ROCKY MOUNTAIN JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS Volume 32, Number 1, Spring 2002

NORMAL HYPERBOLICITY FOR FLOWS AND NUMERICAL METHODS

MING-CHIA LI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove that normally hyperbolic invariant manifolds persist between flows and numerical methods in both directions. This means that normal hyperbolicity of flows is preserved under numerical methods and that normally hyperbolicity for numerical methods is inherited by flows.

1. Definitions and statement of theorems. Let M be a smooth complete Riemannian manifold with a distance d arising from the Riemannian metric and Diff (M) be the set of diffeomorphisms on M with the strong topology and distance d_{C^1} . A flow is a map $\varphi : \mathbf{R} \times M \to M$ that satisfies the group property: $\varphi^s(\varphi^t(x)) = \varphi^{s+t}(x)$.

Definition 1. For $p \ge 1$, let φ be a C^{p+1} flow on M. A C^{p+1} function $N : \mathbf{R} \times M \to M$ is called a *numerical method of order* p for φ^t if there are positive constants K and h_0 such that $d(\varphi^h(x), N^h(x)) \le Kh^{p+1}$, for all $h \in [0, h_0]$ and $x \in M$. Here h stands for a stepsize of N. We denote the *i*-th iterate of $N^h(x)$ by $(N^h)^i(x)$.

Numerical methods arise from computer simulation and numerical approximation. For instance, both explicit and implicit Runge-Kutta methods satisfy the above conditions (see [1]).

It is well known that the time-h map of the flow and the numerical method of stepsize h are C^1 close polynomially in terms of h.

Lemma 1 [6]. Let N be a numerical method of order p for a C^{p+1} flow φ on a compact manifold M. Then there is a constant K_1 such that $d_{C^1}(\varphi^h, N^h) \leq K_1 h^p$ for all sufficiently small h. Moreover, given T > 0, there is a constant K_2 such that $d_{C^1}(\varphi^T, (N^{T/n})^n) \leq K_2 n^{1-p}$ for all large positive integers n.

²⁰⁰⁰ AMS *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 37C50, 34A45, 37N30, 65L05. Received by the editors on August 16, 1999.

Copyright ©2002 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

We formulate normal hyperbolicity by making an adaptation of the cone-field argument used in [17].

Definition 2. We say that Λ is a *compact invariant manifold* of a diffeomorphism f on M if it is a C^1 compact boundaryless submanifold of M satisfying $f(\Lambda) = \Lambda$. We say that Λ is *normally hyperbolic* for f if there exists a splitting $TM \mid \Lambda = E^s \oplus T\Lambda \oplus E^u$ and constants $\alpha > 0$, K > 0 and $0 < \mu < 1 < \lambda$ such that, for all $x \in \Lambda$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$\dim (E_x^s), \dim (T_x\Lambda), \text{ and } \dim (E_x^u) \text{ are constants}, \\ Df_x^{-1}(C_x^s) \subset C_{f^{-1}(x)}^s, \qquad Df_x(C_x^u) \subset C_{f(x)}^u, \\ |Df_x^n v| \leq K\mu^n |v|, \quad \text{for all } v \in C_x^s, \\ |Df_x^{-n} v| \leq K\lambda^{-n} |v|, \quad \text{for all } v \in C_x^u, \end{cases}$$

where

$$C_x^s = \{ (v^s, v^{cu}) \in E_x^s \times (T_x \Lambda \times E_x^u) : |v^{uc}| \le \alpha |v^s| \}, C_x^u = \{ (v^{sc}, v^u) \in (E_x^s \times T_x \Lambda) \times E_x^u : |v^{sc}| \le \alpha |v^u| \}.$$

Let φ^t be a flow on M and Λ_{φ} be a compact invariant manifold of the time-T map φ^T of the flow. We say that φ^t is normally hyperbolic on Λ_{φ} if φ^T is normally hyperbolic on Λ_{φ} .

Let N be a numerical method for a flow on M satisfying that N^h has a compact invariant manifold Λ_h for all sufficiently small h > 0. We say that N is normally hyperbolic on $\{\Lambda_h\}$ if each individual N^h is normally hyperbolic on Λ_h with respect to the constants α, K, μ and λ independent of h. We say that $\{\Lambda_h\}$ is *isolated* if there exists a neighborhood U, independent of h, of Λ_h such that $\Lambda_h \subset \operatorname{int}(U)$ and $\Lambda_h = \bigcap_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (N^h)^n(U)$.

The following proposition derives general conditions for a closed submanifold to be normally hyperbolic for numerical methods.

Proposition 1. Let Λ be a normally hyperbolic invariant manifold for a diffeomorphism f on M. Then, for each $x \in \Lambda$, there exist unique subspaces $\mathbf{E}_x^s \subset C_x^s$ and $\mathbf{E}_x^u \subset C_x^u$ such that the splitting $T_xM \mid \Lambda = \mathbf{E}_x^s \oplus T_x\Lambda \oplus \mathbf{E}_x^u$ is Df-invariant and varies continuously

NORMAL HYPERBOLICITY

with x, and for all $n \ge 0$,

$$\begin{split} \|Df^n \mid \mathbf{E}_x^s\| &\leq K\mu^n, \qquad \|Df^{-n} \mid \mathbf{E}_x^u\| \leq K\lambda^{-n}, \\ \|Df^n \mid \mathbf{E}_x^s\| \cdot \|Df^{-n} \mid T_{f^n(x)}\Lambda\| \leq K\mu^n, \\ \|Df^{-n} \mid \mathbf{E}_x^u\| \cdot \|Df^n \mid T_{f^n(x)}\Lambda\| \leq K\lambda^{-n}. \end{split}$$

For a proof of the proposition, refer to [17] and [18].

The following theorem states normally hyperbolic invariant manifold of flows persists under numerical methods.

Theorem 1. Let $p \geq 2$, φ^t be a C^{p+1} flow on M, and N be a numerical method of order p for φ^t . If φ^t has a C^1 compact normally hyperbolic invariant manifold Λ_{φ} , then for all sufficiently small h > 0, there exists a normally hyperbolic invariant manifold Λ_h for N^h .

The persistence of hyperbolic periodic orbits is shown early in [3], and later in [2] and [5] for one-step methods, in [4] for multistep methods, and in [19] for general numerical methods. The case of *stable* normally hyperbolic tori is investigated in [14]. Our theorem is a generalized version of the above results.

For many local properties of flows persisted by numerical methods, refer to the extensive volume of Stuart and Humphries [22] and the long bibliography therein. For structural stability results of flows under numerical methods, see our previous works [10], [11], [12] and [13].

Next, we consider the converse of Theorem 1, that is, normal hyperbolicity of a numerical method is inherited by the flow.

Theorem 2. Let $p \geq 2$, φ^t be a C^{p+1} flow on M, and let N be a numerical method of order p for φ^t . If N^h has a C^1 compact invariant manifold Λ_h for all sufficiently small h > 0, N is normally hyperbolic on $\{\Lambda_h\}$, and $\{\Lambda_h\}$ is isolated with respect to a common neighborhood U. Then there is a normally hyperbolic invariant manifold Λ_{φ} for φ^t .

In [7], Hagen assumes that a numerical method has a smooth compact normally hyperbolic invariant manifold Λ independent of stepsize h

and showed the existence of a compact invariant manifold for the flow. In our result, we allow the invariant manifolds for the numerical method varies with the stepsize, which is more practical for numerical computations.

2. Proof of Theorem 1. The proof presented here relies on the proof of the stable manifold theorem. We make modifications from the method developed by Conley as given in McGehee [15] and Moser [16] for the two-dimensional case, the approach given in Hirsch and Pugh [8] for the high dimensional case, and the proof given in Hirsch, Pugh and Shub [9] for normal hyperbolicity. See also [21].

Because Λ_{φ} is normally hyperbolic, the tangent bundle of M along Λ_{φ} splits as the sum of three bundles $TM \mid \Lambda_{\varphi} = \mathbf{E}^s \oplus T\Lambda_{\varphi} \oplus \mathbf{E}^u$. We want the normal bundle η of φ^t to be smooth. It is no loss of generality to make a convenient choice of η : let η^s and η^u be smooth subbundles of $TM \mid \Lambda_{\varphi}$ with approximating \mathbf{E}^s and \mathbf{E}^u so that $TM \mid \Lambda_{\varphi} = \eta^s \oplus T\Lambda_{\varphi} \oplus \eta^u$, and choose $\eta = \eta^s \oplus \eta^u$. Let $\eta^{\delta}(r) = \{v \in \eta^{\delta} : |v| \leq r\}$, for $\delta = s, u$, be the r disk bundles and $\eta(r) = \eta^s(r) \oplus \eta^u(r)$. Let $\pi^s : \eta \to \eta^s$ be a projection along η^u onto η^s and $\pi^u : \eta \to \eta^u$ be a projection along η^s onto η^u .

We want to view a tubular neighborhood of Λ_{φ} , as a bundle not over Λ_{φ} , but over some higher dimensional manifold. Let exp be the exponential map from tangent space to the manifold and set $X = \exp \eta^s(r_0)$, where $r_0 > 0$ is small enough so that X(r) is a manifold (with boundary). It is clear that $TX \mid \Lambda_{\varphi} = \eta^s \oplus \eta^c$. For every point $x \in \Lambda$, affinely translate $\eta^u_x(r)$ from the origin to all points in η^s_x . Therefore we have an extension of $\eta^u(r)$ to X near Λ_{φ} , still denoting it η^u . Exponentiating the extension down to the manifold gives a tubular neighborhood Y(r) of X in M. Let η^{sc} be a differentiable extension of TX to the neighborhood Y(r) of Λ_{φ} .

For convenience, we change the Riemannian norm of M so that the time-T map φ^T of the flow is normally hyperbolic with respect to the constants $\alpha = K = 1$. For $x \in Y(r)$, let $C_x^u = \{(v^{sc}, v^u) \in \eta_x^{sc} \times \eta_x^u : |v^{sc}| \le |v^u|\}$. If r > 0 is small enough, then for all $x \in Y(r)$, $D\varphi_x^T(C_x^u) \subset C_{f(x)}^u$.

For $x \in X$, let $D_0^u = \exp(\eta_x^u)$. Then let D_0^u be a disk in the tubular neighborhood Y(r) satisfying: (i) D_0^u has the same dimension as η_x^u ,

(ii) the tangent space $T_x D_0^u$ is contained in the cone C_x^u , (iii) the boundary of D_0 is in the boundary of Y(r), and (iv) D_0^u goes all the way across Y(r). In local coordinates we could assume that D_0^u is the graph of a function form η_x^u into η_x^{sc} . Because of the invariance of the bundles under φ^T , $(\varphi^T)^n (D_0^u) \cap Y(r)$ is a disk with the same properties as above for all $n \ge 0$. And $D_n^u = (\varphi^T)^{-n} ((\varphi^T)^n (D_0^u) \cap Y(r)) \subset D_0^u$ is a nested set of disks which converges to a single point. This point is the unique point in D_0^u which stays in Y(r) for all forward iterates. Let

$$W_r^{s\varphi} = \bigcup_{x \in X} \bigcap_{n \ge 0} (\varphi^T)^{-n} ((\varphi^T)^n (\exp(\eta_x^u)) \cap Y(r)).$$

Then the stable manifold $W_r^{s\varphi}$ consists of all points whose forward φ^T orbits never leave Y(r). Applying this result to φ^{-T} produces the unstable manifold $W_r^{u\varphi}$. Thus $\Lambda_{\varphi} = W_r^{u\varphi}(\Lambda_{\varphi}) \cap W_r^{s\varphi}(\Lambda_{\varphi})$.

By Lemma 1, we have $(N^{T/n})^n \to \varphi^T$ in the C^1 topology as $n \to \infty$. Take *n* sufficiently large so that $DN_x^{T/n}(C_x^u) \subset C_{N^{T/n}(x)}^u$. If D_0^{uN} is a disk of the same type as above, then $\bigcap_{n\geq 0} (N^{T/n})^{-n} ((N^{T/n})^n (D_0^{uN}) \cap Y(r))$ is a single point. Thus the set

$$W_r^{sN} = \bigcup_{x \in X} \bigcap_{n \ge 0} (N^{T/n})^{-n} ((N^{T/n})^n (\exp(\eta_x^u)) \cap Y(r))$$

consists of all points which stays in Y(r) for all forward $N^{T/n}$ -iterates. Similarly, we can get the unstable manifold W_r^{uN} when applying this result to $(N^{T/n})^{-n}$. The stable and unstable manifolds, W_r^{sN} and W_r^{uN} , are of C^1 and transverse to each other; therefore there exists $\Lambda_{T/n} = W_r^{uN} \cap W_r^{sN}$ which is $N^{T/n}$ -invariant and is of C^1 . Consider T/n = h, then Λ_h is N^h -invariant. By the C^1 persistence of $D\varphi^h$ invariant splitting $T_xM \mid \Lambda_{\varphi} = \mathbf{E}_x^s \oplus T_x\Lambda_h \oplus \mathbf{E}_x^u$, there exists a DN^h invariant splitting $T_xM = \mathbf{E}_x^{sN} \oplus T_x\Lambda_h \oplus \mathbf{E}_x^{uN}$ of which N^h is normally hyperbolicity.

3. Proof of Theorem 2. We shall apply the abstract invariant manifold theorems in Theorem 4.1 of [9] and Theorem 3.1 of [20] to construct the local unstable and stable manifolds for points in Λ_h . Then consider the time-*h* map of the flow as a C^1 perturbation of N^h , and construct its local unstable and stable manifolds. The graph transform method is essential.

By Proposition 1, Λ_h has normally hyperbolic DN^h -invariant splitting $TM \mid \Lambda_h = \mathbf{E}^s \oplus T\Lambda_h \oplus \mathbf{E}^u$. For r > 0, let $X(r) = \exp \mathbf{E}^u(r)$. Fix $r_0 > 0$ so small that $X(r_0) \subset U$ is a compact manifold. Let η^u and η^s be smooth and trivial subbundles of $TM \mid X(r_0)$ with approximating \mathbf{E}^u and \mathbf{E}^s so that $TM \mid X(r_0) = \eta^u \oplus T\Lambda_h \oplus \eta^s$, and choose $\eta = \eta^u \oplus \eta^s$. Set $\eta(r) = \eta^u(r) \oplus \eta^s(r)$. For $\delta = u, s$, let $\eta^{\delta}(r) = \{v \in \eta^{\delta} : |v| \leq r\}$ and $\pi^{\delta} : \eta \to \eta^{\delta}$ be a natural projection. If $\sigma : \eta^u(r) \to \eta$ is a section, then we can define the *slope* of σ at $v_x \in \eta^u(r)$ to be $\limsup_{v_y \to v_x} (|s(v_x) - s(v_y)|_s/d_u(v_x, v_y))$, where $\sigma(v_x) = (v_x, s(v_x)) \in \eta^u \times \eta^s$, $|\cdot|_s$ is the norm on η^s and d_u is the Finsler metric on η^u . Let $\Sigma(1, r) = \{\text{section } \sigma : \eta^u(r) \to \eta(r) \text{ such that}$ $slope(\sigma) \leq 1\}$ be a complete metric space with the C^0 sup norm.

For r > 0 small, $x \in X(r)$ and $v_x \in \eta(r)$, we define a bundle map F by

$$F(v_x) = \exp_{N^h(x)}^{-1} \circ N^h \circ \exp v_x$$

Then F is a C^1 bundle map on $\eta(r)$. One can take $0 < r_1 \le r_0$ small so that, for $\sigma \in \Sigma(1, r_1)$ and $x \in X(r_1)$, $\pi^u \circ F \circ \sigma : \eta^u(r) \mid_{X(r_1)} \to \eta^u \mid_{X(r_1)}$ is invertible. We denote its inverse by $g : \eta^u(r) \mid_{X(r_1)} \to \eta^u$. We define a graph transform $F_{\#}$ of F over $X(r_1)$ by

$$F_{\#}(\sigma)_x = F \circ \sigma \circ g_x \quad \text{for } x \in X(r_1).$$

Then $F_{\#}$ is a contraction on $\Sigma(1, r_1)$ and has a unique fixed point $\sigma^{uN^h} \in \Sigma(1, r_1)$. For $0 < r \le r_1$, let $W_r^{uN^h}(x) = \exp \sigma_x^{uN^h}(\eta_x^u(r))$. Similarly, we get stable manifolds for N^h by $W_r^{sN^h}(x) = W_r^{uN^h}(x, (N^h)^{-1})$. Let $W_r^{\sigma N^h}(\Lambda_h) = \bigcup_{x \in \Lambda_h} W_r^{\sigma N^h}(x)$ for $\sigma = u, s$. Then $\Lambda_h = W_r^{uN^h}(\Lambda_h) \cap W_r^{sN^h}(\Lambda_h)$.

By Lemma 1, we have that φ^h is $O(h^p)$ -close to N^h in the C^1 topology with $p \geq 2$. By Theorem 4.1 of [9], see also Theorem 2 of [19], one can take h > 0 sufficiently small so that the bundle map G_h defined by $G_h(v_x) = \exp_{N^h(x)}^{-1} \circ \varphi^h \circ \exp v_x$ has a well-defined graph transform $G_{h\#} = G_h \circ \sigma \circ g'$, where g' is a right inverse of $\pi^u \circ G_h \circ \sigma$. Moreover, $G_{h\#}$ is a contraction of $\Sigma(1, r)$, so has a fixed point $\sigma^{u\varphi}$. That is, we can construct for φ^h a manifold $W_r^{u\varphi} = \sigma^{u\varphi}(X(r))$. These results when applied to the inverse of φ^h produce $W_r^{s\varphi}$. Let $\Lambda_{\varphi} = W_r^{u\varphi} \cap W_r^{s\varphi}$. By backward and forward invariance of $W_r^{u\varphi}$ and $W_r^{s\varphi}$, Λ_{φ} is φ^h -invariant. Since Λ_h is normally hyperbolic and isolated, one can take h > 0 small

enough so that φ^h is normally hyperbolic on Λ_{φ} and Λ_{φ} is *locally* maximal in the sense that there is a neighborhood V of Λ_{φ} such that any φ^h -invariant set contained entirely in V is a subset of Λ_{φ} . By uniqueness, we have that φ^t is normally hyperbolic on Λ_{φ} .

REFERENCES

1. K.E. Atkinson, An introduction to numerical analysis, 2nd ed., John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1989.

2. W.-J. Beyn, On invariant curves for one-step methods, Numer. Math. 51 (1987), 103–122.

3. M. Braun and J. Hershenov, *Periodic solutions of finite difference equations*, Quart. Appl. Math. **35** (1977), 139–147.

4. H.T. Doan, Invariant curves for numerical methods, Quart. Appl. Math. 3 (1985), 385–393.

5. T. Eirola, Invariant curves of one-step methods, BIT 28 (1988), 113–122.

6. B.M. Garay, Discretization and normal hyperbolicity, Z. Angew. Math. Mech. 74 (1994), T662–T663.

7. A. Hagen, Hyperbolic structures of time discretizations and the dependence on the time step, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, 1996.

8. M. Hirsch and C. Pugh, *Stable manifolds and hyperbolic sets*, Global Analysis, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 14, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1970, pp. 133–163.

9. M. Hirsch, C. Pugh and M. Shub, *Invariant manifolds*, Lecture Notes in Math. 583, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.

10. M.-C. Li, Structural stability of flows under numerics, J. Differential Equations 141 (1997), 1–12.

11. ——, Structural stability of Morse-Smale gradient-like flows under discretizations, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 28 (1997), 381–388.

12. ——, Structural stability for the Euler methods, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 30 (1999), 747–755.

13. ——, Structural stability on basins for numerical methods, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 127 (1999), 289–295.

14. J. Lorenz, *Numerics of invariant manifolds and attractors*, Chaotic Numerics (P.E. Kloeden and K.J. Palmer, eds.), Contemp. Math., vol. 172, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993, pp. 185–202.

15. R. McGehee, A stable manifold theorem for degenerated fixed points with applications to celestial mechanics, J. Differential Equations **14** (1973), 70–88.

16. J. Moser, *Stable and random motions in dynamical systems*, Ann. of Math. Stud., Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1973.

17. S. Newhouse and J. Palis, *Bifurcations of Morse-Smale dynamical systems*, Dynamical Systems (M. Peixoto, ed.), Academic Press, New York, 1973, pp. 303–366.

18. — , Cycles and bifurcation theory, Astérisque 31 (1976), 43–140.

19. C. Pugh and M. Shub, C^r stability of periodic solutions and solution schemes, Appl. Math. Lett. **1** (1988), 281–285.

20. C. Robinson, Structural stability of C^1 diffeomorphisms, J. Differential Equations **22** (1976), 28–73.

21. ——, Dynamical systems: Stability, symbolic dynamics, and chaos, 2nd ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1999.

22. A.M. Stuart and A.R. Humphries, *Dynamical systems and numerical analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL CHANGHUA UNIVERSITY OF EDUCA-TION, 1 CHINDE ROAD, CHANGHUA 500, TAIWAN *E-mail address:* mcli@math.ncue.edu.tw