ON NECESSARY CONDITIONS FOR THE EXISTENCE OF ODD PERFECT NUMBERS

JOHN A. EWELL

ABSTRACT. Based on a well-known theorem of Euler, which gives a necessary condition for the existence of odd perfect numbers, the author presents two apparently new necessary conditions. The method of proof also reveals a couple of interesting related results.

1. Introduction. As usual, $\mathbf{Z} := \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$, $\mathbf{N} := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and $\mathbf{P} := \mathbf{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Further, recall that the sum-of-divisors function σ is defined by

$$\sigma(n) := \sum_{d \mid n} d, \quad n \in \mathbf{P}.$$

A positive integer n is called *perfect* if and only if $\sigma(n) = 2n$. The existence, or nonexistence, of odd perfect numbers is perhaps the oldest open question of number theory, e.g., see [2, p. 12]. There are, however, several theorems which give necessary conditions for the existence of odd perfect numbers. Doubtless, the best known of them is the following theorem due to Euler [1, p. 231].

Theorem 1.1. If $n \in \mathbf{P} \setminus \{1\}$ and n is an odd perfect number, then canonically

$$n = p^e p_1^{2e_1} p_2^{2e_2} \cdots p_r^{2e_r},$$

where p, p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r are distinct odd primes and $p \equiv e \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Clearly, this theorem requires that the given odd integer n(>1) be factored or, at the very least, partially factored as

$$n = p^e m^2$$

where $p \equiv e \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $m \in \mathbf{P}$. However, even this partial factoring can be decidedly difficult. Based on the obvious consequence

Received by the editors on April 4, 1997. AMS Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11A05, Secondary 11A99. Key words and phrases. Perfect numbers, the existence of odd perfect numbers.

Copyright ©1999 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

of Euler's result that any odd perfect number must be the form 4m+1, we here propose to give a couple of necessary conditions which are entirely additive in character. These are: Theorem 1.2, stated below, and its corollary, 2.3.

Theorem 1.2. For each $m \in \mathbf{P}$, if 4m+1 is an odd perfect number, then

$$(4m+1)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{2m} \sigma(8m+3-2k)\sigma(2k-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

In Section 2 we prove this theorem and observe a couple of additional results that originate in our method. Several arithmetical functions arise naturally. These we collect in the following definition.

Definition 1.3. (i) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$r_4(n) := |\{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbf{Z}^4 | n = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2\}|.$$

- (ii) For each $n \in \mathbf{P}$, b(n) := the exponent of the exact power of 2 dividing n, and then $0d(n) := n2^{-b(n)}$ is the odd part of n.
 - (iii) The arithmetical function Ψ_{12} is defined by

$$\sum_{1}^{\infty} \Psi_{12}(n)x^n := x \prod_{1}^{\infty} (1 - x^{2n})^{12}, \qquad |x| < 1.$$

In part (iii) of the foregoing definition x is to be regarded as a complex variable. The function Ψ_{12} is due to Ramanujan [5, p. 155].

2. Proofs. Our proofs depend on the following two identities:

(2.1)
$$\prod_{1}^{\infty} (1 - x^{2n})(1 + tx^{2n-1})(1 + t^{-1}x^{2n-1}) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{n^2} t^n,$$

which is valid for each pair of complex numbers t, x such that $t \neq 0$ and |x| < 1.

$$(2.2) \quad \prod_{1}^{\infty} (1 - x^{2n})^{2} (1 + abx^{2n-1}) (1 + a^{-1}b^{-1}x^{2n-1})$$

$$\cdot (1 + ab^{-1}x^{2n-1}) (1 + a^{-1}bx^{2n-1})$$

$$= \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{2m^{2}} a^{2m} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{2n^{2}} b^{2n}$$

$$+ x \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{2m(m+1)} a^{2m+1} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{2n(n+1)} b^{2n+1},$$

which is valid for each triple of complex numbers a, b, x such that $a \neq 0$, $b \neq 0$ and |x| < 1. The first of these two identities, the triple-product identity, is a celebrated result; and, elementary proofs of it abound, e.g., see [4, pp. 282–283]. The second identity, due to the author, is not so widely known. But there is an accessible proof of it in [3].

Our first step in the proof of Theorem 1.2 is to establish the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{12}(2m+1) &= \sum_{k=1}^{2m+1} (-1)^{k-1} r_4(2m+1-k) 2^{b(k)} k \sigma(0d(k)) \\ &+ 4 \sum_{k=1}^{2m} 2^{b(2m+1-k)+b(k)} \sigma(0d(2m+1-k)) \sigma(0d(k)) \\ &+ 4 \sum_{k=1}^{2m-1} (-1)^k r_4(k) \sum_{j=1}^{2m-k} 2^{b(2m+1-k-j)+b(j)} \\ & \cdot \sigma(0d(2m+1-k-j)) \sigma(0d(j)), \end{split}$$

$$(2.4) \sum_{k=1}^{2m+2} (-1)^k r_4(2m+2-k) 2^{b(k)} k \sigma(0d(k))$$

$$+ 4 \sum_{k=1}^{2m+1} 2^{b(2m+2-k)+b(k)} \sigma(0d(2m+2-k)) \sigma(0d(k))$$

$$+ 4 \sum_{k=1}^{2m} (-1)^k r_4(k) \sum_{j=1}^{2m+1-k} 2^{b(2m+2-k-j)+b(j)}$$

$$\cdot \sigma(0d(2m+2-k-j)) \sigma(0d(j)) = 0.$$

Proof. To prove Lemma 2.1 we first appeal to identity (2.1) to express each series on the right side of identity (2.2) as an infinite product.

$$\prod_{1}^{\infty} (1 - x^{2n})^{2} (1 + abx^{2n-1}) (1 + a^{-1}b^{-1}x^{2n-1})
\cdot (1 + ab^{-1}x^{2n-1}) (1 + a^{-1}bx^{2n-1})
= \prod_{1}^{\infty} (1 - x^{4n})^{2} (1 + a^{2}x^{4n-2}) (1 + a^{-2}x^{4n-2})
\cdot (1 + b^{2}x^{4n-2}) (1 + b^{-2}x^{4n-2})
+ x(a + a^{-1})(b + b^{-1}) \prod_{1}^{\infty} (1 - x^{4n})^{2} (1 + a^{2}x^{4n})
\cdot (1 + a^{-2}x^{4n}) (1 + b^{2}x^{4n}) (1 + b^{-2}x^{4n}).$$

Next, in the foregoing identity, we let a = b and then let $a \to ia$ to get

$$(2.5) \quad \prod_{1}^{\infty} (1 - x^{2n})^2 (1 + x^{2n-1})^2 (1 - a^2 x^{2n-1}) (1 - a^{-2} x^{2n-1})$$

$$= \prod_{1}^{\infty} (1 - x^{4n})^2 (1 - a^2 x^{4n-2})^2$$

$$\cdot (1 - a^{-2} x^{4n-2})^2 - (a - a^{-1})^2 x$$

$$\cdot \prod_{1}^{\infty} (1 - x^{4n})^2 (1 - a^2 x^{4n})^2 (1 - a^{-2} x^{4n})^2.$$

Now in (2.5) we let (i) $x \to -x$, (ii) multiply the resulting identity and (2.5), and (iii) in the product of the two identities let $x \to x^{1/2}$ to get

$$(2.6) \quad \prod_{1}^{\infty} (1 - x^{n})^{4} (1 - x^{2n-1})^{2} (1 - a^{4}x^{2n-1}) (1 - a^{-4}x^{2n-1})$$

$$= \prod_{1}^{\infty} (1 - x^{2n})^{4} (1 - a^{2}x^{2n-1})^{4} (1 - a^{-2}x^{2n-1})^{4}$$

$$- (a - a^{-1})^{4} x \prod_{1}^{\infty} (1 - x^{2n})^{4}$$

$$\cdot (1 - a^{2}x^{2n})^{4} (1 - a^{-2}x^{2n})^{4}.$$

With z a complex variable and D_z denoting differentiation with respect to z, we define the operator Θ_z by $\Theta_z := zD_z$. We then operate on both sides of (2.6) with Θ_a^4 ; and, thereafter let $a \to 1$. Since there is a considerable amount of formal algebra in our proof, we adopt the following abbreviations.

$$G = G(a, x) := x \prod_{1}^{\infty} (1 - x^{2n})^4 (1 - a^2 x^{2n})^4 (1 - a^{-2} x^{2n})^4,$$

$$E = E(a, x) := \prod_{1}^{\infty} (1 - x^{2n}) (1 - a^2 x^{2n-1}) (1 - a^{-2} x^{2n-1}),$$

$$F = F(a, x) := \prod_{1}^{\infty} (1 - x^{2n}) (1 - a^4 x^{2n-1}) (1 - a^{-4} x^{2n-1})$$

and

$$\alpha(x) := \prod_{1}^{\infty} (1 - x^{2n})^3 (1 - x^{2n-1})^6.$$

Of course, $F(a, x) = E(a^2, x)$ and $\alpha(x) = E(1, x)^3$. But we use different symbols to help us keep track of proceedings. Identity (2.6) now reads

$$(a - a^{-1})^4 G(a, x) = E(a, x)^4 - \alpha(x) F(a, x).$$

Easily,

$$\Theta_a^4\{(a-a^{-1})^4G(a,x)\}|_{a=1}=2^4\cdot 4!G(1,x).$$

We now operate on the right side of the foregoing identity, and thereafter let $a \to 1$.

Recall that, for any complex number z and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $(z)_k := z(z-1)\cdots(z-k+1)$. Then, appealing (via identity (2.1)) to the series representations of E(a, x) and F(a, x), we get

$$\Theta_a^4 E(a, x)^4 |_{a=1} = 3 \cdot (4)_2 \cdot 2^4 \cdot E(1, x)^2 \left\{ \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n n^2 x^{n^2} \right\}^2$$

$$+ 4 \cdot 2^4 \cdot E(1, x)^3 \cdot \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n n^4 x^{n^2},$$

$$\Theta_a^4 \{-\alpha(x)F(a,x)\}|_{a=1} = -2^8 \cdot E(1,x)^3 \cdot \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n n^4 x^{n^2}.$$

Hence,

(2.7)
$$2G(1,x) = -E(1,x)^3 \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n n^4 x^{n^2} + 3E(1,x)^2 \left\{ \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n n^2 x^{n^2} \right\}^2.$$

Put

$$f(x) := \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n x^{n^2}.$$

Then we easily recognize

$$\Theta_x f(x) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n n^2 x^{n^2}$$

and

$$\Theta_x^2 f(x) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n n^4 x^{n^2}.$$

However, identity (2.1) yields

$$f(x) = \prod_{1}^{\infty} (1 - x^n)(1 - x^{2n-1}).$$

Hence,

$$\Theta_x(f(x)) = f(x) \left[-\sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{nx^n}{1 - x^n} - \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{(2n-1)x^{2n-1}}{1 - x^{2n-1}} \right]$$
$$= f(x) \left[-\sum_{1}^{\infty} 2^{b(n)+1} \sigma(0d(n))x^n \right]$$

and

$$\Theta_x^2 f(x) = f(x) \left\{ \left[-\sum_{1}^{\infty} 2^{b(n)+1} \sigma(0d(n)) x^n \right]^2 - \sum_{1}^{\infty} 2^{b(n)+1} n \sigma(0d(n)) x^n \right\}.$$

Now, substituting these values for $\Theta_x f(x)$ and $\Theta_x^2 f(x)$ back into identity (2.7), we get

(2.8)
$$G(1,x) = E(1,x)^4 \left\{ \sum_{1}^{\infty} 2^{b(n)} n \sigma(0d(n)) x^n + 4 \left[\sum_{1}^{\infty} 2^{b(n)} \sigma(0d(n)) x^n \right]^2 \right\}.$$

We now recall that

$$E(1,x)^4 = \prod_{1}^{\infty} (1 - x^{2n})^4 (1 - x^{2n-1})^8$$
$$= \left\{ \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n x^{n^2} \right\}^4$$
$$= \sum_{0}^{\infty} (-1)^n r_4(n) x^n.$$

Now, substituting this last series for $E(1, x)^4$ into the right side of (2.8), thereafter expanding and equating coefficients of like powers of x, we prove Lemma 2.1. \square

On the strength of a well-known formula of Jacobi, we now eliminate r_4 from the identities (2.3) and (2.4). This formula is $r_4(n) = 8(2 + (-1)^n)\sigma(0d(n))$ for each $n \in \mathbf{P}$. For a proof, see [4, pp. 311–314].

Corollary 2.2. For each
$$m \in \mathbb{N}$$
,

 $\Psi_{12}(2m+1) = (2m+1)\sigma(2m+1)$

$$+8\sum_{k=1}^{2m}(-1)^{k-1}\left\{2+(-1)^{2m+1-k}\right\}$$

$$\cdot\sigma(0d(2m+1-k))2^{b(k)}k\sigma(0d(k))$$

$$+4\sum_{k=1}^{2m}2^{b(2m+1-k)+b(k)}$$

$$\cdot\sigma(0d(2m+1-k))\sigma(0d(k))$$

$$+32\sum_{k=1}^{2m-1}(-1)^{k}\left\{2+(-1)^{k}\right\}$$

$$\cdot\sigma(0d(k))\sum_{j=1}^{2m-k}2^{b(2m+1-k-j)+b(j)}$$

$$\cdot\sigma(0d(2m+1-k-j))\sigma(0d(j)),$$

$$(2.10)\quad 2^{b(2m+2)}(2m+2)\sigma(0d(2m+2))$$

$$+8\sum_{k=1}^{2m+1}(-1)^{k}\left\{2+(-1)^{2m+2-k}\right\}$$

$$\cdot\sigma(0d(2m+2-k))2^{b(k)}k\sigma(0d(k))$$

$$+4\sum_{k=1}^{2m+1}2^{b(2m+2-k)+b(k)}\sigma(0d(2m+2-k))\sigma(0d(k))$$

$$+32\sum_{k=1}^{2m}(-1)^{k}\left\{2+(-1)^{k}\right\}\sigma(0d(k))\sum_{j=1}^{2m+1-k}2^{b(2m+2-k-j)+b(j)}$$

$$\cdot\sigma(0d(2m+2-k-j))\sigma(0d(j))=0.$$

Identity (2.10) is the key to completing the proof of Theorem 1.2. We recall that, for each $n \in \mathbf{P}$, b(2n) = b(n) + 1 and 0d(2n) = 0d(n).

Then, in (2.10) we let $m \to 4m$ and cancel a factor of 4 to get

$$(4m+1)\sigma(4m+1) - 2(4m+1)\sigma(4m+1)^{2}$$

$$+ 2\sum_{k=1}^{4m} (-1)^{k} \{2 + (-1)^{8m+2-k} \}$$

$$\cdot \sigma(0d(8m+2-k)) 2^{b(k)} k \sigma(0d(k))$$

$$+ 2\sum_{k=4m+2}^{8m+1} (-1)^{k} \{2 + (-1)^{8m+2-k} \}$$

$$\cdot \sigma(0d(8m+2-k)) 2^{b(k)} k \sigma(0d(k))$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{8m+1} 2^{b(8m+2-k)+b(k)} \sigma(0d(8m+2-k)) \sigma(0d(k))$$

$$+ 8\sum_{k=1}^{8m} (-1)^{k} \{2 + (-1)^{k} \} \sigma(0d(k)) \sum_{j=1}^{8m+1-k} 2^{b(8m+2-k-j)+b(j)}$$

$$\cdot \sigma(0d(8m+2-k-j)) \sigma(0d(j)) = 0.$$

Now we explicitly assume that 4m + 1 is perfect, so that $\sigma(4m + 1) = 2(4m + 1)$. For the first and second sigma-sums of the foregoing identity, the terms corresponding to even values of the index k are clearly divisible by 2. For the first sigma-sum, the sum (including the factor 2) corresponding to odd values of the index k is

$$S_1 := -2 \sum_{j=0}^{2m-1} \sigma(8m+2-2j-1)\{2j+1\}\sigma(2j+1).$$

And, for the second sigma-sum, the sum corresponding to odd values

of the index k is

$$S_2 := -2 \sum_{j=2m+1}^{4m} \sigma(8m+2-2j-1)\{2j+1\}\sigma(2j+1)$$

$$= -2 \sum_{j=0}^{2m-1} \sigma(8m+2-(8m+1-2j))$$

$$\cdot \{8m+1-2j\}\sigma(8m+1-2j)$$

$$= -2 \sum_{j=0}^{2m-1} \sigma(2j+1)\{8m+2-2j-1\}$$

$$\cdot \sigma(8m+2-2j-1).$$

Hence,

$$S_1 + S_2 = -2(8m+2) \sum_{j=0}^{2m-1} \sigma(2j+1)\sigma(8m+2-2j-1).$$

Regarding the third sigma-sum, we observe that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{8m+1} 2^{b(8m+2-k)+b(k)} \sigma(0d(8m+2-k)) \sigma(0d(k))$$

$$= \sigma(4m+1)^2 + 2\sum_{k=1}^{2m} \sigma(8m+3-2k) \sigma(2k-1)$$
+ a sum divisible by 4.

Now we cancel a factor of 2 in the identity and observe that

$$(4m+1)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{2m} \sigma(8m+3-2k)\sigma(2k-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Corollary 2.3. For each $m \in \mathbf{P}$, if 4m + 1 is perfect and

$$S:=\{k\in \mathbf{P}\mid k\leq 2m; 8m+3-2k\quad and\quad 2k-1\ are\ squares\},$$

then $|S| \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

Proof. We recall that, for $n \in \mathbf{P}$, $\sigma(n)$ is odd if and only if n is a square or twice a square. Hence, for each $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2m\}$, the term $\sigma(8m+3-2k)\sigma(2k-1)$ is odd if and only if both 8m+3-2k and 2k-1 are squares. Thus, because of Theorem 1.2 we must have $|S| \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

Concluding remarks. The arithmetical function Ψ_{12} of identity 2.3 arises naturally in our proof of Theorem 1.2. It is one of a family of such functions first introduced by Ramanujan [5, p. 155]. As a matter of fact, for each positive divisor α of 24, the arithmetical function Ψ_{α} is defined by

$$\sum_1^\infty \Psi_lpha(n) x^n := x \prod_1^\infty (1 - x^{24n/lpha})^lpha,$$

an identity which is valid for each complex number x such that |x| < 1. Of course, $\Psi_{24} = \tau$, the celebrated Ramanujan tau function. Formulas for both Ψ_6 and Ψ_{12} are presented and discussed elsewhere.

REFERENCES

- 1. D.M. Burton, Elementary number theory, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1976.
- 2. L.E. Dickson, *History of the theory of numbers*, Vol. 1, Chelsea, New York, 1952.
- 3. J.A. Ewell, Arithmetical consequences of a sextuple-product identity, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 25 (1995), 1287–1293.
- ${\bf 4.}$ G.H. Hardy and E.M. Wright, An introduction to the theory of numbers, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1960.
 - 5. S. Ramanujan, Collected papers, Chelsea, New York, 1962.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, Illinois 60115

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: ewell@math.niu.edu}$