ROCKY MOUNTAIN JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS Volume 32, Number 2, Summer 2002

DIVISION PROBLEM OF MOMENT FUNCTIONALS

J.H. LEE AND K.H. KWON

ABSTRACT. For a quasi-definite moment functional σ and nonzero polynomials A(x) and D(x), we define another moment functional τ by the relation

$$D(x)\tau = A(x)\sigma.$$

In other words, τ is obtained from σ by a linear spectral transform. We find necessary and sufficient conditions for τ to be quasi-definite when D(x) and A(x) have no nontrivial common factor. When τ is also quasi-definite, we also find a simple representation of orthogonal polynomials relative to τ in terms of orthogonal polynomials relative to σ . We also give two illustrative examples when σ is the Laguerre or Jacobi moment functional.

1. Introduction. Let σ be a quasi-definite moment functional, i.e., a linear function on **P**, the space of polynomials in one variable, satisfying the Hamburger condition: $\Delta_n := |[\sigma_{i+j}]_{i,j=0}^n| \neq 0, n \geq 0$, where $\sigma_n := \langle \sigma, x^n \rangle, n \geq 0$, are the moments of σ . Then the monic orthogonal polynomial system (MOPS) $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, relative to σ , is given by

(1.1)

$$P_0(x) = 1 \quad \text{and} \ P_n(x) = \frac{1}{\Delta_{n-1}} \begin{vmatrix} \sigma_0 & \sigma_1 & \cdots & \sigma_n \\ \sigma_1 & \sigma_2 & \cdots & \sigma_{n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_{n-1} & \sigma_n & \cdots & \sigma_{2n-1} \\ 1 & x & \cdots & x^n \end{vmatrix}, \quad n \ge 1.$$

However, in the computational viewpoint, the formula (1.1) is of little practical value for large n. Instead we might use the three-term recurrence relation satisfied by any MOPS

$$P_{n+1}(x) = (x-b_n)P_n(x) - c_n P_{n-1}(x), \quad n \ge 0, (P_{-1}(x) = 0, P_0(x) = 1)$$

Copyright ©2002 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

¹⁹⁹¹ AMS Mathematics subject classification. 33C45.

Keywords and phrases. Quasi-definiteness, moment functionals, division problem. This work is partially supported by BK-21 project and KOSEF (99-2-101-001-5). Received by the editors on October 26, 2000, and in revised form on July 3, 2001.

if the coefficients b_n and c_n are easily computable.

On the other hand, if τ is another quasi-definite moment functional which is obtained from σ by a simple modification, then it is natural and useful to represent the MOPS $\{Q_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ relative to τ in terms of $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. For example, when σ and τ are given by positive weights as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sigma, \pi(x) \rangle &:= \int_{a}^{b} \pi(x) w(x) \, dx \\ \langle \tau, \pi(x) \rangle &:= \int_{a}^{b} \pi(x) \tilde{w}(x) \, dx \end{aligned}$$

and $\tilde{w}(x) = R(x)w(x)$ where R(x) = (A(x)/D(x)) is a suitable rational function, representation of $\{Q_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ in terms of $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ was already considered by Uvarov [16], (see also [12]).

We now consider a more general situation for any two generic moment functionals σ and τ satisfying

(1.2)
$$D(x)\tau = A(x)\sigma,$$

where A(x) and D(x) are nonzero polynomials. In terms of Stieltjes functions of moment functionals, τ is obtained from σ by a linear spectral transform, (see [16]). Assuming that σ is quasi-definite, we may ask: When is the other moment functional τ also quasi-definite? If so, what is the relation between their corresponding orthogonal polynomials $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ relative to σ and $\{Q_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ relative to τ ?

When D(x) = 1, σ is the Legendre moment functional defined by

$$\langle \sigma, \pi(x) \rangle := \int_{-1}^{1} \pi(x) \, dx, \quad \pi \in \mathbf{P},$$

and A(x) is nonnegative on [-1,1] so that $\tau = A(x)\sigma$ is also positivedefinite, Christoffel [6] found representation of $\{Q_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ in terms of the Legendre polynomials $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. More generally, when D(x) = 1and A(x) is any nonzero polynomial, Belmehdi [2] found necessary and sufficient conditions for τ to be quasi-definite and a representation of $\{Q_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ in terms of $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, (for some special cases see also Ronveaux [14] and Szegö [15].

When D(x) is of degree ≥ 1 , the equation (1.2) gives rise to a division problem of moment functions, in which we are interested. When

A(x) = 1 and D(x) is of degree 1 and 2, respectively, Maroni [10] and Branquinho and Marcellán [3], respectively, found necessary and sufficient conditions for τ to be quasi-definite. When A(x) = D(x), τ is obtained from σ by a generalized Uvarov transform, i.e., by adding finitely many mass points and their derivatives. In this case, the quasidefiniteness of τ was handled in [7] and [8].

In this work we consider the case when A(x) and D(x) have no nontrivial common factor. In this case, we find necessary and sufficient conditions for τ to be quasi-definite and give representations of $\{Q_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ in terms of $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

2. Preliminaries. For a polynomial $\pi(x)$ we let $\partial(\pi)$ be the degree of $\pi(x)$ with the convention $\partial(0) = -1$. For a moment functional σ and a polynomial $\phi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k x^k$, define [11]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi \sigma, \pi \rangle &:= \langle \sigma, \phi \pi \rangle; \langle \sigma', \phi \rangle = - \langle \sigma, \phi' \rangle; \\ \langle (x - c)^{-1} \sigma, \phi \rangle &:= \langle \sigma, \theta_c \phi \rangle, \quad \pi \in \mathbf{P}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta_c \pi = (\pi(x) - \pi(x))/(x - c), c \in \mathbf{C}$,

$$(\sigma\phi)(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=k}^{n} a_{j}\sigma_{j-k}\right) x^{k};$$
$$F(\sigma)(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{n}}{x^{n+1}}.$$

We call the formal series $F(\sigma)(x)$ the Stieltjes function of σ . When σ is quasi-definite, we let $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be the MOPS relative to σ and

(2.1)
$$P_{n+1}(x) = (x - b_n)P_n(x) - c_n P_{n-1}(x), \quad n \ge 0, (P_{-1}(x) = 0)$$

the three-term recurrence relation of $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. In this case, we also let $\{P_n^{(1)}(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be the numerator MOPS for $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfying the three-term recurrence relation

$$P_{n+1}^{(1)}(x) = (x - b_{n+1})P_n^{(1)}(x) - c_{n+1}P_{n-1}^{(1)}(x), \quad n \ge 0, (P_{-1}^{(1)}(x) = 0)$$

and $\{P_n(x;c)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ the co-recursive MOPS, [5], for $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfying

$$P_n(x;c) = P_n(x) - cP_{n-1}^{(1)}(x), \quad n \ge 0, c \in \mathbf{C}.$$

Let

$$K_n(x,y) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{P_k(x)P_k(y)}{\langle \sigma, P_k^2 \rangle}$$

be the *n*th kernel polynomial of $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

3. Division problem. From now on let σ be a quasi-definite moment functional and $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ the MOPS relative to σ satisfying the three-term recurrence relation (2.1). Define another moment functional τ by the relation

$$(3.1) D(x)\tau = A(x)\sigma,$$

where A(x) and D(x) are nonzero polynomials of degree s and t, respectively. When τ is also quasi-definite, we denote the MOPS relative to τ by $\{Q_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. We may and shall assume that A(x)and D(x) are monic. In terms of the corresponding Stieltjes functions, the relation (3.1) can be written as

$$F(\tau)(x) = \frac{A(x)F(\sigma)(x) + B(x)}{D(x)}$$

where $B(x) = (\tau \theta_0 D)(x) - (\sigma \theta_0 A)(x)$. In other words, (see [17]), $F(\tau)(x)$ is a linear spectral transform of $F(\sigma)(x)$.

For any complex numbers λ and β , let

$$C(\lambda)F(\sigma) := (x - \lambda)F(\sigma) - \sigma_0$$

and

$$G(\lambda;\beta)F(\sigma)(x) := \frac{\beta + F(\sigma)}{x - \lambda}$$

be the Christoffel transform and the Geronimus transform, [17], of $F(\sigma)$, respectively. In terms of moment functionals, we have

(i) $C(\lambda)F(\sigma) = F(\tau)$ if and only if $\tau := C(\lambda)\sigma = (x - \lambda)\sigma$;

(ii)
$$G(\lambda;\beta)F(\sigma) = F(\tau)$$
 if and only if $\tau := G(\lambda;\beta)\sigma = (x-\lambda)^{-1}\sigma + \beta\delta(x-\lambda)(\beta=\tau_0).$

Proposition 3.1. For any complex numbers λ and β ,

(i) $\tau = C(\lambda)\sigma$ is quasi-definite if and only if $P_n(\lambda) \neq 0, n \geq 0$. When τ is also quasi-definite,

$$Q_n(x) = P_n^*(\lambda; x) = \frac{\langle \sigma, P_n^2 \rangle}{P_n(\lambda)} K_n(x, \lambda), \quad n \ge 0$$

is the monic kernel polynomials for $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ with K-parameter λ .

(ii) $\tau = G(\lambda, \beta)\sigma$ is quasi-definite if and only if

(3.2)
$$\beta P_n(\lambda) + \sigma_0 P_{n-1}^{(1)}(\lambda) = \beta P_n\left(\lambda; \frac{-\sigma_0}{\beta}\right) \neq 0, \quad n \ge 0.$$

When τ is also quasi-definite,

$$Q_0(x) = 1 \text{ and } Q_n(x) = P_n(x) - \frac{P_n(\lambda; -(\sigma_0/\beta))}{P_{n-1}(\lambda; (-\sigma_0/\beta))} P_{n-1}(x), \quad n \ge 1.$$

Proof. For (i), (see Theorem 7.1 in [4, Chapter 1]) and for (ii), (see Theorem 4.2 in [9]) and Theorem 1.1 in [10].

Note that we may rewrite the condition (3.2) as

$$\langle \tau, P_n \rangle \neq 0, \quad n \ge 0.$$

The division problem (3.1) can be solved for τ as

(3.3)
$$\tau = D(x)^{-1}A(x)\sigma + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{m_i-1} c_{i,j}\delta^{(j)}(x-\nu_i)$$

where $D(x) = (x - \nu_1)^{m_1} \cdots (x - \nu_k)^{m_k}$, $\nu_i \neq \nu_j$ for $i \neq j$ and $c_{i,j}$ are constants which depend on the first t moments $\{\tau_i\}_{i=0}^{t-1}$ of τ .

Lemma 3.2. For any two MOPS's $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{Q_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ relative to σ and τ , respectively, the following are equivalent:

(i) σ and τ satisfy the relation (3.1) for some nonzero monic polynomials A(x) and D(x);

(ii) there are nonnegative integers s and t such that

(3.4)
$$A(x)Q_n(x) = P_{n+s}(x) + \sum_{k=n-t}^{n+s-1} a_{n,k} P_k(x), \quad n \ge t,$$

where $a_{n,k}$ are constants with $a_{n,n-t} \neq 0$.

Proof. Assume that (3.1) holds. Expand $A(x)Q_n(x)$ as

$$A(x)Q_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n+s} a_{n,k}P_k(x).$$

Then

$$a_{n,k}\langle \sigma, P_k^2 \rangle = \langle \sigma, AQ_n P_k \rangle = \langle \tau, Q_n DP_k \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k + t < n, \\ \text{nonzero} & \text{if } k + t = n \end{cases}$$

so that $a_{n,n-t} \neq 0$ and $a_{n,k} = 0$ for $0 \leq k < n-t$. Hence (3.4) holds.

Conversely, assume that (3.4) holds. Then

$$\begin{split} \langle A\sigma, Q_n \rangle &= \langle \sigma, AQ_n \rangle = \langle \sigma, P_n \rangle + \sum_{k=n-t}^{n+s-1} a_{n,k} \langle \sigma, P_k \rangle \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \ge t+1 \\ \text{nonzero} & \text{if } n=t. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Hence, $A\sigma = D\tau$ for some polynomial D(x) of degree t.

Lemma 3.3. Let $A(x) = (x - a_1) \cdots (x - a_s)$ and $D(x) = (x - d_1) \cdots (x - d_t)$ be monic polynomials of degree s and t, respectively. If $a_i \neq d_j$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $1 \leq j \leq t$, then $\{x^i A(x), x^j D(x) \mid 0 \leq i \leq t - 1, 0 \leq j \leq s - 1\}$ are linearly independent.

Proof. Let

$$A_j(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & j = 0\\ (x - a_1) \cdots (x - a_j) & 1 \le j \le s, \end{cases}$$

and

$$D_i(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & i = 0\\ (x - d_1) \cdots (x - d_i) & 1 \le i \le t \end{cases}$$

Assume that

(3.5)
$$\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \alpha_i D_i(x) A(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} \beta_j A_j D(x) \equiv 0,$$

where α_i and β_j are constants. Set $x = d_1$. Then $\alpha_0 A(d_1) = 0$ so that $\alpha_0 = 0$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \alpha_i \frac{D_i(x)}{x - d_1} A(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \beta_j A_j(x) \frac{D(x)}{x - d_1} \equiv 0$$

in which, if we set $x = d_2$, then $\alpha_1 A(d_2) = 0$ so that $\alpha_1 = 0$. Continuing the same process, we obtain $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_{t-1} = 0$, and so $\beta_0 = \beta_1 = \cdots = \beta_{s-1} = 0$ from (3.5). Hence $\{D_i(x)A(x), A_j(x)D(x) \mid 0 \le i \le t-1, 0 \le j \le s-1\}$ are linearly independent, and so they span \mathbf{P}_{s+t-1} , the space of polynomials of degree $\le s+t-1$. Let Hbe the span of $\{x^i A(x), x^j D(x) \mid 0 \le i \le t-1, 0 \le j \le s-1\}$. Then $\{D_i(x)A(x), A_j(x)D(x) \mid 0 \le i \le t-1, 0 \le j \le s-1\} \subseteq H \subseteq \mathbf{P}_{s+t-1}$ so that $H = \mathbf{P}_{s+t-1}$, that is, $\{x^i A(x), x^j D(x) \mid 0 \le i \le t-1, 0 \le j \le s-1\}$ are linearly independent. \Box

Now we are ready to state and prove our main result.

Theorem 3.4. Assume that A(x) and D(x) are nonzero monic polynomials of degree s and t, respectively, with $s + t \ge 1$. Let

$$A(x) = (x - \alpha_1)^{s_1} \cdots (x - \alpha_m)^{s_m}$$

where $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$ for $i \neq j$ if $s := s_1 + \dots + s_m \geq 1$ and

$$D(x) = (x - d_1)(x - d_2) \cdots (x - d_t) \text{ if } t \ge 1.$$

We also assume that $\alpha_i \neq d_j$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq t$. Then the moment functional τ defined by the relation (3.1) is quasi-definite if and only if

$$(3.6) |M_k| \neq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq s+t \text{ and } |N_n| \neq 0 \text{ for } n \geq s+t,$$

where

$$M_k := \begin{bmatrix} \langle \mu_0, P_0 \rangle & \langle \mu_0, P_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mu_0, P_{k-1} \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \langle \mu_{k-1}, P_0 \rangle & \langle \mu_{k-1}, P_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mu_{k-1}, P_{k-1} \rangle \end{bmatrix},$$
$$1 \le k \le s+t$$

$$N_{n} := \begin{bmatrix} \langle \mu_{0}, P_{n-t} \rangle & \langle \mu_{0}, P_{n-t+1} \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mu_{0}, P_{n+s-1} \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \langle \mu_{t-1}, P_{n-t} \rangle & \langle \mu_{t-1}, P_{n-t+1} \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mu_{t-1}, P_{n+s-1} \rangle \\ P_{n-t}(\alpha_{1}) & P_{n-t+1}(\alpha_{1}) & \cdots & P_{n+s-1}(\alpha_{1}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ P_{n-t}^{(s_{1}-1)}(\alpha_{1}) & P_{n-t+1}^{(s_{1}-1)}(\alpha_{1}) & \cdots & P_{n+s-1}^{(s_{1}-1)}(\alpha_{1}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ P_{n-t}^{(s_{m}-1)}(\alpha_{m}) & P_{-t+1}^{(s_{m}-1)}(\alpha_{m}) & \cdots & P_{n+s-1}^{(s_{m}-1)}(\alpha_{m}) \\ & & n \ge s+t, \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\mu_i = \begin{cases} D_i(x)\tau & 0 \le i \le t, \\ A_{i-t}(x)D(x)\tau & t \le i \le s+t, \end{cases}$$

 $D_0(x) = 1, \ D_i(x) = (x - d_1) \cdots (x - d_i) \ \text{for } 1 \le i \le t, \ A_0(x) = 1,$ $A_i(x) = (x - \alpha_1)^{s_1} \cdots (x - \alpha_{k-1})^{s_{k-1}} (x - \alpha_k)^l \ \text{for } 1 \le i = s_1 + \cdots + s_{k-1} + l \le s.$ When τ is quasi-definite, $Q_0(x) = 1$,

(3.7)
$$Q_{k}(x) = \frac{(-1)^{k}}{|M_{k}|} \begin{vmatrix} P_{0}(x) & P_{1}(x) & \cdots & P_{k}(x) \\ \langle \mu_{0}, P_{0} \rangle & \langle \mu_{0}, P_{1} \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mu_{0}, P_{k} \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \langle \mu_{k-1}, P_{0} \rangle & \langle \mu_{k-1}, P_{1} \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mu_{k-1}, P_{k} \rangle \end{vmatrix},$$
$$1 \le k \le s + t - 1,$$

$$A(x)Q_{n}(x) = \frac{(-1)^{s+t}}{|N_{n}|} \begin{vmatrix} P_{n-t}(x) & P_{n-t+1}(x) & \cdots & P_{n+s}(x) \\ \langle \mu_{0}, P_{n-t} \rangle & \langle \mu_{0}, P_{n-t+1} \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mu_{0}, P_{n+s} \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \langle \mu_{t-1}, P_{n-t} \rangle & \langle \mu_{t-1}, P_{n-t+1} \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mu_{t-1}, P_{n+s} \rangle \\ P_{n-t}(\alpha_{1}) & P_{n-t+1}(\alpha_{1}) & \cdots & P_{n+s}(\alpha_{1}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ P_{n-t}^{(s_{m}-1)}(\alpha_{m}) & P_{n-t+1}^{(s_{m}-1)}(\alpha_{m}) & \cdots & P_{n+s}^{(s_{m}-1)}(\alpha_{m}) \end{vmatrix} ,$$

$$n \ge s+t.$$

Proof. Assume that τ is quasi-definite. Then we have (3.4) so that

$$\sum_{k=n-t}^{n+s-1} a_{n,k} \langle \mu_i, P_k \rangle = -\langle \mu_i, P_{n+s} \rangle, \quad 0 \le i \le t-1$$

$$\sum_{k=n-t}^{n+s-1} a_{n,k} P_k^{(j)}(\alpha_i) = -P_{n+s}^{(j)}(\alpha_i),$$

$$1 \le i \le m \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \le j \le s_i - 1$$

or equivalently in matrix form

(3.9)
$$N_n[a_{n,k}]_{k=n-t}^{n+s-1} = -[\langle \mu_0, P_{n+s} \rangle, \dots, P_{n+s}^{(s_m-1)}(\alpha_m)]^T$$

if $n \ge s + t$. Assume $|N_n| = 0$ for some $n \ge s + t$. Then the system of equation (3.9) has another solution $[b_k]_{k=n-t}^{n+s-1} \ne [a_{n,k}]_{k=n-t}^{n+s-1}$. That is, if we set

$$R_{n+s}(x) := P_{n+s}(x) + \sum_{k=n-t}^{n+s-1} b_k P_k(x),$$

then

$$R_{n+s}(x) = A(x)S_n(x)(\partial(S_n) = n) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \mu_i, R_{n+s} \rangle = 0, \quad 0 \le i \le t-1.$$

Hence

$$R_{n+s}(x) - A(x)Q_n(x) = \sum_{k=n-t}^{n+s-1} (b_k - a_{n,k})P_k(x) = A(x)T_{n-1}(x)$$

where $T_{n-1}(x) = S_n(x) - Q_n(x)$ is of degree $\leq n - 1$. Then

(3.10)
$$\langle \mu_i, A(x)T_{n-1}(x) \rangle = 0, \quad 0 \le i \le t-1$$

and

(3.11)
$$\langle x^i D(x)\tau, T_{n-1}(x)\rangle = 0, \quad 0 \le i \le s-1.$$

Since (3.10) implies $\langle \tau, x^i A(x) T_{n-1}(x) \rangle = 0, \ 0 \le i \le t-1$ and $\{x^i A(x), x^j D(x) \mid 0 \le i \le t-1, 0 \le j \le s-1\}$ are linearly independent by Lemma 3.3, (3.10) and (3.11) imply

(3.12)
$$\langle \tau, x^i T_{n-1}(x) \rangle = 0, \quad 0 \le i \le s+t-1.$$

Set $T_{n-1}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e_k Q_k(x)$. Then

$$A(x)T_{n-1}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e_k \sum_{j=k-t}^{k+s} a_{k,j} P_j(x)$$

= $\sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{j+t} e_k a_{k,j} \right) P_j(x)$
+ $\sum_{j=s}^{n-t-1} \left(\sum_{k=j-s}^{j+t} e_k a_{k,j} \right) P_j(x)$
+ $\sum_{j=n-t}^{n+s-1} \left(\sum_{k=j-s}^{n-1} e_k a_{k,j} \right) P_j(x).$
 $(a_{k,k+s} = 1 \text{ and } P_j(x) = 0 \text{ for } j < 0)$

Since $A(x)T_{n-1}(x) = \sum_{k=n-t}^{n+s-1} (b_k - a_{n,k}) P_k(x),$

(3.13)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{j+t} e_k a_{k,j} = 0, \quad 0 \le j \le s-1$$

(3.14)
$$\sum_{k=j-s}^{j+t} e_k a_{n,k} = 0, \quad s \le j \le n-t-1.$$

By (3.12), $e_0 = e_1 = \cdots = e_{s+t-1} = 0$ so that (3.13) holds and then by induction on (3.14) $e_k = 0$ for $0 \le k \le n-1$. Hence $T_{n-1}(x) = 0$ and so $b_k = a_{n,k}$ for $n - t \le k \le n + s - 1$, which is a contradiction. Hence $|N_n| \neq 0, \ n \ge s+t.$

For $1 \le k \le s+t$, write $Q_k(x)$ as

$$Q_k(x) = P_k(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_{k,j} P_j(x).$$

Then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \langle \mu_i, P_j \rangle a_{k,j} = -\langle \mu_i, P_k \rangle, \quad 0 \le i \le k-1,$$

that is,

(3.15)
$$M_k[a_{k,j}]_{j=0}^{k-1} = -[\langle \mu_i, P_k \rangle]_{i=0}^{k-1}.$$

Assume $|M_k| = 0$ for some k with $1 \le k \le s + t$. Then the system of equation (3.15) has another solution $[b_j]_{j=0}^{k-1} \ne [a_{k,j}]_{j=0}^{k-1}$. That is, if we set

$$S_k(x) = P_k(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} b_j P_j(x),$$

then $\langle \mu_i, S_k \rangle = 0, \ 0 \le i \le k-1$. Then $\langle \tau, x^i S_k \rangle = 0, \ 0 \le i \le k-1$ so that $S_k(x) = Q_k(x)$, which is a contradiction. Hence $|M_k| \neq 0$, $1 \le k \le s + t.$

Conversely, assume that the conditions (3.6) hold. Define polynomials $Q_0(x) = 1$ and $Q_n(x)$, $n \ge 1$, by (3.7) and (3.8). Then $Q_n(x)$ are monic polynomials of degree n. Now $\langle \tau, Q_0 \rangle = \langle \tau, P_0 \rangle = M_1 \neq 0$. For $1 \le k \le s+t-1,$

$$\langle \mu_i, Q_k \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq k-1 \\ |M_{k+1}|/|M_k| & \text{if } i = k \end{cases}$$

so that

$$\langle \tau, x^i Q_k \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \le i \le k-1 \\ \text{nonzero} & \text{if } i = k. \end{cases}$$

For
$$n \ge s + t$$
, $\langle \mu_i, AQ_n(x) \rangle = 0$, $0 \le i \le t - 1$, so that
(3.16)
$$\begin{cases} \langle \tau, x^i AQ_n \rangle = 0 & 0 \le i \le t - 1 \\ \langle \tau, x^j DQ_n \rangle = 0 & 0 \le j \le n = t = 1. \end{cases}$$

Since $\{x^i A(x), x^j D(x) \mid 0 \le i \le t - 1, 0 \le j \le n - t - 1\}$ are linearly independent for $n \ge s + t$, (3.16) implies $\langle \tau, x^m Q_n \rangle = 0, 0 \le m \le n - 1$ for $n \ge s + t$. Finally,

$$\begin{split} \langle \tau, x^n Q_n(x) \rangle &= \langle \sigma, x^{n-t} A(x) Q_n(x) \rangle = (-1)^{s+t} \langle \sigma, P_{n-t}^2 \rangle \frac{|N_{n+1}|}{|N_n|} \neq 0, \\ n \geq s+t. \end{split}$$

Hence, $\{Q_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is the MOPS relative to τ .

We now consider two special cases.

Corollary 3.5. Assume that D(x) = 1 so that $\tau = A(x)\sigma$. Then τ is quasi-definite if and only if $|M_k| \neq 0$, $1 \leq k \leq s$ and $|N_n| \neq 0$, $n \geq s$, where

$$N_{n} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{n}(\alpha_{1}) & P_{n+1}(\alpha_{1}) & \cdots & P_{n+s-1}(\alpha_{1}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ P_{n}^{(s_{1}-1)}(\alpha_{1}) & P_{n+1}^{(s_{1}-1)}(\alpha_{1}) & \cdots & P_{n+s-1}^{(s_{1}-1)}(\alpha_{1}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ P_{n}^{(s_{m}-1)}(\alpha_{m}) & P_{n+1}^{(s_{m}-1)}(\alpha_{m}) & \cdots & P_{n+s-1}^{(s_{m}-1)}(\alpha_{m}) \end{bmatrix}, \quad n \ge s.$$

Corollary 3.5 was first proved by Belmehdi [2] as: $\tau = A(x)\sigma$ is quasi-definite if and only $|N_n| \neq 0$, $n \geq 0$, which are equivalent to the conditions in Corollary 3.5.

Corollary 3.6. Assume that A(x) = 1 so that $D(x)\tau = \sigma$. Then τ is quasi-definite if and only if $|M_k| \neq 0$, $1 \leq k \leq t-1$ and $|N_n| \neq 0$, $n \geq t$, where (3.17)

$$N_n = \begin{bmatrix} \langle \mu_0, P_{n-t} \rangle & \langle \mu_0, P_{n-t+1} \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mu_0, P_{n-1} \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \langle \mu_{t-1}, P_{n-t} \rangle & \langle \mu_{t-1}, P_{n-t+1} \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mu_{t-1}, P_{n-1} \rangle \end{bmatrix}, \quad n \ge t.$$

Corollary 3.6 for t = 2 was proved by Branquinho and Marcellán [3], (see also [1]), by a different method in which they must handle the two cases separately when roots of D(x) are simple or not. When D(x)has simple roots, the condition found in [3] is different from ours. To see the connection between them, let's reformulate the condition (3.17) when D(x) has only simple roots, i.e., $d_i \neq d_j$ for $i \neq j$. In this case the polynomials $\prod_{\substack{i=1\\i\neq j}}^k (x - d_i), 1 \leq j \leq t$, are linearly independent so that they can span all polynomials of degree $\leq t - 1$. Then we may replace the matrix N_n in (3.17) by

$$\tilde{N}_n := |\langle \tilde{\mu}_i, P_{n-t+j-1} \rangle]_{i,j=1}^t,$$

where $\tilde{\mu}_j := \prod_{\substack{i=1\\i\neq j}}^k (x-d_i)\tau, \ 1 \le j \le t.$

For example, if t = 2, then

$$\langle \tilde{\mu}_1, P_n \rangle = \langle (x - d_2)\tau, P_n \rangle = \sigma_0 P_{n-1}^{(1)}(d_1) + (\tau_1 - d_2\tau_0)P_n(d_1)$$

since $(x - d_2)\tau = (x - d_1)^{-1}\sigma + (\tau_1 - d_2\tau_0)\delta(x - d_1)$ and $\langle (x - d_1)^{-1}\sigma, P_n \rangle = \sigma_0 P_{n-1}^{(1)}(d_1)$. Now the conditions $|\tilde{N}_n| \neq 0$, $n \geq 2$, and $|M_1| \neq 0$ coincide with the one in [3, Theorem 6].

Finally, we give two examples illustrating Theorem 3.4.

Example 3.1. Let $x^3 \tau = \sigma$, where σ is the Laguerre moment functional:

$$\langle \sigma, \pi(x) \rangle = \int_0^\infty \pi(x) x^3 e^{-x} \, dx, \quad \pi(x) \in \mathbf{P}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tau, \pi(x) \rangle &= \int_0^\infty \pi(x) e^{-x} \, dx + a \langle \delta(x), \pi \rangle \\ &+ b \langle \delta'(x), \pi \rangle + c \langle \delta''(x), \pi \rangle, \quad \pi(x) \in \mathbf{P}, \end{aligned}$$

where a, b, c are constants. In fact we have $a = \tau_0 - 1$, $b = 1 - \tau_1$, $c = (1/2)\tau_2 - 1$. Let $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be the MOPS relative to σ .

In order to compute $\langle x^k \tau, P_n \rangle$ for k = 0, 1, 2, we need the following for the Laguerre polynomials $\{L_n^{(\alpha)}(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}, \alpha > -1, [\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{13}],$

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+\alpha}{n-k} \frac{(-x)^k}{k!}, \quad n \ge 0,$$

(3.18)
$$\frac{d}{dx}L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) = -L_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1)}(x), \quad n \ge 0,$$

(3.19)
$$L_n^{(\alpha+1)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n L_k^{(\alpha)}(x), \quad n \ge 0.$$

Then $P_n(x) = (-1)^n n! L_n^{(3)}(x), n \ge 0$, so that by (3.18)

$$P_n(0) = (-1)^n \frac{(n+3)!}{6}, \quad n \ge 0,$$

$$P'_n(0) = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{n(n+3)!}{24}, \quad n \ge 0,$$

$$P''_n(0) = (-1)^n \frac{n(n-1)(n+3)!}{120}, \quad n \ge 0.$$

Using (3.19) repeatedly, we obtain

$$\begin{split} L_n^{(3)}(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^n L_k^{(2)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (n-k+1) L_k^{(1)}(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{2} (n-k+1) (n-k+2) L_k^{(0)}(x), \quad n \ge 0, \end{split}$$

so that

$$\begin{split} \int_0^\infty x^2 e^{-x} P_n(x) \, dx &= (-1)^n n! \int_0^\infty x^2 e^{-x} L_0^{(2)}(x) \, dx \\ &= 2(-1)^n n!, \quad n \ge 0, \\ \int_0^\infty x e^{-x} P_n(x) \, dx &= (-1)^n n! (n+1) \int_0^\infty x e^{-x} L_0^{(1)}(x) \, dx \\ &= (-1)^n (n+1)!, \quad n \ge 0, \\ \int_0^\infty e^{-x} P_n(x) \, dx &= (-1)^n n! \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2} \int_0^\infty e^{-x} L_0^{(0)}(x) \, dx \\ &= (-1)^n \frac{(n+2)!}{2}, \quad n \ge 0. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} \langle \tau, P_n \rangle &= \int_0^\infty e^{-x} P_n(x) \, dx + a P_n(0) - b P'_n(0) + c P''_n(0) \\ &= (-1)^n (n+2)! \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{a}{6} (n+3) + \frac{b}{24} n (n+3) \right] \\ &+ \frac{c}{120} (n-1) n (n+3) \right], \quad n \ge 0, \\ \langle x\tau, P_n \rangle &= \int_0^\infty x e^{-x} P_n(x) (x) \, dx - b P_n(0) + 2c P'_n(0) \\ &= (-1)^n (n+1)! \left[1 - \frac{b}{6} (n+2) (n+3) \right] \\ &- \frac{c}{12} n (n+2) (n+3) \right], \quad n \ge 0, \\ \langle x^2 \tau, P_n \rangle &= \int_0^\infty x^2 e^{-x} P_n(x) \, dx + 2c P_n(0) \\ &= (-1)^n n! \left[2 + \frac{c}{3} (n+1) (n+2) (n+3) \right], \quad n \ge 0. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} |M_1| &= \langle \tau, P_0 \rangle = 1 + a, \\ |M_2| &= \begin{vmatrix} \langle \tau, P_0 \rangle & \langle \tau, P_1 \rangle \\ \langle x\tau, P_0 \rangle, \langle x\tau, P_1 \rangle \end{vmatrix} \\ &= 1 + 2a + 2b + 2c + 2ac - b^2, \\ |N_n| &= \begin{vmatrix} \langle \tau, P_{n-3} \rangle & \langle \tau, P_{n-2} \rangle & \langle \tau, P_{n-1} \rangle \\ \langle x\tau, P_{n-3} \rangle & \langle x\tau, P_{n-2} \rangle & \langle x\tau, P_{n-1} \rangle \\ \langle x^2\tau, P_{n-3} \rangle & \langle x^2\tau, P_{n-2} \rangle & \langle x^2\tau, P_{n-1} \rangle \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (-1)^n (n-3)! (n-2)! (n-1)! D_n, \quad n \ge 3, \end{split}$$

where

$$D_n = \frac{1}{2160} [c^3 n^9 - 6c^3 n^7 + 216c^2 n^6 + (9c^3 - 720c^2 + 360bc)n^5 + 360(b^2 + c^2 - 2ac - 2bc)n^4 - (4c^3 - 720c^2 + 4320c + 360bc)n^3 - (360b^2 + 4320b - 8640c - 720ac - 720bc + 576c^2)n^2 - 4320(a - b + c)n - 4320].$$

Note that $D_1 = -2|M_1|$ and $D_2 = -2|M_2|$.

Hence, by Corollary 3.6, τ is quasi-definite if and only if $D_n \neq 0$, $n \geq 1$.

In particular, when c = 0, i.e., $\tau_2 = 2$, we have

$$\begin{split} |M_1| &= 1 + a, \\ |M_2| &= 1 + 2a + 2b - b^2, \\ |N_n| &= (-1)^n (n-3)! (n-2)! (n-1)! D_n, \quad n \ge 3. \end{split}$$

where

$$D_n = \frac{1}{6} [b^2 n^4 - (b^2 + 12b)n^2 + 12(b-a) - 12].$$

Hence τ is quasi-definite, if and only if

$$b^{2}n^{4} - (b^{2} + 12b)n^{2} + 12(b-a) - 12 \neq 0, \quad n \ge 1.$$

In this case, the MOPS $\{Q_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ relative to τ is

$$Q_1(x) = \frac{-1}{|M_1|} \begin{vmatrix} P_0(x) & P_1(x) \\ \langle \tau, P_0 \rangle & \langle \tau, P_1 \rangle \end{vmatrix} = P_1(x) + \frac{4a+b+3}{|M_1|} P_0(x),$$

$$Q_{2}(x) = \frac{1}{|M_{2}|} \begin{vmatrix} P_{0}(x) & P_{1}(x) & P_{2}(x) \\ \langle \tau, P_{0} \rangle & \langle \tau, P_{1} \rangle & \langle \tau, P_{2} \rangle \\ \langle x\tau, P_{0} \rangle & \langle x\tau, P_{1} \rangle & \langle x\tau, P_{2} \rangle \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= P_{2}(x) + \frac{2(3 + 7a + 9b - 5b^{2})}{|M_{2}|} P_{1}(x)$$
$$+ \frac{2(3 + 8a + 13b - 10b^{2})}{|M_{2}|} P_{0}(x),$$

$$\begin{split} Q_n(x) &= \frac{-1}{|N_n|} \left| \begin{array}{ccc} P_{n-3}(x) & P_{n-2}(x) & P_{n-1}(x) & P_n(x) \\ \langle \tau, P_{n-3} \rangle & \langle \tau, P_{n-2} \rangle & \langle \tau, P_{n-1} \rangle & \langle \tau, P_n \rangle \\ \langle x\tau, P_{n-3} \rangle & \langle x\tau, P_{n-2} \rangle & \langle x\tau, P_{n-1} \rangle & \langle x\tau, P_n \rangle \\ \langle x^2\tau, P_{n-3} \rangle & \langle x^2\tau, P_{n-2} \rangle & \langle x^2\tau, P_{n-1} \rangle & \langle x^2\tau, P_n \rangle \\ \end{array} \right| \\ &= P_n(x) + \frac{n}{6D_n} [3b^2n^4 + 4b^2n^3 - 3b(b+12)n^2 \\ &\quad -4(9a - 3b + b^2)n - 12a + 12b - 36]P_{n-1}(x) \\ &\quad + \frac{n(n-1)}{6D_n} [3b^2n^4 + 8b^2n^3 + (3b^2 - 36b)n^2 \\ &\quad -2(18a + 6b + b^2)n - 24a + 12b - 36]P_{n-2}(x) \\ &\quad + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{6D_n} [b^2n^4 + 4b^2n^3 + b(5b - 12)n^2 \\ &\quad -(12a + 12b - 2b^2)n - 12(a+1)]P_{n-3}(x), \\ &\quad n \ge 3. \end{split}$$

Example 3.2. Let $(1+x)\tau = (1-x)\sigma$, where σ is the Jacobi moment functional:

$$\langle \sigma, \pi(x) \rangle = \int_{-1}^{1} \pi(x)(1+x) \, dx, \quad \pi(x) \in \mathbf{P}.$$

Then

$$\langle \tau, \pi(x) \rangle = \int_{-1}^{1} \pi(x)(1-x) \, dx + a \langle \delta(1+x), \pi \rangle, \quad \pi(x) \in \mathbf{P},$$

where $a = \tau_0 - 2$ is a constant. Let $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be the MOPS relative to σ .

In order to compute $\langle \tau, P_n \rangle$ and $\langle (1+x)\tau, P_n \rangle$, we need the following for the Jacobi polynomials $\{P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}, \alpha, \beta > -1, [\mathbf{4, 13}]$:

$$P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = 2^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+\alpha}{n-k} \binom{n+\beta}{k} (x-1)^k (x+1)^{n-k}, \quad n \ge 0,$$

(3.20)
$$(1-x)P_n^{(0,1)}(x) = -A(n)P_{n+1}^{(0,1)}(x) + (1-B(n))P_n^{(0,1)}(x) - C(n)P_{n-1}^{(0,1)}(x), \quad n \ge 1,$$

where

$$A(n) = \frac{n+2}{2n+3}, \quad B(n) = \frac{1}{(2n+1)(2n+3)}, \quad C(n) = \frac{n}{2n+1},$$

$$(3.21) \quad (2n+1)P_n^{(0,0)}(x) = (n+1)P_n^{(0,1)}(x) + nP_{n-1}^{(0,1)}(x), \quad n \ge 1.$$

Then $P_n(x) = (2^n n! (n+1)! / (2n+1)!) P_n^{(0,1)}(x), n \ge 0$, so that $2^n n! (n+1)!$

$$P_n(1) = \frac{2^n n! (n+1)!}{(2n+1)!}, \quad n \ge 0,$$

$$P_n(-1) = \frac{(-1)^n 2^n ((n+1)!)^2}{(2n+1)!}, \quad n \ge 0.$$

Using (3.21) inductively, we have

$$P_n^{(0,1)}(x) = \frac{2n+1}{n+1} P_n^{(0,0)}(x) - \frac{2n-1}{n+1} P_{n-1}^{(0,0)}(x) + \frac{2n-3}{n+1} P_{n-2}^{(0,0)}(x) + \dots + \frac{(-1)^n}{n+1} P_0^{(0,0)}(x).$$

Then by (3.20) the coefficient of $P_0^{(0,0)}(x)$ in the expansion of $(1-x)P_n^{(0,1)}(x)$ in terms of $\{P_k^{(0,0)}(x)\}_{k=0}^{n+1}$ is

$$-A(n)\frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n+2} + (1-B(n))\frac{(-1)^n}{n+1} - C(n)\frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} = 2\frac{(-1)^n}{n+1}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tau, P_n \rangle &= \int_{-1}^1 (1-x) P_n \, dx + a P_n(-1) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n 2^n (n!)^2}{(2n+1)!} [4 + a(n+1)^2], \quad n \ge 1, \\ \langle \tau, P_0 \rangle &= \int_{-1}^1 (1-x) \, dx + a = 2 + a. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.20) we also have

$$\langle (1+x)\tau, P_0 \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 (1+x)(1-x) \, dx = \frac{4}{3}, \\ \langle (1+x)\tau, P_1 \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 (1+x)(1-x)P_1(x) \, dx = -\frac{4}{9}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} |M_1| &= \langle \tau, P_0 \rangle = 2 + a, \\ |M_2| &= \begin{vmatrix} \langle \tau, P_0 \rangle & \langle \tau, P_1 \rangle \\ \langle (1+x), \tau, P_0 \rangle & \langle (1+x)\tau, P_1 \rangle \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \frac{4}{9}(2+3a), \\ |N_n| &= \begin{vmatrix} \langle \tau, P_{n-1} \rangle & \langle \tau, P_n \rangle \\ P_{n-1} & P_n(1) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \frac{n((n-1)!)^4 4^n}{2((2n-1)!)^2} D_n, \quad n \ge 2, \end{split}$$

where

$$D_n = 4 + n(n+1)a.$$

Note that $D_1 = 2|M_1|$, $D_2 = (9/2)|M_2|$.

Hence, by Theorem 3.4, τ is quasi-definite if and only if $D_n \neq 0$, $n \geq 1$, i.e.,

$$a \neq \frac{-4}{n(n+1)}, \quad n \ge 1.$$

In this case the MOPS $\{Q_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ relative to τ is

$$Q_{1}(x) = \frac{-1}{|M_{1}|} \begin{vmatrix} P_{0}(x) & P_{1}(x) \\ \langle \tau, P_{0} \rangle & \langle \tau, P_{1} \rangle \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= P_{1}(x) + \frac{4(a+1)}{3(a+2)} P_{0}(x),$$
$$(1-x)Q_{n}(x) = \frac{-1}{|N_{n}|} \begin{vmatrix} P_{n-1}(x) & P_{n}(x) & P_{n+1}(x) \\ \langle \tau, P_{n-1} & \langle \tau, P_{n} \rangle & \langle \tau, P_{n+1} \rangle \\ P_{n-1}(1) & P_{n}(1) & P_{n+1}(1) \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= -P_{n+1}(x) - \frac{n+1}{(2n+3)(2n+1)D_n} \times (an^3 + 5an^2 + 4(a+1)n - 4a - 12)P_n(x) + \frac{n(n+1)}{(2n+1)^2D_n}(an^2 + 3an + 2a + 4)P_{n-1}(x), \quad n \ge 2.$$

Acknowledgment. The authors thank the referee for his valuable comments. This work is partially supported by KOSEF (R01-199-0000).

REFERENCES

1. D. Beghdadi and P. Maroni, On the inverse problem on a semi-classical form by a polynomial, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 88 (1997), 377–399.

2. S. Belmehdi, On the left multiplication of a regular linear functional by a polynomial, in Orthogonal polynomials and their applications, C. Brezinski et al., eds., IMACS, 1991.

3. A. Branquinho and F. Marcellán, *Generating new classes of orthogonal polynomials*, In. J. Math. Math. Sci. **19** (1996), 643–656.

4. T.S. Chihara, An introduction to orthogonal polynomials, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1978.

5. ——, On co-recursive orthogonal polynomials, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 899–905.

6. E.B. Christoffel, Über die Gaussische Quadratur und eine Verallgemeinerung derselben, J. reine Angew. Math. 55 (1858), 61–82.

7. D.H. Kim and K.H. Kwon, *Quasi-definiteness of generalized Uvarov transforms of moment functionals*, preprint.

8. D.H. Kim, K.H. Kwon and S.B. Park, Delta perturbation of a moment functional, Appl. Anal. 74 (2000), 463–477.

9. K.H. Kwon, D.W. Lee, F. Marcellán and S.B. Park, On kernel polynomials and self perturbation of orthogonal polynomials, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., to appear.

10. P. Maroni, Sur la suite de polynômes orthogonaux associée à la forme $u = \delta_c + \lambda (x - c)^{-1}L$, Periodica Math. Hungar. **21** (1990), 223–248.

11. ——, An introduction to second degree forms, Adv. Comp. Math. 3 (1995), 59–88.

12. A.F. Nikiforov and V.B. Uvarov, *Special functions of mathematical physics*, Birkhauser, Boston, 1988.

13. E.D. Rainville, *Special functions*, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1971.

14. A. Ronveaux, Sur l'èquation différentielle du second ordre satisfaite par une classe de polynômes orthogonaux semi-classiques, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 305 (1987), 163–166.

15. G. Szegö, *Orthogonal polynomials*, American Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1978.

16. V.B. Uvarov, The connection between systems of polynomials that are orthogonal with respect to different distribution functions, USSR Comput. Math. Physics 9 (1969), 25–36.

17. A. Zhedanov, Rational spectral transforms and orthogonal polynomials, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 85 (1997), 67–86.

DIVISION OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, KAIST, TAEJON 305-701, KOREA Email address: khkwon@jacobi.kaist.ac.kr