

APPROXIMATE AMENABILITY AND A VARIANT OF CONTINUOUS HOCHSCHILD COHOMOLOGY

KAZUHIRO KAWAMURA

ABSTRACT. We study a variant of continuous Hochschild cohomology of a Banach algebra in connection with a higher-dimensional analogue of the approximate amenability of the algebra. Some results on higher-dimensional amenability have natural analogues in our context. Alternating cocycles, due to Johnson [18], are studied, and a previous result of the author [17] on Lipschitz algebras over compact metric spaces is improved.

1. Introduction and preliminaries. The notion of amenable Banach algebras was introduced by Johnson [16] and, since then, Banach algebras satisfying the amenability condition or its variants have been extensively studied. A higher-dimensional analogue of amenability was introduced and studied by Effros-Kishimoto, Paterson, Smith, and Lykova et al. [4, 21, 22, 23], etc. In [5], Ghahramani and Loy introduced the notion of approximate amenability, which was subsequently studied in [6, 7, 8, 10], etc.

This paper studies a variant of the continuous cohomology introduced by Pourabbas and Shirinkalam [24], here denoted by $\overline{H}^n(A, X)_{\text{pt}}$, of a Banach algebra A and a Banach A -bimodule X . The approximate amenability of A is equivalent to the condition $\overline{H}^1(A, X^*)_{\text{pt}} = 0$ for the dual module X^* of an arbitrary Banach A -bimodule X [24, Example 3.2]. It is defined by taking the quotient of the space of cocycles by the *closure* of the subspace of coboundaries with respect to an appropriate topology on the cocycle space.

In Section 2, we define the group $\overline{H}^n(A, X)_{\text{pt}}$ for an arbitrary Banach algebra A and an arbitrary A -bimodule X , and we study some basic properties. First, we give an alternative proof of a theorem

2010 AMS *Mathematics subject classification.* Primary 16W99, 46H99, 55N35.

Keywords and phrases. Approximate amenable algebra, Hochschild cohomology. The author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI, grant No. 17K05241.

Received by the editors on September 8, 2017, and in revised form on July 3, 2018.

of [24], stating that A is approximately amenable if and only if $\overline{H}^n(A, X^*)_{\text{pt}} = 0$ for each $n \geq 1$, and for each Banach A -bimodule X . This naturally leads to the notion of approximate n -amenability. There exists a canonical surjection $H^n(A, X^*) \rightarrow \overline{H}^n(A, X^*)_{\text{pt}}$, and every n -amenable algebra is approximately n -amenable. We characterize unital approximately n -amenable Banach algebras by the existence of a net of certain $(n-1)$ -cochains, which is a natural counterpart to the virtual n -diagonal introduced in [17, 22] (also see [4, 23]). In view of the notion of the pseudo-amenability due to Ghahramani and Zhang [10], the condition so obtained could be called the “approximate n -pseudo-amenability,” which is a subject of future study.

In Section 3, we consider alternating cocycles, due to Johnson [18], in our context and study cohomology of the Lipschitz algebra $\text{Lip}K$ over a compact metric space K . We prove that, if the space K has the property (seq) in the sense of [19], then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{H}^n(\text{Lip}K, (\text{Lip}K)^*)_{\text{pt}} = \infty$ for each $n \geq 1$. This improves a result of [19].

Most of our proofs are straightforward modifications of the existing arguments; we hope that these results may shed light on approximate amenability and its higher-dimensional analogue.

The remainder of this section sets notation and recounts some basic facts. For a Banach space Z , $\|\cdot\|_Z$ denotes the norm of Z . For a Banach algebra A and a Banach A -bimodule X , recall the following inequality:

$$(1.1) \quad \|a \cdot x\|_X \leq \|a\|_A \|x\|_X, \quad a \in A, x \in X.$$

A Banach A -bimodule X of a Banach algebra A with unit e is said to be *unital* if $e \cdot x = x \cdot e = x$ for each $x \in X$. The dual space X^* , endowed with the operator norm, is a Banach A -bimodule, where the A -module structure is given by

$$(1.2) \quad (a \cdot \xi)(x) = \xi(xa), \quad (\xi \cdot a)(x) = \xi(ax), \\ a \in A, \quad \xi \in X^*, \quad x \in X.$$

It is sometimes convenient to use the notation

$$(1.3) \quad \langle \xi, x \rangle := \xi(x)$$

for an element x of a Banach space X and an element ξ of the dual space X^* . A continuous linear operator $D : A \rightarrow X$ is called a *derivation* if

it satisfies the formula:

$$(1.4) \quad D(ab) = a \cdot Db + Da \cdot b, \quad a, b \in A.$$

For an element $x \in X$, an *inner derivation* is a derivation $\text{ad}_x : A \rightarrow X$ defined by $\text{ad}_x(a) = a \cdot x - x \cdot a$, $a \in A$. A Banach algebra is said to be *amenable* if each derivation $D : A \rightarrow X^*$ of A to the dual module X^* of an arbitrary Banach A -bimodule X is an inner derivation.

Definition 1.1 ([5]). A Banach algebra A is said to be *approximately amenable* if, for each derivation $D : A \rightarrow X^*$ of A to the dual module X^* of an arbitrary Banach A -bimodule X , there exists a net (ξ_ν) in X^* such that

$$\lim_{\nu} \|D(a) - \text{ad}_{\xi_\nu}(a)\|_{X^*} = 0 \quad \text{for each } a \in A.$$

For Banach spaces X and Y , let $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ be the space of bounded linear operators of X to Y equipped with the operator norm. The projective tensor product of X and Y is denoted by $X \widehat{\otimes} Y$, and $X \widehat{\otimes}^n$ denotes the n -fold projective tensor product of X . For a Banach algebra A and a Banach A -bimodule X , let $C^n(A, X)$ be the space of n -cochains, that is, the space of bounded n -linear, $n \geq 1$, operators of A to X , endowed with the norm given by

$$\|f\| = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|f(a_1, \dots, a_n)\|}{\prod_{i=1}^n \|a_i\|} \mid (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in (A \setminus \{0\})^n \right\}$$

for $f \in C^n(A, X)$. Also, let $C^0(A, X) = X$. By definition, $C^1(A, X) = \mathcal{L}(A, X)$. We recall the following basic facts (see, for example, [15]).

Lemma 1.2. *Let A and X be Banach spaces.*

(i) *Let $\Phi : C^{n-1}(A, \mathcal{L}(A, X)) \rightarrow C^n(A, X)$ be the linear operator defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(f)(a_1, \dots, a_n) &= (f(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}))(a_n) \\ f &\in C^{n-1}(A, \mathcal{L}(A, X)), \quad (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n. \end{aligned}$$

Then, Φ is an isometric isomorphism of Banach spaces.

(ii) *Let $\Psi : (A \widehat{\otimes} X)^* \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(A, X^*)$ be the linear operator defined by*

$$\langle \Psi(\xi)(a), x \rangle = \xi(a \otimes x), \quad \xi \in (A \widehat{\otimes} X)^*, \quad a \in A, \quad x \in X.$$

Then, Ψ is an isometric isomorphism of Banach spaces.

(iii) Let $\Theta : C^n(A, X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(A^{\widehat{\otimes}^n}, X)$ be the linear operator defined by

$$\Theta(f)(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) = f(a_1, \dots, a_n), \quad a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \in A^{\widehat{\otimes}^n}.$$

Then, Θ is an isometric isomorphism of Banach spaces.

We use the A -module structure of X to define the coboundary operator $\delta^n : C^n(A, X) \rightarrow C^{n+1}(A, X)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^n f(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) &= a_1 \cdot (f(a_2, \dots, a_{n+1})) \\ (1.5) \quad &+ \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i f(a_1, \dots, a_i a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{n+1}) \\ &+ (-1)^{n+1} f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \cdot a_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

for $f \in C^n(A, X)$ and $(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) \in A^{n+1}$. Then, $Z^n(A, X) = \text{Ker } \delta^n$, $B^n(A, X) = \text{Im } \delta^{n-1}$, and the continuous Hochschild cohomology is defined by $H^n(A, X) = Z^n(A, X)/B^n(A, X)$. The first cohomology $H^1(A, X)$ is isomorphic to the space of derivations modulo the inner derivations. Thus, A is amenable if and only if $H^1(A, X^*) = 0$ for the dual X^* of an arbitrary Banach A -bimodule X [15, 16]. The operator δ^n is often denoted by δ for simplicity.

2. A variant of Hochschild cohomology and approximate amenability. Let A be a Banach algebra, and let X be a Banach A -bimodule. We consider the strong operator topology on the space of n -cochains $C^n(A, X)$, that is, the coarsest topology such that the map

$$C^n(A, X) \longrightarrow X; \quad f \longmapsto f(a_1, \dots, a_n), \quad f \in C^n(A, X),$$

is continuous for each $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$. The space $C^n(A, X)$ endowed with this topology is denoted by $C^n(A, X)_{\text{pt}}$ (referring to the ‘‘pointwise convergence topology’’). It is a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space, and the coboundary operator $\delta^n : C^n(A, X)_{\text{pt}} \rightarrow C^{n+1}(A, X)_{\text{pt}}$ is continuous. Hence, the space $Z^n(A, X)$ of the n -cycles is closed, while the space $B^n(A, X)$ of the n -coboundaries need not be a closed subspace. Let

$$\overline{B}^n(A, X)_{\text{pt}} = \text{the closure of } B^n(A, X) \text{ in } C^n(A, X)_{\text{pt}},$$

and define $\overline{H}^n(A, X)_{\text{pt}}$ by

$$(2.1) \quad \overline{H}^n(A, X)_{\text{pt}} = Z^n(A, X) / \overline{B}^n(A, X)_{\text{pt}}.$$

In [24, Definition 3.1], the above group is denoted by $\mathcal{H}_{\text{app}}^n(A, X)$. In order to indicate the topology on the cocycle space under consideration, we choose the above notation. An n -cocycle $f \in Z^n(A, X)$ represents a trivial element of $\overline{H}^n(A, X)_{\text{pt}}$ if and only if there exists a net (g_ν) in $C^{n-1}(A, X)$ such that, for each $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$, we have

$$\lim_{\nu} \|f(a_1, \dots, a_n) - \delta^{n-1} g_\nu(a_1, \dots, a_n)\|_X = 0.$$

A few observations are in order.

Remark 2.1.

(1) There exists a continuous surjection $H^n(A, X) \rightarrow \overline{H}^n(A, X)_{\text{pt}}$, for each Banach algebra A , and for each Banach A -bimodule X .

(2) For each finite-dimensional algebra A , and for each finite-dimensional A -bimodule X , we have the equality: $H^n(A, X) = \overline{H}^n(A, X)_{\text{pt}}$.

(3) For a finite-dimensional Banach algebra A , $\overline{H}^n(A, X)_{\text{pt}} = 0$ for each Banach A -bimodule X if and only if $H^n(A, X) = 0$ for each Banach A -bimodule X .

Proof. Statement (1) directly follows from the definition, cf., [24, Propositions 2, 3]). If a Banach algebra A and a Banach A -bimodule X are finite-dimensional, then $C^n(A, X)$ is finite-dimensional, $C^n(A, X)$ with the operator-norm topology coincides with the space $C^n(A, X)_{\text{pt}}$, and the space $B^n(A, X)$ is closed in $C^n(A, X)_{\text{pt}}$. This implies statement (2). For statement (3), assume that A is finite-dimensional and $\overline{H}^n(A, X)_{\text{pt}} = 0$ for each Banach A -bimodule X . Each $f \in Z^n(A, X)$ is regarded as a cocycle $f \in Z^n(A, X_0)$ for some finite-dimensional submodule X_0 of X . Then, the assumption and (2) imply $f = \delta g$ for some $g \in C^{n-1}(A, X_0) \subset C^{n-1}(A, X)$. This proves $H^n(A, X) = 0$. \square

For a Banach algebra A , it is known that

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} H^n(A, X^*) &= 0 \text{ for each Banach } A\text{-bimodule } X \text{ if and only if} \\ H^m(A, X^*) &= 0 \text{ for each Banach } A\text{-bimodule } X \text{ and for each } m \geq n. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, A is amenable if and only if $H^n(A, X^*) = 0$ for each Banach A -bimodule X and for each $n \geq 1$ [**16**, pages 8–9]. The next theorem is an analogue of this result in our context.

Theorem 2.2 ([**24**, Theorem 3.8]). *For a Banach algebra A , the following two conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) $\overline{H}^n(A, X^*)_{\text{pt}} = 0$ for each Banach A -bimodule X .
- (ii) $\overline{H}^m(A, X^*)_{\text{pt}} = 0$ for each $m \geq n$ and for each Banach A -bimodule X .

Proof. Only the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) requires a proof. We follow the proof of [**16**, pages 8–9], taking into account the topology. For a Banach A -module X , the space $\mathcal{L}(A, X)$ is endowed with the A -module structure, given by

$$(a \cdot f)(b) = a \cdot (f(b)), \quad (f \cdot a)(b) = f(ab) - (f(a)) \cdot b$$

for $f \in \mathcal{L}(A, X)$ and $a, b \in A$. Let $\Phi : C^{m-1}(A, \mathcal{L}(A, X)) \rightarrow C^m(A, X)$ be the isometric isomorphism of Lemma 1.2 (i). First, we verify that it induces a surjection

$$(2.3) \quad \overline{\Phi} : \overline{H}^{n-1}(A, \mathcal{L}(A, X))_{\text{pt}} \longrightarrow \overline{H}^n(A, X)_{\text{pt}}.$$

Note that the isomorphism Φ , with the above A -bimodule structure on $\mathcal{L}(A, X)$, commutes with the coboundary operators. Also, we see that the bijection

$$\Phi : C^{m-1}(A, \mathcal{L}(A, X))_{\text{pt}} \longrightarrow C^m(A, X)_{\text{pt}}$$

is continuous: if $(f_\nu)_\nu$ is a net in $C^{n-1}(A, \mathcal{L}(A, X))_{\text{pt}}$ such that

$$\lim_\nu \|f_\nu(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})\|_{C^{n-1}(A, \mathcal{L}(A, X))} = 0, \quad (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in A^n,$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(f_\nu)(a_1, \dots, a_n)\|_X &= \|f_\nu(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})(a_n)\|_X \\ &\leq \|f_\nu(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})\|_{C^{n-1}(A, \mathcal{L}(A, X))} \|a_n\|_A, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\lim_\nu \|\Phi(f_\nu)(a_1, \dots, a_n)\|_X = 0$. Hence, we have the inclusion

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\overline{B}^n(A, \mathcal{L}(A, X))_{\text{pt}}) &\subset \overline{\Phi(B^n(A, \mathcal{L}(A, X)))}^{\text{pt}} \\ &= \overline{B^n(A, X)}^{\text{pt}} = \overline{B}^n(A, X)_{\text{pt}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{\Phi(B^n(A, \mathcal{L}(A, X)))}^{\text{pt}}$ denotes the closure of $\Phi(B^n(A, \mathcal{L}(A, X)))$ in $C^n(A, X)_{\text{pt}}$. Hence, the induced map $\overline{\Phi}$ is a surjection.

Next, we apply Lemma 1.2 (ii) to take the isometry $\Psi : (A \widehat{\otimes} X)^* \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(A, X^*)$, which induces an A -bimodule structure on $(A \widehat{\otimes} X)^*$ so that the map Ψ is an A -module isomorphism. It induces a topological isomorphism

$$\Psi_{\sharp} : C^{n-1}(A, (A \widehat{\otimes} X)^*)_{\text{pt}} \longrightarrow C^{n-1}(A, \mathcal{L}(A, X^*))_{\text{pt}},$$

which commutes with the coboundary operators. Hence, Ψ induces an isomorphism

$$\overline{\Psi} : \overline{H}^{n-1}(A, (A \widehat{\otimes} X)^*)_{\text{pt}} \longrightarrow \overline{H}^{n-1}(A, \mathcal{L}(A, X^*))_{\text{pt}}.$$

Thus, we have a surjection

$$\overline{\Phi} \circ \overline{\Psi} : \overline{H}^{n-1}(A, (A \widehat{\otimes} X)^*)_{\text{pt}} \longrightarrow \overline{H}^n(A, X^*)_{\text{pt}},$$

and the proof is complete by induction. \square

Remark 2.3. The inverse operator

$$\Phi^{-1} : C^n(A, X) \longrightarrow C^{n-1}(A, \mathcal{L}(A, X))$$

is an isometry with respect to operator norms, while it is not necessarily a continuous operator

$$\Phi^{-1} : C^n(A, X)_{\text{pt}} \longrightarrow C^{n-1}(A, \mathcal{L}(A, X))_{\text{pt}}.$$

If it happens to be continuous, then we obtain the isomorphism

$$\overline{H}^{n-1}(A, (A \widehat{\otimes} X)^*)_{\text{pt}} \cong \overline{H}^n(A, X^*)_{\text{pt}}.$$

As a higher-dimensional analogue of the amenability, Paterson [22] introduced the notion of n -amenability: a Banach algebra A is said to be n -amenable if $H^n(A, X^*) = 0$ for each Banach A -bimodule X . This has a natural analogue in the present context.

Definition 2.4. Let $n \geq 1$. A Banach algebra A is said to be *approximately n -amenable* if $\overline{H}^n(A, X^*)_{\text{pt}} = 0$ for each Banach A -bimodule X .

The approximate 1-amenability coincides with the approximate amenability.

Remark 2.5.

(1) Every n -amenable Banach algebra is approximately n -amenable. For every finite-dimensional Banach algebra, the converse holds.

(2) Every approximately n -amenable Banach algebra is approximately m -amenable for each $m \geq n$. There exists an approximately n -amenable, but not approximately $(n - 1)$ -amenable, Banach algebra.

(3) There exists an approximately n -amenable Banach algebra which is not n -amenable.

Proof. Statement (1) is a direct consequence of Remark 2.1. The first statement of (2) follows from Theorem 2.2. Paterson and Smith [23, Theorem 4.2] gave an example of a finite-dimensional matrix algebra, denoted by B_n , which is n -amenable, but not $(n - 1)$ -amenable. From (1), we see that B_n serves as an example for the second statement of (2). For statement (3), take a norm-closed subalgebra A of $\mathcal{L}(H, H)$ for some Hilbert space H , which is approximately amenable but not amenable, given by Choi [2]. From the construction, we see that the algebra A fails to have the total reduction property, see [11], and hence, $H^1(A, \mathcal{L}(H, H)) \neq 0$ for some A -bimodule structure on $\mathcal{L}(H, H)$, [11, Theorem 2.1]. Take the $(n - 1)$ -fold suspension $\mathcal{S}^{n-1}(A)$ in the sense of Gilfeather and Smith [12]. Then, we have

$$H^1(A, \mathcal{L}(H, H)) \cong H^n(\mathcal{S}^{n-1}(A), \mathcal{L}(H, H)) \neq 0.$$

Furthermore, the proof of [12] shows that there exists a continuous surjection

$$\overline{H}^1(A, \mathcal{L}(H, H))_{\text{pt}} \longrightarrow \overline{H}^n(\mathcal{S}^{n-1}(A), \mathcal{L}(H, H))_{\text{pt}}.$$

By the approximate amenability of A , we have $\overline{H}^1(A, \mathcal{L}(H, H))_{\text{pt}} = 0$; thus, we obtain $\overline{H}^n(\mathcal{S}^{n-1}(A), \mathcal{L}(H, H))_{\text{pt}} = 0$. Hence, $\mathcal{S}^{n-1}(A)$ is approximately n -amenable, but not n -amenable. \square

Next, we give an approximate analogue of the characterization theorem of n -amenable unital Banach algebras in terms of higher-dimensional virtual diagonals, [4, 17, 22, 23]. Let $C_n(A) = A^{\widehat{\otimes} n}$

and recall the natural isomorphism $C^n(A, X) \cong \mathcal{L}(C_n(A), X)$, Lemma 1.2 (iii). Let $\pi_n : C_n(A) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(A)$ be the map defined by

$$(2.4) \quad \pi_n(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i+1} a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i a_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n$$

for $a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \in C_n(A)$. We have $\pi_{n-1} \circ \pi_n = 0$. Let $i_k : C_k(A) \rightarrow C_k(A)^{**}$ be the canonical injection, and note that

$$(2.5) \quad \pi_n^{**} \circ i_n = i_{n-1} \circ \pi_n$$

for each $n \geq 1$.

Definition 2.6 ([22]). Let A be a Banach algebra with unit e , and let $n \geq 1$. A cocycle $M \in Z^{n-1}(A, C_{n+1}(A)^{**})$ is called a *virtual n -diagonal* if, for each $(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in A^{n-1}$, we have

$$\pi_{n+1}^{**} M(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = i_n(\pi_{n+1}(e \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n-1} \otimes e)).$$

For $n = 1$, this notion coincides with the virtual diagonal in the sense of Johnson [17]. The n -amenability of a unital Banach algebra A is equivalent to the existence of a virtual n -diagonal [4, 17], and a similar characterization for general, not necessarily unital, Banach algebras has been proved by Paterson [22, Theorem 3.2]. The latter proof relies on the machinery of homological algebra which is not currently known to be available for the present cohomology $\bar{H}^n(A, X)_{\text{pt}}$. Also, it is shown in [22, Theorem 4.2] (also, see [23, Theorem 3.1]) that a Banach algebra is n -amenable ($n \geq 1$) if and only if there exists a virtual $(n + 1)$ -diagonal which is a coboundary.

The next theorem is an approximate analogue of these theorems for unital algebras. Our proof is a straightforward modification of those of [4, Theorem 3.1] and [22, Theorem 4.2] with the aid of [23, Theorem 3.1]. For a net (ξ_ν) in the dual space X^* of a Banach space X , $\lim_\nu \xi_\nu = \xi$ means $\lim_\nu \|\xi_\nu - \xi\|_{X^*} = 0$, the convergence *with respect to the operator norm* on X^* .

Theorem 2.7. *Let A be a Banach algebra with unit e . For $n \geq 1$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *the algebra A is approximately n -amenable;*

(ii) there exists a net $(M^\nu)_\nu$ in $C^{n-1}(A, C_{n+1}(A)^{**})$ such that, for each $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$ and for each $(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}) \in A^{n-1}$, we have

(a) $\lim_\nu \delta M^\nu(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$; and

(b) $\lim_\nu \pi_{n+1}^{**} M^\nu(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}) = i_n(\pi_{n+1}(e \otimes b_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_{n-1} \otimes e))$;

(iii) there exists a net $(L^\nu)_\nu$ in $C^n(A, C_{n+2}(A)^{**})$ such that

(a) there exists a cochain $W^\nu \in C^{n-1}(A, C_{n+2}(A)^{**})$ such that $\lim_\nu L^\nu(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \lim_\nu \delta W^\nu(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ for each $(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in A^{n-1}$;

(b) $\lim_\nu \pi_{n+2}^{**} L^\nu(b_1, \dots, b_n) = i_{n+1}(\pi_{n+2}(e \otimes b_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_n \otimes e))$ for each $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in A^n$.

We follow the proofs of [4, Theorem 3.1] and [22, Theorem 4.2]. For a Banach algebra A with unit e and, for $k \geq 1$, we define a cochain $h_k \in C^k(A, C_{k+2}(A)) \cong \mathcal{L}(C_k(A), C_{k+2}(A))$ by

$$(2.6) \quad h_k(a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_k) = e \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_k \otimes e$$

for $a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_k \in C_k(A)$. In addition, let $h_0 = e \otimes e \in C_2(A)$. As proven in [4], we have

Lemma 2.8 ([4, page 272]). *For each $m \geq 1$, we have*

$$\pi_{m+2}(h_m) = \delta h_{m-1} \in C^m(A, C_{m+1}(A)).$$

Proof of Theorem 2.7.

(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii). First, assume that A is approximately n -amenable, and consider the cochain $h_{n-1} \in C^{n-1}(A, C_{n+1}(A))$. From Lemma 2.8, we have $\pi_{n+1}^{**}(i_{n+1}(\delta h_{n-1})) = (i_n \circ \pi_{n+1})(\pi_{n+2}(h_n)) = 0$, and thus, we have

$$i_{n+1}(\delta h_{n-1}) \in C^n(A, \text{Ker } \pi_{n+1}^{**}) \subset C^n(A, C_{n+1}(A)^{**}).$$

Let $\overline{\text{Im } \pi_{n+1}^{**}}$ be the closure of the subspace $\text{Im } \pi_{n+1}^{**}$ of $C_{n+1}(A)^*$ with respect to the operator-norm topology, and let $V = C_{n+1}(A)^* / \overline{\text{Im } \pi_{n+1}^{**}}$ for which we have $V^* \cong \text{Ker } \pi_{n+1}^{**}$. Since A is approximate n -amenable, we have a net $(f^\nu) \in C^{n-1}(A, V^*) = C^{n-1}(A, \text{Ker } \pi_{n+1}^{**})$ such that $\lim_\nu \delta f^\nu(a_1, \dots, a_n) = i_{n+1}(\delta h_{n-1})$ for each $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$. Let

M^ν be the $(n-1)$ -cochain, defined by

$$M^\nu = i_{n+1}(h_{n-1}) - f^\nu \in C^{n-1}(A, C_{n+1}(A)^{**}).$$

Then, we have

$$\lim_{\nu} \delta M^\nu = i_{n+1}(\delta(h_{n-1})) - \lim_{\nu} \delta f^\nu = 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\nu} \pi_{n+1}^{**} M^\nu &= \pi_{n+1}^{**} i_{n+1}(h_{n-1}) - \lim_{\nu} \pi_{n+1}^{**} f^\nu \\ &= \pi_{n+1}^{**} (i_{n-1}(h_{n-1})) = i_n(\pi_{n+1}(h_{n-1})). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (M^ν) is the desired net, cf., [4].

The proof of the implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) is divided into two steps.

Step 1. For an arbitrary unital Banach A -bimodule V , we construct a net $(P^\nu : C^{n-1}(A, V^*) \rightarrow C^{n-1}(A, V^*))$ of bounded linear operators which satisfies the following conditions:

(a) for each $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$, we have $\lim_{\nu} \delta P^\nu(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$; and

(b) for each $f \in Z^{n-1}(A, V^*)$, and for each $(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}) \in A^{n-1}$, we have $f(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}) = \lim_{\nu} P^\nu f(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1})$.

For a cochain $f \in C^{n-1}(A, V^*)$, we follow [4, page 273] to define an $(n+1)$ -cochain $F_f \in C^{n+1}(A, V^*)$ by

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} F_f(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) &= a_1 \cdot (f(a_2, \dots, a_n)) \cdot a_{n+1}, \\ &(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) \in A^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

For $v \in V$, let $F_f^v \in C_{n+1}(A)^*$ be the element defined by

$$(2.8) \quad (F_f^v)(a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n+1}) = \langle F_f(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}), v \rangle.$$

For each $a \in A$ and for each $v \in V$, we have the equalities:

$$(2.9) \quad F_f^{a \cdot v} = a \cdot F_f^v, \quad F_f^{v \cdot a} = F_f^v \cdot a.$$

The operator $P^\nu : C^{n-1}(A, V^*) \rightarrow C^{n-1}(A, V^*)$ is then defined by

$$(2.10) \quad \langle P^\nu(f)(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}), v \rangle = \langle M^\nu(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}), F_f^v \rangle, \quad v \in V.$$

We verify that (P^ν) satisfies conditions (a) and (b). Direct computation using (2.9) reveals

$$(2.11) \quad \langle \delta P^\nu(f)(a_1, \dots, a_n), v \rangle = \langle \delta M^\nu(a_1, \dots, a_n), F_f^v \rangle$$

for each $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n, v \in V$. It follows from this that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \delta P^\nu(f)(a_1, \dots, a_n), v \rangle| &\leq \|\delta M^\nu(a_1, \dots, a_n)\|_{C_{n+1}(A)^{**}} \\ &\quad \cdot \|F_f^v\|_{C_{n+1}(A)^*} \\ &\leq \|\delta M^\nu(a_1, \dots, a_n)\|_{C_{n+1}(A)^{**}} \\ &\quad \cdot \|f\|_{C^{n-1}(A, V^*)} \cdot \|v\|_V, \end{aligned}$$

where we use (2.7), (2.8) and (1.1) for the second inequality. This and condition (ii) (a) of the hypothesis imply:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_\nu \|\delta P^\nu(f)(a_1, \dots, a_n)\|_{V^*} \\ \leq \|f\|_{C^{n-1}(A, V^*)} \left(\lim_\nu \|\delta M^\nu(a_1, \dots, a_n)\|_{C_{n+1}(A)^{**}} \right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and hence, condition (a) follows. For condition (b), we introduce another cochain $G_f \in C^n(A, V^*)$ by

$$(2.12) \quad G_f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = f(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \cdot a_n, \quad (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n.$$

For each $v \in V$, let $G_f^v \in C_{n+1}(A)^*$ be the element given by

$$G_f^v(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \langle G_f(a_1, \dots, a_n), v \rangle.$$

Take a cocycle $f \in Z^{n-1}(A, C_{n+1}(A)^{**})$. As in [4, page 274], we obtain

$$(2.13) \quad F_f^v = \pi_{n+1}^* G_f^v$$

by making use of the cocycle condition $(\delta f)(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.14) \quad \langle P^\nu(f)(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}), v \rangle &= \langle M^\nu(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}), F_f^v \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi_{n+1}^{**} M^\nu(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}), G_f^v \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since V is a unital module, we may use the cocycle condition

$$(\delta f)(e, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = 0$$

to obtain the equality

$$(2.15) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} (-1)^{i+1} f(e, a_1, \dots, a_i a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{n-1}) \\ + (-1)^n f(e, a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}) \cdot a_{n-1} = 0$$

for each $(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in A^{n-1}$. Combining (2.15) with Lemma 2.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & G_f(\pi_{n+1}(h_{n-1})(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})) \\ &= G_f(\delta h_{n-2}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})) \\ &= G_f(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, e) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} (-1)^i G_f(a_1, \dots, a_i a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{n-1}) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{n-1} G_f(e, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \\ &= f(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} (-1)^i f(e, a_1, \dots, a_i a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{n-1}) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{n-1} f(e, a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}) \cdot a_{n-1} \\ &= f(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \quad (\text{by (2.15)}). \end{aligned}$$

In other words, $\langle G_f, \pi_{n+1}(h_{n-1}) \rangle = f$, and thus,

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle i_n \pi_{n+1}(h_{n-1}), G_f \rangle &= \langle \pi_{n+1}^{**} i_{n+1}(h_{n-1}), G_f \rangle \quad (\text{by (2.5)}) \\ &= \langle i_{n+1}(h_{n-1}), \pi_{n+1}^* G_f \rangle \\ &= \langle G_f, \pi_{n+1}(h_{n-1}) \rangle = f. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.10) and (2.16) we have, for $b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|P^\nu(f)(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}) - f(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1})\|_{V^*} \\ &= \| \langle \pi_{n+1}^{**} M^\nu(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}) \\ &\quad - i_{n+1}(\pi_{n+1}(h_{n-1}(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}))), G_f \rangle \|_{V^*} \\ &\leq \| \pi_{n+1}^{**} M^\nu(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}) \\ &\quad - i_{n+1}(\pi_{n+1}(h_{n-1}(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}))) \|_{C_{n+1}(A)^{**}} \|G_f\|_{C_{n+1}(A)^*}. \end{aligned}$$

By condition (ii) (b) of the hypothesis, the last term converges to 0 and

$$\lim_{\nu} P^\nu(f)(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}) = f(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}), \quad (b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}) \in A^{n-1}.$$

Thus, we obtain condition (b).

Step 2. In order to prove $\overline{H}^n(A, X^*)_{\text{pt}} = 0$, the standard reduction [18, page 12] allows us to assume that the Banach A -bimodule X is unital. We take the composition of the linear isomorphisms of Lemma 1.2:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega = \Psi \circ \Phi : C^n(A, X^*) &\longrightarrow C^{n-1}(A, \mathcal{L}(A, X^*)) \longrightarrow C^{n-1}(A, (A \widehat{\otimes} X)^*) \\ &\langle \omega(f)(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}), a \otimes x \rangle = \langle f(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a), x \rangle \\ f \in C^n(A, X^*), \quad (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) &\in A^{n-1}, \quad a \otimes x \in A \widehat{\otimes} X. \end{aligned}$$

The map ω is an A -bimodule isomorphism where the A -bimodule structure of $(A \widehat{\otimes} X)^*$ is given by

$$\langle a \cdot \xi \cdot b, c \otimes x \rangle = \langle \xi, b \cdot c \otimes x \cdot a \rangle - \langle \xi, b \otimes c \cdot x \cdot a \rangle$$

for $a, b \in A$, $\xi \in (A \widehat{\otimes} X)^*$, $c \otimes x \in A \widehat{\otimes} X$ so that the isometry Ψ is an A -module isomorphism (see the beginning of the proof of Theorem 2.2). We apply Step 1 to $V = A \widehat{\otimes} X$ and obtain a net $(P^\nu : C^{n-1}(A, (A \widehat{\otimes} X)^*) \rightarrow C^{n-1}(A, (A \widehat{\otimes} X)^*))_\nu$, satisfying conditions (a) and (b).

For each $f \in Z^n(A, X^*)$ we have $\omega(f) \in Z^{n-1}(A, (A \widehat{\otimes} X)^*)$. From condition (b), we see

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(f)(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) &= \lim_\nu P^\nu(f)(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \\ &= \lim_\nu \langle M^\nu(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}), F_{\omega(f)} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for $(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in A^{n-1}$. For $a \in A$, define $\varepsilon(a) : (A \widehat{\otimes} X)^* \rightarrow X^*$ by

$$\langle \varepsilon(a)(\gamma), x \rangle = \langle \gamma, a \otimes x \rangle$$

for $\gamma \in (A \widehat{\otimes} X)^*$, $x \in X$. We follow the computation of [4, page 275] to have:

$$\begin{aligned} (2.17) \quad f(a_1, \dots, a_n) &= \lim_\nu \varepsilon(a_n)(\langle M^\nu(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}), F_{\omega(f)} \rangle) \\ &= \lim_\nu \langle M^\nu(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}), \varepsilon(a_n) \circ F_{\omega(f)} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Let $K_f \in C^{n+1}(A, X^*)$ be the cochain defined by

$$K_f(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) = a_1 \cdot (f(a_2, \dots, a_{n+1})), \quad (a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) \in A^{n+1},$$

and, for $v \in X$, let K_f^v be the element of $C_{n+1}(A)^{**}$ given by

$$K_f^v(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) = \langle K_f(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}), v \rangle.$$

We obtain, as in [4, page 275], that

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \varepsilon(a_n) \circ F_{\omega(f)}(b_1, \dots, b_{n+1}) \\ = (a_n \cdot K_f)(b_1, \dots, b_{n+1}) - K_f(b_1, \dots, b_{n+1}) \cdot a_n, \\ (b_1, \dots, b_{n+1}) \in A^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.18), we obtain from (2.17)

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} f(a_1, \dots, a_n) &= \lim_{\nu} \{ \langle M^{\nu}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}), a_n \cdot K_f \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle M^{\nu}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}), K_f \cdot a_n \rangle \} \\ &= \lim_{\nu} \{ \langle M^{\nu}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \cdot a_n, K_f \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle M^{\nu}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}), K_f \rangle \cdot a_n \}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $g_f^{\nu} \in C^{n-1}(A, X^*)$ be the cochain defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g_f^{\nu}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}), v \rangle &= \langle M^{\nu}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}), K_f^{\nu} \rangle, \\ v \in X, \quad (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) &\in A^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In what follows, we compare $(-1)^n f$ with δg^{ν} . First, it follows directly from the definition that

$$K_f^{v \cdot a} = K_f^v \cdot a, \quad v \in X, \quad a \in A,$$

from which we conclude

$$(2.20) \quad a_1 \cdot \langle M^{\nu}(a_2, \dots, a_n), K_f \rangle = \langle a_1 \cdot M^{\nu}(a_2, \dots, a_n), K_f \rangle.$$

Using (2.20) with the coboundary formula:

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta M^{\nu})(a_1, \dots, a_n) &= a_1 \cdot (M^{\nu}(a_2, \dots, a_n)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i M^{\nu}(a_1, \dots, a_i a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) \\ &\quad + (-1)^n M^{\nu}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \cdot a_n, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain, as in [22, page 276],

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^n f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \\ = \lim_{\nu} \langle (\delta M^{\nu})(a_1, \dots, a_n), K_f \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \lim_{\nu} \left\{ a_1 \cdot \langle M^{\nu}(a_2, \dots, a_n), K_f \rangle \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i \langle M^{\nu}(a_1, \dots, a_i a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n), K_f \rangle \right. \\
& \quad \left. + (-1)^n \langle M^{\nu}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}), K_f \rangle \cdot a_n \right\} \\
& = \lim_{\nu} \langle (\delta M^{\nu})(a_1, \dots, a_n), K_f \rangle - \lim_{\nu} \delta g^{\nu}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \\
& = - \lim_{\nu} \delta g^{\nu}(a_1, \dots, a_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $f \in \overline{B}^n(A, X^*)_{\text{pt}}$. This proves $\overline{H}^n(A, X^*)_{\text{pt}} = 0$. \square

For the proof of equivalence (i) \Leftrightarrow (iii), we follow the argument [22, Theorem 4.2] with the aid of [23, Theorem 3.1].

Lemma 2.9 ([23, Theorem 3.1]). *Let A be a unital Banach algebra. For $n \geq 1$, there exists a cochain $Z \in C^{n-1}(A, C_{n+2}(A)^{**})$ such that*

$$\pi_{n+2}^{**}((\delta Z)(a_1, \dots, a_n) - e \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n-1} \otimes e \otimes a_n) = 0,$$

for each $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$.

For $F \in C_k(A)^{**}$ and $a \in A$, let $F \otimes a \in C_{k+1}(A)^{**}$ be the element defined by

$$\langle F \otimes a, \xi \rangle = \langle F, \gamma_{a, \xi} \rangle, \quad \xi \in C_k(A)^*,$$

where $\gamma_{a, \xi} \in C_k(A)^*$ is the element given by

$$\langle w, \gamma_{a, \xi} \rangle = \langle w \otimes a, \xi \rangle, \quad w \in C_k(A).$$

For a cochain $N \in C^n(A, C_{n+2}(A)^{**})$ and $a \in A$, let $N \otimes a \in C^n(A, C_{n+3}(A)^{**})$ be the cochain defined by

$$(N \otimes a)(a_1, \dots, a_n) = N(a_1, \dots, a_n) \otimes a$$

for $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$.

Lemma 2.10 (cf. [22, Proposition 4.1]). *Let $n \geq 1$, and let (N^{ν}) be a net in $C^{n-1}(A, C_{n+1}(A)^{**})$ satisfying conditions (ii) (a) and (ii) (b) of Theorem 2.7. Then, we have the equality*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{\nu} \pi_{n+2}^{**} \left\{ \delta(N^{\nu} \otimes e)(a_1, \dots, a_n) + (-1)^n i_{n+2}(e \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \otimes e) \right\} \\
& \quad = (-1)^n i_{n+1}(\pi_{n+2}(e \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n-1} \otimes e \otimes a_n))
\end{aligned}$$

for each $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$.

Proof. The net $(N^\nu)_\nu \subset C^{n-1}(A, C_{n+1}(A)^{**})$ satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\lim_\nu (\delta N^\nu)(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$ for each $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$;
- (ii) $\lim_\nu \pi_{n+1}^{**} N^\nu(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}) = \pi_{n+1}(e \otimes b_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_{n-1} \otimes e)$ for each $b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \in A$.

As in [22, Proposition 4.1 (4.1)], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(N^\nu \otimes e)(a_1, \dots, a_n) &= (\delta N^\nu)(a_1, \dots, a_n) \otimes e \\ &+ (-1)^{n+1} N^\nu(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \cdot a_n \otimes e + (-1)^n N^\nu(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \otimes a_n. \end{aligned}$$

By direct computation, we obtain

$$\gamma_{a, \pi_{n+2}^*} \xi = \pi_{n+1}^* \gamma_{a, \xi} + (-1)^n a \cdot \xi, \quad a \in A, \xi \in C_{n+1}(A)^*.$$

It then follows that

$$(2.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi_{n+2}^{**}(L \otimes a) &= (\pi_{n+1}^{**} L) \otimes a + (-1)^n L \cdot a, \\ L &\in C_{n+1}(A)^{**}, \quad a \in A. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (2.21) and using that π_{n+1}^{**} is an A -module homomorphism, we obtain

$$(2.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi_{n+2}^{**}(N^\nu(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \cdot a_n \otimes e) \\ &= \pi_{n+1}^{**}(N^\nu(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \cdot a_n) \otimes e + (-1)^n N^\nu(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \cdot a_n \\ &= \pi_{n+1}^{**}(N^\nu(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})) \cdot a_n \otimes e + (-1)^n N^\nu(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \cdot a_n. \end{aligned}$$

We use (2.21) to obtain

$$(2.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi_{n+2}^{**}(N^\nu(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \otimes a_n) \\ &= \pi_{n+1}^{**}(N^\nu(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})) \otimes a_n + (-1)^n N^\nu(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \cdot a_n. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by (2.22) and (2.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{n+2}^{**}(\delta(N^\nu \otimes e))(a_1, \dots, a_n) \\ &= \pi_{n+2}^{**}((\delta N^\nu)(a_1, \dots, a_n) \otimes e) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{n+1} \{ \pi_{n+1}^{**}(N^\nu(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})) \cdot a_n \\ &\quad \quad \otimes e - \pi_{n+1}^{**}(N^\nu(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})) \otimes a_n \} \end{aligned}$$

for each $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$. Taking the limit and using condition (a), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.24) \quad & (-1)^{n+1} \lim_{\nu} \pi_{n+2}^{**}(\delta(N^{\nu} \otimes e)(a_1, \dots, a_n)) \\
 &= \lim_{\nu} \left\{ \pi_{n+1}^{**}(N^{\nu}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \cdot a_n \otimes e) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \pi_{n+1}^{**}(N^{\nu}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})) \otimes a_n \right\} \\
 &= i_n \pi_{n+1}(e \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n-1} \otimes e) \\
 &\quad \cdot a_n \otimes e - i_n \pi_{n+1}(e \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n-1} \otimes e) \otimes a_n.
 \end{aligned}$$

We carry out the same computation as that in [22, Proposition 4.1] to see that the last term of (2.24) is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.25) \quad & i_{n+1} \pi_{n+2}(e \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \otimes e) \\
 &\quad - i_{n+1}(\pi_n(e \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n-1}) \otimes e \otimes a_n).
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we see by direct computation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.26) \quad & \pi_{n+2}(e \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n-1} \otimes e \otimes a_n) \\
 &= \pi_n(e \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n-1}) \otimes e \otimes a_n.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.26) in (2.25), we obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{\nu} \pi_{n+2}^{**}(\delta(N^{\nu} \otimes e)(a_1, \dots, a_n)) \\
 &= (-1)^{n+1} \left\{ i_{n+1} \pi_{n+2}(e \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \otimes e) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - i_{n+1}(\pi_{n+2}(e \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n-1} \otimes e \otimes a_n)) \right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 2.10. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.7.

(i) \Leftrightarrow (iii). Assume first that A is approximately n -amenable. By equivalence (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii), we may choose a net $(M^{\nu})_{\nu}$ in $C^{n-1}(A, C_{n+1}(A)^{**})$ such that, for each $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$, $(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}) \in A^{n-1}$, we have

- (i) $\lim_{\nu} \delta N^{\nu}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$; and
- (ii) $\lim_{\nu} \pi_{n+1}^{**} N^{\nu}(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}) = i_n(\pi_{n+1}(e \otimes b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{n-1} \otimes e))$.

Let $Z \in C^{n-1}(A, C_{n+2}(A)^{**})$ be the cochain as in Lemma 2.9:

$$(2.27) \quad \pi_{n+2}^{**}((\delta Z)(a_1, \dots, a_n) - e \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n-1} \otimes e \otimes a_n) = 0.$$

Let $W^\nu = (-1)^{n+1}N^\nu \otimes e + Z \in C^{n-1}(A, C_{n+2}(A)^{**})$, and let $L^\nu = \delta W^\nu \in B^n(A, C_{n+2}(A)^{**})$. We show that (L^ν) is the desired net. It suffices to verify condition (iii) (b). We use Lemma 2.10 and (2.27) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\nu} \pi_{n+2}^{**} L^\nu(b_1, \dots, b_n) &= (-1)^{n+1} \lim_{\nu} \pi_{n+2}^{**} \delta(N^\nu \otimes e)(b_1, \dots, b_n) + \pi_{n+2}^{**}(\delta Z)(b_1, \dots, b_n) \\ &= \pi_{n+2}(e \otimes b_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_{n-1} \otimes e \otimes b_n), \end{aligned}$$

for each $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in A^n$. This verifies condition (iii) (b) and proves statement (iii).

Conversely, assume that there exists a net $(N^\nu)_\nu \in C^n(A, C_{n+2}(A)^{**})$ such that

(iii) there exists a cochain $W^\nu \in C^{n-1}(A, C_{n+2}(A)^{**})$ such that

$$\lim_{\nu} N^\nu(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \lim_{\nu} \delta W^\nu(a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

for each $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$; and

(iv) $\lim_{\nu} \pi_{n+1}^{**} N^\nu(b_1, \dots, b_n) = i_n(\pi_{n+2}(e \otimes b_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_n \otimes e))$ for each $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in A^n$.

By the continuity of π_{n+2}^{**} , (iii) and (iv) imply

$$(2.28) \quad \lim_{\nu} \pi_{n+2}^{**}(\delta W^\nu)(b_1, \dots, b_n) = i_n(\pi_{n+2}(e \otimes b_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_n \otimes e))$$

for each $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in A^n$. Recall the cochain $h_{n-1} \in C^{n-1}(A, C_{n+1}(A)^{**})$ from (2.6). By Lemma 2.8, we have

$$(2.29) \quad \delta(h_{n-1}) = \pi_{n+2}(h_n).$$

Let $V^\nu \in C^{n-1}(A, C_{n+1}(A)^{**})$ be the cochain defined by

$$\begin{aligned} V^\nu(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) &= -\pi_{n+2}^{**}(W^\nu(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})) \\ &\quad + i_{n+1}(h_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})), (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n. \end{aligned}$$

We have from (2.28) and (2.29)

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\nu}(\delta V^\nu)(a_1, \dots, a_n) &= -\lim_{\nu} \pi_{n+2}^{**}(\delta W^\nu)(a_1, \dots, a_n) \\ &\quad + i_{n+1}(\delta(h_{n-1}))(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for each $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$. Also, we have, using $\pi_{n+1}^{**} \circ \pi_{n+2}^{**} = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\nu} \pi_{n+1}^{**} V^{\nu}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) &= \pi_{n+1} h_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \\ &= i_n(\pi_{n+1}(e \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n-1} \otimes e)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, again by equivalence (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii), we see that A is approximately n -amenable. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.7. \square

In a similar manner, we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.11. *Let A be a Banach algebra with unit e . For $n \geq 1$, the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) $\overline{H}^n(A, X)_{\text{pt}} = 0$ for each Banach A -bimodule X ;
- (ii) there exists a net $(L^{\nu})_{\nu}$ in $C^{n-1}(A, C_{n+1}(A))$ such that, for each $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$ and for each $(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}) \in A^{n-1}$, we have
 - (a) $\lim_{\nu} \|\delta L^{\nu}(a_1, \dots, a_n)\|_{C_{n+1}(A)} = 0$; and
 - (b) $\lim_{\nu} \|\pi_{n+1} L^{\nu}(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}) - \pi_{n+1}(e \otimes b_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_{n-1} \otimes e)\|_{C_{n+1}(A)} = 0$.

For $n = 1$, conditions (ii) (a) and (ii) (b) reduce to approximate contractibility in the sense of Ghahramani and Loy [5, Definition 1.3]. It is proven in [7, Theorem 2.1] that approximate contractibility is equivalent to approximate amenability. It is natural to ask whether the same equivalence holds for $n \geq 2$. If the space $C^{n-1}(A, C_{n+1}(A))$ is weak*-dense in the space $C^{n-1}(A, C_{n+1}(A)^{**})$, then the proof of [7, Theorem 2.1] works to prove the desired equivalence. The general case is unknown to the author. Note that $C_{n+1}(A)$ is weak*-dense in $C_{n+1}(A)^{**}$ by the Goldstein theorem.

Sketch of proof. The proof of Theorem 2.11 is almost identical to that of equivalence (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) of Theorem 2.7. For the proof of implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i), we define, for a Banach A -bimodule V , a net (\tilde{P}^{ν}) of bounded linear operators $C^{n-1}(A, V) \rightarrow C^{n-1}(A, V)$, which satisfies:

- ($\tilde{\text{a}}$) for each $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$, we have $\lim_{\nu} \delta \tilde{P}^{\nu}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$;
and

(b) for each $f \in Z^{n-1}(A, V)$, and for each $(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}) \in A^{n-1}$, we have $f(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}) = \lim_\nu \tilde{P}^\nu f(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1})$.

For a cochain $f \in C^{n-1}(A, V)$, we define a cochain $\tilde{F}_f \in C^{n+1}(A, V)$ by the same formula as that of (2.7): $\tilde{F}_f(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) = a_1 \cdot (f(a_2, \dots, a_n)) \cdot a_{n+1}$. For a net (L^ν) in $C^{n-1}(A, C_{n+1}(A))$ we define $\tilde{P}^\nu : C^{n-1}(A, C_{n+1}(A)) \rightarrow C^{n-1}(A, C_{n+1}(A))$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}^\nu(f)(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) &= \tilde{F}_f(L^\nu(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})), \\ f \in C^{n-1}(A, C_{n+1}(A)), \quad (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) &\in A^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We may proceed in the same way as that of Step 1 in Theorem 2.7, by replacing P^ν with \tilde{P}^ν . A cocycle $\tilde{G}_f \in C^n(A, V)$ is defined in a similar manner to (2.12) so that $\tilde{P}^\nu(f) = \tilde{G}_f \circ \pi_{n+1} \circ L^\nu$. Using these cocycles, we can show that $\tilde{P}^\nu(f)$ satisfies conditions (a) and (b). A similar modification enables us to carry out the same proof as that of Step 2 in Theorem 2.7 to derive the desired conclusion. We omit the details. \square

3. Alternating cocycles in Lipschitz algebras. A complex-valued function $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined on a compact metric space (K, d) is called a *Lipschitz function* if the *Lipschitz constant* $L(f)$ of f is finite:

$$L(f) := \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)} \mid x, y \in X, x \neq y \right\} < \infty.$$

The *Lipschitz algebra*, the Banach algebra of all Lipschitz functions over (K, d) with the pointwise addition/multiplication, with the norm defined by

$$\|f\|_L = \sup_{p \in K} |f(p)| + L(f), \quad f \in \text{Lip}K,$$

is denoted by $\text{Lip}K$. The *little Lipschitz algebra* $\text{lip}K$ is the subalgebra of $\text{Lip}K$, defined by

$$\text{lip}K = \left\{ f \in \text{Lip}K \mid \lim_{d(x,y) \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{d(x, y)} = 0 \right\}.$$

The amenability of $\text{Lip}K$ and $\text{lip}K$ have been studied in [1, 3, 13, 18, 25], etc. For an infinite compact metric space K , $\text{Lip}K$ is not amenable [13, 25], and $\text{lip}K$ is not approximately amenable [3]. Here, we improve a result of [19] by proving $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{H}^n(\text{Lip}K, (\text{Lip}K)^*)_{\text{pt}} =$

∞ for each $n \geq 1$ if K is a compact metric space which has the property (seq) at a point of K (see definition below). In particular, $\text{Lip}K$ for such a space K is not approximately amenable. As in [19], we construct alternating cocycles [18] which remain non-trivial in $\overline{H}^n(\text{Lip}K, (\text{Lip}K)^*)_{\text{pt}}$.

The symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n acts on the space of n -cochains $C^n(A, X)$ of a Banach algebra A and its bimodule X by

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma \cdot f)(a_1, \dots, a_n) &= f(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(n)}), \\ \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n, \quad f \in C^n(A, X), \quad (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.1 ([18]). A cochain $f \in C^n(A, X)$ is said to be *alternating* if

$$f(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(n)}) = (\text{sgn } \sigma) f(a_1, \dots, a_n), \quad (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n,$$

for each $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, where $\text{sgn } \sigma$ denotes the signature of $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. The space of all alternating n -cochains is denoted by $C^n_{\text{alt}}(A, X)$. In addition, let $Z^n_{\text{alt}}(A, X) := Z^n(A, X) \cap C^n_{\text{alt}}(A, X)$ be the space of all alternating cocycles.

The following is an analogue of [18, Proposition 2.9]. An A -bimodule X of a Banach algebra A is said to be *symmetric* if $a \cdot x = x \cdot a$ for each $(a, x) \in A \times X$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let A be a commutative Banach algebra, and let X be a symmetric Banach A -bimodule. Let $q^n : Z^n(A, X) \rightarrow \overline{H}^n(A, X)_{\text{pt}}$ be the projection of the space of n -cocycles onto $\overline{H}^n(A, X)_{\text{pt}}$. Then, the restriction $q^n|_{Z^n_{\text{alt}}(A, X)}$ is injective.*

We follow the proof of Johnson [18, Theorem 2.9]. For a cochain $f \in C^n(A, X)$, let $z_n f \in C^n_{\text{alt}}(A, X)$ be the cochain defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (z_n f)(a_1, \dots, a_n) &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (\text{sgn } \sigma) f(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(n)}), \\ (a_1, \dots, a_n) &\in A^n. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have the next lemma by direct computation:

Lemma 3.3 ([18, Theorem 2.9]). *Let A be a commutative Banach algebra, and let X be a symmetric Banach A -bimodule.*

(i) *For each $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ we have:*

$$\sigma(z_n f) = z_n(\sigma f) = (\text{sgn } \sigma) z_n f$$

for each $f \in C^n(A, X)$. In particular, $z_n f$ is alternating.

(ii) *A cochain $f \in C^n(A, X)$ is alternating if and only if $z_n f = f$.*

Lemma 3.4 ([18, Proof of Theorem 2.9]). *Let $f \in C^{n-1}(A, X)$ be an $(n-1)$ -cochain. Then, we have $z_n(\delta f) = 0$.*

Proof. By the coboundary formula, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} (\delta f)(a_1, \dots, a_n) &= a_1 \cdot f(a_2, \dots, a_n) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i f(a_1, \dots, a_i a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) \\ &+ (-1)^n f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \cdot a_n \end{aligned}$$

for $f \in C^n(A, X)$ and $(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) \in A^{n+1}$. Let K_f be the $(n+1)$ -cochain, defined by

$$K_f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = a_1 \cdot (f(a_2, \dots, a_n)), \quad (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n.$$

Let $\tau = (n, n-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ be the cyclic permutation $n \mapsto n-1, \dots, 2 \mapsto 1, 1 \mapsto n$ which has the signature $(-1)^{n+1}$. Since X is a symmetric A -bimodule, we see

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} a_1 \cdot (f(a_2, \dots, a_n)) + (-1)^n f(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \cdot a_n \\ = (K_f + (-1)^n (\tau K_f))(a_1, \dots, a_n). \end{aligned}$$

and thus, by Lemma 3.3 (i), we have

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} (z_n(K_f + (-1)^n \tau K_f))(a_1, \dots, a_n) \\ = (z_n + (-1)^{2n+1} z_n) K_f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

For $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, let $U_f^i(a_1, \dots, a_n) = f(a_1, \dots, a_i a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n)$, and let $\sigma_i = (i, i+1)$ be the transposition of i and $i+1$. Since A is commutative, we see that $\sigma_i U_f^i = U_f^i$. Using Lemma 3.3 (ii), we have

$z_n U_f^i = z_n(\sigma_i U_f^i) = (\text{sgn } \sigma_i) z_n U_f^i = -z_n U_f^i$. Thus, we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad z_n U_f^i = 0.$$

By (3.3) and (3.4), we conclude that

$$z_n(\delta f) = z_n(K_f + (-1)^n K_f) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i z_n U_i^f = 0. \quad \square$$

Proof of Proposition 3.2. Our proof follows that of [18, Theorem 2.9]. Take an alternating cocycle $f \in Z_{\text{alt}}^n(A, X)$, and assume that there exists a net $(g^\nu)_\nu$ in $C^{n-1}(A, X)$ such that $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \lim_\nu (\delta g^\nu)(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ for each $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$. By Lemma 3.4, we see

$$(z_n f)(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \lim_\nu (z_n(\delta g^\nu))(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0.$$

Since f is alternating, we have $f = z_n f$ by Lemma 3.3 (i), and hence, $f = 0$. \square

We say that a sequence $\{r_n \mid n \geq 1\}$ on the real line \mathbb{R} has Property (#) if $\lim_n r_n = 0$, and it satisfies the following two conditions:

$$(s) \text{ (i) } r_1 > r_2 > \dots > r_n > r_{n+1} > \dots \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(s) \text{ (ii) } |r_1 - r_2| > |r_2 - r_3| > \dots > |r_n - r_{n+1}| > |r_{n+1} - r_{n+2}| > \dots \rightarrow 0.$$

A metric space (K, d) is said to have the property (seq) at a point $p \in K$ if there exists an isometric embedding $\alpha : \{r_n \mid n \geq 1\} \cup \{0\} \rightarrow K$ of a sequence $\{r_n \mid n \geq 1\}$ with Property (#) such that $\alpha(0) = p$. Riemannian manifolds and the Cantor ternary set in $[0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$ are examples of metric spaces with the property (seq) at some points. In [19], we proved that, for each compact metric space K with the property (seq), $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^n(\text{Lip}K, \mathbb{C}_p) = \infty$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^n(\text{Lip}K, (\text{Lip}K)^*) = \infty$ by applying [18, Proposition 2.9]. Here, \mathbb{C}_p denotes the complex number field which is a Banach $\text{Lip}(K)$ -bimodule with the module structure:

$$f \cdot z = z \cdot f = f(p)z, \quad f \in \text{Lip}K, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The result may be improved as follows, by replacing [18, Proposition 2.9] with Proposition 3.2.

Theorem 3.5. *Let (X, d) be a compact metric space with the property (seq) at a point p . Then, we have*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{H}^n(\text{Lip}K, \mathbb{C}_p)_{\text{pt}} = \infty,$$

and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{H}^n(\text{Lip}K, (\text{Lip}K)^*)_{\text{pt}} = \infty.$$

Proof. It is shown in [19, Theorem 3.5] that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} Z_{\text{alt}}^n(\text{Lip}K, \mathbb{C}_p) = \infty$, and also, there exists an injection

$$E_{\sharp} : Z_{\text{alt}}^n(\text{Lip}K, \mathbb{C}_p) \longrightarrow Z_{\text{alt}}^n(\text{Lip}K, (\text{Lip}K)^*).$$

By Proposition 3.2, the natural projection

$$\overline{q}^n : Z_{\text{alt}}^n(\text{Lip}K, (\text{Lip}K)^*) \longrightarrow \overline{H}^n(\text{Lip}K, (\text{Lip}K)^*)_{\text{pt}}$$

is injective. Hence, the composition $q^n \circ E_{\sharp}$ injects $Z_{\text{alt}}^n(\text{Lip}K, \mathbb{C}_p)$ into $\overline{H}^n(\text{Lip}K, (\text{Lip}K)^*)_{\text{pt}}$. Thus, the conclusion follows. \square

It is not currently known whether there exists an infinite compact metric space K such that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^n(\text{Lip}K, \mathbb{C}_p) < \infty$ for some $n \geq 1$ and for a point $p \in K$. Likewise, it is unknown whether there exists a space L such that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{H}^n(\text{Lip}L, \mathbb{C}_q)_{\text{pt}} < \infty$ for some $n \geq 1$ and for a point $q \in L$.

In addition, at the time of this writing, it is unknown whether the present cohomology $\overline{H}^n(A, X)_{\text{pt}}$ is well behaved so that the machinery of homological algebra is applicable. For example, we do not know whether cohomology long exact sequence is available in its full generality (cf., [20, Example 1.19]). It seems that an additional argument might be required in order to complete the proof of [24, Theorem 3.5]. Also, tensor products of approximately amenable algebras need not be approximately amenable [6], which suggests that the Künneth formula [14] might not hold in general.

Acknowledgments. The author expresses his sincere gratitude to the referees for their helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. W.G. Bade, P.C. Curtis, Jr., and H.G. Dales, *Amenability and weak amenability for Beurling and Lipschitz algebras*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **55** (1987), 359–377.
2. Y. Choi, *Singly generated operator algebras satisfying weekend versions of amenability*, Algebraic method in functional analysis, Oper. Th. Adv. Appl. **233** (2014), 33–44.
3. Y. Choi and F. Ghahramani, *Approximate amenability of Schatten classes, Lipschitz algebras and second dual of Fourier algebras*, Quart. J. Math. **62** (2011), 39–58.
4. E.G. Effros and A. Kishimoto, *Module maps and Hochschild-Johnson cohomology*, Indiana J. Math. **36** (1987), 257–276.
5. F. Ghahramani and R.J. Loy, *Generalized notion of amenability*, J. Funct. Anal. **208** (2004), 229–266.
6. ———, *Approximate amenability of tensor products of Banach algebras*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **454** (2017), 746–758.
7. F. Ghahramani, R.J. Loy and Y. Zhang, *Generalized notion of amenability, II*, J. Funct. Anal. **254** (2008), 1776–1810.
8. F. Ghahramani and C.J. Reed, *Approximate identities in approximate amenability*, J. Funct. Anal. **262** (2012), 3929–3945.
9. ———, *Approximate amenability is not bounded approximate amenability*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **423** (2015), 106–119.
10. F. Ghahramani and Y. Zhang, *Pseudo-amenable and pseudo-contractible Banach algebras*, Math. Proc. Cambr. Philos. Soc. **142** (2007), 111–123.
11. J. Gifford, *Operator algebras with reduction property*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **80** (2006), 297–315.
12. F. Gilfeather and R.R. Smith, *Cohomology of operator algebras, cones and suspensions*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **65** (1992), 175–198.
13. F. Gourdeau, *Amenability of Lipschitz algebras*, Math. Proc. Cambr. Phil. Soc. **112** (1992), 581–588.
14. F. Gourdeau, Z.A. Lykova and M.C. White, *The simplicial cohomology of $L^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^k)$* , Contemp. Math. **363** (2004), 95–109.
15. A.Y. Helemskii, *The homology of Banach and topological algebras*, Math. Appl. **41** (1989).
16. B.E. Johnson, *Cohomology in Banach algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1972).
17. ———, *Approximate diagonals and cohomology of certain annihilator Banach algebras*, Amer. J. Math. **94** (1972), 685–698.
18. ———, *Higher-dimensional weak amenability*, Stud. Math. **123** (1997), 117–134.
19. K. Kawamura, *Point derivations and cohomologies of Lipschitz algebras*, submitted.

- 20.** W. Lück, *L^2 -invariants: Theory and application to geometry and K -theory*, *Ergeb. Math.* **44** (2000).
- 21.** Z.A. Lyokova, *The higher dimensional amenability of tensor products of Banach algebras*, *J. Oper. Th.* **67** (2012), 73–100.
- 22.** A.L.T. Paterson, *Virtual diagonals and n -amenability for Banach algebras*, *Pacific J. Math.* **175** (1996), 161–185.
- 23.** A.L.T. Paterson and R. Smith, *Higher dimensional virtual diagonals and ideal cohomology for triangular algebras*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **359** (1997), 1919–1943.
- 24.** A. Pourabbas and A. Shirinkalam, *Approximate cohomology in Banach algebras*, *Quaest. Math.* **40** (2017), 107–118.
- 25.** D.R. Sherbert, *The structure of ideals and point derivations in Banach algebras of Lipschitz functions*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **111** (1964), 240–272.

UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, TSUKUBA, IBARAKI 305-8071, JAPAN

Email address: kawamura@math.tsukuba.ac.jp