

ON THE LUPAŞ q -ANALOGUE OF THE BERNSTEIN OPERATOR

SOFIYA OSTROVSKA

ABSTRACT. Let $R_n(f, q; x) : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ be q -analogues of the Bernstein operators defined by Lupaş in 1987. If $q = 1$, then $R_n(f, 1; x)$ are classical Bernstein polynomials. For $q \neq 1$, the operators $R_n(f, q; x)$ are rational functions rather than polynomials. The paper deals with convergence properties of the sequence $\{R_n(f, q; x)\}$. It is proved that $\{R_n(f, q_n; x)\}$ converges uniformly to $f(x)$ for any $f(x) \in C[0, 1]$ if and only if $q_n \rightarrow 1$. In the case $q > 0$, $q \neq 1$ being fixed the sequence $\{R_n(f, q; x)\}$ converges uniformly to $f(x) \in C[0, 1]$ if and only if $f(x)$ is linear.

1. Introduction. In 1912 Bernstein ([2]) found his famous proof of the Weierstrass approximation theorem. Using probability theory he defined polynomials called nowadays *Bernstein polynomials* as follows.

Definition [2]. Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. The *Bernstein polynomial* of f is

$$B_n(f; x) := \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Bernstein proved that, if $f \in C[0, 1]$, then the sequence $\{B_n(f; x)\}$ converges uniformly to $f(x)$ on $[0, 1]$.

Definition. The *Bernstein operator* $B_n : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ is given by

$$(B_n)f(x) := B_n(f; x), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Later it was found that Bernstein polynomials possess many remarkable properties, which made them an area of intensive research. A systematic treatment of the theory of Bernstein polynomials as it was

2000 AMS *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 41A10, 41A36.
Key words and phrases. Bernstein polynomials, q -integers, q -binomial coefficients, convergence.

Received by the editors on July 23, 2003, and in revised form on March 23, 2004.

until the 90's is presented, for example, in [7] and [12]. New papers are constantly coming out, cf., e.g., [4], and new applications and generalizations are being discovered, cf., e.g., [6] and [9]. The aim of these generalizations is to provide appropriate tools for studying various problems of analysis, geometry, statistical inference and computer science. The rapid development of q -calculus has led to the discovery of new generalizations of Bernstein polynomials involving q -integers. The first person to make progress in this direction was Lupaş. In 1987 he introduced, cf. [8], a q -analogue of the Bernstein operator and investigated its approximating and shape-preserving properties. In this paper we present new results concerning convergence of the Lupaş operator.

It is worth mentioning that in 1997 Phillips [10] introduced another generalization of Bernstein polynomials based on the q -integers called q -Bernstein polynomials. The q -Bernstein polynomials attracted a lot of interest and were studied widely by a number of authors. A survey of the obtained results and references on the subject can be found in [11]. The Lupaş operators are less known. However, they have an advantage of generating *positive* linear operators for all $q > 0$, whereas q -Bernstein polynomials generate positive linear operators only if $q \in (0, 1)$.

In this paper we would like to draw attention to the Lupaş q -analogue of the Bernstein operator and obtain new results related to the q -analogue.

To present results by Lupaş we recall the following definitions, cf. [1, Chapter 10].

Let $q > 0$. For any $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, the q -integer $[n]_q$ is defined by

$$[n]_q := 1 + q + \dots + q^{n-1} \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad [0]_q := 0;$$

and the q -factorial $[n]_q!$ by

$$[n]_q! := [1]_q [2]_q \cdots [n]_q \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad [0]_q! := 1.$$

For integers $0 \leq k \leq n$ the q -binomial, or the Gaussian coefficient is defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q := \frac{[n]_q!}{[k]_q! [n-k]_q!}.$$

Clearly, for $q = 1$,

$$[n]_1 = n, \quad [n]_1! = n!, \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_1 = \binom{n}{k}.$$

The q -binomial coefficients are involved in Cauchy’s q -binomial theorem, cf. [1, Chapter 10, Section 10.2]. We will use the following particular cases of the theorem ([1, Chapter 10, Corollary 10.2.2]). The first one is an extension of Newton’s binomial formula:

$$(1) \quad (1+x)(1+qx)\cdots(1+q^{n-1}x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q q^{k(k-1)/2} x^k.$$

Another needed formula, which can be derived from (1), is Euler’s identity: for $|q| < 1$,

$$(2) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k(k-1)/2} x^k}{(1-q)^k [k]_q!} = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1+q^k x).$$

Following Lupaş we denote

$$(3) \quad b_{nk}(q; x) := \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{q^{k(k-1)/2} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}}{(1-x+qx)\cdots(1-x+q^{n-1}x)}.$$

It follows from (1) that

$$(4) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n b_{nk}(q; x) = 1, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

Indeed, for $x = 1$, equality (4) is obvious. For $x \neq 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q q^{k(k-1)/2} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \\ &= (1-x)^n \left(1 + \frac{x}{1-x}\right) \left(1 + q \frac{x}{1-x}\right) \cdots \left(1 + q^{n-1} \frac{x}{1-x}\right) \\ &= (1-x+qx)\cdots(1-x+q^{n-1}x), \end{aligned}$$

and (4) is proved.

Definition (Lupaş [8]). Let $f \in C[0, 1]$. The linear operator $R_{n,q} : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ defined by

$$(5) \quad R_{n,q}(f) = R_n(f, q; x) := \sum_{k=0}^n f \left(\frac{[k]_q}{[n]_q} \right) b_{nk}(q; x)$$

is called the *q-analogue* of the Bernstein operator.

We note that $R_n(f, 1; x) = B_n(f; x)$, where $B_n(f; x)$ is a Bernstein polynomial of f . In the case $q \neq 1$ the operators $R_n(f, q; x)$ give *rational functions* rather than polynomials.

It follows directly from the definition that operators $R_n(f, q; x)$ possess the *end-point interpolation* property, that is,

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} R_n(f, q; 0) &= f(0), & R_n(f, q; 1) &= f(1) \\ \text{for all } q > 0 & \text{ and all } n = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Besides, $R_n(f, q; x)$ are *positive linear operators* on $C[0, 1]$ for all $q > 0$ and all $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

Lupaş [8] investigated approximating properties of the operators $R_n(f, q; x)$ with respect to the uniform norm of $C[0, 1]$. In particular, he obtained some sufficient conditions for a sequence $\{R_n(f, q_n; x)\}$ to be approximating for any function $f \in C[0, 1]$ and estimated the rate of convergence in terms of the modulus of continuity. He also investigated behavior of the operators $R_n(f, q; x)$ for convex functions.

In this paper we present new results concerning convergence of the sequence $\{R_n(f, q_n; x)\}$ in $C[0, 1]$. Our first theorem shows that $\{R_n(f, q_n; x)\}$ is an approximating sequence for any $f \in C[0, 1]$, that is, $R_n(f, q_n; x)$ converges uniformly to $f(x)$ on $[0, 1]$, if and only if $q_n \rightarrow 1$. We establish (Theorem 3) a symmetry between the cases $q \in (0, 1)$ and $q \in (1, \infty)$. Finally, we discuss convergence of $\{R_n(f, q; x)\}$ for $q \neq 1$ being fixed. Our results imply that the classical case $q = 1$ is the best for approximation by the Lupaş operators if q is fixed. Therefore, we can expect applications of the *q-analogue* in the case when the value of q varies, which gives additional flexibility of approximation. Our approach is similar to the one developed in [5].

2. Statement of results. The sign $g_n(x) \rightrightarrows g(x)$ means uniform convergence of $\{g_n(x)\}$ to $g(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 1. *The sequence $\{R_n(f, q_n; x)\}$ is approximating for all $f \in C[0, 1]$ if and only if $q_n \rightarrow 1$.*

Remark. This is a generalization of Theorem 2 of [8].

Theorem 1 implies that, if $q \neq 1$ is fixed, $\{R_n(f, q; x)\}$ may not be approximating for some continuous functions. We will discuss convergence of the sequence $\{R_n(f, q; x)\}$ in the case $q > 0, q \neq 1$ being fixed and state necessary and sufficient conditions for the sequence to be approximating for f .

First, let $q \in (0, 1)$. We set

$$(7) \quad b_{\infty k}(q; x) := \frac{q^{k(k-1)/2} (x/1-x)^k}{(1-q)^k [k]_q! \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1+q^j(x/(1-x)))}, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

It follows from (2) that, for $q \in (0, 1)$ and $x \in [0, 1]$,

$$(8) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{\infty k}(q; x) = 1.$$

Consider the function

$$(9) \quad \tilde{R}_{\infty}(f, q; x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f(1-q^k) b_{\infty k}(q; x) & \text{if } x \in [0, 1) \\ f(1) & \text{if } x = 1. \end{cases}$$

Note that the function $\tilde{R}_{\infty}(f, q; x)$ is well-defined on $[0, 1]$ whenever $f(x)$ is bounded on $[0, 1]$.

The following theorem shows that in the case $q \in (0, 1)$ the sequence $\{R_n(f, q; x)\}$ is uniformly convergent for any $f \in C[0, 1]$.

Theorem 2. *Let $q \in (0, 1)$. Then, for any $f \in C[0, 1]$,*

$$R_n(f, q; x) \Rightarrow \tilde{R}_{\infty}(f, q; x) \quad \text{for } x \in [0, 1].$$

Remark. It is worth mentioning that the results above admit a probabilistic interpretation. Indeed, since $b_{nk}(q; x) \geq 0$ for $x \in [0, 1]$ and by (4) $\sum_{k=0}^n b_{nk}(q; x) = 1$, we may consider a sequence of discrete random variables $\{X_n\}$ with the distributions \mathcal{P}_n defined by

$$\mathbf{P} \left\{ X_n = \frac{[k]_q}{[n]_q} \right\} = b_{nk}(q; x), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Then $R_n(f, q; x) = \mathbf{E}[f(X_n)]$. For $q \in (0, 1)$ consider a discrete random variable X_∞ with the distribution \mathcal{P} defined by

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{P}\{X_\infty = 1 - q^k\} = b_{\infty k}(q; x) & \text{if } x \in [0, 1), \\ \mathbf{P}\{X_\infty = 1\} = 1 & \text{if } x = 1. \end{cases}$$

The distribution is well-defined due to (8) and the fact that all $b_{\infty k}(q; x) \geq 0$ on $[0, 1)$.

Then $R_\infty(f, q; x) = \mathbf{E}[f(X_\infty)]$ and Theorem 2 means that \mathcal{P} is a limit distribution for the sequence $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$.

The following theorem allows us to reduce the case $q \in (1, \infty)$ to the case $q \in (0, 1)$.

Theorem 3. *Let $f \in C[0, 1]$, $g(x) := f(1 - x)$. Then for any $q > 0$,*

$$(10) \quad R_n(f, q; x) = R_n(g, 1/q; 1 - x) \quad \text{for } x \in [0, 1].$$

Remark. For $q = 1$ this equality coincides with formula (2.16) in [4].

Corollary 1. *Let $q \neq 1$ be fixed, $f \in C[0, 1]$ and $g(x) := f(1 - x)$. Then, for $x \in [0, 1]$,*

$$R_n(f, q; x) \Rightarrow R_\infty(f, q; x) = \begin{cases} \tilde{R}_\infty(f, q; x) & \text{if } q \in (0, 1), \\ \tilde{R}_\infty(g, 1/q; x) & \text{if } q \in (1, \infty). \end{cases}$$

That is, the sequence $\{R_n(f, q; x)\}$ converges uniformly on $[0, 1]$ for any $f \in C[0, 1]$ and any $q > 0$ being fixed. An explicit form of the limit function for $q \in (0, 1)$ is given by (9). In the case $q \in (1, \infty)$,

$$(11) \quad R_\infty(f, q; x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f(1/q^k) b_{\infty k}(1/q; 1 - x) & \text{if } x \in (0, 1], \\ f(0) & \text{if } x = 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$b_{\infty k}(1/q; x) = \frac{q^k ((1-x)/x)^k}{(q-1) \cdots (q^k-1) \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 + ((1-x)/(q^j x)))}, \quad x \in (0, 1].$$

Using explicit forms (9) and (11) we derive a necessary and sufficient condition for $\{R_n(f, q; x)\}$ to be an approximating sequence for $q \neq 1$ being fixed.

Theorem 4. *Let $q > 0, q \neq 1$ be fixed and $f \in C[0, 1]$. Then*

$$R_\infty(f, q; x) = f(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in [0, 1]$$

if and only if $f(x) = ax + b$ for some $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$.

That is, in contrast to the case $q = 1$, when $\{R_n(f, 1; x)\} = \{B_n(f; x)\}$ is an approximating sequence for any $f \in C[0, 1]$, the sequence $\{R_n(f, q; x)\}, q \neq 1$ is not approximating for f unless f is linear.

3. Some auxiliary results. It will be convenient to use for $x \in [0, 1)$ the substitution

$$(12) \quad u := \frac{x}{1-x}, \quad u \in [0, \infty).$$

We may express b_{nk} for $x \in [0, 1)$ as follows:

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} b_{nk}(q; x) &= \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{q^{k(k-1)/2}(1-x)^n (x/(1-x))^k}{(1-x)^n \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (1+q^j(x/(1-x)))} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{q^{k(k-1)/2}u^k}{w_n(q; u)} =: \rho_{nk}(q; u), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$w_n(q; x) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (1+q^j u).$$

Clearly,

$$\rho_{nk}(q; u) = b_{nk}\left(q; \frac{u}{u+1}\right)$$

and

$$R_n(f, q; x) = R_n\left(f, q; \frac{u}{u+1}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{[k]_q}{[n]_q}\right) \rho_{nk}(q; u).$$

It follows from (4) that

$$(14) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \rho_{nk}(q; u) = 1 \quad \text{for } u \in [0, \infty).$$

Similarly we get from (7) that, for $q \in (0, 1)$,

$$(15) \quad b_{\infty k}(q; x) = b_{\infty k}\left(q; \frac{u}{u+1}\right) = \frac{q^{k(k-1)/2} u^k}{(1-q)^k [k]_q! w_{\infty}(q; u)} := \rho_{\infty k}(q; u),$$

where

$$w_{\infty}(q; x) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 + q^j u).$$

Obviously, (8) implies that, if $q \in (0, 1)$, then

$$(16) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho_{\infty k}(q; u) = 1 \quad \text{for } u \in [0, \infty).$$

We need the following fact stated in [8]. For the reader's favor we present its proof below.

Lemma 1 (Lupaş). *The following equalities are true:*

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} R_n(1, q; x) &= 1, \\ R_n(t, q; x) &= x; \end{aligned}$$

$$(18) \quad R_n(t^2, q; x) = x^2 + \frac{x(1-x)}{[n]_q} - \frac{x^2(1-x)(1-q)}{1-x+xq} \left(1 - \frac{1}{[n]_q}\right).$$

Corollary 1. *Operators $R_n(f, q; x)$ reproduce linear functions, that is*

$$(19) \quad R_n(at + b, q; x) = ax + b \quad \text{for all } q > 0 \quad \text{and all } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof. Obviously, $R_n(1, q; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{nk}(q; x) = 1$ according to (4). It suffices to prove (17) and (18) for $x \in [0, 1)$, because for $x = 1$ they hold due to (6). Using the substitution (12) we get

$$\begin{aligned} R_n\left(t, q; \frac{u}{u+1}\right) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{[k]_q}{[n]_q} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{q^{k(k-1)/2} u^k}{w_n(q; u)} \\ &= \frac{u}{u+1} \sum_{k=1}^n \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{q^{(k-1)(k-2)/2} (qu)^{k-1}}{w_{n-1}(q; qu)} \\ &= \frac{u}{u+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \rho_{n-1,k}(q; qu) = \frac{u}{u+1}, \end{aligned}$$

and (17) is proven.

Likewise,

$$\begin{aligned} R_n\left(t^2, q; \frac{u}{u+1}\right) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{[k]_q^2}{[n]_q^2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{q^{k(k-1)/2} u^k}{w_n(q; u)} \\ &= \frac{u}{u+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{[k+1]_q}{[n]_q} \rho_{n-1,k}(q; qu) \\ &= \frac{u}{u+1} \frac{[n-1]_q}{[n]_q} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1+q[k]_q}{[n-1]_q} \right) \rho_{n-1,k}(q; qu). \end{aligned}$$

Using (14) and(17) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R_n\left(t^2, q; \frac{u}{u+1}\right) &= \frac{u}{u+1} \cdot \frac{[n-1]_q}{[n]_q} \left(\frac{1}{[n]_q} + q \cdot \frac{qu}{qu+1} \right) \\ &= \frac{u}{u+1} \frac{1}{[n]_q} + \frac{u}{u+1} \frac{qu}{qu+1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{[n]_q} \right), \end{aligned}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} R_n(t^2, q; x) &= \frac{x}{[n]_q} + \frac{qx^2}{1-x+qx} \left(1 - \frac{1}{[n]_q} \right) \\ &= x^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{[n]_q} \right) + \frac{x}{[n]_q} - \left(x^2 - \frac{qx^2}{1-x+qx} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{[n]_q} \right) \\ &= x^2 + \frac{x(1-x)}{[n]_q} - \frac{x^2(1-x)(1-q)}{1-x+qx} \left(1 - \frac{1}{[n]_q} \right). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Remark. The statement of Lemma 1 can also be derived from the following recurrence formula:

$$R_n(t^m, q; x) = \frac{x}{[n]_q^{m-1}} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \binom{m-1}{r} ([n]_q - 1)^r R_{n-1} \left(t^r, q; \frac{qx}{1-x+qx} \right),$$

$m = 1, 2, \dots$

Lemma 2. Let $q \in (0, 1)$ and $b_{nk}(q; x)$, $b_{\infty k}(q; x)$ be given by (3) and (7), respectively.

Then

$$b_{nk}(q; x) \Rightarrow b_{\infty k}(q; x) \quad \text{for } x \in [0, 1), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof. After we apply the substitution (12), we consider the functions $\rho_{nk}(q; u)$ and $\rho_{\infty k}(q; u)$ defined by (13) and (15), respectively.

The lemma will be proven if we show that

$$\rho_{nk}(q; u) \Rightarrow \rho_{\infty k}(q; u) \quad \text{for } u \in [0, \infty).$$

Since

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \rightarrow \frac{1}{(1-q)^k [k]_q!}$$

and $u^k/w_{\infty}(q; u)$ is bounded on $[0, \infty)$, it suffices to prove that

$$(20) \quad \frac{u^k}{w_n(q; u)} \Rightarrow \frac{u^k}{w_{\infty}(q; u)} \quad \text{for } u \in [0, \infty).$$

To prove this we use Dini's theorem on uniform convergence of a monotone sequence of continuous functions. We apply this theorem to the functions

$$(21) \quad \frac{u^k}{w_n(q; u)} \quad n > k \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{u^k}{w_{\infty}(q; u)}$$

on the compact set $[0, \infty]$. (We define all of the functions to be 0 at ∞ .) \square

4. Proofs of the theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1. Since $R_n(f, q; x)$, define positive linear operators, the Korovkin theorem, cf. [3, Chapter 3, Section 3] implies that $R_n(f, q_n; x) \rightrightarrows f(x)$ for any $f \in C[0, 1]$ if and only if

$$R_n(t^m, q_n; x) \rightrightarrows x^m \quad \text{for } x \in [0, 1] \quad \text{and } m = 0, 1, 2.$$

For $m = 0, 1$ this is true for any sequence $\{q_n\}$ due to (19).

It follows from (18) that $R_n(t^2, q_n; x) \rightrightarrows x^2$ for $x \in [0, 1]$ if and only if

$$(22) \quad \frac{x(1-x)}{[n]_{q_n}} - \frac{x^2(1-x)(1-q_n)}{1-x+xq_n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{[n]_{q_n}}\right) \rightrightarrows 0 \quad \text{for } x \in [0, 1].$$

i) Suppose that $q_n \rightarrow 1$. Then, for any fixed positive integer k , we have $[n]_{q_n} \geq [k]_{q_n}$ when $n \geq k$. Therefore, $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n]_{q_n} \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [k]_{q_n} = k$. Since k has been chosen arbitrarily, it follows that $[n]_{q_n} \rightarrow \infty$. Hence,

$$\frac{x(1-x)}{[n]_{q_n}} \rightrightarrows 0 \quad \text{for } x \in [0, 1].$$

At the same time, for $q \geq 1/2$, we have

$$\frac{x^2(1-x)}{1-x+qx} \leq \frac{1/4}{1-x+qx} \leq \frac{1/4}{1-x/2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for all } x \in [0, 1].$$

Therefore, (22) is true.

ii) Suppose that, for any $f \in C[0, 1]$, $R_n(f, q_n; x) \rightrightarrows f(x)$ for $x \in [0, 1]$. Then $R_n(t^2, q_n; x) \rightrightarrows x^2$ for $x \in [0, 1]$, and by (22),

$$\frac{x(1-x)}{[n]_{q_n}} - \frac{x^2(1-x)(1-q_n)}{1-x+xq_n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{[n]_{q_n}}\right) \rightrightarrows 0 \quad \text{for } x \in [0, 1].$$

Taking $x = 1/2$, we conclude that

$$\frac{1/4}{[n]_{q_n}} - \frac{1/8(1-q_n)}{1/2(1+q_n)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{[n]_{q_n}}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

or

$$\frac{1}{[n]_{q_n}} + \left(1 - \frac{2}{1+q_n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{[n]_{q_n}}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Suppose that $\{q_n\}$ does not tend to 1. Then it contains a subsequence $\{q_m\} \rightarrow t \neq 1$. If $t < 1$, then $[m]_{q_m} \rightarrow 1/(1-t)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{[m]_{q_m}} + \left(1 - \frac{2}{1+q_m}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{[m]_{q_m}}\right) &\rightarrow 1-t + \left(1 - \frac{2}{1+t}\right)t \\ &= \frac{1-t}{1+t} \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

For $t > 1$, we get $[m]_{q_m} \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\frac{1}{[m]_{q_m}} + \left(1 - \frac{2}{1+q_m}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{[m]_{q_m}}\right) \rightarrow 1 - \frac{2}{1+t} = \frac{t-1}{t+1} \neq 0.$$

(In particular, for $t = \infty$, the limit equals 1.)

The contradiction shows that $q_n \rightarrow 1$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Due to (6) it suffices to prove that $R_n(f, q; x) \rightrightarrows R_\infty(f, q; x)$ for $x \in [0, 1)$. Consider

$$\Delta := |R_n(f, q; x) - R_\infty(f, q; x)|.$$

For $x \in [0, 1)$,

$$\Delta = \left| \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{[k]_q}{[n]_q}\right) b_{nk}(q; x) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f(1-q^k) b_{\infty k}(q; x) \right|.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. We choose $a \in (0, 1)$ in such a way that $\omega_f(1-a) < \varepsilon/3$, where ω_f denotes the modulus of continuity of f . Let R be a positive integer satisfying the condition $1 - q^{R+1} \geq a$. Then $[k]_q/[n]_q \geq a$ for all $k \geq R+1$. Using (4) and (8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \left(f\left(\frac{[k]_q}{[n]_q}\right) - f(1) \right) b_{nk}(q; x) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (f(1-q^k) - f(1)) b_{\infty k}(q; x) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{k=0}^R \left(f\left(\frac{[k]_q}{[n]_q}\right) - f(1) \right) b_{nk}(q; x) - \sum_{k=0}^R (f(1-q^k) - f(1)) b_{\infty k}(q; x) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{k=R+1}^n \left| f\left(\frac{[k]_q}{[n]_q}\right) - f(1) \right| b_{nk}(q; x) + \sum_{k=R+1}^{\infty} |f(1-q^k) - f(1)| b_{\infty k}(q; x) \\
 & =: \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $f\left(\frac{[k]_q}{[n]_q}\right) \rightarrow f(1-q^k)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get by applying Lemma 2 that $\delta_1 < \varepsilon/3$ for n large enough.

Due to the fact that $b_{nk}(q; x) \geq 0$ for $x \in [0, 1]$, we get the following estimate for δ_2 :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta_2 & \leq \omega_f(1-a) \sum_{k=R+1}^n b_{nk}(q; x) \leq \omega_f(1-a) \sum_{k=0}^n b_{nk}(q; x) \\
 & = \omega_f(1-a) < \varepsilon/3
 \end{aligned}$$

because of (4). Similarly, using (8) we get $\delta_3 \leq \omega_f(1-a) < \varepsilon/3$. Thus, $\Delta < \varepsilon$ for n large enough. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. For $x = 0$ and $x = 1$, the statement is obvious due to (6). So, we assume that $x \neq 0$.

Clearly,

$$R_n(f, q; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{[n-k]_q}{[n]_q}\right) b_{n, n-k}(q; x).$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_{n, n-k}(q; x) & = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ n-k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{q^{(n-k)(n-k-1)} x^{n-k} (1-x)^k}{q^{n(n-1)/2} x^n \prod_{j=0}^n (1 + ((1-x)/q^j x))} \\
 & = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{1/q} \frac{(1/q)^{k(k-1)/2} (1-x)^k x^{n-k}}{\prod_{j=0}^n (x + ((1-x)/q^j))} \\
 & = b_{nk}\left(\frac{1}{q}; 1-x\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\frac{[n-k]_q}{[n]_q} = \frac{[n]_{1/q} - [k]_{1/q}}{[n]_{1/q}} = 1 - \frac{[k]_{1/q}}{[n]_{1/q}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} R_n(f, q; x) &= \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(1 - \frac{[k]_{1/q}}{[n]_{1/q}}\right) b_{nk}\left(\frac{1}{q}; 1-x\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n g\left(\frac{[k]_{1/q}}{[n]_{1/q}}\right) b_{nk}\left(\frac{1}{q}; 1-x\right) \\ &= R_n\left(g, \frac{1}{q}; 1-x\right). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 4. If $f(x) = ax + b$, then by (19) $R_n(f, q; x) = ax + b$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and therefore

$$R_\infty(f, q; x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_n(f, q; x) = ax + b = f(x).$$

Now, suppose that $f \in C[0, 1]$ and $R_\infty(f, q; x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$. Due to Theorem 3 it suffices to prove the statement in the case $q \in (0, 1)$.

Consider the function

$$\varphi(x) := f(x) - (f(1) - f(0))x.$$

Obviously, $\varphi(0) = \varphi(1)$ and $R_\infty(\varphi, q; x) = \varphi(x)$. We will prove that $\varphi(x) = \varphi(0) = \varphi(1)$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$. Let

$$M := \max_{x \in [0, 1]} \varphi(x).$$

Assume that $M > \varphi(1)$. Then $M = \varphi(z)$ for some $z \in (0, 1)$ and $\varphi(1 - q^k) < M$ for $k > N_0$. Using (2) and positivity of $b_{\infty k}(q; x)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$, on $(0, 1)$, we get

$$M = \varphi(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi(1 - q^k) b_{\infty k}(q; z) < M.$$

The contradiction shows that $\varphi(x) \leq \varphi(1)$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$. Likewise, we prove that $\varphi(x) \geq \varphi(1)$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$. Thus, $\varphi(x) \equiv \varphi(1) \equiv b$ for some $b \in \mathbf{R}$ and finally $f(x) = ax + b$ with $a = f(1) - f(0)$. \square

Acknowledgments. I would like to express my gratitude to Prof. Ulrich Abel who drew my attention to the paper [8] and provided me with a copy, and to Prof. Alexander Il'inskii for his thorough reading of the manuscript and valuable comments.

REFERENCES

1. G.E. Andrews, R. Askey and R. Roy, *Special functions*, Encyclopedia Math. Appl., vol. 71, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.
2. S.N. Bernstein, *Démonstration du théorème de Weierstrass fondée sur le calcul des probabilités*, Commun. Soc. Math. Charkow, **13** (1912), 1–2.
3. E.W. Cheney, *Introduction to approximation theory*, Chelsea, New York, 1984.
4. S. Cooper and S. Waldron, *The eigenstructure of the Bernstein operator*, J. Approx. Theory **105** (2000), 133–165.
5. A. Il'inskii and S. Ostrovska, *Convergence of generalized Bernstein polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory **116** (2002), 100–112.
6. X. Li, P. Mikusiński, H. Sherwood and M.D. Taylor, *On approximation of copulas*, in *Distributions with given marginals and moment problem* (V. Benes, J. Stepan, eds.), Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1997.
7. G.G. Lorentz, *Bernstein polynomials*, Chelsea, New York, 1986.
8. A. Lupaş, *A q -analogue of the Bernstein operator*, University of Cluj-Napoca, Seminar on numerical and statistical calculus, No. 9, 1987.
9. S. Petrone, *Random Bernstein polynomials*, Scand. J. Statist. **26** (1999), 373–393.
10. G.M. Phillips, *Bernstein polynomials based on the q -integers*, Annals Numer. Math. **4** (1997), 511–518.
11. ———, *A generalization of the Bernstein polynomials based on the q -integers*, ANZIAM J. **42** (2000), 79–86.
12. V.S. Videnskii, *Bernstein polynomials*, Leningrad State Pedagogical University, Leningrad, 1990 (in Russian).

ATILIM UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 06836 INCEK, ANKARA,
TURKEY
E-mail address: ostrovskasofiya@yahoo.com