## A NOTE ON SOME MODULAR SUBGROUPS

REFIK KESKIN


#### Abstract

In this study, we introduce some modular subgroups and we calculate parabolic class numbers of those groups. In addition to this, we gave a nice formula for the parabolic class number of $\Gamma_{0}\left(n^{2}\right)$.


1. Introduction. Let $\Gamma$ denote the modular group, which consist of those mappings of the form

$$
T(z)=\frac{a z+b}{c z+d}, \quad a d-b c=1, \quad a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{Z}
$$

and let $\Gamma^{m}$ be its subgroup such that

$$
\Gamma^{m}=\{T \in \Gamma: a \equiv d \equiv 0(\bmod m) \text { or } c \equiv b \equiv 0(\bmod m)\}
$$

where $m \geq 2$, is a natural number. Let $\Gamma_{0}(n)$ be the congruence subgroup of the modular group $\Gamma$ such that $c \equiv 0(\bmod n)$ and let $\Gamma_{0}^{m}(n)=\Gamma^{m} \cap \Gamma_{0}(n)$. Our main purpose is to calculate parabolic class number of $\Gamma_{0}^{m}(n)$ for $m$ to be a power of a prime number.
2. Some definitions and theorems. Let $\Lambda$ be a discrete subgroup of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbf{R})$. When $x \in \mathbf{R} \cup\{\infty\}$ is a fixed point of a parabolic element of $\Lambda$, we say that $x$ is a parabolic point of $\Lambda$. We also call a parabolic point of $\Lambda$ a cusp of $\Lambda$.

We now give a theorem from [6], which is related to the cusps of $\Lambda$.

Theorem 1. Let $x$ be a cusp of $\Lambda$, and let $\Lambda_{x}=\{T \in \Lambda: T(x)=x\}$. Then $\Lambda_{x}$ is an infinite cyclic group. Moreover, any element of $\Lambda_{x}$ is either identity or parabolic.

The parabolic subgroups of $\Lambda$ are defined to be those nonidentity cyclic subgroups $C \subset \Lambda$, which consist of parabolic elements, together

[^0]with the identity, and which are maximal with respect to this property. The parabolic class number $s$ of $\Lambda$ is the number of conjugacy classes of parabolic subgroup of $\Lambda$, see [1].

Lemma 1. Let $\Lambda$ be a discrete subgroup of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbf{R})$ and assume that $\Lambda^{*}$ is a subgroup of finite index in $\Lambda$. Let $P$ be the set of the parabolic points of $\Lambda$. Then $P$ is the set of the parabolic points of $\Lambda^{*}$ and the parabolic class number of $\Lambda^{*}$ is the number of orbits of $\Lambda^{*}$ on $P$.

Proof. Let $x$ be a point in $P$. Then $S(x)=x$ for some parabolic element of $\Lambda$. Since $\Lambda^{*}$ is a subgroup of finite index in $\Lambda$, we see that $S^{k} \in \Lambda^{*}$ for some natural number $k$. Then $S^{k}$ is a parabolic mapping and $S^{k}(x)=x$. Thus $x$ is a cusp of $\Lambda^{*}$. By the above theorem, $\Lambda_{x}^{*}$ is an infinite cyclic group and any nonidentity element of $\Lambda_{x}^{*}$ is a parabolic element. It can be seen that $\Lambda_{x}^{*}$ is maximal with respect to this property. That is, $\Lambda_{x}^{*}$ is a parabolic subgroup. Now let $C$ be a parabolic subgroup of $\Lambda^{*}$. Then every element of $C$ has a fixed point $x$ and therefore $C \subset \Lambda_{x}^{*}$. This shows that $C=\Lambda_{x}^{*}$. In addition, $x$ and $y$ lie in the same orbit if and only if $\Lambda_{x}^{*}$ and $\Lambda_{y}^{*}$ are conjugate. Then the proof follows.

By a Fuchsian group $\Lambda$ we will mean a finitely generated discrete subgroup of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbf{R})$ the group of conformal homeomorphisms of the upper-half plane. The most general presentation for $\Lambda$ is

Generators;

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, a_{g}, b_{g} & \text { (Hyperbolic) } \\
x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r} & \text { (Elliptic) } \\
p_{1,}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{s} & \text { (Parabolic) }
\end{array}
$$

Relations;

$$
x_{1}^{m_{1}}=x_{2}^{m_{2}}=\cdots x_{r}^{m_{r}}=\prod_{i=1}^{g}\left[a_{i}, b_{i}\right] \prod_{j=1}^{r} x_{j} \prod_{k=1}^{s} p_{k}=1
$$

where $\left[a_{i}, b_{i}\right]$ denotes the commutator of $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$.

We then say $\Lambda$ has signature, see [7],

$$
\left(g, m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{r} ; s\right)
$$

$s$ is the number of parabolic classes, i.e., of conjugacy classes of maximal parabolic subgroup, and $r$ is the number of elliptic classes. The $m_{i}$ are the periods of $\Lambda$.

In the following we calculate the indexes of some subgroups of $\Gamma$ in $\Gamma$. Before giving our lemmas, we give a lemma from [5].

Lemma 2. $\left|\Gamma: \Gamma_{0}(n)\right|=n \prod_{p \mid n}(1+1 / p)$.

Lemma 3. $\left|\Gamma: \Gamma^{m}\right|=m\left|\Gamma: \Gamma_{0}(m)\right| / 2$.

Proof. Let $H=\left\{T \in \Gamma^{m}: b \equiv c \equiv 0(\bmod m)\right\}$, and let

$$
K=\left\{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right] \in S L(2, \mathbf{Z}): b \equiv c \equiv 0(\bmod m)\right\}
$$

Now let $\lambda_{m}: S L(2, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow S L(2, \mathbf{Z} / m \mathbf{Z})$ be an epimorphism defined in [4, p. 104], as follows:

$$
\lambda_{m}\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right]\right)=\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a^{*} & b^{*} \\
c^{*} & d^{*}
\end{array}\right]\right)
$$

where $a \equiv a^{*}(\bmod m), b \equiv b^{*}(\bmod m), c \equiv c^{*}(\bmod m), d \equiv d^{*}$ $(\bmod m)$. Since
$\lambda_{m}(K)=\left\{\left[\begin{array}{cc}a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1}\end{array}\right] \in S L(2, \mathbf{Z} / m \mathbf{Z}): a \in S L(2, \mathbf{Z} / m \mathbf{Z})\right.$ is a unit $\}$,
we see that $\left|\lambda_{m}(K)\right|=\varphi(m)$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\Gamma: H| & =|S L(2, \mathbf{Z}): K|=\left|S L(2, \mathbf{Z} / m \mathbf{Z}): \lambda_{m}(K)\right| \\
& =\frac{m^{3} \prod_{p \mid m}\left(1-1 / p^{2}\right)}{\varphi(m)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus $|\Gamma: H|=m\left|\Gamma: \Gamma_{0}(m)\right|$. Since $H \subset \Gamma^{m} \subset \Gamma$, we have

$$
\left|\Gamma: \Gamma^{m}\right|=\frac{|\Gamma: H|}{\left|\Gamma^{m}: H\right|}=\frac{|\Gamma: H|}{2}=\frac{m}{2}\left|\Gamma: \Gamma_{0}(m)\right|
$$

Lemma 4. Let $(m, n)=1$. Then $\left|\Gamma^{m}: \Gamma_{0}^{m}(n)\right|=\left|\Gamma: \Gamma_{0}(n)\right|$ and

$$
\left|\Gamma: \Gamma_{0}^{m}(n)\right|=\left|\Gamma: \Gamma^{m}\right|\left|\Gamma^{m}: \Gamma_{0}^{m}(n)\right|
$$

if $m$ is not prime to $n$, then $\left|\Gamma: \Gamma_{0}^{m}(n)\right|=\left|\Gamma: \Gamma_{0}\left(m^{2} n\right)\right|$.

Proof. Assume that $(m, n)=1$. Let $H_{0}=\{T \in H: c \equiv 0(\bmod n)\}$. Then $H_{0} \subset H$ and $H_{0} \subset \Gamma_{0}^{m}(n)$. Moreover, it can be seen that $H$ is conjugate to $\Gamma_{0}\left(m^{2}\right)$ and that $H_{0}$ is conjugate to $\Gamma_{0}\left(m^{2} n\right)$. This follows from the fact that $H=S^{-1} \Gamma_{0}\left(m^{2}\right) S$ and $H_{0}=S^{-1} \Gamma_{0}\left(m^{2} n\right) S$ where $S(z)=z / m$ and the conjugation is being done in $S L(2, \mathbf{R})$ and not in $S L(2, \mathbf{Z})$. Since $\left|\Gamma^{m}: H\right|=\left|\Gamma_{0}^{m}(n): H\right|=2$, we have

$$
\left|\Gamma^{m}: \Gamma_{0}^{m}(n)\right|=\frac{\left|\Gamma^{m}: H_{0}\right|}{\left|\Gamma_{0}^{m}(n): H_{0}\right|}=\frac{\left|\Gamma^{m}: H\right|\left|H: H_{0}\right|}{\left|\Gamma_{0}^{m}(n): H_{0}\right|}=\left|H: H_{0}\right|
$$

On the other hand, since

$$
\left|H: H_{0}\right|=\left|S^{-1} \Gamma_{0}\left(m^{2}\right) S: S^{-1} \Gamma_{0}\left(m^{2} n\right) S\right|=\left|\Gamma_{0}\left(m^{2}\right): \Gamma_{0}\left(m^{2} n\right)\right|
$$

and

$$
\left|\Gamma_{0}\left(m^{2}\right): \Gamma_{0}\left(m^{2} n\right)\right|=\left|\Gamma: \Gamma_{0}(n)\right|
$$

we see that $\left|\Gamma^{m}: \Gamma_{0}^{m}(n)\right|=\left|\Gamma: \Gamma_{0}(n)\right|$. Since

$$
\left|\Gamma: \Gamma_{0}^{m}(n)\right|=\left|\Gamma: \Gamma^{m}\right|\left|\Gamma^{m}: \Gamma_{0}^{m}(n)\right|
$$

the proof follows. If $m$ is not prime to $n$, then $\Gamma_{0}^{m}(n)=H_{0}$ and the proof is similar. $\quad \square$

In view of the above lemmas, we see that $\Gamma^{m}$ and $\Gamma_{0}^{m}(n)$ are finitely generated discrete subgroup of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbf{R})$ and therefore they are Fuchsian groups.
3. Main theorems. Let $T \in \Gamma$ and

$$
T(z)=\frac{a z+b}{c z+d}, \quad a d-b c=1
$$

Since

$$
d(a k+b s)-b(c k+d s)=k
$$

and

$$
a(c k+d s)-c(a k+b s)=s
$$

we see that if $k / s$ is in the reduced form, then $(a k+b s) /(c k+d s)$ is in the reduced form. Now we give the following lemma without proof. The proof is easy and can be found in [1].

Lemma 5. Let $\Gamma$ be the modular group. Then the set of parabolic points of $\Gamma$ is the set $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}=\mathbf{Q} \cup\{\infty\}=\{k / s:(k, s)=1\} \cup\{\infty\}$ and $\Gamma$ acts transitively on $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}$.

If we represent $\infty$ as $1 / 0=-1 / 0$, we have

$$
\hat{\mathbf{Q}}=\{k / s:(k, s)=1 \text { and } k, s \text { are integers }\}
$$

From the above lemmas, it follows that the parabolic class number of $\Gamma_{0}^{m}(n)$ is the number of orbits of $\Gamma_{0}^{m}(n)$ on $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}$. Since $\Gamma$ acts transitively on $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}$ and $\Gamma_{0}^{m}(n)$ is of finite index in $\Gamma$, it can be seen that the parabolic class number of $\Gamma_{0}^{m}(n)$ is finite, see [1].

In the following, we give a lemma without proof, which we use later. The lemma appears in [8, p. 73], as a problem.

Lemma 6. Let $m$ and $k$ be positive numbers. Then the number of the positive integers $\leq m k$ that are prime to $m$ is $k \varphi(m)$.

From now on, we will assume that $m=p^{r}$ for some prime number $p$.

Lemma 7. Let $(m, n)=1$ and assume that $k / s \in \hat{\mathbf{Q}}$ with $p \mid s$. Then there exist some $T \in \Gamma_{0}^{m}(n)$ such that $T(k / s)=k_{1} / s_{1}$ with $\left(m, s_{1}\right)=1$.

Proof. Since $(m, n)=1$, there exist two integers $a$ and $b$ such that $a m^{2}-n b=1$. Let

$$
T(z)=\frac{a m z+b}{n z+m} .
$$

Then $T \in \Gamma_{0}^{m}(n)$ and $T(k / s)=k_{1} / n k+m s=k_{1} / s_{1}$ with $\left(m, s_{1}\right)=1$ where $s_{1}=n k+m s$.

Lemma 8. Let $k / s \in \mathbf{Q}$ with $(k, s)=(s, m)=1$. Then there exist some $T \in \Gamma_{0}^{m}(n)$ such that

$$
T(k / s)=k_{1} / s_{1}
$$

with $s_{1} \mid n$.

Proof. Let $s_{1}=(s, n)$. Then $s_{1}=(s, k n)=(s, k m n)$. Therefore there exist some integers $c_{1}$ and $d_{1}$ such that $k n m c_{1}+s d_{1}=s_{1}$. Since $\left(d_{1}, k n m / s_{1}\right)=1$, there exists an integer $k_{0}$ such that $\left(d_{1}-\right.$ $\left.\left(k n m / s_{1}\right) k_{0}, n m\right)=1$. Let $d=d_{1}-\left(k n m / s_{1}\right) k_{0}$ and $c=c_{1}+\left(s / s_{1}\right) k_{0}$. Then $k n m c+s d=s_{1}$. Furthermore, $\left(d, c m^{2} n\right)=1$, since $(d, c)=$ $(d, m n)=1$. Thus there exist two integers $x$ and $y$ such that $x d-c m^{2} n y=1$. Let

$$
T(z)=\frac{x z+m y}{c n m z+d}
$$

Then $T \in \Gamma_{0}^{m}(n)$ and

$$
T\left(\frac{k}{s}\right)=\frac{k_{1}}{c n m k+d s}=\frac{k_{1}}{s_{1}} \quad \text { with } s_{1} \mid n .
$$

Lemma 9. Let $\left(a_{1}, d_{1}\right)=\left(a_{2}, d_{1}\right)=1$ and $d_{1} \mid n$. Then $a_{1} / d_{1}$ is conjugate to $a_{2} / d_{1}$ under $\Gamma_{0}^{m}(n)$ if and only if $a_{1} \equiv a_{2}(\bmod m t)$ where $t=\left(d_{1}, n / d_{1}\right)$.

Proof. Suppose that $a_{1} / d_{1}$ is conjugate to $a_{2} / d_{1}$ by some $T \in \Gamma_{0}^{m}(n)$. Then $T\left(a_{1} / d_{1}\right)=a_{2} / d_{1}$ for some $T \in \Gamma_{0}^{m}(n)$. Let $T$ be of the form

$$
T(z)=\frac{a z+b m}{c n m z+d}, \quad a d-b c n m^{2}=1
$$

Thus we have

$$
\frac{a a_{1}+b m d_{1}}{c n m a_{1}+d d_{1}}=\frac{a_{2}}{d_{1}}
$$

and therefore there exist some $u= \pm 1$ such that

$$
a a_{1}+b m d_{1}=u a_{2}
$$

and

$$
c n m a_{1}+d d_{1}=u d_{1} .
$$

It follows that $a a_{1} \equiv u a_{2}(\bmod m t), a d \equiv 1(\bmod m t)$, and $d \equiv u$ $(\bmod m t)$, which implies that $a_{1} \equiv a_{2}(\bmod m t)$. If $T$ is of the form

$$
T(z)=\frac{a m z+b}{c n z+d m}, \quad a d m^{2}-b c n=1
$$

we obtain

$$
a m a_{1}+b d_{1}=u a_{2}
$$

and

$$
c n a_{1}+d m d_{1}=u d_{1}
$$

for some $u= \pm 1$. Therefore we have

$$
b c n \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod m), \quad b d_{1} \equiv u a_{2} \quad(\bmod m)
$$

and $c n a_{1} \equiv u d_{1}(\bmod m)$. From those equations we see that $a_{1} \equiv a_{2}$ $(\bmod m)$. In the same way, it can be seen that $a d m^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod t)$, $a m a_{1} \equiv u a_{2}(\bmod t)$, and $d m \equiv u(\bmod t)$, which implies that $a_{1} \equiv a_{2}$ $(\bmod t)$. Thus $a_{1} \equiv a_{2}(\bmod m t)$.

Conversely, assume that $a_{1} \equiv a_{2}(\bmod m t)$ where $t=\left(d_{1}, n / d_{1}\right)$. Let $n_{1}=n / d_{1}$. Then $t=\left(d_{1}, n_{1}\right)=\left(d_{1}, n_{1} a_{1} a_{2}\right)$ and therefore $t m=\left(d_{1} m, n_{1} a_{1} a_{2} m\right)$. Since $m t \mid a_{2}-a_{1}$, there exist two integers $x$ and $y$ such that

$$
n_{1} a_{1} a_{2} m x+d_{1} m y=a_{2}-a_{1}
$$

Thus we obtain

$$
a_{1}\left(n_{1} a_{2} m x+1\right)+d_{1} m y=a_{2}
$$

If we take $b=y$ and $a=n_{1} a_{2} m x+1$, we have

$$
a a_{1}+d_{1} m b=a_{2} .
$$

Let $c=n_{1} d_{1} x$ and $d=1-m n_{1} a_{1} x$. Then

$$
c m a_{1}+d d_{1}=d_{1} .
$$

On the other hand, we see that
$a d-b c m^{2}=a\left(1-m n_{1} a_{1} x\right)-b n_{1} d_{1} x m^{2}=a-\left(a a_{1}+d_{1} m b\right) m n_{1} x=1$.
Let

$$
T(z)=\frac{a z+b m}{c m z+d}
$$

Then $T \in \Gamma_{0}^{m}(n)$ and

$$
T\left(a_{1} / d_{1}\right)=\frac{a a_{1}+b m d_{1}}{c m a_{1}+d d_{1}}=\frac{a_{2}}{d_{1}} .
$$

We give a lemma whose proof is easy and will be omitted.

Lemma 10. Let $\left(a_{1}, d_{1}\right)=\left(a_{2}, d_{2}\right)=1, d_{1} \mid n$, and $d_{2} \mid n$ where $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ are positive integers. If $a_{1} / d_{1}$ is conjugate to $a_{2} / d_{2}$ under $\Gamma_{0}^{m}(n)$, then $d_{1}=d_{2}$.

Now we can give our main theorem.

Theorem 2. Let $m=p^{r}$, and let $(m, n)=1$. Then the parabolic class number of $\Gamma_{0}^{m}(n)$ is

$$
m \sum_{d \mid n} \varphi\left(\left(d, \frac{n}{d}\right)\right)
$$

Proof. It suffices to calculate the number of orbits of $\Gamma_{0}^{m}(n)$ on $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}$. By Lemma 6 and Lemma 9, there exist $m \varphi((d, n / d))$ different orbits for each $d \mid n$. Then from Lemma 6 to Lemma 10, it follows that the number of orbits of $\Gamma_{0}^{m}(n)$ on $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}$ is

$$
\sum_{d \mid n} m \varphi\left(\left(d, \frac{n}{d}\right)\right)=m \sum_{d \mid n} \varphi\left(\left(d, \frac{n}{d}\right)\right)
$$

We can deduce the following easily.

Theorem 3. The number of the orbits of $\Gamma_{0}(n)$ on $\hat{Q}$ is

$$
\sum_{d \mid n} \varphi\left(\left(d, \frac{n}{d}\right)\right)
$$

which is the parabolic class number of $\Gamma_{0}(n)$.

Theorem 4. Let $m$ be not prime to $n$. Then the parabolic class number of $\Gamma_{0}^{m}(n)$ is

$$
\sum_{d \mid n m^{2}} \varphi\left(\left(d, \frac{n m^{2}}{d}\right)\right)
$$

Proof. Since $m$ is not prime to $n, \Gamma_{0}^{m}(n)=H_{0}$ and therefore $\Gamma_{0}^{m}(n)$ is conjugate to $\Gamma_{0}\left(\mathrm{~nm}^{2}\right)$. Then the proof follows.

We now give a nice formula for the parabolic class number of $\Gamma_{0}\left(n^{2}\right)$ for any natural number $n \geq 2$. Before giving our main theorem we will give some lemmas.

Let $(m, n)=1$, and let $\Lambda=\Gamma_{0}(n) \cap H$. Then it can be seen that $\Lambda$ consists of all those mappings of the form

$$
T(z)=\frac{a z+b m}{c n m z+d}, \quad a d-b c n m^{2}=1
$$

Furthermore, it is easily seen that $\Lambda$ is of finite index in $\Gamma$ and that $\Lambda$ is conjugate to $\Gamma_{0}\left(m^{2} n\right)$. Thus the parabolic class number of $\Lambda$ and the parabolic class number of $\Gamma_{0}\left(m^{2} n\right)$ are the same.

Lemma 11. Let $k / s \in \hat{\mathbf{Q}}$ with $(k, s)=1$. Then there exist some $T \in \Lambda$ such that $T(k / s)=k_{1} / s_{1} q$ with $s_{1} \mid n$ and $q \mid m$.

Proof. Let $q=(s, m)$ and $s_{1}=(s, n)$. Then $s=s^{*} q$ and $m=m^{*} q$ with $\left(s^{*}, m^{*}\right)=1$. Then $s_{1}=(s, n)=\left(s^{*} q, n\right)=$ $\left(s^{*}, n\right)=\left(s^{*}, k n\right)=\left(s^{*}, k n m^{*}\right)$. Thus $s_{1} q=\left(s^{*} q, k n m^{*} q\right)=(s, k n m)$. Therefore, there exist two integers $c_{1}$ and $d_{1}$ such that $s_{1} q=k m n c_{1}+$
$s d_{1}$. Since $\left(d_{1}, k m n / s_{1} q\right)=1$, there exists an integer $k_{0}$ such that $\left(d_{1}-\left(\mathrm{knm} / \mathrm{s}_{1} q\right) k_{0}, n \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)=1$. Let $d=d_{1}-\left(\mathrm{knm} / \mathrm{s}_{1} q\right) k_{0}$ and $c=$ $c_{1}+\left(s / s_{1} q\right) k_{0}$. Then $k n m c+s d=s_{1} q$. Furthermore, $\left(d, c m^{2} n\right)=1$, since $(d, c)=\left(d, n m^{2}\right)=1$. Thus there exist two integers $x$ and $y$ such that $x d-c m^{2} n y=1$. Let

$$
T(z)=\frac{x z+m y}{c n m z+d}
$$

Then $T \in \Lambda$ and

$$
T(k / s)=\frac{k_{1}}{c n m k+d s}=\frac{k_{1}}{s_{1} q} \quad \text { with } s_{1} \mid n \text { and } q \mid m
$$

Lemma 12. Let $d_{1}|n, q| m$ and $\left(a_{1}, d_{1} q\right)=\left(a_{2}, d_{1} q\right)=1$. Then $a_{1} / d_{1} q$ is conjugate to $a_{2} / d_{1} q$ under $\Lambda$ if and only if $a_{1} \equiv a_{2}$ $(\bmod t(m / q))$ where $t=\left(d_{1}, n / d_{1}\right)$.

Proof. Suppose that $\left(a_{1}, d_{1} q\right)=\left(a_{2}, d_{1} q\right)=1$ and $a_{1} \equiv a_{2}$ $(\bmod t(m / q))$. Let $m / q=q^{*}$ and $n_{1}=n / d_{1}$. Then it can be easily seen that $t q^{*}=\left(d_{1} q m, a_{1} a_{2} n_{1} q^{*}\right)$. Since $t q^{*} \mid a_{2}-a_{1}$, there exist two integers $x$ and $y$ such that

$$
n_{1} a_{1} a_{2} q^{*} x+d_{1} q m y=a_{2}-a_{1}
$$

That is,

$$
a_{1}\left(n_{1} a_{2} q^{*} x+1\right)+d_{1} q m y=a_{2} .
$$

Taking $b=y$ and $a=n_{1} a_{2} q^{*} x+1$, we obtain

$$
a a_{1}+d_{1} q m b=a_{2}
$$

Let $c=n_{1} d_{1} x$ and $d=1-q^{*} n_{1} a_{1} x$. Then $n \mid c$ and

$$
c m a_{1}+d q d_{1}=d_{1} q .
$$

On the other hand, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a d-b c m^{2} & =a\left(1-q^{*} n_{1} a_{1} x\right)-b n_{1} d_{1} x m^{2} \\
& =a-\left(a a_{1}+d_{1} q m b\right) q^{*} n_{1} x=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
T(z)=\frac{a z+b m}{c m z+d} .
$$

Then $T \in \Lambda$ and

$$
T\left(a_{1} / d_{1}\right)=\frac{a a_{1}+b m d_{1}}{c m a_{1}+d q d_{1}}=\frac{a_{2}}{d_{1} q} .
$$

Let $a_{1} / d_{1} q$ be conjugate to $a_{2} / d_{1} q$ by some $T \in \Lambda$. Then it follows that

$$
a a_{1}+b m q d_{1}=u a_{2}
$$

and

$$
c n m a_{1}+d d_{1} q=u d_{1} q
$$

for some $u= \pm 1$, where $a d-b c m^{2} n=1$. This shows that $a a_{1} \equiv u a_{2}$ $(\bmod t(m / q)), d \equiv u(\bmod t(m / q))$ and $a d \equiv 1(\bmod t(m / q))$, which implies that $a_{1} \equiv a_{2}(\bmod t(m / q))$.

Lemma 13. Let $q\left|m, q^{*}\right| m$ and $d_{1}\left|n, d_{2}\right| n$. If $a_{1} / d_{1} q$ is conjugate to $a_{2} / d_{1} q$ by some $T \in \Lambda$, then $d_{1}=d_{2}$ and $q=q^{*}$ where $d_{1}, d_{2}, q, q^{*}$ $\geq 1$.

Lemma 14. Let $m=p^{r}$ for some prime number $p$ and let $(m, n)=1$. Then the parabolic class number of $\Gamma_{0}\left(m^{2} n\right)$ is $(2 m-\varphi(m)) \nu_{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{0}(n)\right)$ where $\nu_{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{0}(n)\right)$ denotes the parabolic class number of $\Gamma_{0}(n)$.

Proof. For the divisor 1 of $m$ and for each divisor $d$ of $n$, we have $m \varphi((d, n / d))$ different orbits. Let $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then for each divisor $p^{i}$ of $m$ and for each divisor $d$ of $n$, we have $\varphi\left(p^{r-i}(d, n / d)\right)$ different orbits. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
m \sum_{d \mid n} \varphi\left(\left(d, \frac{n}{d}\right)\right)+\left[\sum_{i=1}^{r}[ \right. & {\left.\left[\sum_{d \mid n} \varphi\left(p^{r-i}\left(d, \frac{n}{d}\right)\right)\right]\right] } \\
& =\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} m+\varphi\left(p^{r-i}\right)\right) \sum_{d \mid n} \varphi\left(\left(d, \frac{n}{d}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

different orbits, which is equal to

$$
(2 m-\varphi(m)) \sum_{d \mid n} \varphi\left(\left(d, \frac{n}{d}\right)\right)
$$

The proof then follows.

Theorem 5. Let $n \geq 2$ be a natural number. Then the parabolic class number of $\Gamma_{0}\left(n^{2}\right)$ is

$$
\left|\Gamma: \Gamma_{0}(n)\right|=n \prod_{p \mid n}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $m_{i}=p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}$, and let $n=m_{1} m_{2} \cdots m_{r}$ with $\left(p_{i}, p_{j}\right)=1$ for $i \neq j$. Then by using induction we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{0}\left(n^{2}\right)\right) & =\nu_{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{0}\left(m_{1}^{2} \cdots m_{r}^{2}\right)\right)=\nu_{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{0}\left(\left(m_{1}^{2}\right)\left(m_{2}^{2} \cdots m_{r}^{2}\right)\right)\right. \\
& =\nu_{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{0}\left(m_{1}^{2}\right)\right) \nu_{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{0}\left(m_{2}^{2} \cdots m_{r}^{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\nu_{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{0}\left(m_{1}^{2}\right)\right) \cdots \nu_{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{0}\left(m_{r}^{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{0}\left(n^{2}\right)\right) & =\left(2 m_{1}-\varphi\left(m_{1}\right)\right)\left(2 m_{2}-\varphi\left(m_{2}\right)\right) \cdots\left(2 m_{r}-\varphi\left(m_{r}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}+p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}-1}\right)\left(p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}}+p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}-1}\right) \cdots\left(p_{r}^{\alpha_{r}}+p_{r}^{\alpha_{r}-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is equal to $n\left(1+1 / p_{1}\right)\left(1+1 / p_{2}\right) \cdots\left(1+1 / p_{r}\right)$. Then the proof follows.

Corollary 1. Let $n \geq 2$ be a natural number. Then

$$
\sum_{d \mid n^{2}} \varphi\left(\left(d, \frac{n^{2}}{d}\right)\right)=n \prod_{p \mid n}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right)
$$
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