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#### Abstract

Let $X$ denote a (real) Banach space and $V$ an $n$-dimensional subspace. We denote by $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{B}(X, V)$ the space of all bounded linear operators from $X$ into $V$; let $\mathcal{P}$ be the set of all projections in $\mathcal{B}$. For a given cone $S \subset X$, we denote by $\mathcal{P}_{S}$ the set projections $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $P S \subset S$. For a large class of cones $S$, we characterize when $\mathcal{P}_{S} \neq \varnothing$.


1. Introduction and preliminaries. The theory of minimal projections attempts to describe 'optimal' methods for extending the identity operator $I$ from a Banach space $V$ to an (Banach) overspace $X$. When $V$ is of finite dimension there is no shortage of possible extensions, and one regards as optimal an extension of smallest possible operator norm. The possibility of extending $I$, or any linear operator, from $V$ to $X$ changes when we place the additional requirement that the extension leave invariant, or preserve, a particular set. By linearity, it is natural to choose the subset $S \subset X$ to be a cone-a convex subset closed under nonnegative scalar multiplication. And, as is often the case, $S$ is chosen so that its elements have in common a particular characteristic, or shape; indeed, we say $f \in X$ has shape if $f \in S$ (for example, see $[\mathbf{2}-\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{7}]$ ). Thus, if $P: X \rightarrow V$ extends $I$ and preserves $S$, i.e., $P S \subset S$, then we say $P$ is a shape-preserving projection. For fixed $X, V$ and $S$, we denote by $\mathcal{P}_{S}$ the set of all shape-preserving projections from $X$ onto $V$. We are interested in characterizing when $\mathcal{P}_{S} \neq \varnothing$.

The intent of this note is to generalize a characterization of $\mathcal{P}_{S}$ given in [3]. We do so by significantly increasing the cones $S$ for which the characterization is valid. In particular, we include the (rather common) case in which the intersection of the dual cone of $S$, defined below, and the unit sphere of $X^{*}$, the topological dual space of $X$, contains a weak* null net.

[^0]Throughout this paper, we will denote the ball and sphere of real Banach space $X$ by $B(X)$ and $S(X)$, respectively. For fixed positive integer $n, V \subset X$ will denote an $n$-dimensional subspace. $\mathcal{B}(X, V)$ will denote the space of linear operators from $X$ into $V$ and $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{B}(X, V)$ will denote the set of all projections. In a (real) topological vector space, a cone $K$ is a convex set, closed under nonnegative scalar multiplication. $K$ is pointed if it contains no lines. For $\phi \in K$, let $[\phi]^{+}:=\{\alpha \phi \mid \alpha \geq 0\}$. We say $[\phi]^{+}$is an extreme ray of $K$ if $\phi=\phi_{1}+\phi_{2}$ implies $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2} \in[\phi]^{+}$whenever $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2} \in K$. We let $E(K)$ denote the union of all extreme rays of $K$. When $K$ is a closed, pointed cone of finite dimension we always have $K=\operatorname{co}(E(K)$ ) (this need not be the case when $K$ is infinite dimensional; indeed, we note in [5] that it is possible that $E(K)=\varnothing$ despite $K$ being closed and pointed).

Definition 1. Let $X$ be a (fixed) Banach space and $V \subset X$ a (fixed) $n$-dimensional subspace. Let $S \subset X$ denote a closed cone. We say that $x \in X$ has shape, in the sense of $S$, whenever $x \in S$. If $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $P S \subset S$, then we say $P$ is a shape-preserving projection; we denote the set of all such projections by $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{S}}$. For a given cone $S$, define $S^{*}=\left\{\phi \in X^{*} \mid\langle x, \phi\rangle \geq 0\right.$ for all $\left.x \in S\right\}$. We will refer to $S^{*}$ as the dual cone of $S$.

Throughout the remainder of this paper we will consider $X^{*}$ equipped with the weak* topology. Note that $S^{*} \subset X^{*}$ is a (weak*) closed cone; we will assume throughout that $S^{*}$ is pointed. The following lemma indicates that $S^{*}$ is in fact "dual" to $S$.

Lemma 1. Let $x \in X$. If $\langle x, \phi\rangle \geq 0$ for all $\phi \in S^{*}$, then $x \in S$.

Proof. We prove the contrapositive; suppose $x \in X$ such that $x \notin S$. Then, since $S$ is closed and convex, there exists a separating functional $\phi \in X^{*}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $\langle x, \phi\rangle<\alpha$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle s, \phi\rangle>\alpha, \quad \forall s \in S \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that we must have $\alpha<0$ because $0 \in S$. In fact, for every $s \in S$, we claim

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle s, \phi\rangle \geq 0>\alpha \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To check this, suppose there exists $s_{0} \in S$ such that $\left\langle s_{0}, \phi\right\rangle=\beta<0$; this would imply

$$
\left\langle\frac{\alpha}{\beta} s_{0}, \phi\right\rangle=\alpha
$$

while $(\alpha / \beta) s_{0} \in S$. And this is in contradiction to (1). The validity of (2) implies that $\phi \in S^{*}$ and this completes the proof.

Lemma 2. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Then $P S \subset S \Longleftrightarrow P^{*} S^{*} \subset S^{*}$.

Proof. The proof is an immediate consequence of the duality equation $\langle P x, \phi\rangle=\left\langle x, P^{*} \phi\right\rangle$ and Lemma 1.
2. Main result. Lemma 2 indicates that in the search for shapepreserving projections on $X$ we may work exclusively in $X^{*}$. This is attractive since, once we fix a basis $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ for $V$, every element of $P \in \mathcal{B}(X, V)$ is completely determined by $n$ elements $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$ of $X^{*}$ by expressing $P=\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i} \otimes v_{i}$ where $P x=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle x, u_{i}\right\rangle v_{i}$. In fact, we will be interested in the finite dimensional cone $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$. Since $\operatorname{dim}(V)=n$ we know $\operatorname{dim}\left(S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}\right) \leq n$. Without loss, we can (and will) assume $\operatorname{dim}\left(S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}\right)=n$; indeed, suppose $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ were $k$-dimensional where $0 \leq k<n$. If $k=0$, then every projection onto $V$ is shapepreserving and the (following) characterization theorem holds trivially. For $k \geq 1$, choose a basis for $V, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ such that, for all $\phi \in S^{*}$, $\left\langle v_{i}, \phi\right\rangle=0$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-k$. With this basis, we can express any projection $P \in \mathcal{P}$ as $P=u_{1} \otimes v_{1}+\cdots+u_{n} \otimes v_{n}$ for some choice of $u_{i}$ 's $\in X^{*}$. And thus we note that projection $P: X \rightarrow V$ is shapepreserving if and only if projection $P_{1}: X \rightarrow V_{1}$ is shape-preserving where $V_{1}:=\left[v_{n-k+1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right]$ and $P_{1}=u_{n-k+1} \otimes v_{n-k+1}+\cdots+u_{n} \otimes v_{n}$. Therefore, we might as well assume $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ is $n$-dimensional.

Before going forward it is necessary to place an additional assumption on the cone $S^{*}$; we describe this property in the following definition. Note that, in the context of our current considerations, we say a finite (possibly) signed measure $\mu$ with support $E \subset X^{*}$ is a generalized representing measure for $\phi \in X^{*}$ if $\langle x, \phi\rangle=\int_{E}\langle s, x\rangle d u(s)$ for all $x \in X$. A nonnegative measure $\mu$ satisfying this equality is simply a representing measure.

Definition 2. Let $X$ be a Hausdorff topological vector space over $\mathbf{R}$, and let $X^{*}$ be the topological dual of $X$. We say that a pointed closed cone $K \subset X^{*}$ is simplicial if $K$ can be recovered from its extreme rays, (i.e., $K=\overline{\mathrm{co}}(E(K))$ ) and the set of extreme rays of $K$ form an independent set (independent in the sense that any generalized representing measure for $x \in K$ supported on $E(K)$ must be a representing measure).

Proposition 1. A pointed closed cone $K \subset X^{*}$ of finite dimension $n$ is simplicial if and only if $K$ has exactly $n$ extreme rays.

Proof. It is widely known that a pointed closed cone $K$ of dimension $n$ has at least $n$ extreme rays; let $\left[y_{1}\right]^{+}, \ldots,\left[y_{n}\right]^{+}$be a linearly independent set of extreme rays of $K$. So to prove the necessity of the condition, it suffices to show that $K$ has at most $n$ extreme rays. To see this suppose $K$ has $n+1$ extreme rays; let $\left[y_{n+1}\right]^{+}$denote the $(n+1)$ st. Because $\operatorname{dim}(K)=n$, there exist scalars $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ such that $y_{n+1}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} y_{i}$, where $\alpha_{i} \neq 0$ for at least two $i$ 's and at least one of these nonzero $\alpha_{i}$ 's is negative (as each $y_{i}$ belongs to a distinct ray). This gives a generalized representing measure for $y_{n+1}$ supported on $E(K)$ which is not a representing measure. Conversely, suppose $K$ has $n$ extreme rays. Choose linearly independent vectors $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$, one from each of the distinct $n$ extreme rays. Then for any $x \in K, x=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} y_{i}$ where the $\beta_{i}$ are nonnegative scalars (because $K$ is a cone). The uniqueness of representation with respect to the basis $\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right\}$ implies that there exists no generalized representing measure for $x$ supported on $E(K)$ which is not a representing measure.

Throughout the remainder of the paper, we will assume that $S^{*}$ is simplicial.

The main result of the paper is contained in the following theorem. It says that in order for there to exist a shape-preserving projection, it is necessary and sufficient that the ( $n$-dimensional) cone $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ have exactly $n$ extreme rays.

Theorem 1. $\mathcal{P}_{S} \neq \varnothing$ if and only if the cone $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ is simplicial.

For convenience, we will refer to the condition " $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ is simplicial" as simply the simplicial condition.

We prove the sufficiency and necessity of the simplicial condition in Section 4. But before presenting this we include several motivating examples. Example 1 illustrates the primary advantage of working in $X^{*}$ to determine when $\mathcal{P}_{S} \neq \varnothing$. Examples 2 and 3 showcase how the necessity of the simplicial condition can fail outside of the projection case. Specifically, despite the existence of a shape-preserving operator, we find, in one instance, that $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ is not closed and in another case $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ is closed but possesses too many extreme rays. Finally, Example 4 indicates that a seemingly natural generalization of Theorem 1 fails to hold; that is, if $P \in \mathcal{B}$ and $P S \subset S$, it need not be the case that $\left(P^{*} S^{*}\right)_{\left.\right|_{V}}$ is contained in a simplicial subcone of $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$.

## 3. Examples.

Example 1. What is gained by working in $X^{*}$ rather than $X$ ? For example, suppose in determining if $\mathcal{P}_{S} \neq \varnothing$, we looked to the cone $D=S \cap V$ for information (note $D$ is the dual cone to $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ ). Let $X=C[0,1]$ with the uniform norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}, V=\Pi_{2}$ (the space of quadratic algebraic polynomials) and $S$ denote the cone of monotone increasing functions. Then $D=S \cap V$ is a cone that looks like a three-dimensional 'wedge' containing the line of constant functions. In fact, $D$ remains unchanged (in shape) if we change the overspace to $X=C^{1}[0,1]$ (with $\|f\|_{X}=\max \left\{\|f\|_{\infty},\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right\}$ ). However, the cone $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ changes significantly with a change of overspace-from not simplicial (see Example 2) to simplicial (see Example 3). This reveals that in the former case no shape-preserving projection exists, i.e., there is no monotonicity-preserving projection from $C[0,1]$ onto the quadratics, while in the latter case we essentially obtain a formula for a shape-preserving projection. In the proof of the sufficiency of the simplicial condition below, we will use the "edges" of $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ to construct a shape-preserving projection.

Example 2. Let $X=C[0,1]$ with the uniform norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ and $S \subset X$ denote the cone of monotone increasing functions. An $n$ dimensional subspace $V$ of $X$ is said to be monotonically complemented if there exists a projection $P: X \rightarrow V$ that leaves $S$ invariant. This class of subspaces is studied in [4], where it is also shown that, for every positive integer $k \geq 2$ the space of $k$-degree algebraic polynomials $\Pi_{k}$ is not monotonically complemented. In fact, with $V=\Pi_{2}$ we will now show that the cone $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ fails to be closed. This happens despite the existence of the monotonicity-preserving (linear) operator $B_{2}: X \rightarrow \Pi_{2}$ which maps a continuous function to its second degree Bernstein polynomial (note the relative "closeness" of $B_{2}$ to a projection: for $i=0,1, B_{2} x^{i}=x^{i}$ and $\left.B_{2} x^{2}=\left(x^{2}+x\right) / 2\right)$. Consider the cone $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*} ;$ since every element of this cone vanishes on the identically 1 function, we can regard $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ as a subset of $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ by associating each $\phi_{\left.\right|_{V}} \in S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ with the 2-tuple $\left(\langle x, \phi\rangle,\left\langle x^{2}, \phi\right\rangle\right)$ We claim that the ray determined by $e_{1}:=(1,0)$ does not belong to the cone. Suppose, to the contrary, that there exists $\phi \in S^{*}$ such that $\phi_{\left.\right|_{V}}=(1,0)$. Let $m$ be an arbitrary positive integer and consider the function $F(t):=m t^{2}-G(t)$ where $G(t)$ is any $C^{1}$ function such that $0 \leq G^{\prime}(t) \leq 2 m t$ for all $t \in[0,1] . F$ is monotone so $\langle F, \phi\rangle \geq 0$; but $G$ is also monotone and $\phi$ vanishes on $t^{2}$. The only possibility then is that $\phi$ vanishes on $G$. However, vanishing on all such $G$ leads quickly to the conclusion that $\phi$ is unbounded. Therefore, the ray determined by $e_{1}$ does not belong to the cone and, moreover, the cone is not closed.

Example 3. Here we give an example in which $S$ is preserved by an operator and $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ is closed. However, $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ will fail to be simplicial because the number of extreme rays of $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ exceeds the dimension of $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$. At the end of this example, we fulfill a promise of Example 1 and verify that $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ is simplicial when $V=\Pi_{2}$. Let $X=C^{1}[0,1]$ with $\|f\|_{X}=\max \left\{\|f\|_{\infty},\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right\}$ and $V=\Pi_{3} \subset X$. Let $S \subset X$ denote the cone of monotone increasing functions. Note that the thirddegree Bernstein operator leaves $S$ invariant. From the definition of $X$, we see that, for each $t \in[0,1]$, derivative evaluation at $t$ is a bounded linear functional; denote this functional by $\delta_{t}^{\prime}$ and thus $\delta_{t}^{\prime} \in S^{*} \subset X^{*}$. In fact, for each $t,\left[\delta_{t}^{\prime}\right]^{+}$defines an extreme ray of $S^{*}$ and moreover $E\left(S^{*}\right)=\cup_{t \in[0,1]}\left[\delta_{t}^{\prime}\right]^{+}$. Now, as done in Example 2, we
can associate $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ with a cone in $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ via $\phi_{\left.\right|_{V}} \leftrightarrow\left(\langle x, \phi\rangle,\left\langle x^{2}, \phi\right\rangle,\left\langle x^{3}, \phi\right\rangle\right)$. Consider the restriction of $E\left(S^{*}\right)$ to $V$ : in general, we always have $E\left(S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}\right) \subset E\left(S^{*}\right)_{\left.\right|_{V}}$; however, in our current setting, we have that $E\left(S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}\right)=E\left(S^{*}\right)_{\left.\right|_{V}}$. Thus, $E\left(S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}\right)=\cup_{t \in[0,1]}\left[\left(\delta_{t}^{\prime}\right)_{\left.\right|_{V}}\right]^{+}$and so $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ has infinitely many extreme rays. That $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ is closed follows from the observation that the convex hull of $\left\{\left(\delta_{t}^{\prime}\right)_{\left.\right|_{V}}\right\}_{t \in[0,1]}$ is a compact set that misses the origin. Notice the change in $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ if we replace $V=\Pi_{3}$ with $V=\Pi_{2}$; in this case $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ becomes a closed two-dimensional cone with

$$
\left(\delta_{t}^{\prime}\right)_{\left.\right|_{V}}=t\left(\delta_{1}^{\prime}\right)_{\left.\right|_{V}}+(1-t)\left(\delta_{0}^{\prime}\right)_{\left.\right|_{V}}
$$

and thus it is simplicial.

Example 4. If $P \in \mathcal{P}_{S}$, then, as shown in the proof of Theorem 1, the cone $P^{*} S^{*}$ must be simplicial. Suppose $A \in \mathcal{B}$ and $A S \subset S$; then $A^{*} S^{*} \subset S^{*}$ and so $\left(A^{*} S^{*}\right)_{\left.\right|_{V}} \subset S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$. While neither $\left(A^{*} S^{*}\right)_{\left.\right|_{V}}$ nor $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ need be simplicial, one might hope that $\left(A^{*} S^{*}\right)_{\left.\right|_{V}}$ must belong to a simplicial subcone of $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$. We now show this is not the case. Let $X$ be a Banach space with three-dimensional subspace $V=\left[v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right]$ and dual space $X^{*}$. We define the shape using four dual elements. Choose $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3} \in X^{*}$ so that $\left\langle v_{i}, \phi_{j}\right\rangle=\delta_{i j}$. Choose a fourth element $\phi_{4}$ so that

$$
\left\langle v_{1}, \phi_{4}\right\rangle=-1 \quad \text { and } \quad\left\langle v_{2}, \phi_{4}\right\rangle=\left\langle v_{3}, \phi_{4}\right\rangle=1
$$

(thus $\left.S^{*}=\operatorname{cone}\left(\left\{\phi_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}_{i=1}^{4}\right)\right)$. Let $A=\sum_{i=1}^{3} u_{i} \otimes v_{i} \in \mathcal{B}$ where

$$
u_{1}=\phi_{1}+\phi_{2}, \quad u_{2}=\phi_{1}+\phi_{3}, \quad \text { and } \quad u_{3}=\phi_{2}+\phi_{4}
$$

To show $A S \subset S$, we need only establish $A^{*} S^{*} \subset S^{*}$; thus, with $A^{*} \phi_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} u_{i}\left\langle v_{i}, \phi_{j}\right\rangle$, we note

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{*} \phi_{1} & =u_{1}=\phi_{1}+\phi_{2} \\
A^{*} \phi_{2} & =u_{2}=\phi_{1}+\phi_{3} \\
A^{*} \phi_{3} & =u_{3}=\phi_{2}+\phi_{4}  \tag{3}\\
A^{*} \phi_{4} & =-u_{1}+u_{2}+u_{3}=\phi_{3}+\phi_{4} .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $A^{*} S^{*} \subset S^{*}$. However, we claim that every subcone of $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ possessing exactly three extreme rays fails to contain $\left(A^{*} S^{*}\right)_{\left.\right|_{V}}$. Now
the extreme rays of $A_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ are precisely $\left[\phi_{\left.i\right|_{V}}\right]^{+}$, for $i=1, \ldots, 4$; and thus the extreme rays of $\left(A^{*} S^{*}\right)_{\left.\right|_{V}}$ are $\left[A^{*} \phi_{\left.i\right|_{V}}\right]^{+}$, for $i=1, \ldots, 4$. From (3) we see that each of these extreme rays belongs to a distinct twodimensional face of $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$. Therefore, no simplicial (3-edged) subcone of $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ can contain $\left(A^{*} S^{*}\right)_{\left.\right|_{V}}$.
4. Lemmas and proofs. The following lemma establishes the sufficiency of the simplicial condition. While Theorem 1 is proven under the assumption that $S^{*}$ is simplicial, we note that the proof of Lemma 3 does not require this assumption.

Lemma 3. If $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ is simplicial, then $\mathcal{P}_{S} \neq \varnothing$.

Proof. Suppose the number of extreme rays of $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ equals $n$. Choose one (nonzero) point from each ray and label the points as $u_{\left.1\right|_{V}}, \ldots, u_{\left.n\right|_{V}}$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}=\operatorname{cone}\left(u_{\left.1\right|_{V}}, \ldots, u_{\left.n\right|_{V}}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathbf{u}=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \in\left(S^{*}\right)^{n}$ and $\mathbf{v}=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)^{T}$ be a basis for $V$; note that we may then write $\langle\mathbf{v}, u\rangle=\langle\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}\rangle \mathbf{c}_{u}$ where $\mathbf{c}_{u}$ is the vector of nonnegative coefficients guaranteed by (4). Since $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ has $n$ independent elements, the matrix $M=\langle\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}\rangle$ is nonsingular. Thus we may solve for $\mathbf{c}_{u}$ and write $\mathbf{c}_{u}=M^{-1}\langle\mathbf{v}, u\rangle$. Let $P:=\mathbf{u} M^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{v}$; obviously, $P$ is a projection from $X$ into $V$. Moreover, for any $u \in S^{*}$, we have $P^{*} u=\mathbf{u} M^{-1}\langle\mathbf{v}, u\rangle \in S^{*}$ since $M^{-1}\langle\mathbf{v}, u\rangle$ has nonnegative entries. By Lemma 2 the proof is complete.

To establish the necessity of the simplicial condition will require more work. The approach we take is to attempt to represent, in a useful way, elements of $S^{*}$ using only points that belong to extreme rays of $S^{*}$. To facilitate this, we define $E_{1}:=E\left(S^{*}\right) \cap S\left(X^{*}\right)$. One might hope that every element of $S^{*}$ can be written as a positive scalar multiple of an element from $\overline{\mathrm{co}}\left(E_{1}\right)$ (where the closure is taken with respect to the weak* topology). However this is not always possible. For example, consider $X=l_{2}$ and $S^{*} \subset X^{*}=l_{2}$ consisting of all nonnegative sequences. $\quad S^{*}$ is clearly a simplicial cone and $E\left(S^{*}\right)=\cup_{i \in \mathbf{N}}\left[e_{i}\right]^{+}$
where $e_{i}(j)=\delta_{i j}$. Note that $\overline{\mathrm{co}}\left(E_{1}\right)$ contains only summable sequences ( $x \in l_{2}$ is summable if $\sum_{i} x(i)$ is finite valued). But of course $S^{*}$ contains sequences which are strictly square summable, i.e., sequences $x(i)$ which are not summable but for which $\left(x(i)^{2}\right)$ is summable, and thus it is exactly these elements that cannot be expressed as positive scalar multiples of elements from $\overline{\mathrm{co}}\left(E_{1}\right)$. The following proposition gives a condition which will allow (a set homeomorphic to) $\overline{\mathrm{co}}\left(E_{1}\right)$ to 'reach' every element of $S^{*}$. Note in the following that all closures are taken with respect to the weak* topology.

Proposition 2. Let $E_{1}=E\left(S^{*}\right) \cap S\left(X^{*}\right)$. If $0 \notin \bar{E}_{1}$, then there exists a compact convex set $C \subset S^{*}$ such that every element of $S^{*}$ is a positive scalar multiple of an element from $C$. Moreover, distinct extreme points of $C$ belong to distinct extreme rays of $S^{*}$.

Proof. We construct the set $C$ in two steps. First we define the cone $K:=\left\{\rho e \mid \rho \geq 0, e \in \overline{\mathrm{co}}\left(E_{1}\right)\right\}$. Note that $K \subset S^{*}$; we claim $K=S^{*}$. From the definitions of $K$ and $S \subset X$, it is clear that $f \in S$ if and only if $\langle f, \phi\rangle \geq 0$ for all $\phi \in K$. Therefore, if $K$ is closed then, by an argument identical to that in the proof of Lemma 1, we will have $K=S^{*}$. We now verify that $K$ is closed. To do this, we first establish that $0 \notin \overline{\mathrm{co}}\left(E_{1}\right)$. From our assumption, $0 \notin \bar{E}_{1}$ and therefore, by the Krein-Milman theorem, 0 is not an extreme point of $\overline{\mathrm{co}}\left(E_{1}\right)$. Suppose $0 \in \overline{\mathrm{co}}\left(E_{1}\right)$; since 0 is not extreme there exists nonzero $x, y \in \overline{\mathrm{co}}\left(E_{1}\right)$ such that $0=x+y$; but this would imply that $-x \in S^{*}$ and this contradicts the fact that $S^{*}$ is pointed. Thus, $0 \notin \overline{\mathrm{co}}\left(E_{1}\right)$. Now let $\left\{y_{\alpha}\right\} \subset K$ be a net that converges to $y$; we may write $y_{\alpha}=\rho_{\alpha} e_{\alpha}$, where $e_{\alpha} \in \overline{\mathrm{co}}\left(E_{1}\right)$. By compactness, there exists a convergent subnet $\left\{e_{\alpha_{\beta}}\right\}$ of $\left\{e_{\alpha}\right\}$ possessing a nonzero limit point, call it $e$, contained in $\overline{\mathrm{co}}\left(E_{1}\right)$. The (real) net $\left\{\rho_{\alpha}\right\}$ is bounded and thus, passing to subnets if necessary, we have $\rho_{\alpha_{\beta}} \rightarrow \rho$ for some $\rho \in \mathbf{R}^{+}$. Therefore

$$
y=\lim y_{\alpha}=\lim y_{\alpha_{\beta}}=\lim \rho_{\alpha_{\beta}} e_{\alpha_{\beta}}=\rho e
$$

and hence $K$ is closed which implies $K=S^{*}$.
We begin the second step by noting that 0 and $\overline{c o}\left(E_{1}\right)$ can be strictly separated with a hyperplane $H$, i.e., there exists $\alpha>0$ and $x \in X$ such that $\langle x, \phi\rangle \geq \alpha$ for all $\phi \in \overline{\mathrm{co}}\left(E_{1}\right)$. So $H=x^{-1}(\{\alpha\})$. Let

$$
C:=\left\{\alpha \phi /\langle x, \phi\rangle \mid \phi \in \overline{\mathrm{co}}\left(E_{1}\right)\right\} ;
$$

thus, $C$ is the intersection of $H$ and $S^{*}$ and, as such, is convex and compact. Clearly every element of $S^{*}$ can be (positively) scaled into $C$. Let $T$ denote the set of extreme points of $C$. Since $T \subset H \cap S^{*}$, it is clear that distinct points of $T$ belong to distinct rays of $S^{*}$. To see that the elements of $T$ belong to extreme rays, i.e., $[T]^{+} \subset E\left(S^{*}\right)$, consider the set $C_{1}:=\overline{\mathrm{co}}(C \cup 0)$. It follows from the definition of $C$ that $C_{1}$ is convex and compact, that $S^{*} \backslash C_{1}$ is convex and that the set of nonzero extreme points of $C_{1}$ is $T$. We show $[T]^{+} \subset E\left(S^{*}\right)$ by contradiction; let $x \in T$ and assume $[x]^{+} \not \subset E\left(S^{*}\right)$. Then $x \in \operatorname{co}\left([\phi]^{+},[\psi]^{+}\right)$for some $\phi, \psi \in S^{*} \backslash[x]^{+}$. The properties of $C_{1}$ guarantee the existence of positive constants $s, t \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $s \phi, t \psi \in C_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=\sup \left\{c \in \mathbf{R} \mid c \phi \in C_{1}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad t=\sup \left\{c \in \mathbf{R} \mid c \psi \in C_{1}\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally consider the triangle formed by vertices $0, s \phi$ and $t \psi$. If $x$ belongs to this triangle then $x$ is not an extreme point of $C_{1}$ and we have a contradiction; if $x$ fails to belong to the triangle, then there exist $\hat{s}>s$ and $\hat{t}>t$ such that $x=\hat{s} \phi / 2+\hat{t} \psi / 2$ which, by (5) and the convexity of $S^{*} \backslash C_{1}$, would imply $x \notin C_{1}$-again a contradiction. Therefore $[T]^{+} \subset E\left(S^{*}\right)$.

Note 1. Using the language of convex analysis, Proposition 2 verifies that $S^{*}$ possesses a compact base whenever $0 \notin \bar{E}_{1}$. The discussion prior to the proposition illustrates that not every closed, pointed cone contains a base. A base is generalized by the notion of a cap: a compact, convex subset of a cone such that the cone, take away the subset, is still convex. An introduction to bases and caps can be found in $[\mathbf{6}]$ and a more definitive treatment in [1].

Lemma 4. If $\mathcal{P}_{S} \neq \varnothing$, then the cone $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ is closed.

Proof. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}_{S}$, and let $\mathbf{v}=\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right]^{T}$ denote a fixed basis for $V$. Let $\overline{P^{*} S^{*}}$ denote the closure of $P^{*} S^{*}$, and let $P^{*} \phi \in \overline{P^{*} S^{*}} \subset P^{*} X^{*}$. Choose a sequence $\left\{P^{*} \phi_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset P^{*} S^{*}$ such that $P^{*} \phi_{k} \rightarrow P^{*} \phi$. Notice, by Lemma $2,\left\{P^{*} \phi_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset S^{*} . S^{*}$ is weak*-closed and therefore $P^{*} \phi \in S^{*}$; this implies $P^{*} \phi \in P^{*} S^{*}$ since $\left(P^{*}\right)^{2}=P^{*}$. Thus $P^{*} S^{*}$ is closed. Note that $P^{*} S^{*}$ is homeomorphic to $\left(P^{*} S^{*}\right)_{\left.\right|_{V}}$ and thus $\left(P^{*} S^{*}\right)_{\left.\right|_{V}}$ is closed. Finally, we claim $\left(P^{*} S^{*}\right)_{\left.\right|_{V}}=S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$. To verify this,
choose $\phi \in S^{*}, v \in V$ and consider

$$
\left\langle v, P^{*} \phi\right\rangle=\langle P v, \phi\rangle=\langle v, \phi\rangle
$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that $P$ is a projection. But this equation simply says that $P^{*} \phi$ and $\phi$ agree on $V$, thus establishing the claim. From here we can conclude that $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ is closed.

Lemma 5. If $\mathcal{P}_{S} \neq \varnothing$, then $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ is simplicial.

Proof. From Lemma 4 we have $E\left(S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}\right) \neq \varnothing$. We will show that the number of extreme rays of $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ is exactly $n$. Let $P=\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i} \otimes v_{i} \in \mathcal{P}_{S}$ and, from Lemma 2, we have $P^{*} S^{*} \subset S^{*}$. There is an obvious bijection between $P^{*} S^{*}$ and $\left(P^{*} S^{*}\right)_{\left.\right|_{V}}$; and from our work above in Lemma 4, we have $\left.\left(P^{*} S^{*}\right)\right|_{V}=S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$. This implies that the number of extreme rays of $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ is equal to the number of extreme rays of $P^{*} S^{*}$, which we now show must be $n$. Since $P^{*} S^{*}$ is $n$-dimensional, there exists a linearly independent subset $\left\{P^{*} w_{1}, \ldots, P^{*} w_{n}\right\}$ such that $\left[P^{*} w_{i}\right]^{+} \in E\left(P^{*} S^{*}\right)$ for each $i$. We will now show that it is impossible for there to be any other extreme rays. Consider first the case that $0 \notin \bar{E}_{1}$. From Proposition 2 (and the positive scaling of each $w_{i}$ ), there exists a compact set $C$ such that $P^{*} w_{i} \in C \subset S^{*}$ for each $i$. This implies that, for each $P^{*} w_{i}$, we have a representing (probability) measure $\mu_{i}$ on $C$ (in the sense of Choquet; see [6]) supported on a subset $S_{i}$ containing extreme points of $C$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
P^{*} w_{i}=P^{*}\left(P^{*} w_{i}\right) & =\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\langle P^{*} w_{i}, v_{j}\right\rangle u_{j} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{S_{i}}\left\langle v_{j}, s\right\rangle d \mu_{i} u_{j}  \tag{6}\\
& =\int_{S_{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\langle v_{j}, s\right\rangle u_{j} d \mu_{i} \\
& =\int_{S_{i}} P^{*} s d \mu_{i}
\end{align*}
$$

But each $P^{*} w_{i}$ belongs to an extreme ray of $P^{*} S^{*}$ and thus for $\mu_{i}$ almost everywhere $s \in S_{i}$ we must have $P^{*} s=c_{s} P^{*} w_{i}$, where $c_{s} \geq 0$
(note that if $c_{s}=0$ then $P^{*} s=0$ and, consequently, we may remove such $s$ from $S_{i}$ and not affect (6)). Therefore, we may conclude that, for each $i$, there exists $\widehat{S}_{i} \subset S_{i}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{i}\left(\widehat{S}_{i}\right)>0 \quad \text { and } \quad \mu_{j}\left(\widehat{S}_{i}\right)=0 \quad \text { whenever } \quad j \neq i \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now suppose there exists $P^{*} w_{n+1} \in E\left(P^{*} S^{*}\right)$ such that $\left[P^{*} w_{n+1}\right]^{+} \neq$ $\left[P^{*} w_{i}\right]^{+}, i=1, \ldots, n$. Then the $n$-dimensionality of $P^{*} S^{*}$ implies the existence of constants $c_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$ such that, for all $x \in X$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle P^{*} w_{n+1}, x\right\rangle=\left\langle c_{1} P^{*} w_{1}+\cdots+c_{n} P^{*} w_{n}, x\right\rangle \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since each ray $\left[P^{*} w_{i}\right]^{+}$is extreme, it follows that there exists $i \in$ $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $c_{i}<0$. Let $\mu=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \mu_{i}$, where each $\mu_{i}$ is the representing measure from (6). Note from (7) that $\mu$ is necessarily a signed measure. And finally, by rewriting (8) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle P^{*} w_{n+1}, x\right\rangle=\int_{S_{1} \cup \cdots \cup S_{n}}\langle s, x\rangle d \mu \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain a contradiction to the fact that $S^{*}$ is simplicial, since $\mu$ is a signed measure with support on $E\left(S^{*}\right)$. Thus we must have $\left|E\left(P^{*} S^{*}\right)\right|=n$.

In the case that $0 \in \bar{E}_{1}$, begin by writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i} \otimes v_{i} \quad \text { for } \quad P \in \mathcal{P}_{S} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Via a change basis, we may assume $u_{i} \in S^{*}$ for each $i$ and recall, for each $i, P^{*} u_{i}=u_{i} \in S^{*}$ since $P \in \mathcal{P}_{S}$. Consider the simplicial cone

$$
Q^{*}:=\overline{\mathrm{co}}\left(\bigcup\left\{\left[e_{s}+u_{1}\right]^{+} \mid e_{s} \in E_{1}:=E\left(S^{*}\right) \cap S\left(X^{*}\right)\right\}\right)
$$

and note that $P^{*} Q^{*} \subset Q^{*}$. By construction we have $E\left(Q^{*}\right)=$ $\cup\left\{\left[e_{s}+u_{1}\right]^{+}\right\}$. Since $S^{*}$ is pointed, and thus $-u_{1} \notin S^{*}$, it follows that there exists $\lambda>0$ such that $\lambda<\left\|e_{s}+u_{1}\right\| \leq 1+\left\|u_{1}\right\|$ for every $e_{s} \in E_{1}$. Let $\widehat{E_{1}}:=\left\{e_{s}+u_{1} \mid e_{s} \in E_{1}\right\}$ (we regard $\widehat{E_{1}}$ as the set of "normalized" extreme rays of $Q^{*}$, as we do for $E_{1}$ relative to $S^{*}$ ).

Now 0 is not in the weak* closure of $\widehat{E_{1}}$, and thus, as in the previous case, we must conclude that $Q_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ has exactly $n$ extreme rays. And, since $u_{1} \in S^{*}$, the cones $Q_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ and $S_{\left.\right|_{V}}^{*}$ must have the same number of extreme rays, which completes the proof.
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