math. (Szeged) **61** (1995), 545–547.

**4.** J. Bourgain, A problem of Douglas and Rudin on factorization, Pacific J. Math **121** (1986), 47–50.

5. D.W. Hadwin and E.A. Nordgren, *Subalgebras of reflexive algebras*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. **70** (1982), 3–23.

6. J.T. Schwartz, W\*-algebras, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1967.

7. W.R. Wogen, *Quasinormal operators are reflexive*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **31** (1979), 19–22.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF AGRICULTURE, AL. MICKIEWICZA 21, 30-059 KRAKÓW, POLAND *E-mail address:* rmklis@cyf-kr.edu.pl

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF AGRICULTURE, AL.MICKIEWICZA 21, 30-059 KRAKÓW, POLAND

E-mail address: rmptak@cyf-kr.edu.pl