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#### Abstract

The aim of this paper is to solve the convolution equation $k * u^{2}=|u|$ for $k$ subject to the conditions $k \in L^{3 / 2}(\mathbf{R}), k(x) \geq 0, k(x)=k(-x)$ and $k$ symmetrically decreasing. By using a result of the Ljusternik-Schnirelman theory on $C^{1}$-manifold due to A . Szulkin we improve some recent results of J.B. Baillon and M. Théra.


1. Introduction. Recently, J.B. Baillon and M. Théra [1] introduced a notion of self-adjoint nonlinear operator $T$ with respect to a duality mapping $J_{\theta}$. Using the properties of such a mapping they studied the optimization problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{\left\langle T u, J_{\theta} u\right\rangle: u \in X,\|u\|=1\right\}, \tag{P}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X$ is a reflexive real Banach space equipped with a sufficiently smooth norm.

In their papers $[\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1 2}]$, they showed that problem $(P)$ was very useful to obtain solutions of some convolution equations such as the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k * u^{2}=u, \quad u \in L^{3}(\mathbf{R}) \tag{E}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k \in L^{3 / 2}(\mathbf{R}) \cap L^{3}(\mathbf{R})$ is assumed to be symmetrically decreasing, even and positive.

In this paper we use a recent result of the Ljusternik-Schnirelman theory on $C^{1}$-manifold $[\mathbf{1 0}]$ due to A. Szulkin to find critical points of the norm $\|\cdot\|$ on the Banach manifold $M:=\left\{u \in X:\left\langle T u, J_{\theta} u\right\rangle=1\right\}$. By using the Lagrange multiplier theorem we prove that our approach can also be used to find solutions of convolution equations and of some set-valued integral equations.

[^0]2. Preliminaries. Let $\left\langle X, X^{*}\right\rangle$ be a dual system of real reflexive Banach spaces, where $\|\cdot\|$ will be the norm on $X$ and $\|\cdot\|_{*}$ the corresponding dual norm. We write $N(u)$ for $\|u\|$ and we assume the norm is $C^{1}$-Gâteaux differentiable, i.e., for each $x \in X \backslash\{0\}$, the Gâteaux directional derivative of the norm given by
$$
\left\langle N^{\prime}(u), h\right\rangle=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}(N(u+t h)-N(u)) / t
$$
exists, is linear and continuous in $h$ for all $u$ in a neighborhood of $x$.
2.1. Ljusternik-Schirelmann result for even functions on $C^{1}$ manifold. Let $M$ be a closed symmetric $C^{1}$-submanifold. Denote the tangent bundle of $M$ by $T(M)$ and the tangent space of $M$ at $x$ by $T_{x}(M) . T(M)^{*}$ will be the cotangent bundle and $T_{x}(M)^{*}$ the cotangent space of $M$ at $x$. Let $f \in C^{1}(M, \mathbf{R})$. $d f(x) \in T_{x}(M)^{*}$ denotes the differential of $f$ at $x$ and a point $x \in M$ is said to be a critical point of $f$ if $d f(x)=0$. The function $f$ is said to satisfy the Palais-Smale condition at level $c \in \mathbf{R}\left((\mathrm{PS})_{c}\right.$ for short) if each sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset M$ such that $f\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$ in $\mathbf{R}$ and $d f\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ in $T_{x}(M)$ has a convergent subsequence [10].

In what follows we shall need the notions of Ljusternik-Schnirelmann genus. Let $\Sigma$ be the collection of all symmetric subsets of $X \backslash\{0\}$ which are closed in $X$. A nonempty set $A \in \Sigma$ is said to have genus $k$ (i.e., $\gamma(A)=k)$ if $k$ is the smallest integer with the property that there exists an odd continuous mapping $\eta: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{k} \backslash\{0\}$. If there is no such $k$, then we say that $\gamma(A)=+\infty$ and if $A=\varnothing$, we set $\gamma(A)=0$. Properties of the genus may be found in [3]. We only recall here that if $N$ is a symmetric and bounded neighborhood of the origin in $\mathbf{R}^{k}$ and if $A$ is homeomorphic to the boundary of $N$ by an odd homeomorphism, then $\gamma(A)=k$.
The following result is due to A. Szulkin [10].

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that $M$ is a closed symmetric $C^{1}$-submanifold of a real Banach space $X$ and $0 \notin M$. Suppose also that $f \in C^{1}(M, \mathbf{R})$ is even and bounded from below. Define

$$
c_{j}:=\inf _{A \in \Delta_{j}} \sup _{x \in A} f(x)
$$

where $\Delta_{j}:=\{A \subset M, A \in \Sigma, \gamma(A) \geq j$ and $A$ is compact $\}$. If $\Delta_{k} \neq \varnothing$ for some $k \geq 1$ and if $f$ satisfies (PS) ${ }_{c}$ for all $c=c_{j}, j=1, \ldots, k$, then $f$ has at least $k$ distinct pairs of critical points.
2.2. Self-adjoint operator with respect to a duality mapping. We say that $j:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ is a gauge function if $j$ is an increasing continuous function such that $j(0)=0$ and $j(t) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$.

The convex continuous function $\theta:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty) ; t \rightarrow \theta(t):=$ $\int_{0}^{t} j(s) d s$ is called a potential. The duality mapping $J_{\theta}: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ associated with $\theta$, is given by $\left\langle u, J_{\theta} u\right\rangle=j(\|u\|)\|u\|$ and $\left\|J_{\theta} u\right\|_{*}=$ $j(\|u\|)$ for every $u \in X$. We list the properties of $J_{\theta} u$ which will be referred to in the following section [4].
i) $J_{\theta}(\lambda u)=j(\lambda\|u\|) \cdot J_{\theta}(u) / j(\|u\|)$, for all $u \neq 0, \lambda>0$,
ii) $J_{\theta}$ is odd,
iii) $\left\langle u-v, J_{\theta}(u)-J_{\theta}(v)\right\rangle \geq j(\|u\|)-j(\|v\|) \cdot(\|u\|-\|v\|)$, for all $u, v \in X$,
and, since the norm on $X$ is Fréchet-differentiable,
iv) $J_{\theta}$ is continuous
v) $\left\langle v, J_{\theta} u\right\rangle=j(\|u\|) \cdot\left\langle N^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle$ for all $u, v \in X$.

We now consider an operator $T: X \rightarrow X$. Following J.B. Baillon and M. Théra [1], we say that $T$ is self-adjoint with respect to $J_{\theta}$ if

$$
\left\langle T u, J_{\theta}(v)\right\rangle=\left\langle T v, J_{\theta}(u)\right\rangle, \quad \forall u, v \in X
$$

In $[\mathbf{1}]$ and $[\mathbf{1 2}]$ some properties of such operators are proved. In the following lemma, we only list some of them which will be referred to in the following section.

Lemma 2.2.1 (J.B. Baillon- M. Théra). Let $T$ be a self-adjoint operator with respect to a duality mapping $J_{\theta}$.
i) $T(0)=0$,
ii) $T(\lambda v)=j(\lambda\|v\|) \cdot T v / j(\|v\|)$, for all $v \neq 0, \lambda>0$,
iii) Let $\left\{u_{n} ; n \in \mathbf{N}\right\}$ be a sequence such that $T_{n} \rightharpoonup T u$ and $J_{\theta}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightharpoonup$ $\mu$ then $\left\langle T u, J_{\theta}(u)\right\rangle=\langle T u, \mu\rangle$.

The following mapping will play an important part in the next section. Let $\Phi: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R} ; u \rightarrow \Phi(u):=\left\langle T u, J_{\theta}(u)\right\rangle$. We prove the following:

Proposition 2.2.1. Let $T$ be a self-adjoint operator with respect to a duality mapping $J_{\theta}$. If $T$ is compact, then $\Phi$ is compact and continuous.

Proof. a) Let $\left\{u_{n} ; n \in \mathbf{N}\right\}$ be a bounded sequence in $X$. There exist $M>0$ such that $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \leq M$ and thus $\left\|J_{\theta} u_{n}\right\|_{*}=j\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right) \leq j(M)$. Thus, since $X$ is reflexive, $T$ is compact and $\left\{J_{\theta} u_{n} ; n \in \mathbf{N}\right\}$ is bounded, there exists a subsequence $\left\{u_{n} ; n \in \mathbf{N}\right\}$ such that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u, T u_{n} \rightarrow T$ and $J_{\theta} u_{n} \rightharpoonup \mu$ so that $\Phi\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \Phi$ and, as a result, $\Phi$ is compact.
b) Suppose that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle T u, J_{\theta}(v)\right\rangle-\left\langle T u_{n}, J_{\theta} u_{n}\right\rangle\right| \leq & \left|\left\langle T u, J_{\theta}(u)-J_{\theta} u_{n}\right\rangle\right| \\
& +\left|\left\langle T u, J_{\theta} u_{n}\right\rangle-\left\langle T u_{n}, J_{\theta} u_{n}\right\rangle\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $T$ is self-adjoint with respect to $J_{\theta}$, this yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle T u, J_{\theta}(v)\right\rangle-\left\langle T u_{n}, J_{\theta} u_{n}\right\rangle\right| \leq & \left|\left\langle T u, J_{\theta}(u)-J_{\theta}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\rangle\right| \\
& +\left|\left\langle T u_{n}, J_{\theta} u-J_{\theta} u_{n}\right\rangle\right| \\
\leq & \|T u\| \cdot\left\|J_{\theta}(u)-J_{\theta} u_{n}\right\|_{*} \\
& +\left\|T u_{n}\right\| \cdot\left\|J_{\theta} u-J_{\theta} u_{n}\right\|_{* \cdot} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $T$ is compact, the set $\left\{T u_{n} ; n \in \mathbf{N}\right\}$ is bounded, and since $J_{\theta}$ is continuous, we get the continuity of $\Phi$.

Remark 2.2.1. Recall that if $\Phi$ is uniformly Fréchet-differentiable and completely continuous $\left(u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \Rightarrow \Phi\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \Phi(w)\right.$, then $\Phi^{\prime}$ is also completely continuous [13].
3. Multiplicity results. In the sequel we assume that the gauge function $j$ is positively homogeneous of order $\alpha / 2>0$. This assumption is not a real limitation for most of the applications. We also make the following hypotheses:
(H1) $X$ is Kadec,
(H2) $\Phi$ is uniformly Fréchet-differentiable,
(H3) $T$ is odd,
(H4) $T$ is self-adjoint with respect to the duality mapping $J_{\theta}$,
(H5) $\Phi$ is completely continuous,
(H6) $\Phi(u)>0$, for all $u \neq 0$.
We define

$$
M:=\{u \in X: \Phi(u)=1\}
$$

For each $u \in M$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle & =\lim _{\lambda \downarrow 0}\left[j((1+\lambda)\|u\|)^{2}-j(\|u\|)^{2}\right] / \lambda j(\|u\|)^{2} \\
& =\lim _{\lambda \downarrow 0} \alpha(1+\lambda)^{\alpha-1} \\
& =\alpha \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\Phi^{\prime}(u) \neq 0$ on $M$ and thus $M$ is a $C^{1}$-submanifold on $X$. By Assumption (H5), $M$ is closed. By Assumption (H3) and Property ii) of $J_{\theta}, \Phi$ is even and $M$ is symmetric. Thus, $M$ is a closed symmetric $C^{1}$-submanifold on $X$.

Theorem 3.2.1. Under assumptions (H1)-(H6) there exist infinitely many distinct pairs of couples $(\lambda, u),(\lambda-u) \in \mathbf{R} \times X$ such that
i) $\lambda>0$,
ii) $u \neq 0$,
iii) $\Phi(u)=1$,
iv) $N^{\prime}(u)=\lambda \Phi^{\prime}(u)$.

Proof. Let $J: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ be the duality mapping (i.e., $j(t)=t$ ) and define the projection mapping $P_{u}: X \rightarrow T_{u}(M)$ by

$$
P_{u} v=v-J^{-1} \Phi^{\prime}(u) \cdot\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle /\left\|\Phi^{\prime}(u)\right\|_{*}^{2}
$$

Put $f:=\left.N\right|_{M}$. We remark that $\left\langle N^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\langle d f(u), v\rangle$ for each $v \in T_{u}(M)$. Thus

$$
\left\langle d f(u), P_{u} v\right\rangle=\left\langle N^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle-\left\langle N^{\prime}(u), J^{-1} \Phi^{\prime}(u)\right\rangle\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle /\left\|\Phi^{\prime}(u)\right\|_{*}^{2}
$$

so that

$$
d f(u)=N^{\prime}(u)-\left\langle N^{\prime}(u), J^{-1} \Phi^{\prime}(u)\right\rangle \cdot \Phi^{\prime}(u) /\left\|\Phi^{\prime}(u)\right\|_{*}^{2}
$$

$\left.1^{\circ}\right) f$ satisfies $(\mathrm{PS})_{c}$ at any level $c \in \mathbf{R}$. Indeed, let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset M$ be a sequence such that $N\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $d f\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. This sequence is bounded and, since $X$ is a reflexive space, $\left\|N^{\prime} u_{n}\right\|_{*} \leq 1$, there exists a subsequence, denoted again by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, such that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$, $\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \Phi^{\prime}(u)$ and $N^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \beta$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
N^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) & \longrightarrow\left\langle N^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), J^{-1} \Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\rangle \Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) /\left\|\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{*}, \\
& \longrightarrow\left\langle\beta, J^{-1} \Phi^{\prime}(u)\right\rangle \Phi^{\prime}(u) /\left\|\Phi^{\prime}(u)\right\|_{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\left\langle N^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-u\right\rangle-\left\langle N^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle \rightarrow 0,
$$

and by property v) of the duality mapping, we also have

$$
\left\langle J_{\theta} u_{n}, u_{n}-u\right\rangle / j\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right)-\left\langle J_{\theta} u, u_{n}-u\right\rangle / j(\|u\|) \rightarrow 0
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\left\langle J_{\theta} u_{n}, u_{n}-u\right\rangle-\left\langle J_{\theta} u, u_{n}-u\right\rangle\right) / j\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right)+\left\langle J_{\theta} u, u_{n}-u\right\rangle / j\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right) \\
-\left\langle J_{\theta} u, u_{n}-u\right\rangle / j(\|u\|) \rightarrow 0 .
\end{array}
$$

Now there exist $c, C>0$, such that $c \leq j\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right) \leq C$. The majoration is due to the fact that $\left\{u_{n} ; n \in \mathbf{N}\right\}$ is bounded and $j$ increasing. The minoration is due to the fact that $\left\{u_{n} ; n \in \mathbf{N}\right\}$ lies in $M$. Indeed, if such a $c>0$ does not exist then we can find a subsequence $\left\{u_{n^{\prime}} ; n^{\prime} \in \mathbf{N}\right\}$ such that $u_{n^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0$ and $\Phi\left(u_{n^{\prime}}\right)=1$ which is absurd since $\Phi$ is continuous and $\Phi(0)=0$. As a consequence, we get

$$
\left\langle J_{\theta} u_{n}, u_{n}-u\right\rangle-\left\langle J_{\theta} u, u_{n}-u\right\rangle \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Thus, by property iii) of the duality mapping, we get

$$
\lim j\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right)-j(\|u\|) \cdot\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|-\|u\|\right) \leq 0
$$

Since $j$ is increasing, it is necessary that

$$
\lim j\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right)-j(\|u\|) \cdot\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|-\|u\|\right)=0
$$

and thus $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow\|u\|$. From assumption (H1), we get $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ and the Palais-Smale condition is satisfied.
$2^{\circ}$ ) For each $k \geq 1, k \in \mathbf{N}: \Delta_{k} \neq \varnothing$. Let $S^{k-1}:=\{x \in$ $\left.\mathbf{R}^{k} ;\|x\|=1\right\}$ be the unit-sphere in $\mathbf{R}^{k} . S^{k-1}$ is the boundary of a symmetric and bounded neighborhood of the origin in $\mathbf{R}^{k}$. Let $\eta: S^{k-1} \rightarrow M ; x \rightarrow x \cdot(1 / \Phi(x))^{1 / \alpha}$. By assumption (H6), $\eta$ is well defined. $\Phi\left(x \cdot(1 / \Phi(x))^{1 / \alpha}\right)=j\left(\|x\|(1 / \Phi(x))^{1 / \alpha}\right)^{2} \cdot \Phi(x) \cdot j(\|x\|)^{2}=1$, and thus $\eta$ takes its values in $M$. Put $A:=\eta\left(S^{k-1}\right)$. It is clear that $A$ is a symmetric compact subset of $M$, and thus, since $\eta: S^{k-1} \rightarrow A$ is an odd homeomorphism, we have $\gamma(A)=k$.
$3^{\circ}$ ) By Theorem $2.1 f$ has infinitely many distinct pairs $(u,-u)$ of critical points. Since $f$ is convex, each $u$ is a relative minimum for the convex function $N$, and by the Lagrange multiplier theorem there exists $\lambda \neq 0$, such that $N^{\prime}(u)=\lambda \Phi^{\prime}(u)$. We get $\|u\|=\left\langle N^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=$ $\lambda\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=\lambda \alpha$, and thus $\lambda>0$ and $\|u\| \neq 0$.

Corollary 3.2.1. Let $\alpha>0$. Under assumptions (H1)-(H6), there exist infinitely many distinct pairs of couples $(\mu, u),(\mu,-u) \in \mathbf{R} \times X$ such that
i) $\mu>0$,
ii) $u \neq 0$,
iii) $\Phi(u)=1$,
iv) $\left(N^{\alpha}(u)\right)^{\prime}=\mu \Phi^{\prime}(u)$.

Proof. Let ( $\lambda, u$ ) satisfy i)-iv) of Theorem 2.2.1. We have

$$
\left(N^{\alpha}(u)\right)^{\prime}=\alpha N^{\alpha-1}(u) N^{\prime}(u)=\alpha \lambda\|u\|^{\alpha-1} \Phi^{\prime}(u) .
$$

Put $\mu:=\alpha \lambda\|u\|^{\alpha-1}$. The conclusion follows from Theorem 2.2.1.
Remark 3.3.1. By comparison with the work of J.B. Baillon and M . Théra $[\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}]$, we remark that our approach is restricted to gauge functions which are positively homogeneous of order $\alpha>0$ and to operators $T$ which are odd. On the other hand, the norm is only assumed Fréchet-differentiable and our Theorem 3.2.1 is a multiplicity result. As we shall see in the following section, the field of applications
of our approach is larger and include, for instance, some set-valued integral equations.

Remark 3.3.2. Let $I:=[-\pi, \pi]$, and let $\Phi(u):=\int_{I} k * \cos \left(u^{2}\right) u^{2} d x$, where $k \in L^{3}(I)$ is even and symmetrically decreasing. It is easy to see that all the assumptions of Corollary 3.2.1 are satisfied. Thus, there exist infinitely many distinct pairs of elements $(-u, u) \in L^{3}(I) \backslash\{0\}$ such that $|u|=k * \cos \left(u^{2}\right)-u \cdot k * \sin (2 u)$. In this manner, it is possible to prove the existence of nontrivial solutions for several equations. In the following section we consider a specific problem for which the calculations are related in detail.
4. Applications. We consider the problem

$$
k * u^{2}=|u|, \quad u \in L^{3}(\mathbf{R})
$$

where $k \in L^{3 / 2}(\mathbf{R})$ satisfies $k(-x)=k(x)$ and is symmetrically decreasing. Recall that if $k \in L^{3 / 2}(\mathbf{R})$ and if $v \in L^{3 / 2}(\mathbf{R})$, then $k^{*} v \in L^{3}(\mathbf{R})[5]$.

It is clear that if $u$ is a solution of Problem $\left(\mathrm{E}^{\prime}\right)$, then $v:=|u|$ is a positive solution of Problem (E).

We take

$$
j(t):=t^{3}, \quad N(u):=\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}}|u|^{3}\right)^{1 / 3}
$$

and

$$
T u:=k * u^{2} \operatorname{sign}(u)
$$

so that

$$
J_{\theta}(u)=u^{2} \operatorname{sign}(u), \quad \Phi(u)=\int_{\mathbf{R}} k * u^{2} u^{2}
$$

and

$$
M=\left\{u \in L^{3}(\mathbf{R}): \int_{\mathbf{R}} k * u^{2} u^{2}=1\right\}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\left(N^{3}(u)\right)^{\prime}=3 u|u|
$$

and since $k(x)=k(-x)$,

$$
\Phi^{\prime}(u)=4 k * u^{2} \cdot u
$$

It is clear that if $u$ is a solution of $\left(N^{3}(u)\right)^{\prime}=\lambda \Phi^{\prime}(u)$, then $w:=4 \lambda u / 3$ is a solution of Problem ( $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}$ ).

Assumptions (H1)-(H4) and (H6) are satisfied [1]. We now consider the approximate problem
$\left(\mathrm{E}_{n}^{\prime}\right) \quad k * u^{2}=|u|, \quad u \in L^{3}(\mathbf{R}), \quad \operatorname{support}(u) \subset[-n,+n]$.
We put $X_{n}:=L^{3}([-n,+n])$ and, to apply Corollary 3.2.1 on this subspace, we still have to prove that $\Phi$ is completely continuous. In fact, the result has been proved by J.B. Baillon and M. Théra [2]. We can apply Corollary 3.2 .1 to Problem $E_{n}^{\prime}$ and obtain infinitely many pair of distinct (self up to a translation, since the support is fixed) solutions. We thus have the following result.

Proposition 4.1. There exist infinitely many pairs of distinct elements $(-u, u)$ in $L^{3}([-n,+n]) \times L^{3}([-n,+n])$ such that $u \neq 0$, and

$$
k * u^{2}=|u| \quad \text { on }[-n,+n] .
$$

By applying Corollary 3.2.1 to each $X_{n}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get a sequence $u_{n}$ such that $u_{n} \in M, u_{n}$ positive and $k * u_{n}^{2}=u_{n}$. Thus, $\left\|u_{n}\right\|=1$ and there exists a subsequence such that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup z$ in $L^{3}(\mathbf{R}), u_{n}^{2} \rightharpoonup v$ in $L^{3 / 2}(\mathbf{R})$ and thus $k * u_{n}^{2}(x) \rightarrow k * v(x)$ for all $x$. Hence, we get $u_{n}(x) \rightarrow k * v(x)$ for all $x$. Therefore, $z=k * v$ and $v=z^{2}$, so that $z=k * z^{2}$. If we suppose that $z=0$, then by using an argument of Hardy-Littlewood-Pólya [7] as in [2], it is possible to prove that $u_{n}^{2} * u_{n}^{2} \rightharpoonup 0$ in $L^{3}(\mathbf{R})$. We get $1=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}} k * u_{n}^{2} u_{n}^{2}=0$, which is a contradiction. The following result is thus also true.

Proposition 4.2. There exists at least a pair $(-u, u) \in L^{3}(\mathbf{R}) \times$ $L^{3}(\mathbf{R})$ such that $u \neq 0$, and

$$
k * u^{2}=|u| \quad \text { on } \mathbf{R} \text {. }
$$

If $u$ is a solution of $\left(\mathrm{E}^{\prime}\right)$, then $v:=|u|$ is a positive solution of $(\mathrm{E})$ and we get the existence of at least one nontrivial solution of the convolution
equation (E) which is in accordance with the result of J.B. Baillon and M. Théra $[\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}]$. Moreover, each solution of Problem $\left(\mathrm{E}_{T}^{\prime}\right), T>0$, is a solution of the set-valued integral equation

$$
u(t) \in \int_{-T}^{T} k(t-s) F(u(s)) d s
$$

where $F: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbf{R}}$ denotes the set-valued function

$$
x \rightarrow F(x):=\left[-x^{2}, x^{2}\right] .
$$

Such set-valued integral equations are useful for the study of some unilateral problems $[\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{6}]$.

Remark 4.1. A similar application of Theorem 2.1 to homogeneous second order differential equations can be found in $[\mathbf{8}]$.
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