QUADRATIC RESIDUES OF CERTAIN TYPES

ALEXANDRU GICA

ABSTRACT. The main purpose of the paper is to show that if p is a prime different from 2,3,5,7,13,37, then there exists a prime number q smaller than $p,q\equiv 1\pmod 4$, which is a quadratic residue modulo p. Also, it is shown that if p is a prime number which is not 2,3,5,7,17, then there exists a prime number $q\equiv 3\pmod 4$, q< p, which is a quadratic residue modulo p.

1. Introduction. In [2] it is shown that any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, n > 3, could be written as

$$n = a + b$$
,

a,b being positive integers such that $\Omega(ab)$ is an even number. If $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 2$, has the standard decomposition $m = p_1^{a_1} \cdot p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_r^{a_r}$ then the *length* of m is $\Omega(m) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$. We put $\Omega(1) = 0$. In connection with the above quoted result, the following open problem naturally arises.

Open problem. What numbers n can be written as $n = a^2 + b$, where a, b are positive integers, the length of b being an even number?

Trying to solve this problem was the starting point for the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1. Let p be a prime number $p \neq 2, 3, 5, 7, 13, 37$. There exists a prime number q such that $q < p, q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and (q/p) = 1.

We will prove also a similar result which has, however, an elementary proof:

²⁰⁰⁰ AMS Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11A15, 11E25, 11R29. $Key\ words$ and phrases. Quadratic residue, length, numerus idoneus. Received by the editors on March 22, 2004, and in revised form on April 9, 2004.

1868 A. GICA

Theorem 2. If p is a prime not equal to 2, 3, 5, 7, 17, then there exists a quadratic residue modulo p, where q < p and $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

We have to mention that finding the properties of n'(p), the least prime number which is quadratic residue modulo a prime p, is a classical problem. We quote here [6] where it is shown that

$$n'(p) = O(p^{\alpha}),$$

where α is a fixed real number for which $\alpha > 1/4e^{-1/2}$.

2. The elementary cases. We will use below the following obvious

Lemma. If x and y are positive integers, $x \neq y$, then $x^2 + y^2$ has a prime factor $q = 4k + 1, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We will prove now the main statement of the paper

Theorem 1. Let p be a prime number not equal to 2, 3, 5, 7, 13, 37. Then there exists a prime number q such that $q < p, q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and (q/p) = 1.

We divide the proof of the theorem in several cases, depending on the class of p modulo 8. In this section we will treat the cases which have elementary proofs.

- 1. $p \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8}$, p > 3. In this case $p = x^2 + 2y^2$, where x and y are positive integers, $x \neq y$ (since p > 3). According to the lemma, there exists a prime divisor $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ of the number $x^2 + y^2$. We have that $p \equiv y^2 \pmod{q}$ and therefore $(q/p) = (p/q) = (y^2/q) = 1$. Since obviously q < p, the statement is true in this case.
- **2.** $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$, p > 7. We divide this case in two subcases, according to the class of $p \pmod{3}$.

2a. $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. In this situation we know that $p = x^2 + 3y^2$, x and y being positive integers. It is obvious that (x,y) = 1, y is odd and x = 2t, where t is an odd number. Since p > 7, we have $y \neq t$, and according to the lemma there is a prime $q \equiv 1$

(mod 4) which divides $t^2 + y^2$. We infer that $p \equiv -y^2 \pmod{q}$ and $(q/p) = (p/q) = (-y^2/q) = (-1/q) = 1$.

2b. $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. In this case (3/p) = 1 and there exists $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $m^2 \equiv 3 \pmod{p}$. The element p is not prime in the norm Euclidean ring $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$ since $p \mid m^2 - 3 = (m - \sqrt{3})(m + \sqrt{3})$ but p does not divide $m \pm \sqrt{3}$. Therefore $p = \alpha \beta$, with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$, not units. If $\alpha = x + y\sqrt{3}$, $x, y \in \mathbf{Z}$, one gets that $x^2 - 3y^2 = \pm p$. Since $p \equiv 2$ (mod 3), one obtains that $x^2 - 3y^2 = -p$. Considering the positive integers x, y such that $x^2 - 3y^2 = -p$ with x minimal and tacking into account that (|2x-3y|, |2y-x|) is also a solution of the above equation (we multiplied $x - y\sqrt{3}$ with $2 + \sqrt{3}$, the fundamental unit of $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$), we immediately get that $|2x-3y| \ge x$. If $2x-3y \ge x$ one gets $x \ge 3y$, while $-p = x^2 - 3y^2 \ge 6y^2$ gives a contradiction. So it must be the case that $3y-2x \ge x$ and $y \ge x$. Therefore $-p = x^2 - 3y^2 \le -2y^2$, $y^2 \le p/2$ and further $x^2 = 3y^2 - p \le (3p/2) - p = p/2$. The fact that the last two inequalities are strict follows since p is odd. Therefore x, y are positive integers such that $x^2 - 3y^2 = -p$ and $x^2 < p/2$, $y^2 < p/2$. Since $x \neq y$, then, according to the lemma, there exists a prime $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ such that q divides $x^2 + y^2$. Obviously, $q \le x^2 + y^2 < p/2 + p/2 = p$ and $p \equiv (2y)^2 \pmod{q}$. We proved Theorem 1 in this case.

- **3. The difficult case.** We will solve in this section the case $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, p > 37. In [4] Schinzel shows that a positive integer n could be written as $n = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$, where x, y, z are positive integers such that (x, y, z) = 1 if and only if
 - i) $n \not\equiv 0, 4, 7 \pmod{8}$ and
- ii) n is divisible by a prime $\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ or is not a "numerus idoneus."

Euler called a number n "numerus idoneus" (convenient number) if it satisfies the following criterion:

Let m be an odd number such that $m=x^2+ny^2, x,y\in \mathbf{Z}, (x,y)=1$. If the equation $m=x^2+ny^2$ has only one solution with $x\geq 0,y\geq 0$, then m is a prime number.

Gauss gave a list of 65 numbers n with this property and Weinberger [7] showed that besides these values, there exists at most one convenient number.

1870 A. GICA

We apply Schinzel's result to n=p. The only possibility for p to not be written as $p=x^2+y^2+z^2$, with x,y,z positive integers, is to be a "numerus idoneus." Since $p\equiv 1\pmod 4$ is prime and "numerus idoneus," we then infer that the ideal class group of the field $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$ has 2^r elements, where r is the number of odd prime divisors of p, see [1, Theorem 3.22, Proposition 3.11] for a proof of these results. We have r=1 and therefore the ideal class group of the field $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$ has two elements. The list of the quadratic imaginary fields of discriminant d for which h(d)=2 is given in [3, 5]. The list of the numbers d is the following:

-d = 15,20,24,35,40,51,52,88,91,115,123,148,187,232,235,267,403,427.

We observe that in our case d=-4p, where $p\equiv 5\pmod 8$ is a prime number. The only values of p which fit in the above list are p=5, $p=13,\ p=37$ (corresponding to d=-4p=-20,-52,-148). But p>37 and we arrive at a contradiction. Therefore, there exist the positive integers x,y,z such that $p=x^2+y^2+z^2$. Two of the above three numbers are different; let us suppose that $x\neq y$.

Applying the lemma we obtain that there exists a prime divisor $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ of the number $x^2 + y^2$. The prime number q has the desired properties since $q < p, q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, (q/p) = 1$.

4. A final remark. We give now a similar result to Theorem 1 but with an elementary proof.

Theorem 2. If p is a prime not equal to 2, 3, 5, 7, 17, then there exists a quadratic residue modulo p, where q < p and $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

We divide the proof again into four cases.

- 1. $p \equiv 3 \pmod 8$, p > 3. We have (p+9)/4 < p and $(p+1)/4 \ge 3$. One of the consecutive odd numbers (p+1)/4 and (p+9)/4 has the form $4h+3 \ge 3$ and has therefore a prime divisor $q, q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. We have that $q \le (p+9)/4 < p, p \equiv -1 \pmod q$ or $p \equiv -9 \pmod q$. In both cases we have (q/p) = -(p/q) = -(-1) = 1.
- **2.** $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, p > 5. The proof follows as above considering the numbers (p-1)/4 and (p-9)/4.

- **3.** $p \equiv 7 \pmod 8$, p > 7. Let us consider the numbers a = (p+1)/8, a+1 = (p+9)/8, a+3 = (p+25)/8, a+6 = (p+49)/8 < p. These four positive integers represent all the classes modulo 4 and therefore one of these numbers has a prime divisor $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. We have $p \equiv -1 \pmod q$ or $p \equiv -9 \pmod q$ or $p \equiv -25 \pmod q$ or $p \equiv -49 \pmod q$. In all four cases we have (p/q) = -1 and (q/p) = -(p/q) = -(-1) = 1.
- **4.** $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, p > 17. Since (23/41) = (41/23) = (18/23) = (2/23) = 1, we can suppose that $p \geq 73$. The proof follows now as in the previous case considering the numbers (p-1)/8, (p-9)/8, (p-25)/8, (p-49)/8 > 0.

Acknowledgment. I thank the anonymous referee for his hints which helped me improve the exposition of the paper.

REFERENCES

- 1. D.A. Cox, Primes of the form x^2+ny^2 : Fermat, classified theory and complex multiplication, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1989.
- 2. A. Gica, The proof of a conjecture of additive number theory, J. Number Theory 94 (2002), 80–89.
- 3. H.L. Montgomery and P.J. Weinberger, *Notes on small class numbers*, Acta Arith. 24 (1973/74), 529–542.
- 4. A. Schinzel, Sur les sommes de trois carrés, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astr. Phys. 7 (1959), 307–309.
- 5. H.M. Stark, On complex quadratic fields with class-number two, Math. Comp. 29 (1975), 289–302.
- 6. A.I. Vinogradov and Y.V. Linnik, Hypoelliptical curves and the least prime quadratic residue, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 168 (1966), 259–261.
- 7. P.J. Weinberger, Exponents of the class groups of complex quadratic fields, Acta Arith. 22 (1973), 117–124.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BUCHAREST, STR. ACADEMIEI 14, RO-010014 BUCHAREST 1, ROMANIA E-mail address: alex@al.math.unibuc.ro