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#### Abstract

We establish existence and multiplicity of periodic solutions to the infinite dimensional Hamiltonian system $$
\left\{\begin{array}{c} \partial_{t} u-\Delta_{x} u=H_{v}(t, x, u, v) \\ -\partial_{t} v-\Delta_{x} v=H_{u}(t, x, u, v) \end{array} \quad \text { for }(t, x) \in \mathbf{R} \times \Omega\right.
$$ where $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{N}$ is a bounded domain or $\Omega=\mathbf{R}^{N}$. When $\Omega$ is bounded, we treat the situations where $H(t, x, z)$ is, with respect to $z=(u, v)$, sub- or superquadratic, or concave and convex, and discuss also the convergence to homoclinics of sequences of subharmonic orbits. If $\Omega=\mathbf{R}^{N}$, we handle the case of superquadratic nonlinearities.


1. Introduction. In this paper we are interested in existence and multiplicity of periodic orbits of the following system of partial differential equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} u-\Delta_{x} u=H_{v}(t, x, u, v)  \tag{HS}\\
-\partial_{t} v-\Delta_{x} v=H_{u}(t, x, u, v)
\end{array} \quad \text { for }(t, x) \in \mathbf{R} \times \Omega\right.
$$

Here $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{N}$ is a smoothly bounded domain or $\Omega=\mathbf{R}^{N}, z=(u, v)$ : $\mathbf{R} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m} \times \mathbf{R}^{m}$, and $H \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\mathbf{R} \times \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbf{R}^{2 m}, \mathbf{R}\right)$, where $\bar{\Omega}=\Omega$ if $\Omega=\mathbf{R}^{N}$. Letting

$$
\mathcal{J}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -I \\
I & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathcal{J}_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & I \\
I & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $A=\mathcal{J}_{0}\left(-\Delta_{x}\right)$, (HS) can be rewritten as $\mathcal{J}(d / d t) z+A z=$ $H_{z}(t, x, z)$. Certain linear and nonlinear problems connecting the operator $\mathcal{J} \partial_{t}-\mathcal{J}_{0} \Delta_{x}$ arise in optimal control of systems governed

[^0]by partial differential equations. See, e.g., Lions [16], where the combination of the model $\partial_{t}-\Delta_{x}$ and its adjoint $-\partial_{t}-\Delta_{x}$ acts as a system for studying the control. Systems like (HS) are called unbounded Hamiltonian systems, cf. Barbu [2], or infinite-dimensional Hamiltonian systems, cf. $[\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{9}, \mathbf{1 0}]$. Indeed, (HS) can be represented as
$$
\mathcal{J} \frac{d}{d t} z=\nabla_{z} \mathcal{H}(t, z)
$$
where $\mathcal{J}$ is obviously a symplectic structure on $L^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathbf{R}^{2 m}\right), \mathcal{H}$ is a Hamiltonian
$$
\mathcal{H}(t, z):=\int_{\Omega}(\nabla u \nabla v+H(t, x, z)) d x
$$
and $\nabla_{z}$ is the gradient operator, defined in (the infinite-dimensional Hilbert space) $L^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathbf{R}^{2 m}\right)$.

Assume that $\Omega$ is a smoothly bounded domain. Brézis and Nirenberg [7] considered the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} u-\Delta_{x} u=-v^{5}+f \\
-\partial_{t} v-\Delta_{x} v=u^{3}+g
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f, g \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, subject to the boundary condition $\left.z(t, \cdot)\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0$ and the periodicity condition $z(0, \cdot)=z(T, \cdot)=0$ for a given $T>0$. They obtained a solution $z$ with $u \in L^{4}$ and $v \in L^{6}$ by using Schauder's fixed point theorem. Clément, Felmer and Mitidieri considered in [9] and $[\mathbf{1 0}]$ the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\partial_{t} u-\Delta_{x} u=|v|^{q-2} v  \tag{1.1}\\
-\partial_{t} v-\Delta_{x} v=|u|^{p-2} u
\end{array}\right.
$$

with

$$
\frac{N}{N+2}<\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}<1
$$

Using their variational setting of Mountain Pass type, they proved that there is a $T_{0}>0$ such that, for each $T>T_{0}$, (1.1) has at least one positive solution $z_{T}=\left(u_{T}, v_{T}\right)$ satisfying the boundary condition $\left.z_{T}(t, \cdot)\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0$ for all $t \in(-T, T)$ and the periodicity condition $z_{T}(T, \cdot)=z_{T}(-T, \cdot)$ for $x \in \bar{\Omega}$. Moreover, by passing to the limit as $T \rightarrow \infty$ they obtained a positive homoclinic solution of (1.1).

For $\Omega=\mathbf{R}^{N}$, recently, Bartsch and Ding [3] dealt with the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\partial_{t} u+\left(-\Delta_{x}+V(x)\right) u=H_{v}(t, x, u, v) \\
-\partial_{t} v+\left(-\Delta_{x}+V(x)\right) v=H_{u}(t, x, u, v) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

They established existence and multiplicity of solutions of the type $z(t, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|t|+|x| \rightarrow \infty$. (They also considered solutions of the type $z(t, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ and $\left.z(t, \cdot)\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0$ if $\Omega$ is bounded.)
In the present paper we are interested in situations different from these works above. Unlike $[\mathbf{7}]$ and $[\mathbf{1 0}]$, the system we consider is not autonomous in the sense that the Hamiltonian depends also on time variable $t$. The growth rate of $H(t, x, z)$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ can be subquadratic. We also deal with the case of $H(t, x, z)$ being superquadratic and with the case of concave and convex nonlinearities. For these cases we obtain infinitely many periodic solutions provided that $H(t, x, z)$ is even with respect to $z$. As in [10], if $H(t, x, z)$ is superquadratic, we obtain a family of subharmonic orbits which converges to a homoclinic solution as the period tends to the infinity. When $\Omega=\mathbf{R}^{N}$, we prove existence and multiplicity of periodic solutions for the superquadratic case. The method adopted for handling these cases is variational. An analytic setting is developed based on which critical point theorems of linking type and Calerkin approximation for strongly indefinite functionals are applied conveniently to our problems.
We organize the paper as follows. In next section we state our main results corresponding to the different situations. Then in Section 3 some preliminary results concerning the variational setting for our problems are given. Sections 4 and 5 are devoted to the case of $\Omega$ being bounded, proving the existence and multiplicity results corresponding to subquadratic, superquadratic and concave and convex nonlinearities, respectively. In Section 6 we obtain subharmonic orbits and discuss their convergence. Finally, in Section 7 we handle the problem on $\Omega=\mathbf{R}^{N}$.
2. Main results. We are interested in solutions of (HS) satisfying condition

$$
\begin{cases}z(t, x)=0 & \text { for all }(t, x) \in \mathbf{R} \times \partial \Omega  \tag{2.1}\\ z(t, x)=z(t+T, x) & \text { for all }(t, x) \in \mathbf{R} \times \Omega\end{cases}
$$

if $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{N}$ is smoothly bounded, and

$$
\begin{cases}z(t, x) \rightarrow 0 & \text { uniformly in } t \in[0, T] \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty  \tag{2.2}\\ z(0, x)=z(T, x)=0 & \text { for all } x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}\end{cases}
$$

if $\Omega=\mathbf{R}^{N}$.
For stating our results, setting $Q_{T}=[0, T] \times \Omega$, for $r \geq 1$, let $B_{T, r}$ be the Banach space consisting of maps $z=z(t, x): Q_{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2 m}$ :

$$
B_{T, r}=W^{1, r}\left((0, T), L^{r}\left(\Omega, \mathbf{R}^{2 m}\right)\right) \cap L^{r}\left((0, T), W^{2, r} \cap W_{0}^{1, r}\left(\Omega, \mathbf{R}^{2 m}\right)\right)
$$

equipped with the norm

$$
\|z\|_{B_{T, r}}:=\left(\int_{Q_{T}}\left(|z|^{r}+\left|\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}\right|^{r}+\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left|\frac{\partial^{2} z}{\partial x_{j}^{2}}\right|^{r}\right)\right)^{1 / r}
$$

(which is sometimes called anisotropic space). We are looking for solutions $z \in B_{T, r}$ with energies

$$
I_{T}(z):=\int_{Q_{T}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{J} \partial_{t} z-\mathcal{J}_{0} \Delta_{x} z\right) \cdot z-H(t, x, z)\right)<\infty
$$

First we assume $\Omega$ is bounded. Consider the situation where $H(t, x, z)$ is subquadratic in $z$. Let $H$ satisfy
$\left(h_{0}\right) H(t, x, z)$ is $T$-periodic in $t$ and $H(t, x, 0) \equiv 0 ;$
$\left(h_{1}\right)$ there exist $\alpha>1$ such that $H(t, x, z) \geq a_{1}|z|^{\alpha}$;
$\left(h_{2}\right)$ there is $p \in(1,2)$ such that $\left|H_{z}(t, x, z)\right| \leq a_{2}\left(1+|z|^{p-1}\right)$;
$\left(h_{3}\right) H(t, x, z)$ is even in $z$ and there is $\gamma>0$ such that $H(t, x, z) \leq$ $a_{3}|z|^{\gamma}$ whenever $|z| \leq 1$.
Here, and below, the symbols $a_{i}$ stand for positive constants.

Theorem 2.1. Assume $\Omega$ is smoothly bounded and $H$ satisfies $\left(h_{0}\right)-\left(h_{2}\right)$. Then (HS)-(2.1) has at least one nontrivial solution. If in addition $\left(h_{3}\right)$ also holds, (HS)-(2.1) has a sequence $\left(z_{n}\right)$ of solutions satisfying $0>I_{T}\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, these solutions are in $B_{T, r}$ for all $r \geq 1$.

We remark from the conclusion above that $I_{T}\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, it seems reasonable to expect that the existence of such a sequence of solutions should be involved only with the local behavior of $H$ around $z=0$. Indeed, we have the following

Theorem 2.1'. Assume $\Omega$ is smoothly bounded and that there are $r_{0}>0$ and $1<\gamma \leq \alpha<2$ such that $H \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\mathbf{R} \times \Omega \times B_{r_{0}}, \mathbf{R}\right)$, even in $z$, and satisfies
$\begin{cases}\left|H_{z}(t, x, z)\right| \leq a_{1}|z|^{\gamma-1} & \text { for }(t, x, z) \in \mathbf{R} \times \Omega \times B_{r_{0}} \\ 0<H_{z}(t, x, z) z \leq \alpha H(t, x, z) & \text { for }(t, x, z) \in \mathbf{R} \times \Omega \times B_{r_{0}} \text { with } z \neq 0,\end{cases}$ where $B_{r_{0}}:=\left\{z \in \mathbf{R}^{2 m}:|z| \leq r_{0}\right\}$. Then (HS)-(2.1) has a sequence $\left(z_{n}\right)$ of solutions satisfying $0>I_{T}\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, these solutions are in $B_{T, r}$ for all $r \geq 1$.

Next consider the superquadratic case. Assume that
$\left(h_{4}\right)$ there are $\mu>2$ and $R_{0}>0$ such that $0<\mu H(t, x, z) \leq$ $H_{z}(t, x, z) \cdot z$ whenever $|z| \geq R_{0}$;
$\left(h_{5}\right)$ there is $p \in(2,2(2+N) / N)$ such that $\left|H_{z}(t, x, z)\right|^{p^{\prime}} \leq a_{3}(1+$ $\left.H_{z}(t, x, z) \cdot z\right)$, where $p^{\prime}=p /(p-1)$;
$\left(h_{6}\right) H_{z}(t, x, z)=o(|z|)$ uniformly in $(t, x)$ as $z \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem 2.2. Assume $\Omega$ is smoothly bounded and $H$ satisfies $\left(h_{0}\right)$, $\left(h_{4}\right)-\left(h_{5}\right)$. If $\left(h_{6}\right)$ holds, then (HS)-(2.1) has at least one nontrivial solution; if $H(t, x, z)$ is even in $z$, then (HS)-(2.1) has a sequence $\left(z_{n}\right)$ of solutions satisfying $I_{T}\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In addition, these solutions are in $B_{T, r}$ for all $r \geq 1$.

For the concave and convex case, we note that, since (2.3) describes only the behaviors of $H$ near $z=0$ and $\left(h_{4}\right)-\left(h_{5}\right)$ state the behaviors around $z=\infty$, it is possible that $H$ satisfies simultaneously all these hypotheses. Thus, as a consequence of Theorems $2.1^{\prime}$ and 2.2 , we have

Theorem 2.3. Let $\Omega$ be smoothly bounded. Suppose that $H$ satisfies $\left(h_{0}\right),\left(h_{4}\right)-\left(h_{5}\right)$ and (2.3) for some $r_{0}>0,1<\gamma \leq \alpha<2$. If $H$ is
even in $z$, then (HS)-(2.1) has two sequences $\left(z_{n}\right)$ and $\left(\tilde{z}_{n}\right)$ of solutions in $B_{T, r}$ for all $r \geq 1$ such that $0>I_{T}\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ and $I_{T}\left(\tilde{z}_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In particular, we have

Theorem 2.3' Let $\Omega$ be smoothly bounded and suppose that
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}H(t, x, z)=a(t)\left(\xi|z|^{\alpha}+\eta|z|^{p}\right), \quad \text { where } a(t)>0 \text { is } T \text {-periodic, } \\ \xi \text { and } \eta \text { are real numbers, and } 1<\alpha<2<p<2(2+N) / N .\end{array}\right.$

Then (HS)-(2.1) has a sequence $\left(z_{n}\right)$ of solutions satisfying $0>$ $\xi I_{T}\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ if $\xi \neq 0$; and a sequence $\left(\tilde{z}_{n}\right)$ of solutions satisfying $\eta I_{T}\left(\tilde{z}_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ if $\eta \neq 0$. These solutions are in $B_{T, r}$ for all $r \geq 1$. In addition, if $T$ is the minimal period of $a(t)$, then all solutions also have the minimal period $T$.

Such a result extends previous study on elliptic problems, wave equations and classical Hamiltonian systems, see, for instance, $[\mathbf{1 , 4 ,}$ $\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{1 4}]$ and the references therein.

Notice that, since $H$ is $T$-periodic in $t$, it is $k T$-periodic for any $k \in \mathbf{N}$. So we may seek solutions of (HS) satisfying

$$
\begin{cases}z(t, x)=0 & \text { for all }(t, x) \in \mathbf{R} \times \partial \Omega  \tag{2.1}\\ z(t, x)=z(t+k T, x) & \text { for all }(t, x) \in \mathbf{R} \times \Omega\end{cases}
$$

and discuss the convergence of such solutions as $k \rightarrow \infty$. For this aim we strengthen $\left(h_{4}\right)-\left(h_{5}\right)$ by
$\left(h_{4}^{\prime}\right)$ there are $\mu>2$ such that $0<\mu H(t, x, z) \leq H_{z}(t, x, z) \cdot z$ whenever $z \neq 0$;
$\left(h_{5}^{\prime}\right)$ there is $p \in(2,2(2+N) / N)$ such that $\left|H_{z}(t, x, z)\right|^{p^{\prime}} \leq$ $a_{3} H_{z}(t, x, z) \cdot z$ where $p^{\prime}=p /(p-1)$.

Let $B_{k T, r}$, respectively $I_{k T}$, be obtained as $B_{T, r}$, respectively $I_{T}$, with $T$ replaced by $k T$.

Theorem 2.4. Assume $\Omega$ is smoothly bounded and $H$ satisfies $\left(h_{0}\right)$, $\left(h_{1}\right)$ with $\alpha>2$, $\left(h_{4}^{\prime}\right)$ with $\mu \leq \alpha$, and $\left(h_{5}^{\prime}\right)$. Then, for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$, (HS) $-(2.1)_{k}$ has a solution $z_{k}$ in $B_{k T, r}$ for all $r \geq 1$ such that
(i) there are $0<\delta<\Lambda$, independent of $k$, satisfying $\delta \leq I_{k}\left(z_{k}\right) \leq \Lambda$;
(ii) for any sequence $k_{n} \rightarrow \infty$, there is a subsequence $z_{k_{n_{i}}} \rightarrow z_{\infty}$ in $L_{l o c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R} \times \Omega, \mathbf{R}^{2 m}\right)$ with $z_{\infty}$ solving (HS), $z_{\infty} \neq 0$ and $z_{\infty}(t, x) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $x$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$.

Now we treat the case of $\Omega=\mathbf{R}^{N}$. Assume in addition
$\left(h_{7}\right) H_{z}(t, x, z)$ is 1-period with respect to $x_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, N$.
$\left(h_{8}\right)$ there are $q \in[2,(2(N+2)) / N]$ and $\delta>0$ such that $\mid H_{z}(t, x$, $z+w)-H_{z}(t, x, z)\left|\leq a_{2}\left(1+|z|^{p-1}\right)\right| w \mid$ whenever $|w| \leq \delta$.

In what follows, two solutions $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ of (HS) are said to be geometrically distinct if $z_{1}(t, x) \neq k * z_{2}(t, x)$ for all $(t, x) \in Q_{T}$ and $0 \neq k=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{N}\right) \in \mathbf{Z}^{N}$, where

$$
k * z(t, x):=z\left(t, x_{1}+k_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}+k_{N}\right) .
$$

Theorem 2.5. Let $\Omega=\mathbf{R}^{N}$ and suppose $\left(h_{0}\right),\left(h_{4}^{\prime}\right)$, $\left(h_{5}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(h_{7}\right)$ hold. Then (HS)-(2.2) has at least one nontrivial solution. If, moreover, $H$ is even in $z$ and $\left(h_{8}\right)$ also holds, (HS)-(2.2) has infinitely many geometrically distinct solutions. In addition, these solutions are in $B_{T, r}$ for all $r \geq 2$.
3. Preliminary results. We start by recalling the following embedding [6]

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{T, r} \hookrightarrow L_{T}^{q} & :=L^{q}\left(Q_{T}, \mathbf{R}^{2 m}\right) \text { for } r>1, \\
0 & \leq \frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{2}{2+N} \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

In addition, setting

$$
\tau(r):= \begin{cases}r(2+N) /(2+N-2 r) & \text { if } 0<r<(2+N) / 2 \\ \infty & \text { if } r \geq(2+N) / 2\end{cases}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{T, r} \hookrightarrow L_{T}^{q} \quad \text { compactly for } 1<r \leq q<\tau(r) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\Omega$ is smoothly bounded, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{T, r} \hookrightarrow L_{T, l o c}^{q} \quad \text { compactly for } 1<r \leq q<\tau(r) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\Omega=\mathbf{R}^{N}$, cf. [10].
Let $W_{T, r}$ denote the (closed) subspace of $B_{T, r}$ consisting of elements satisfying (2.1) if $\Omega$ is bounded, (2.2) if $\Omega=\mathbf{R}^{N}$.
Let $A_{T}:=\mathcal{J} \partial_{t}-\mathcal{J}_{0} \Delta_{x}$. $A_{T}$ is a self-adjoint operator acting on $L_{T}^{2}$ with domain $\mathcal{D}\left(A_{T}\right)=W_{T, 2}$. Note that

$$
L_{T}^{2}=\left[L^{2}\left(Q_{T}, \mathbf{R}\right)\right]^{2 m}=L^{2}\left((0, T), \mathbf{R}^{2 m}\right) \otimes L^{2}(\Omega, \mathbf{R})
$$

with equivalent norms, where $\otimes$ is the tensor product.

Lemma 3.1. Suppose $\Omega$ is smoothly bounded. Then $A_{T}$ is an isomorphism from $W_{T, r}$ into $L_{T}^{r}$ for all $r>1$, and, in addition, there are $d_{1}, d_{2}>0$ independent of $T$ such that

$$
d_{2}\|z\|_{W_{T, 2}}^{2} \leq \int_{Q_{T}}\left|A_{T} z\right|^{2} \leq d_{1}\|z\|_{W_{T, 2}}^{2} \quad \text { for all } z \in W_{T, 2}
$$

Proof. Let $\widetilde{W}_{T, r}$ denote the Banach space defined as $W_{T, r}$ with $\mathbf{R}^{2 m}$ replaced by $\mathbf{R}^{m}$. Then $W_{T, r}=\left(\widetilde{W}_{T, r}\right)^{2}$. With these notations, we write

$$
A_{T}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & L  \tag{3.4}\\
L^{*} & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { where } L=-\partial_{t}-\Delta_{x} \quad \text { and } \quad L^{*}=\partial_{t}-\Delta_{x} .
$$

By [10, Lemma 3.1], $L$ and $L^{*}$ are isomorphisms from $\widetilde{W}_{T, r}$ into $L^{r}\left(Q_{T}, \mathbf{R}^{m}\right)$. Thus $A_{T}$ is an isomorphism from $W_{T, r}$ into $L_{T}^{r}$.
It is clear that there exists $d_{1}>0$ independent of $T$ such that $\left\|A_{T} z\right\|_{L_{T}^{2}}^{2} \leq d_{1}\|z\|_{W_{T, 2}}^{2}$ for all $z \in W_{T, 2}$.

We remark that, for any $r>1$ and any $z=f(t) g(x) \in W^{1, r}\left(S_{T}, \mathbf{R}^{2 m}\right)$ $\otimes \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbf{R})$, where $S_{T}=\mathbf{R} /[0, T]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\partial_{t} z\right\|_{L_{T}^{r}}^{r}+\left\|\Delta_{x} z\right\|_{L_{T}^{r}}^{r} & \geq\left\|\partial_{t} f\right\|_{L^{r}(0, T)}^{r}\|g\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)}^{r}+C_{r}\|f\|_{L^{r}(0, T)}^{r}\|g\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)}^{r} \\
& =\left\|\partial_{t} z\right\|_{L_{T}^{r}}^{r}+C_{r}\|z\|_{L_{T}^{r}}^{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{r}>0$ depends only on $r$ and the measure of $\Omega$, by the Sobolev theorem. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\partial_{t} z\right\|_{L_{T}^{r}}^{r}+\left\|\Delta_{x} z\right\|_{L_{T}^{r}}^{r} \geq\left\|\partial_{t} z\right\|_{L_{T}^{r}}^{r}+\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)\left\|\Delta_{x} z\right\|_{L_{T}^{r}}^{r}+\frac{C_{r}}{r}\|z\|_{L_{T}^{r}}^{r} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $W^{1, r}\left(S_{T}, \mathbf{R}^{2 m}\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbf{R})$ is dense in $W_{T, r},(3.5)$ holds for all $z \in W_{T, r}$. On the other hand, since $\mathcal{J}^{\prime}=-\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{J} \mathcal{J}_{0}=-\mathcal{J}_{0} \mathcal{J}$, we have, if $r=2$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{Q_{T}}\left|A_{T} z\right|^{2} & =\int_{Q_{T}}\left|\mathcal{J} \partial_{t} z-\mathcal{J}_{0} \Delta_{x} z\right|^{2}  \tag{3.6}\\
& =\left\|\partial_{t} z\right\|_{L_{T}^{2}}^{2}+\left\|\Delta_{x} z\right\|_{L_{T}^{2}}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $z=f(t) g(x)$, then for all $z$ as above. The last conclusion follows from (3.5)-(3.6).

Lemma 3.2. If $\Omega=\mathbf{R}^{N}, A_{T}$ is an isomorphism from $W_{T, 2}$ into $L_{T}^{2}$, i.e., $d_{2}\|z\|_{W_{T, 2}}^{2} \leq\left\|A_{T} z\right\|_{L_{T}^{2}}^{2} \leq d_{1}\|z\|_{W_{T, 2}}^{2}$ for all $z \in W_{T, 2}$, where $d_{1}>0$ is independent of $T$ and $d_{2}>0$ depends on $T$.

Proof. We need only to show the first inequality. In fact, for $r>1$ and $z=f(t) g(x) \in W_{0}^{1, r}\left((0, T), \mathbf{R}^{2 m}\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbf{R})$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\partial_{t} z\right\|_{L_{T}^{r}}^{r}+\left\|\Delta_{x} z\right\|_{L_{T}^{r}}^{r} & \geq \frac{r}{T^{r}}\|f\|_{L^{r}(0, T)}^{r}\|g\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)}^{r}+\|f\|_{L^{r}(0, T)}^{r}\|\Delta g\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)}^{r} \\
& =\frac{r}{T^{r}}\left\|\partial_{t} z\right\|_{L_{T}^{r}}^{r}+\left\|\Delta_{x} z\right\|_{L_{T}^{r}}^{r},
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
\left\|\partial_{t} z\right\|_{L_{T}^{r}}^{r}+\left\|\Delta_{x} z\right\|_{L_{T}^{r}}^{r} \geq \frac{1}{T^{r}}\|z\|_{L_{T}^{r}}^{r}+\frac{r-1}{r}\left\|\partial_{t} z\right\|_{L_{T}^{r}}^{r}+\left\|\Delta_{x} z\right\|_{L_{T}^{r}}^{r} .
$$

This holds by density for all $z \in W_{T, r}$. On the other hand, as before, for $r=2$,

$$
\int_{Q_{T}}\left|A_{T} z\right|^{2}=\left\|\partial_{t} z\right\|_{L_{T}^{2}}^{2}+\left\|\Delta_{x} z\right\|_{L_{T}^{2}}^{2}
$$

The proof is complete. $\quad \square$

In what follows let $E_{T}:=\mathcal{D}\left(\left|A_{T}\right|^{1 / 2}\right)$, which is a Hilbert space equipped with the inner product

$$
(z, w)_{T}:=\left(\left|A_{T}\right|^{1 / 2} z,\left|A_{T}\right|^{1 / 2} w\right)_{L_{T}^{2}}
$$

and norm $\|z\|_{T}^{2}=(z, z)_{T}=\left\|\left|A_{T}\right|^{1 / 2} z\right\|_{L_{T}^{2}}^{2}$.

Lemma 3.3. $E_{T}$ imbeds continuously in $L_{T}^{r}$ for any $r \geq 2$ if $N=1$, and $r \in[2,2(N+2) / N]$ if $N \geq 2$. Moreover,
a) If $\Omega$ is bounded, $E_{T}$ imbeds compactly in $L_{T}^{r}$ for any $r \geq 1$ if $N=1$, and $r \in[1,2(N+2) / N)$ if $N \geq 2$. In addition, if $r \geq 2$, there is $d_{r}>0$ independent of $T$ such that $\|z\|_{L_{T}^{r}} \leq d_{r}\|z\|_{T}$;
b) If $\Omega=\mathbf{R}^{N}$, $E_{T}$ imbeds compactly in $L_{l o c}^{r}\left(Q_{T}, \mathbf{R}^{2 m}\right)$ for any $r \geq 1$ if $N=1$, and $r \in[1,2(N+2) / N)$ if $N \geq 2$.

Proof. We remark that the operator $B_{T}:=\mathcal{J} \partial_{t}+\mathcal{J}_{0}\left(1-\Delta_{x}\right)$ acting on $L_{T}^{2}$ is self-adjoint with domain $W_{T, 2}$, and there are $b_{1}, b_{2}>0$ independent of $T$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{2}\left\|B_{T} z\right\|_{L_{T}^{2}}^{2} \leq\|z\|_{W_{T, 2}}^{2} \leq b_{1}\left\|B_{T} z\right\|_{L_{T}^{2}}^{2} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 and (3.7) we see that there are $b_{3}>0$ independent of $T$ and $b_{4}>0$ such that

$$
b_{4}\left\|B_{T} z\right\|_{L_{T}^{2}}^{2} \leq\left\|A_{T} z\right\|_{L_{T}^{2}}^{2} \leq b_{3}\left\|B_{T} z\right\|_{L_{T}^{2}}^{2}
$$

Here $b_{4}$ can be chosen to be also independent of $T$ if $\Omega$ is bounded. Thus $\mathcal{D}\left(\left|B_{T}\right|^{1 / 2}\right)=\mathcal{D}\left(\left|A_{T}\right|^{1 / 2}\right)=E_{T}$ and

$$
b_{4}\left\|\left|B_{T}\right|^{1 / 2} z\right\|_{L_{T}^{2}}^{2} \leq\|z\|_{T}^{2} \leq b_{3}\left\|\left|B_{T}\right|^{1 / 2} z\right\|_{L_{T}^{2}}^{2}
$$

Going to the complexification $L_{T}^{2} \times L_{T}^{2} \cong L_{T}^{2}+i L_{T}^{2}$ and using the (complex) interpolation $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\theta}$, see $[\mathbf{1 9}]$ or $[\mathbf{2 0}]$, one sees that

$$
E_{T}=\mathcal{D}\left(\left|B_{T}\right|^{1 / 2}\right) \cong\left[\mathcal{D}\left(B_{T}\right), L_{T}^{2}\right]_{1 / 2}
$$

The embeddings

$$
E_{T} \cong\left[\mathcal{D}\left(B_{T}\right), L_{T}^{2}\right]_{1 / 2} \hookrightarrow\left[L_{T}^{r}, L_{T}^{2}\right]_{1 / 2} \hookrightarrow L_{T}^{q}
$$

are continuous for $r=\infty$ if $N=1,2$, and $r=2(N+2) /(N-2)$ if $N \geq 3$, and if $q$ satisfies $1 / q=(1 / 2+1 / r) / 2$, that is, if $q=2(N+2) / N$. For $r \in(2, q)$, the Hölder inequality implies

$$
|z|_{r} \leq|z|_{2}^{1-\theta}|z|_{q}^{\theta} \quad \text { with } \theta=\frac{q(r-2)}{r(q-2)}
$$

Therefore the first conclusion of the lemma follows.
Finally, the conclusions a) and b) follow from the above argument and (3.1)-(3.3).

By virtue of Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we have the decomposition

$$
L_{T}^{2}=\left(L_{T}^{2}\right)^{-} \oplus\left(L_{T}^{2}\right)^{+}, \quad z=z^{-}+z^{+}
$$

with $\left(L_{T}^{2}\right)^{ \pm}$invariant subspaces of $A_{T}$ such that $A_{T}$ is negative (positive, respectively) on $\left(L_{T}^{2}\right)^{-}$(on $\left(L_{T}^{2}\right)^{+}$, respectively). Accordingly,

$$
E_{T}=E_{T}^{-} \oplus E_{T}^{+} \quad \text { with } E_{T}^{ \pm}=E_{T} \cap\left(L_{T}^{2}\right)^{ \pm}
$$

On $E_{T}$ the energy functional $I_{T}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{T}(z):=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|z^{+}\right\|_{T}^{2}-\left\|z^{-}\right\|_{T}^{2}\right)-\int_{Q_{T}} H(t, x, z) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.4. Assuming that $H \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(Q_{T}, \mathbf{R}^{2 m}\right)$ satisfying for some $\gamma \geq 2$

$$
\left|H_{z}(t, x, z)\right| \leq \begin{cases}c_{1}+c_{2}|z|^{\gamma-1} & \text { if } \Omega \text { is bounded }  \tag{3.9}\\ |z|+c|z|^{\gamma-1} & \text { if } \Omega=\mathbf{R}^{N}\end{cases}
$$

Then the functional $I_{T} \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(E_{T}, \mathbf{R}\right)$, and critical points of $I$ are solutions of (HS) in $W_{T, r}$ for any $r \geq 2$.

Proof. Using Lemma 3.3 and the assumption (3.9), a standard argument shows that $I_{T} \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(E_{T}\right)$, and critical points of $I_{T}$ are weak solutions of (HS). It remains to show that if $z$ is a weak solution then $z \in \cap_{2 \leq r<\infty} W_{T, r}$.
If $\Omega=\mathbf{R}^{N}$, we may regard $W_{T, r}$ as a subspace of $Z_{r}:=W^{1,2}\left(\mathbf{R}, L^{r} \times\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}, \mathbf{R}^{2 m}\right)\right) \cap L^{r}\left(\mathbf{R}, W^{2, r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}, \mathbf{R}^{2 m}\right)\right)$ equipped with the norm

$$
\|z\|_{Z_{r}}=\left(\int_{\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{N}}\left(|z|^{r}+\left|\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}\right|^{r}+\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left|\frac{\partial^{2} z}{\partial x_{j}^{2}}\right|^{r}\right)\right)^{1 / r}
$$

Then the desired conclusion follows from [3, Lemma 4.7].
Assume $\Omega$ is smoothly bounded. By (3.1),

$$
\|z\|_{L_{T}^{q}} \leq C_{q, r}\|z\|_{W_{T, r}} \quad \text { for all } z \in W_{T, r}
$$

where $C_{q, r}>0$ is a constant depending only on $q$ and $r$, for $1<r \leq$ $q<\tau(r)$ and also for $q=\tau(r)$ if $\tau(r)<\infty$. Now using (HS) and (3.9), a standard bootstrap argument, cf. [10] or [3, Lemma 4.7], gives the desired result.
4. Subquadrature case. From this section to Section 6, we always assume that $\Omega$ is a smoothly bounded domain. By virtue of Lemma 3.3 a), the spectrum $\sigma\left(A_{T}\right)$ consists of eigenvalues of finite multiplicity denoted by $\cdots \leq \lambda_{2}^{-} \leq \lambda_{1}^{-}<0<\lambda_{1}^{+} \leq \lambda_{2}^{+} \leq \cdots$ with $\lambda_{j}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pm \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\left(e_{j}^{ \pm}\right)_{j \in \mathbf{N}}$ denote the corresponding set of eigenfunctions with $\left|e_{j}^{ \pm}\right|_{2}=1$. $\left(e_{j}^{ \pm}\right)_{j \in \mathbf{N}}$ is an orthogonal basis for both $\left(L_{T}^{2}\right)^{ \pm}$and $E_{T}^{ \pm}$. Define

$$
X_{\ell}^{0}:=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{\ell}^{-}, \ldots, e_{1}^{-}\right\}, X_{0}^{n}:=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}^{+}, \ldots, e_{n}^{+}\right\}, X_{\ell}^{n}:=X_{\ell}^{0} \oplus X_{0}^{n}
$$

and

$$
X_{\ell}:=X_{\ell}^{0} \oplus X^{+}, \quad X^{n}:=X^{-} \oplus X_{0}^{n}
$$

We remark that $\|z\|_{L_{T}^{2}}^{2} \leq \lambda_{n}^{-1}\|z\|_{T}^{2}$ for all $z \in\left(X^{n-1}\right)^{\perp}$. Therefore, by Hölder inequality, for all $q \in(1,2)$, there is a $c_{q}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|z\|_{L_{T}^{q}}^{q} \leq c_{q} \lambda_{n}^{-q / 2}\|z\|_{T}^{q} \quad \text { for all } z \in\left(X^{n-1}\right)^{\perp} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, for $q \in(2,2(2+N) / N)$ there is $c_{q}>0$ such that, letting $\theta_{q}:=1-N(q-2) / 4$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|z\|_{L_{T}^{q}}^{q} \leq c_{q} \lambda_{n}^{-\theta_{q}}\|z\|_{T}^{q} \quad \text { for all } z \in\left(X^{n-1}\right)^{\perp} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a functional $f \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(E_{T}\right)$ let $f_{\ell}$ denote the restriction of $f$ on $X_{\ell}$. A sequence $z_{\ell} \in X_{\ell}, \ell \in \mathbf{N}, z_{\ell} \in E_{T}$, respectively, is said to be a $(\mathrm{PS})_{c}^{*},(\mathrm{PS})_{c}$, respectively, sequence if $f\left(z_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $f_{\ell}^{\prime}\left(z_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow 0$ (and $f^{\prime}\left(z_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow 0$, respectively) as $\ell \rightarrow \infty . \quad f$ is said to satisfy the $(\mathrm{PS})_{c}^{*},(\mathrm{PS})_{c}$, respectively, condition if any $(\mathrm{PS})_{c}^{*},(\mathrm{PS})_{c}$, respectively, sequence has a convergent subsequence.

Proof of Theorem 2.1-I Existence. Observe that, by $\left(h_{2}\right), H(t, x, z)$ $\leq c\left(1+|z|^{p}\right)$ for all $(t, x, z)$, which implies that in $\left(h_{1}\right)$ the constant $\alpha<2$.

First we check that the functional $J(z)=-I_{T}(z)$ satisfies $(\mathrm{PS})_{c}^{*}$ condition. Let $z_{\ell} \in X_{\ell}$ be such that $J\left(z_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $J_{\ell}^{\prime}\left(z_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Then it follows from $\left(h_{2}\right)$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|z_{\ell}\right\|_{T}^{2} & =-J_{\ell}^{\prime}\left(z_{\ell}\right)\left(z_{\ell}^{+}-z_{\ell}^{-}\right)+\int_{Q_{T}} H_{z}\left(t, x, z_{\ell}\right)\left(z_{\ell}^{+}-z_{\ell}^{-}\right) \\
& \leq c_{0}\left\|z_{\ell}\right\|_{T}+c_{1}\left\|z_{\ell}\right\|_{L_{T}^{p}}^{p-1}\left\|z_{\ell}^{+}-z_{\ell}^{-}\right\|_{L_{T}^{p}} \\
& \leq c_{2}\left(1+\left\|z_{\ell}\right\|_{T}^{p-1}\right)\left\|z_{\ell}\right\|_{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $\left(z_{\ell}\right)$ is bounded, since $1<p<2$. Now, using Lemma 3.3, a standard argument shows that $\left(z_{\ell}\right)$ has a convergent subsequence.
Similarly, it is easy to verify that $J$ and $J_{\ell}$ satisfy $(\mathrm{PS})_{c}$ condition.
Next, there are $r>0, \delta>0$ and $0 \neq z_{0} \in E_{T}^{+}$such that

$$
\begin{align*}
J(z) \leq 0 & \text { for all } z \in E_{T}^{+} \text {with }\|z\|_{T} \geq r  \tag{4.3}\\
J\left(z+z_{0}\right) \geq \delta & \text { for all } z \in E_{T}^{-} \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

In fact, by $\left(h_{2}\right)$, for $z \in E_{T}^{+}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(z) & =\int_{Q_{T}} H(t, x, z)-\frac{1}{2}\|z\|_{T}^{2} \\
& \leq c_{3}\left(1+\|z\|_{L_{T}^{p}}^{p}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\|z\|_{T}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

hence, (4.3) holds. By virtue of $\left(h_{1}\right)$, for $z \in E_{T}^{-}$and $z_{0} \in E_{T}^{+}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
J\left(z+z_{0}\right) & \geq a_{1}\left\|z+z_{0}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\alpha}}^{\alpha}+\frac{1}{2}\|z\|_{T}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|z_{0}\right\|_{T}^{2} \\
& \geq c_{3}\left(1-\left\|z_{0}\right\|_{T}^{2-\alpha}\right)\left\|z_{0}\right\|_{T}^{\alpha}+\frac{1}{2}\|z\|_{T}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and we get (4.4).
Now a standard deformation argument [8, 18] yields a sequence $z_{\ell} \in X_{\ell}$ satisfying

$$
J_{\ell}^{\prime}\left(z_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { and } \quad J\left(z_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow c \quad \text { with } \delta \leq c \leq M:=\sup J\left(B_{r} E_{T}^{+}\right)
$$

Finally, the (PS) ${ }_{c}^{*}$ condition implies that $J$ has a critical point $z$ with $\delta \leq J(z) \leq M$. This proves that (HS)-(2.1) has at least one nontrivial solution which is in $W_{T, r}$ for all $r \geq 1$ by Lemma 3.4.

Proof of Theorem 2.1-II Multiplicity. By assumptions, $J$ is even and $J(0)=0$. We claim that for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ :
(i) There are $r_{n}>0$ and $\alpha_{n}>0$ such that $J(z) \geq \alpha_{n}$ for all $z \in X^{n}$ with $\|z\|_{T}=r_{n}$;
(ii) There is $\beta_{n}>0$ such that $J(z) \leq \beta_{n}$ for all $z \in\left(X^{n-1}\right)^{\perp}$. Moreover, $\beta_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

As above, noting that $\operatorname{dim}\left(X_{0}^{n}\right)<\infty$, for $z \in X^{n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(z) & \geq a_{1}\|z\|_{L_{T}^{\alpha}}^{\alpha}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|z^{-}\right\|_{T}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|z^{+}\right\|_{T}^{2} \\
& \geq\left(c_{n}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|z^{+}\right\|_{T}^{2-\alpha}\right)\left\|z^{+}\right\|_{T}^{\alpha}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|z^{-}\right\|_{T}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

so claim (i) follows. The combination of $\left(h_{1}\right)$ and $\left(h_{3}\right)$ implies $\gamma<2$, so $H(t, x, z) \leq a\left(|z|^{\gamma}+|z|^{p}\right)$ for all $(t, x, z)$. Thus it follows from (4.1) that, for $z \in\left(X^{n-1}\right)^{\perp}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(z) & \leq c_{1} \int_{Q_{T}}\left(|z|^{\gamma}+|z|^{p}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\|z\|_{T}^{2} \\
& \leq\left(c_{2} \lambda_{n}^{-\gamma / 2}\|z\|_{T}^{\gamma}-\frac{1}{4}\|z\|_{T}^{2}\right)+\left(c_{2} \lambda_{n}^{-p / 2}\|z\|_{T}^{p}-\frac{1}{4}\|z\|_{T}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Set

$$
\beta_{n}:=\frac{c_{2}(2-\gamma)}{2}\left(2 \gamma c_{2} \lambda_{n}^{-1}\right)^{\gamma /(2-\gamma)}+\frac{c_{2}(2-p)}{2}\left(2 p c_{2} \lambda_{n}^{-1}\right)^{p /(2-p)}
$$

which verifies claim (ii).
Now, by virtue of [12, Proposition 2.2], see also [13], $J$ has a sequence $\left(z_{n}\right)$ of critical points satisfying $\alpha_{n} \leq J\left(z_{n}\right) \leq \beta_{n}$. The proof is finished. ■

Proof of Theorem 2.1'. Let (2.3) be satisfied. Let $\chi=\chi(s) \in$ $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbf{R},[0,1])$ be such that $\chi(s)=0$ for $s \leq r_{0} / 2, \chi(s)=1$ for $s \geq r_{0}$, and $\chi^{\prime}(s)>0$ for all $s \in\left(r_{0} / 2, r_{0}\right)$. Set $M=\inf \left\{H(t, x, z) / r_{0}^{\alpha}: t \in \mathbf{R}\right.$ and $\left.|z|=r_{0}\right\}$. Consider $\widetilde{H}: \mathbf{R} \times \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbf{R}^{2 m} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined by

$$
\widetilde{H}(t, x, z)=(1-\chi(|z|)) H(t, x, z)+\chi(|z|) M|z|^{\alpha}
$$

Then, by definition and (2.3), $\widetilde{H}$ is even in $z$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{H}(t, x, z) & \geq M|z|^{\alpha}  \tag{4.5}\\
0 & <\widetilde{H}_{z}(t, x, z) z \leq \alpha \widetilde{H}(t, x, z) \quad \text { whenever } z \neq 0  \tag{4.6}\\
\left|\widetilde{H}_{z}(t, x, z)\right| & \leq a_{2}\left(|z|^{\gamma-1}+|z|^{\alpha-1}\right) . \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $\widetilde{H}$ satisfies the assumptions $\left(h_{0}\right)-\left(h_{3}\right)$. It follows from Theorem 2.1 that the functional

$$
J(z):=\int_{Q_{T}} \widetilde{H}(t, x, z)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|z^{-}\right\|_{T}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|z^{+}\right\|_{T}^{2}
$$

on $E_{T}$ has a sequence $\left(z_{n}\right)$ of critical points satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\alpha_{n} \leq c_{n}:=J\left(z_{n}\right) \leq \beta_{n} \quad \text { with } \beta_{n} \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$z_{n}$ solves

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{T} z_{n}=\widetilde{H}_{z}(t, x, z) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.6) and (4.8),

$$
\beta_{n} \geq J\left(z_{n}\right) \geq \frac{2-\alpha}{2} \int_{Q_{T}} \widetilde{H}\left(t, x, z_{n}\right) \geq \frac{2-\alpha}{2 \alpha} \int_{Q_{T}} \widetilde{H}_{x}\left(t, x, z_{n}\right) z_{n}
$$

hence, it follows from (4.5) that

$$
\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\alpha}}^{\alpha} \leq b_{n}:=\frac{2 M \beta_{n}}{2-\alpha}
$$

Since $b_{n} \rightarrow 0$, without loss of generality, assume $b_{n} \leq 1$. Using (4.7) and (4.9),
$\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{T}^{2}=\int_{Q_{T}} \widetilde{H}_{z}\left(t, x, z_{n}\right)\left(z_{n}^{+}-z_{n}^{-}\right) \leq d_{1}\left(\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\alpha}}^{\gamma}+\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\alpha}}^{\alpha}\right) \leq 2 d_{1} b_{n}^{\gamma / \alpha}$.
Using Lemma 3.2,

$$
\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{L_{T}^{q_{1}}} \leq d_{2} b_{n}^{\gamma / 2 \alpha} \quad \text { where } q_{1}=2(2+N) / N
$$

By (4.9) and (4.7) again,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|A_{T} z_{n}\right\|_{L_{T}^{r_{1}}}= & \left(\int_{Q_{T}}\left|\widetilde{H}_{z}\left(t, x, z_{n}\right)\right|^{r_{1}}\right)^{1 / r_{1}} \leq d_{3} b_{n}^{\gamma(\gamma-1) / 2 \alpha} \\
& \text { where } r_{1}=q_{1} /(\alpha-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

consequently, by Lemma 3.1,

$$
\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{W_{T, r_{1}}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

Repeating the above process, the bootstrap argument implies that $\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{W_{T, r}} \rightarrow 0$ for each $r>1$. Now applying Sobolev embedding we arrive at

$$
\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

Thus, for all $n$ large, $z_{n}$ is a solution of (HS)-(2.1). The proof is complete.
5. Superquadrature case. In this section we consider superquadratic nonlinearities and prove Theorems 2.2 and $2.3^{\prime}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.2-I Existence. In the following we write simply $I(z)=I_{T}(z)$, etc. Firstly, we check that $I$ satisfies (PS) $c_{c}^{*}$ condition. Let $z_{\ell} \in X_{\ell}$ be such that $I\left(z_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $I_{\ell}^{\prime}\left(z_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow 0$. By $\left(h_{4}\right)$

$$
I\left(z_{\ell}\right)-\frac{1}{2} I^{\prime}\left(z_{\ell}\right) z_{\ell} \geq \int_{Q_{T}}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\mu}\right) H_{z}\left(t, x, z_{\ell}\right) z_{\ell}-c_{1}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q_{T}} H_{z}\left(t, x, z_{\ell}\right) z_{\ell} \leq c_{2}\left(1+\left\|z_{\ell}\right\|_{T}\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from the form of $I^{\prime}\left(z_{\ell}\right)\left(z_{\ell}^{+}-z_{\ell}^{-}\right)$and $\left(h_{5}\right)$, (5.1) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|z_{\ell}\right\|_{T}^{2} & \leq o\left(\|z\|_{T}\right)+c_{3}\left(\int_{Q_{T}}\left|H_{z}\left(t, x, z_{\ell}\right)\right|^{p^{\prime}}\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left\|z_{\ell}\right\|_{T} \\
& \leq c_{4}\left(1+\left\|z_{\ell}\right\|_{T}^{1 / p^{\prime}}\right)\left\|z_{\ell}\right\|_{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\left(z_{\ell}\right)$ is bounded in $E_{T}$. Using Lemma 3.3, a standard argument shows that $\left(z_{\ell}\right)$ has convergent subsequence.

Similarly, $I$ and $I_{\ell}$ satisfy $(\mathrm{PS})_{c}$ condition.
By Lemma 3.3 and $\left(h_{6}\right)$ it is easy to verify that there are $\delta>0$ and $\rho>0$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(z) \geq \delta \quad \text { for all } z \in \partial B_{\rho} E_{T}^{+} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from $\left(h_{4}\right)$ and $\left(h_{6}\right)$ that for any $\varepsilon>0$ there is $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(t, x, z) \geq C_{\varepsilon}|z|^{\mu}-\varepsilon|z|^{2} \quad \text { for all }(t, x, z) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $e=e_{1}^{+}$. Using (5.3) and Lemma 3.3, we see that there are $s_{0}$, $R>0$ and $M>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup I(\mathcal{N}) \leq M \quad \text { and } \quad I_{\partial \mathcal{N}} \leq 0 \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{N}:=\left\{z+s e: z \in B_{R} E_{T}^{-}, 0<s<s_{0}\right\}$.
Define the following minimax value

$$
c_{\ell}:=\inf _{\lambda \in \Gamma_{\ell}} \max _{z \in \mathcal{N}_{\ell}} I(\lambda(z)),
$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{\ell}=\mathcal{N} \cap X_{\ell}$ and $\Gamma_{\ell}=\left\{\lambda \in \mathcal{C}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\ell}, X_{\ell}\right):\left.\quad \lambda\right|_{\partial \mathcal{N}_{\ell}}=i d\right\}$. The linking theorem, see $[\mathbf{1 8}, \mathbf{2 1}]$, implies that

$$
\delta \leq c_{\ell} \leq M
$$

and $c_{\ell}$ is a critical value of $I_{\ell}$. Let $z_{\ell} \in X_{\ell}$ be such that $I_{\ell}^{\prime}\left(z_{\ell}\right)=0$ and $I\left(z_{\ell}\right)=c_{\ell}$. Then, up to a subsequence, $\left(z_{\ell}\right)$ is a $(\mathrm{PS})_{c}^{*}$ sequence with $\delta \leq c \leq M$. Therefore, by (PS) ${ }_{c}^{*}$ condition, $I$ has a nontrivial critical point, and the first conclusion of Theorem 2.2 follows.

Proof of Theorem 2.2-II Multiplicity. In this case, $I$ is even and $I(0)=0$.

Firstly, there is $R_{n}>0$ such that $I(z) \leq 0$ for all $z \in X^{n}$ with $\|z\|_{T} \geq R_{n}$. Indeed, by $\left(h_{4}\right), H(t, x, z) \geq c_{1}|z|^{\mu}-c_{2}$ for all $(t, x, z)$, hence, for $z \in X^{n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(z) & \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|z^{+}\right\|_{T}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|z^{-}\right\|_{T}^{2}-c_{1} \int_{Q_{T}}|z|^{\mu}+c_{3} \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{2}-c_{4}\left\|z^{+}\right\|_{T}^{\mu-2}\right)\left\|z^{+}\right\|_{T}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|z^{-}\right\|_{T}^{2}+c_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies clearly the desired conclusion.
Next, for all $n$ large, there are $r_{n}>0$ and $a_{n} \rightarrow \infty, n \rightarrow \infty$, such that $I(z) \geq a_{n}$ for all $z \in\left(X^{n-1}\right)^{\perp}$ with $\|z\|_{T}=r_{n}$. By $\left(h_{5}\right)$, $H(t, x, z) \leq c_{5}\left(1+|z|^{p}\right)$ for all $(t, x, z)$. Using (4.2), for $z \in\left(X^{n-1}\right)^{\perp}$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(z) & \geq \frac{1}{2}\|z\|_{T}^{2}-c_{5} \int_{Q_{T}}|z|^{p}-c_{6} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\|z\|_{T}^{2}-c_{7} \lambda_{n}^{-\theta_{p}}\|z\|_{T}^{p}-c_{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to check that the numbers

$$
r_{n}=\left(p c_{7} \lambda_{n}^{-\theta_{p}}\right)^{-1 /(p-2)} \quad \text { and } \quad a_{n}=\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right) r_{n}^{2}-c_{6}
$$

satisfy the requirement.
Now, an application of symmetric mountain pass theorem, cf. [12, $\mathbf{1 3}]$ or [21], completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2.3'. If $\xi>0$, then (2.3) holds for some $r_{0}>0$ and the existence of $\left(z_{n}\right)$ follows from Theorem 2.1'. Assume $\xi<0$. Then $-H$ satisfies (2.3) and we have the modification $\widetilde{H}$ of $-H$ as that in proof of Theorem 2.1'. Applying the argument for Theorem 2.1' to the functional

$$
\tilde{I}(z)=\int_{Q_{T}} \widetilde{H}(t, x, z)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|z^{+}\right\|_{T}^{2}-\left\|z^{-}\right\|_{T}^{2}\right)
$$

with exchanging the positions of $E_{T}^{+}$and $E_{T}^{-}$, e.g., setting $X_{\ell}^{n}=$ span $\left\{e_{n}^{-}, \ldots, e_{1}^{-}\right\} \oplus E_{T}^{+}$etc., we see that it has a critical point sequence $\left(z_{n}\right)$ satisfying, for $n$ large, $I_{T}\left(z_{n}\right)=\tilde{I}\left(z_{n}\right)>0$ and $0>\xi I_{T}\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Similarly, applying the argument for Theorem 2.2 we obtain the sequence $\tilde{z}_{n}$ of critical points of $I_{T}$ such that $\eta I_{T}\left(\tilde{z}_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Finally, letting $z$ stand for one of the solutions, according to standard existence and uniqueness theory for linear differential systems, $z(t, x) \neq$ any equilibrium everywhere. Let $T_{0}$ denote the minimal period of $z$. Using (HS) and (2.4) one obtains $a\left(t+T_{0}\right)=a(t)$ for all $t$, which implies clearly that $T_{0}=T$.
6. Convergence of subharmonic orbits. Throughout this section, let the assumptions of Theorem 2.4 be satisfied. For each $k \in \mathbf{N}$, let $Q_{k}=(0, k T) \times \Omega, L_{k}^{r}=L^{r}\left(Q_{k}, \mathbf{R}^{2 m}\right)$ and $A_{k}$, the operator $\mathcal{J} \partial_{t}-\mathcal{J}_{0} \Delta_{x}$ acting in $L_{k}^{2}$. Replacing $Q_{T}$ by $Q_{k}$, define as before $E_{k}$ and $I_{k} \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(E_{k}, \mathbf{R}\right)$, etc. Critical points of $I_{k}$ are solutions of

$$
\begin{cases}\mathcal{J} \partial_{t} z-\mathcal{J}_{0} \Delta_{x} z=H_{z}(t, x, z) & (t, x) \in \mathbf{R} \times \Omega  \tag{HS}\\ z(t, x)=0 & (t, x) \in \mathbf{R} \times \partial \Omega \\ z(t+k T, x)=z(t, x) & (t, x) \in \mathbf{R} \times \Omega\end{cases}
$$

The existence of a nontrivial solution $z_{k}$ of $(\mathrm{HS})_{k}$ follows easily from the proof of Theorem 2.2. However, in order to treat the convergence of $\left(z_{k}\right)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ we need some estimates with boundedness independent of $k$. This requires more details on the existence proof.

Lemma 6.1. There are $\delta>0$ and $\rho>0$, both independent of $k$, such that $I_{k}(z) \geq \delta$ for all $z \in E_{k}^{+}$with $\|z\|_{k}=\rho$.

Proof. By $\left(h_{5}^{\prime}\right), H(t, x, z) \leq c_{1}|z|^{p}$ for all $(t, x, z)$. This, together with Lemma 3.3 a ), induces that there is $c_{p}>0$ independent of $k$ such that, for $z \in E_{k}^{+}$,

$$
I_{k}(z) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|z\|_{k}^{2}-c_{1} \int_{Q_{k}}|z|^{p} \geq \frac{1}{2}\|z\|_{k}^{2}-c_{p}\|z\|_{k}^{p}
$$

The conclusion follows.

Below, for each $z \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}:=\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}\left(Q_{1}, \mathbf{R}^{2 m}\right)$, we extend $z$ to $Q_{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2 m}$ by setting $z=0$ on $[T, k T)$ and regard it as a $k T$-periodic map on $\mathbf{R} \times \Omega$. Clearly, $\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}$ is invariant under the $A_{k}$-action, i.e., $A_{k} \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}$. Let $\widetilde{E}_{k}$ denote the completion of $\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}$ under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{k}$. Then $\widetilde{E}_{k}=\widetilde{E}_{1}$ for all $k \geq 1$. Take $e_{k} \in \widetilde{E}_{k} \cap\left(L_{k}^{2}\right)^{+}$with $\left\|e_{k}\right\|_{L_{k}^{2}}=1$. Clearly $e_{k}=e_{1}$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Set $\mathcal{M}_{k}(R, r)=\left\{z+s e_{1}: z \in E_{k}^{-}\right.$with $\left.\|z\|_{k}<R, 0<s<r\right\}$.

Lemma 6.2. There are positive constants $R, r$ and $\Lambda$, all independent of $k$, such that $I_{k} \leq 0$ on $\partial \mathcal{M}_{k}(R, r)$ and $\sup I_{k}\left(\mathcal{M}_{k}(R, r)\right) \leq \Lambda$.

Proof. The conclusion follows easily from the facts that, for $z \in E_{k}^{-}$ and $s>0$,

$$
s\left(e_{1}, e_{1}\right)_{L_{1}^{2}}=\left(e_{1}, z^{-}+s e_{1}\right)_{L_{k}^{2}} \leq\left\|e_{1}\right\|_{L_{1}^{\alpha^{\prime}}}\left\|z^{-}+s e_{1}\right\|_{L_{k}^{\alpha}}
$$

hence,

$$
s^{\alpha} \leq c_{1}\left\|z^{-}+s e_{1}\right\|_{L_{k}^{\alpha}}^{\alpha} .
$$

Consequently, using $\left(h_{1}\right)$,

$$
I_{k}\left(z^{-}+s e_{1}\right) \leq c_{2} s^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|z^{-}\right\|_{k}^{2}-c_{3} s^{\alpha}
$$

where $c_{i}>0$ are independent of $k$.

Now, as proving Theorem 2.2, we obtain, for each $k$, a solution $z_{k}$ of $(\mathrm{HS})_{k}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \leq C_{k}:=I_{k}\left(z_{k}\right) \leq \Lambda \quad \text { and } \quad I_{k}^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)=0 \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (6.1) and $\left(h_{5}^{\prime}\right)$ that there is $\sigma>0$ independent of $k$ such that

$$
\left\|z_{k}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \geq \sigma \quad \text { for all } k \in \mathbf{N}
$$

By periodicity, we find a sequence $\ell_{k} \in \mathcal{N}$ such that (recalling that $Q_{1}=Q_{T}$ )

$$
\max _{Q_{1}}\left|z_{k}\left(t+\ell_{k} T, x\right)\right|=\max _{\mathbf{R} \times \Omega}\left|z_{k}(t, x)\right|
$$

So, up to rescalings of $t$-variable, we may assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z_{k}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}=\max _{Q_{1}}\left|z_{k}(t, x)\right| \geq \sigma \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By assumptions on $H$ and (6.1), standard arguments show that there is $\Lambda_{1}>0$ independent of $k$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q_{k}} H\left(t, x, z_{k}\right) \leq \Lambda_{1}, \quad \int_{Q_{k}} H_{z}\left(t, x, z_{k}\right) \cdot z_{k} \leq \Lambda_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|z_{k}\right\|_{k} \leq \Lambda_{1} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A bootstrap argument implies that for each $r \in[2, \infty)$, there is $\Lambda_{r}$ independent of $k$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z_{k}\right\|_{W_{k, r}}^{r} \leq \Lambda_{r} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|z_{k}\right\|_{L_{k}^{r}}^{r} \leq \Lambda_{r} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

cf. $[\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1 0}]$ or the proof of Theorem $2.1^{\prime}$. As a consequence, $z_{k} \in$ $\mathcal{C}^{0, \kappa}\left(Q_{k}, \mathbf{R}^{2 m}\right)$ for $0 \leq \kappa \leq 1$, by the Sobolev embedding theorem.

It is clear from (6.4) that, for any $r \geq 2$ and $-\infty<a<b<\infty$, there is $C(r ; a, b)>0$ depending on $r$ and $b-a$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A z_{k}\right\|_{L^{r}\left(Q_{a, b}, \mathbf{R}^{2 m}\right)} \leq C(r, a, b) \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{a, b}=(a, b) \times \Omega$ and $A z=\mathcal{J} \partial_{t} z-\mathcal{J}_{0} \Delta_{x} z$. Recall that $A$ is an isomorphism from $B_{a, b ; r}$ into $L^{r}\left(Q_{a, b}, \mathbf{R}^{2 m}\right)$ where $B_{a, b ; r}$ denotes the Banach space defined as $B_{T, r}$ with $Q_{T}$ replaced by $Q_{a, b}$, see [10]. Consequently,

$$
\left\|z_{k}\right\|_{B_{a, b ; r}} \leq C(r, a, b)
$$

independent of $k$. Therefore, by the Sobolev embedding theorem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z_{k}\right\|_{\mathcal{C}^{0, \kappa}\left(Q_{a, b}, \mathbf{R}^{2 m}\right)} \leq C(a, b) \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C(a, b)$ depending on $b-a$.

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Taking into account (6.6) we extract a subsequence $k_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$
z_{k_{n}} \rightarrow z_{\infty} \quad \text { in } \mathcal{C}_{l o c}\left(\mathbf{R} \times \Omega, \mathbf{R}^{2 m}\right)
$$

It is easy to see that $z_{\infty}$ solves (HS). By (6.2), $z_{\infty} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R} \times \Omega, \mathbf{R}^{2 m}\right)$ and $z_{\infty} \neq 0$.

Let $r \in[2, \infty)$. By (6.4), for any $a>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{Q_{-a, a}}\left|z_{\infty}\right|^{r} & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Q_{-a, a}}\left|z_{k_{n}}\right|^{r} \\
& \leq \limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty} 2\left\|z_{k}\right\|_{L_{k}^{r}}^{r} \leq 2 \Lambda_{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $a \rightarrow \infty$ we see that $z_{\infty} \in L^{r}\left(\mathbf{R} \times \Omega, \mathbf{R}^{2 m}\right)$ for $r \in[2, \infty)$. Similarly,

$$
\int_{\mathbf{R} \times \Omega}\left|A z_{\infty}\right|^{r} \leq 2 \Lambda_{r}
$$

for all $r \geq 2$. From this, we find

$$
\lim _{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Q_{a, a+1}}\left|A z_{\infty}\right|^{r}=0
$$

so by the Sobolev embedding theorem, $z_{\infty}(t, \cdot) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly, $z_{\infty}(t, \cdot) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow-\infty$. This completes the proof.
7. The case on $\mathbf{R}^{N}$. We now turn to the case $\Omega=\mathbf{R}^{N}$. Throughout the section, suppose $H$ satisfies $\left(h_{0}\right),\left(h_{4}^{\prime}\right),\left(h_{5}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(h_{7}\right)$. Setting

$$
\Psi(z)=\int_{Q_{T}} H(t, x, z)
$$

we write

$$
I(z):=I_{T}(z)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|z^{+}\right\|_{T}^{2}-\left\|z^{-}\right\|_{T}^{2}\right)-\Psi(z)
$$

We are looking for critical points of $I$ on $E_{T}$.

Lemma 7.1. $\Psi$ is bounded below and weakly sequentially lower semicontinuous with $\Psi^{\prime}: X \rightarrow X$ weakly sequentially continuous.

Proof. Since $H(t, x, x) \geq 0$, we have $\Psi(z) \geq 0$ for $z \in E_{T}$. Let $z_{n} \rightharpoonup z$. Then, by Lemma 3.3, $z_{n} \rightarrow z$ in $L_{\text {loc }}^{2}$, thus almost everywhere in $(t, x)$. By a Fatou lemma,

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{1+N}} H\left(t, x, z_{n}\right) \geq \int_{\mathbf{R}^{1+N}} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} H\left(t, x, z_{n}\right)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{1+N}} H(t, x, z)
$$

proving the lower semi-continuity of $\Psi$. For any $w \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}$,

$$
\Psi^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right) w=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{1+N}} H_{z}\left(t, x, z_{n}\right) w \longrightarrow \Psi^{\prime}(z) w,
$$

which, together with the boundedness of $\Psi^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)$, by $\left(h_{5}^{\prime}\right)$, implies that $\Psi^{\prime}$ is weakly sequentially continuous.

As before, we have

Lemma 7.2. There are constants $\delta, \rho>0$ such that $\Phi(z) \geq \delta$ for $z \in E_{T}^{+},\|z\|_{T}=\rho$.

Lemma 7.3. Let $e \in E_{T}^{+},\|e\|_{T}=1$. There are $R>\rho$ such that $\Phi(z) \leq 0$ for $z \in \partial M$, and $\Lambda:=\sup I(M)<\infty$, where $M=\left\{z=z^{-}+s e: z^{-} \in X^{-},\|z\|<R, s>0\right\}$.

The existence part of one solution of Theorem 2.5 will be proved by virtue of the following critical point theorem due to Kryszewski and Szulkin [15].

Theorem 7.1. Let $X$ be a separable Hilbert space with the orthogonal decomposition $X=X^{-} \oplus X^{+}$and suppose $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(X, \mathbf{R})$ satisfies the hypotheses:
(i) $\Phi(z)=\left(\left\|z^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|z^{-}\right\|^{2}\right) / 2-\Psi(z)$ where $\Psi$ is bounded below, weakly sequentially lower semi-continuous with $\Psi^{\prime}: X \rightarrow X$ weakly sequentially continuous;
(ii) There are constants $\delta, \rho>0$ such that $\Phi(z) \geq \delta$ for $z \in X^{+}$, $\|z\|=\rho ;$
(iii) There exist $e \in X^{+},\|e\|=1$, and $R>\rho$ such that $\Phi(z) \leq 0$ for $z \in \partial M$ where $M=\left\{z=z^{-}+s e: z^{-} \in X^{-},\|z\|<R, s>0\right\}$.
Then there exists a sequence $\left(z_{k}\right)$ such that $\Phi^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$ and $\Phi\left(z_{k}\right) \rightarrow c$ for some $c \in[\delta, \sup \Phi(\bar{M})]$.

Proof of Theorem 2.5-I Existence. By Lemmas 7.1-7.3, the functional $\Phi=I$ on $E_{T}$ satisfies (i)-(iii). Now by Theorem 7.1, let $\left(z_{k}\right)$ be such that $I^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$ and $I\left(z_{k}\right) \rightarrow c$ with $\delta \leq c \leq \Lambda$. As before, it is easy to verify that $\left(z_{k}\right)$ is bounded. Using the Lions' concentration compactness lemma $[\mathbf{1 7}]$, it is not difficult to see that, along a subsequence, there exist $a>0$ and $\left(y_{k}\right) \subset \mathbf{R}^{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0, T] \times B\left(y_{k}, 1\right)}\left|z_{k}\right|^{2} \geq a \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

cf., e.g., $[\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{2 1}]$. By (7.1), we may assume that there exist $\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{N}\right) \in$ $\mathbf{Z}^{N}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{[0, T] \times B\left(y_{k}^{\prime}, 1+\sqrt{N}\right)}\left|z_{k}\right|^{2}>a / 2 \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y_{k}^{\prime}:=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{N}\right)$. Set $\bar{z}_{k}(t, x):=z_{k}\left(t, x_{1}+k_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}+k_{N}\right)$. Then $\left\|\bar{z}_{k}\right\|_{T}=\left\|z_{k}\right\|_{T}$ and we may suppose that $\bar{z}_{k} \rightarrow z$ weakly in $E_{T}$ and locally in $L_{T}^{2}$. By (7.2) and the periodic dependence of $H$ on $x$, $z \neq 0$ and $I^{\prime}(z)=0$. The proof is hereby completed.

We now turn to the multiplicity. Let us recall an abstract theorem on multiplicity of critical point of even functional from [3].
Let $X$ be a reflexive Banach space with the direct sum decomposition $X=X^{-} \oplus X^{+}, z=z^{-}+z^{+}$for $z \in X$, and suppose that $X^{-}$has
a countable Schauder basis. Write $X_{w}$ for the space $X$ with the weak topology and similarly $X_{w}^{-}$. For a functional $\Phi$ on $X$ we set $\Phi_{a}^{b}=\{z \in X: a \leq \Phi(z) \leq b\}$. Given an interval $J \subset \mathbf{R}$, we call a set $\mathcal{A} \subset X$ a $(\mathrm{PS})_{J}$-attractor if, for any $(\mathrm{PS})_{c}$-sequence $\left(z_{n}\right)$ with $c \in J$, and any $\varepsilon, \delta>0$ one has $z_{n} \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}\left(\mathcal{A} \cap \Phi_{c-\delta}^{c+\delta}\right)$ provided $n$ is large enough, where $\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}(F)$ denotes the $\varepsilon$-neighborhood of $F$ in $X$. We consider a functional $\Phi$ satisfying the hypotheses:
$\left(\Phi_{1}\right) \Phi \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(X, \mathbf{R})$ is even and $\Phi(0)=0 ;$
$\left(\Phi_{2}\right)$ there exist $\delta, \rho>0$ such that $\Phi(z) \geq \delta$ for every $z \in \partial B_{\rho} X^{+}$;
$\left(\Phi_{3}\right)$ there exists a strictly increasing sequence of finite dimensional subspaces $Y_{n} \subset X^{+}$such that $\sup \Phi\left(X_{n}\right)<\infty$ where $X_{n}:=X^{-} \oplus Y_{n}$, and an increasing sequence of real numbers $R_{n}>0$ with $\sup \Phi\left(X_{n} \backslash\right.$ $\left.B_{R_{n}}\right)<\inf \Phi\left(B_{\rho} X\right) ;$
$\left(\Phi_{4}\right) \Phi(z) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $\left\|z^{-}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$ and $\left\|z^{+}\right\|$bounded;
$\left(\Phi_{5}\right) \Phi^{\prime}: X_{\omega}^{-} \times X^{+} \rightarrow X_{w}^{*}$ is sequentially continuous, and $\Phi: X_{\omega}^{-} \times$ $X^{+} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is sequentially upper semi-continuous;
$\left(\Phi_{6}\right)$ for any compact interval $J \subset(0, \infty)$ there exists a $(\mathrm{PS})_{J^{-}}$ attractor $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\inf \left\{\left\|z^{+}-w^{+}\right\|: z, w \in \mathcal{A}, z^{+} \neq w^{+}\right\}>0$.

Theorem 7.2. If $\Phi$ satisfies $\left(\Phi_{1}\right)-\left(\Phi_{6}\right)$, then it has an unbounded sequence $\left(c_{n}\right)$ of positive critical values.

Proof of Theorem 2.5.-II Multiplicity. We will apply Theorem 7.2 to $\Phi=I$ on $X=E_{T}$.
Clearly $\left(\Phi_{1}\right)$ is satisfied since $H$ is even in $z$ and $H(t, x, 0)=0$. $\left(\Phi_{2}\right)$ follows from Lemma 7.2 and $\left(\Phi_{5}\right)$ from Lemma 7.1. ( $\Phi_{4}$ ) follows from the form of $I$ and the fact that $\Psi(z) \geq 0$.
Let $\left(e_{n}\right)$ be an orthonormal basis for $E_{T}^{+}$and set $Y_{n}:=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.e_{n}\right\}$ and $X_{n}=E_{T}^{-} \oplus Y_{n} .\left(h_{4}^{\prime}\right)$ and ( $h_{5}^{\prime}$ ) imply that for any $\varepsilon>0$ there is $c_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that $H(t, x, z) \geq c_{\varepsilon}|z|^{\mu}-\varepsilon|z|^{2}$ for all $(t, x, z)$. For $z \in X^{n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(z) & \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|z^{+}\right\|_{T}^{2}-\left\|z^{-}\right\|_{T}^{2}\right)+\varepsilon\|z\|_{L_{T}^{2}}^{2}-c_{\varepsilon}\|z\|_{L_{T}^{\mu}}^{\mu} \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon c_{1}\right)\left\|z^{+}\right\|_{T}^{2}-\left(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon c_{1}\right)\left\|z^{-}\right\|_{T}^{2}-c_{2} c_{\varepsilon}\left\|z^{+}\right\|_{T}^{\mu} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now ( $\Phi_{3}$ ) follows easily.

The proof will be complete by showing that if
( $\dagger$ ) (HS) has only finitely many geometrically distinct solutions,
then the condition $\left(\Phi_{6}\right)$ is satisfied. So we assume $(\dagger)$. We remark that there is $\alpha>0$ satisfying

$$
\inf I(\mathcal{K} \backslash\{0\})>\alpha
$$

where $\mathcal{K}:=\left\{z \in E_{T}: I^{\prime}(z)=0\right\}$. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{K}$ consist of arbitrarily chosen representatives of the orbits of $\mathcal{K}$ under the action of $\mathbf{Z}^{N}$. Since $I$ is even, we may assume that $\mathcal{F}=-\mathcal{F}$. Let $[r]$ denote the integer part of $r$ for any $r \in \mathbf{R}$. Using the assumptions on $H$ it is not difficult to check the following claim, cf., $[\mathbf{1 1}, \mathbf{1 5}]$ :
$(\ddagger)$ Let $\left(z_{n}\right) \subset E_{T}$ be a (PS) $)_{c}$-sequence. Then $c \geq 0,\left(z_{n}\right)$ is bounded, and either $z_{n} \rightarrow 0$, corresponding to $c=0$; or $c \geq \alpha$ and there are $l \leq[c / \alpha], w_{i} \in \mathcal{F} \backslash\{0\}, i=1, \ldots, l$, a subsequence denoted again by $\left(z_{n}\right)$, and $l$ sequences $\left(a_{i n}\right)_{n}$ in $\mathbf{Z}^{N}, i=1, \ldots, l$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|z_{n}-\sum_{i=1}^{l} a_{i n} * w_{i}\right\| \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \\
& \left|a_{i n}-a_{j n}\right| \longrightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text { if } i \neq j
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{l} I\left(w_{i}\right)=c
$$

Given a compact interval $J \subset(0, \infty)$ with $d:=\max J$ we set $l:=[d / \alpha]$ and

$$
[\mathcal{F}, l]:=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{j} k_{i} * w_{i} ; 1 \leq j \leq l, k_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}^{N}, w_{i} \in \mathcal{F}\right\}
$$

As a consequence of $(\ddagger)$ we see that $[\mathcal{F}, l]$ is a $(\mathrm{PS})_{J}$-attractor. It is easy to check that

$$
\inf \left\{\left\|u^{+}-v^{+}\right\|: u, v \in[\mathcal{F}, l], u^{+} \neq v^{+}\right\}>0
$$

see, e.g., $[\mathbf{1 1}]$. Therefore $\left(\Phi_{6}\right)$ is satisfied and Theorem 2.5 is proved.

Acknowledgements. The first author was supported partly by NSFC 19971091 and would like to thank NCUE for hospitality. The second author was supported by the National Science Council, Taiwan (NSC90-2115-M-018-007, NSC91-2816-M-018-001-6).

## REFERENCES

1. A. Ambrosetti, H. Brézis and G. Cerami, Combined effects of concave and convex nonlinearities in some elliptic problems, J. Funct. Anal. 122 (1994), 519-543.
2. V. Barbu, Periodic solutions to unbounded Hamiltonian system, Discr. Contin. Dynam. Syst. 1 (1995), 277-283.
3. T. Bartsch and Y.H. Ding, Homoclinic solutions of an infinite-dimensional Hamiltonian system, Math. Z. 240 (2002), 289-310.
4. T. Bartsch, Y.H. Ding and C. Lee, Periodic solutions of a wave equation with concave and convex nonlinearities, J. Differential Equations 153 (1999), 121-141.
5. T. Bartsch and M. Willem, On an elliptic equation with concave and convex nonlinearities, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 123 (1995), 3555-3561.
6. O.V. Besov, V.P. Ilin and S.M. Nikolki, Integral representation of functions and imbedding theorems, Vol. I, Wiley, New York, 1978.
7. H. Brézis and L. Nirenberg, Characterization of the ranges of some nonlinear operators and applications to boundary value problems, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, Ser. IV 5 (1978), 225-326.
8. K.C. Chang, Infinite dimensional Morse theory and multiple solution problems, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
9. P. Clément, P. Felemer and E. Mitidieri, Solutions homoclines d'un systéme hamiltonien non-borné et superquaratique, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 320 (1995), 1481-1484.
10. -, Homoclinic orbits for a class of infinite dimensional Hamiltonian systems, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, Ser. IV 24 (1997), 367-393.
11. V. Coti-Zelati and P. Rabinowitz, Homoclinic type solutions for a semilinear elliptic PDE on $\mathbf{R}^{N}$, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 45 (1992), 1217-1269.
12. D.G. De Figueiredo and Y.H. Ding, Strongly indefinite functionals and multiple solutions of elliptic systems, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 355 (2003), 2973-2989.
13. Y.H. Ding, Infinitely many entire solutions of an elliptic system with symmetry, Top. Meth. Nonlin. Anal. 9 (1997), 313-323.
14. Y.H. Ding and C. Lee, Periodic solutions of Hamiltonian systems, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 32 (2001), 555-571.
15. W. Kryszewski and A. Szulkin, Generalized linking theorem with an application to semilinear Schrödinger equation, Adv. Differential Equations 3 (1998), 441-472.
16. J.L. Lions, Optimal control of systems governed by partial differential equations, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
17. P.L. Lions, The concentration compactness principle in the calculus of variations, the locally compact case, Part II, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire 1 (1984), 223-283.
18. P.H. Rabinowitz, Minimax methods in critical point theory with application to differential equations, CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. Appl. Math., vol. 65, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 1986.
19. M. Reed and B. Simon, Methods of modern mathematical physics, Vol. 4, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
20. H. Triebel, Interpolation theory, function spaces, differential operators, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
21. M. Willem, Minimax theorems, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996.

Institute of Mathematics, AMSS, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, China
E-mail address: dingyh@mail.math.ac.cn
Department of Mathematics, National Changhua University of Education, Changhua, Taiwan
E-mail address: clee@math.ncue.edu.tw


[^0]:    2000 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35A15, 35K50.
    Key words and phrases. Infinite-dimensional Hamiltonian system, periodic solutions, variational method.

    Received by the editors on October 8, 2002, and in revised form on March 24, 2003.

