# JORDAN $\sigma$ -DERIVATIONS OF PRIME RINGS

#### TSIU-KWEN LEE

ABSTRACT. Let R be a noncommutative prime ring with extended centroid C and with  $Q_{mr}(R)$  its maximal right ring of quotients. From the viewpoint of functional identities, we give a complete characterization of Jordan  $\sigma$ derivations of R with  $\sigma$  an epimorphism. Precisely, given such a Jordan  $\sigma$ -derivation  $\delta \colon R \to Q_{mr}(R)$ , it is proved that either  $\delta$  is a  $\sigma$ -derivation or a derivation  $d \colon R \to Q_{mr}(R)$  and a unit  $u \in Q_{mr}(R)$  exist such that  $\delta(x) = ud(x) + \mu(x)u$  for all  $x \in R$ , where  $\mu \colon R \to C$  is an additive map satisfying  $\mu(x^2) = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . In addition, if  $\sigma$  is an X-outer automorphism, then  $\delta$  is always a  $\sigma$ -derivation.

**1. Introduction.** Throughout this paper, R is always a prime ring with  $Q_{mr}(R)$  the maximal right ring of quotients of R and with  $Q_s(R)$  the symmetric Martindale ring of quotients of R. It is known that  $R \subseteq Q_s(R) \subseteq Q_{mr}(R)$ . The overrings  $Q_s(R)$  and  $Q_{mr}(R)$  of R are still prime rings with the same center, denoted by C, which is a field and is called the *extended centroid* of R. We refer the reader to [3] for details.

An additive map  $d: R \to R$  is called a *derivation*, respectively Jordan derivation, if d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y) for all  $x, y \in R$ , respectively  $d(x^2) = d(x)x + xd(x)$  for all  $x \in R$ . In 1957, Herstein proved that, if R is a prime ring of characteristic not 2, then every Jordan derivation of R is a derivation, see [6]. We refer the reader to the references given in [8] for more related results. In a recent paper [9] the author and Lin studied a slightly generalized definition concerning (Jordan) derivations. Let  $R \subseteq S$  be rings. An additive map  $\delta: R \to S$  is called a derivation, respectively Jordan derivation, if  $\delta(xy) = \delta(x)y + x\delta(y)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , respectively  $\delta(x^2) = \delta(x)x + x\delta(x)$  for all  $x \in R$ . It follows from [6,

<sup>2010</sup> AMS Mathematics subject classification. Primary 16N60, 16R60, 16W25. Keywords and phrases. Prime ring, (Jordan)  $\sigma$ -derivation, PI, GPI, functional identity.

This work was partly supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of Taiwan, grant No. MOST 103-2115-M-002 -004 -MY2, and the National Center for Theoretical Sciences, Taipei Office.

Received by the editors on April 27, 2015, and in revised form on July 18, 2015. DOI:10.1216/RMJ-2017-47-2-511 Copyright chare>2017 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

### TSIU-KWEN LEE

Theorem 3.1], [4, Corollary 6.9] and [9, Theorems 1.2, 2.1] that Jordan derivations of a given prime ring are completely characterized as, see [9, Theorem 2.2]: If an additive map  $\delta: R \to Q_{mr}(R)$  is a Jordan derivation, then a derivation  $d: R \to Q_{mr}(R)$  and an additive map  $\mu: R \to C$  exist such that  $\delta = d + \mu$  and  $\mu(x^2) = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . The converse is true if the characteristic of R is 2.

Motivated by [5, 6, 9], in [8], the author studied "Jordan  $\sigma$ -derivations" and "Jordan semiderivations" of prime rings from the viewpoint of functional identities. Clearly, the key point of these results is to determine the structure of Jordan  $\sigma$ -derivations.

**Definition.** Let  $\sigma$  be an endomorphism of R. An additive map  $\delta \colon R \to Q_{mr}(R)$  is called a  $\sigma$ -derivation, respectively Jordan  $\sigma$ -derivation, with associated endomorphism  $\sigma$  if  $\delta(xy) = \delta(x)y + \sigma(x)\delta(y)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , respectively  $\delta(x^2) = \delta(x)x + \sigma(x)\delta(x)$  for all  $x \in R$ .

A Jordan  $\sigma$ -derivation  $\delta: R \to Q_{mr}(R)$  is called X-*inner* if there exists an element  $a \in Q_{mr}(R)$  such that  $\delta(x) = ax - \sigma(x)a$  for all  $x \in R$ . Otherwise,  $\delta$  is called X-*outer*. When  $\sigma = 1_R$ , the identity map of R, a (Jordan)  $\sigma$ -derivation is merely a (Jordan) derivation. Hence, Jordan  $1_R$ -derivations of R have been completely characterized, see [6, Theorem 3.1], [9, Theorem 1.1] if char  $R \neq 2$  and [9, Theorem 2.2] if char R = 2. In [8], the author characterize Jordan  $\sigma$ -derivations  $\delta: R \to Q_{mr}(R)$  with  $\sigma$  an epimorphism if R is not a GPI-ring. In this paper, we will obtain the same conclusion without the extra assumption that R is not a GPI-ring, see [8, Question 2.8]. As a consequence, if  $\sigma$  is an X-outer automorphism, then every Jordan  $\sigma$ -derivation is a  $\sigma$ -derivation. The key point is to solve certain functional identities of prime non-PI rings. Recall that an automorphism  $\sigma$  of R is called Xinner if there exists a unit  $u \in Q_s(R)$  such that  $\sigma(x) = uxu^{-1}$  for all  $x \in R$ . Otherwise, it is called X-outer.

2. Main results. Our goal of the paper is to characterize Jordan  $\sigma$ -derivations of prime rings. The main result is the following.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let R be a noncommutative prime ring with an epimorphism  $\sigma$ , and let  $\delta \colon R \to Q_{mr}(R)$  be a Jordan  $\sigma$ -derivation. Then, either  $\delta$  is a  $\sigma$ -derivation or a derivation d:  $R \to Q_{mr}(R)$  and a unit  $u \in Q_s(R)$  exist such that  $\delta(x) = ud(x) + \mu(x)u$  for all  $x \in R$ , where  $\mu: R \to C$  is an additive map satisfying  $\mu(x^2) = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ .

First, we deal with the case of prime PI-rings. For a prime PI-ring R, it is known that  $Q_{mr}(R) = RC$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let R be a noncommutative prime PI-ring with an epimorphism  $\sigma$ , and let  $\delta: R \to RC$  be a Jordan  $\sigma$ -derivation. Then, either  $\delta$  is a  $\sigma$ -derivation or a derivation  $d: R \to RC$  and a unit  $u \in RC$  exist such that  $\delta(x) = ud(x) + \mu(x)u$  for all  $x \in R$ , where  $\mu: R \to C$  is an additive map satisfying  $\mu(x^2) = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ .

*Proof.* By [8, Corollary 2.3], if char  $R \neq 2$  then  $\delta$  is a  $\sigma$ -derivation. Suppose that char R = 2. Let  $x, y \in R$ . Then xy + yx = [x, y]. Linearizing  $\delta(x^2) = \delta(x)x + \sigma(x)\delta(x)$ , we see that

(2.1) 
$$\delta([x,y]) = \delta(x)y + \delta(y)x + \sigma(x)\delta(y) + \sigma(y)\delta(x).$$

Since R is a prime PI-ring, it follows from [13, Theorem 2] that Z(R), the center of R, is nonzero. Let  $0 \neq \beta \in Z(R)$ . Replacing y by  $\beta$  in (2.1), we see that

$$(\beta + \sigma(\beta))\delta(x) = \delta(\beta)x + \sigma(x)\delta(\beta).$$

Case 1. There is a  $\beta \in Z(R)$  such that  $\sigma(\beta) \neq \beta$ . Since  $\sigma$  is an epimorphism,  $\sigma(\beta) \in Z(R)$ . Set  $a := (\beta + \sigma(\beta))^{-1}\delta(\beta) \in RC$ . Then  $\delta(x) = ax - \sigma(x)a$  for all  $x \in R$ , that is,  $\delta$  is an X-inner  $\sigma$ -derivation.

Case 2.  $\sigma(\beta) = \beta$  for all  $\beta \in Z(R)$ . Then  $\sigma$  can be uniquely extended to an epimorphism of RC, denoted by  $\tilde{\sigma}$ , defined by  $\tilde{\sigma}(x/\beta) = (\sigma(x))/\beta$ for  $x \in R$  and  $0 \neq \beta \in Z(R)$ . Since RC is a finite-dimensional central simple C-algebra, see [13], and  $\tilde{\sigma}(\alpha) = \alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in C$ ,  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is a Clinear automorphism of RC. The Noether-Skolem theorem asserts that there exists a unit  $u \in RC$  such that  $\tilde{\sigma}(x) = uxu^{-1}$  for  $x \in RC$ . Hence,  $\delta(x^2) = \delta(x)x + uxu^{-1}\delta(x)$  for all  $x \in R$ . Clearly, the map  $x \mapsto u^{-1}\delta(x)$ for  $x \in R$  is a Jordan derivation of R into RC. In view of [9, Theorem 2.2], a derivation  $d: R \to RC$  and an additive map  $\mu: R \to C$  exist such that  $u^{-1}\delta(x) = d(x) + \mu(x)$  for all  $x \in R$ , where  $\mu(x^2) = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . So  $\delta(x) = ud(x) + \mu(x)u$  for all  $x \in R$ , as asserted.  $\Box$  By Theorem 2.2 together with [8, Theorem 2.7], in order to prove Theorem 2.1, we have to handle the case where R is a prime GPI-ring but is not a PI-ring. To prove the case, we need a result concerning functional identities.

We first introduce some notation. For any maps  $f: \mathbb{R}^{r-1} \to Q_{mr}(\mathbb{R})$ and  $g: \mathbb{R}^{r-2} \to Q_{mr}(\mathbb{R})$  we write

$$f^{i}(\overline{x}_{r}) = f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{r})$$

and

$$g^{ij}(\overline{x}_r) = g^{ji}(\overline{x}_r) = g(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_r),$$

where  $\overline{x}_r = (x_1, \ldots, x_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r$  and  $1 \le i < j \le r$ .

We are now ready to state the key result, which will be used in the proof of Theorem 2.1 and is also interesting in itself. Although it has a more general form, we prove only the following for our purpose.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let R be a prime ring, which is not a PI-ring, and let  $\sigma$  be an X-outer automorphism of R. Further, suppose that  $E_{i1}, F_{\ell s} \colon R^{r-1} \to Q_{mr}(R)$  are (r-1)-additive maps, where  $1 \leq i$ ,  $\ell \leq r$  and s = 1, 2. Suppose that

(2.2) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} E_{i1}^{i}(\overline{x}_{r})x_{i} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} x_{\ell}F_{\ell 1}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_{r}) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} x_{\ell}^{\sigma}F_{\ell 2}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_{r}) \in C$$

for all  $\overline{x}_r \in \mathbb{R}^r$ . Then there exist a nonzero ideal I of R, (r-2)-additive maps  $p_{i1\ell s} \colon I^{r-2} \to Q_{mr}(R)$  and (r-1)-additive maps  $\lambda_{i1} \colon I^{r-1} \to C$ , where  $1 \leq i, \ell \leq r$  and s = 1, 2, such that

$$E_{i1}^{i}(\overline{x}_{r}) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \ell \leq r\\ \ell \neq i}} x_{\ell} p_{i1\ell1}^{i\ell}(\overline{x}_{r}) + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \ell \leq r\\ \ell \neq i}} x_{\ell}^{\sigma} p_{i1\ell2}^{i\ell}(\overline{x}_{r}) + \lambda_{i1}^{i}(\overline{x}_{r}),$$

$$F_{\ell1}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_{r}) = -\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r\\ i \neq \ell}} p_{i1\ell1}^{i\ell}(\overline{x}_{r}) x_{i} - \lambda_{\ell1}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_{r})$$

and

$$F_{\ell 2}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_r) = -\sum_{\substack{1 \le i \le r\\ i \ne \ell}} p_{i1\ell 2}^{i\ell}(\overline{x}_r) x_i$$

for all  $\overline{x}_r \in I^r$ , where  $1 \leq i, \ell \leq r$ .

The proof of Theorem 2.3 will be given in the next section. A right ideal  $\rho$  of R is called dense if  $\rho$  is a dense submodule of  $R_R$ , that is, given  $x, y \in R$  with  $y \neq 0$ , there exists an element  $r \in R$  such that  $xr \in \rho$  and  $yr \neq 0$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of R. Suppose that  $\delta: R \to Q_{mr}(R)$  is a  $\sigma$ -derivation. Then  $\delta$  can be uniquely extended to a  $\sigma$ -derivation from  $Q_{mr}(R)$  to itself.

*Proof.* It is known that  $\sigma$  can be uniquely extended to an automorphism of  $Q_{mr}(R)$ , denoted by  $\sigma$  also. Let  $q \in Q_{mr}(R)$ . Choose a dense right ideal  $\rho$  of R such that  $q\rho \subseteq R$ . Let  $f: \rho \to Q_{mr}(R)$  be the map defined by  $f(x) = \delta(qx) - \sigma(q)\delta(x)$  for  $x \in \rho$ . We claim that f is a right R-module map. Indeed, let  $x \in \rho$  and  $r \in R$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(xr) &= \delta(qxr) - \sigma(q)\delta(xr) \\ &= \left(\delta(qx)r + \sigma(qx)\delta(r)\right) - \sigma(q)\left(\delta(x)r + \sigma(x)\delta(r)\right) \\ &= \left(\delta(qx) - \sigma(q)\delta(x)\right)r \\ &= f(x)r, \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. Note that  $\rho Q_{mr}(R)$  is a dense right ideal of  $Q_{mr}(R)$  by the fact that  $\rho$  is a dense right ideal of R. Moreover, R is also a dense submodule of  $Q_{mr}(R)_R$ . Thus, f can be uniquely extended to a right  $Q_{mr}(R)$ -module map from  $\rho Q_{mr}(R)$  into  $Q_{mr}(R)$ , denoted by  $\tilde{f}$ . Since  $Q_{mr}(Q_{mr}(R)) = Q_{mr}(R)$ ,  $\tilde{f}: \rho Q_{mr}(R) \to Q_{mr}(R)$  can be realized as an element of  $Q_{mr}(R)$ . We define such an element as  $\tilde{\delta}(q)$ , that is,  $\tilde{f}(y) = \tilde{\delta}(q)y$  for  $y \in \rho Q_{mr}(R)$ . Thus,  $\tilde{\delta}: Q_{mr}(R) \to Q_{mr}(R)$  and  $\tilde{\delta}(x) = \delta(x)$  for  $x \in R$ . It is routine to check that  $\tilde{\delta}$  is a  $\sigma$ -derivation. Clearly, such an extension is unique.

**Lemma 2.5** ([8, Lemma 2.6]). Suppose that R is not a PI-ring, char R = 2, and let  $\sigma$  be an endomorphism of R. Let  $\delta, A, B: R \rightarrow$   $Q_{mr}(R)$  be additive maps satisfying

$$\delta(xy) + \sigma(x)\delta(y) = A(y)x + B(x)y$$

for all  $x, y \in R$ . Then B is a  $\sigma$ -derivation. In addition, if  $\sigma$  is an X-outer automorphism, then A = 0.

For the next lemma, we refer the reader to the proof of [8, Case 2, Theorem 2.7].

**Lemma 2.6.** Let R be a noncommutative prime ring with  $\sigma$  an X-outer automorphism. If R is not a GPI-ring, then every Jordan  $\sigma$ -derivation from R into  $Q_{mr}(R)$  is a  $\sigma$ -derivation.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let R be a noncommutative prime ring with  $\sigma$  an Xouter automorphism. Then, every Jordan  $\sigma$ -derivation from R into  $Q_{mr}(R)$  is a  $\sigma$ -derivation.

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.6, we may assume that R is a prime GPI-ring but is not a PI-ring. By [8, Corollary 2.3], we may assume further that charR = 2. Let  $x, y, z \in R$ . Then, by the identity [xy, z] + [zx, y] + [yz, x] = 0 and using (2.1) to expand  $\delta([xy, z]) + \delta([zx, y]) + \delta([yz, x])$ , we see that

(2.3) 
$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\delta(yz) + \delta(y)z\right)x + \left(\delta(zx) + \delta(z)x\right)y + \left(\delta(xy) + \delta(x)y\right)z \\ & = \sigma(x)\left(\delta(yz) + \sigma(y)\delta(z)\right) + \sigma(y)\left(\delta(zx) + \sigma(z)\delta(x)\right) \\ & + \sigma(z)\left(\delta(xy) + \sigma(x)\delta(y)\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in R$ . In view of Theorem 2.3, a nonzero ideal I of R and additive maps  $A, B: I \to Q_{mr}(R)$  exist such that

(2.4) 
$$\delta(xy) + \sigma(x)\delta(y) = A(y)x + B(x)y$$

for all  $x, y \in I$ . Note that  $Q_{mr}(I) = Q_{mr}(R)$ . It follows from Lemma 2.5 that A = 0 on I and B is a  $\sigma$ -derivation on I.

Replacing y with x in (2.4) and noting that  $\delta$  is a Jordan  $\sigma$ -derivation, we see that

$$\delta(x)x = \delta(x^2) + \sigma(x)\delta(x) = B(x)x,$$

and so,

$$(B(x) + \delta(x))x = 0$$
 for all  $x \in I$ .

Set  $h := B + \delta$ . Then h(x)y = h(y)x for all  $x, y \in I$ . Thus, h(x)yz = h(y)xz = h(xz)y for all  $x, y, z \in I$ . Since R is not commutative, neither is I. Thus, a  $z \in I$  exists such that 1 and zare linearly independent over C. It follows from [11, Theorem 2(a)] that h(x) = 0 for all  $x \in I$ , that is,  $B = \delta$  on I. Since B is a  $\sigma$ -derivation on I, so is  $\delta$  on I. Note that  $Q_{mr}(I) = Q_{mr}(R)$ . In view of Lemma 2.4, B can be uniquely extended to a  $\sigma$ -derivation  $\tilde{B}: R \to Q_{mr}(R)$ .

We claim that  $\delta = \widetilde{B}$  on R. This implies that  $\delta$  is itself a  $\sigma$ -derivation. Let  $g := \delta - \widetilde{B}$ . Then  $g : R \to Q_{mr}(R)$  is also a Jordan  $\sigma$ -derivation and g(I) = 0. Our aim is to show that g = 0. Let  $x \in R$  and  $w \in I$ . Then,

$$g(xw + wx) = g(x)w + g(w)x + \sigma(x)g(w) + \sigma(w)g(x)$$
$$= g(x)w + \sigma(w)g(x),$$

implying that  $g(x)w = \sigma(w)g(x)$  as g(xw + wx) = 0 = g(w). Since  $\sigma$  is X-outer, it follows that g(x) = 0 for all  $x \in R$ , as asserted.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. By [8, Theorem 2.4], if  $\sigma$  is not injective, then  $\delta$  is an X-inner  $\sigma$ -derivation. Thus, we may assume further that  $\sigma$  is an automorphism since  $\sigma$  is an epimorphism of R and, moreover, char R = 2, see [8, Corollary 2.3].

In view of Theorem 2.7 we are done if  $\sigma$  is an X-outer automorphism of R. Thus, we may assume that  $\sigma$  is X-inner. There exists a unit  $u \in Q_s(R)$  such that  $\sigma(x) = uxu^{-1}$  for all  $x \in R$ . As in the proof of Theorem 2.2, a derivation  $d: R \to Q_{mr}(R)$  and an additive map  $\mu: R \to C$  exist such that  $u^{-1}\delta(x) = d(x) + \mu(x)$  for  $x \in R$ , where  $\mu(x^2) = 0$  for  $x \in R$ , that is,  $\delta(x) = ud(x) + \mu(x)u$  for all  $x \in R$ , as asserted.  $\Box$ 

**3.** Proof of Theorem 2.3. In order to prove Theorem 2.3 we need the following result, which is a special case of [1, Theorem 1.2].

**Theorem 3.1.** Let R be a prime ring, which is not a GPI-ring, and let  $\sigma$  be an X-outer automorphism of R. Further, suppose that  $E_{ij}, F_{\ell s} \colon \mathbb{R}^{r-1} \to Q_{mr}(\mathbb{R})$  are (r-1)-additive maps, where  $1 \leq i$ ,  $\ell \leq r$  and  $1 \leq j, s \leq 2$ . Suppose that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} E_{i1}^{i}(\overline{x}_{r})x_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{r} E_{i2}^{i}(\overline{x}_{r})x_{i}^{\sigma} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} x_{\ell}F_{\ell1}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_{r}) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} x_{\ell}^{\sigma}F_{\ell2}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_{r}) \in V$$

for all  $\overline{x}_r \in R^r$ , where V is a finite dimensional C-subspace of  $Q_{mr}(R)$ . Then, there exist unique (r-2)-additive maps  $p_{ij\ell s} \colon R^{r-2} \to Q_{mr}(R)$ and (r-1)-additive maps  $\lambda_{ij} \colon R^{r-1} \to C$ , where  $1 \leq i, \ell \leq r$  and  $1 \leq j, s \leq 2$ , such that

$$E_{ij}^{i}(\overline{x}_{r}) = \sum_{\substack{1 \le \ell \le r \\ \ell \ne i}} x_{\ell} p_{ij\ell1}^{i\ell}(\overline{x}_{r}) + \sum_{\substack{1 \le \ell \le r \\ \ell \ne i}} x_{\ell}^{\sigma} p_{ij\ell2}^{i\ell}(\overline{x}_{r}) + \lambda_{ij}^{i}(\overline{x}_{r})$$

and

$$F_{\ell s}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_{r}) = -\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ i \neq \ell}} p_{i1\ell s}^{i\ell}(\overline{x}_{r})x_{i} - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ i \neq \ell}} p_{i2\ell s}^{i\ell}(\overline{x}_{r})x_{i}^{\sigma} - \lambda_{\ell s}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_{r})$$

for all  $\overline{x}_r \in \mathbb{R}^r$ , where  $1 \leq i, \ell \leq r$  and  $1 \leq j, s \leq 2$ .

From now on, we assume that R is a prime ring, which is not a PI-ring, and let  $\sigma: R \to R$  be an X-outer automorphism. By  $I \triangleleft R$ , we mean that I is an ideal of R.

To begin, we need the following, see [7, Proof of Proposition 2], [12, Theorem 3.13] or [10, Theorem 1.1].

**Theorem 3.2** ([7], Kharchenko). Let R be a prime GPI-ring, and let  $\tau$  be an automorphism of R. Suppose that  $\tau(\beta) = \beta$  for all  $\beta \in C$ . Then  $\tau$  is X-inner.

For  $x \in R$ , we define  $\deg(x)$  to be the minimal algebraic degree over C if x is algebraic over C and  $\deg(x) = \infty$  otherwise. For a subset T of R, we define  $\deg(T) = \sup\{\deg(t) \mid t \in T\}$ . It is known that  $\deg(R) = \infty$  if R is not a PI-ring. For any map  $f: R^{r-1} \to Q_{mr}(R)$ and  $t \neq i$ , we let

$$f^{i}(\overline{x}_{r}; \{y\}_{t}) = f(z_{1}, \dots, z_{i-1}, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_{r}),$$

where  $z_j = x_j$  if  $j \neq t$  and  $z_t = y$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** Suppose that  $E_i, F_\ell \colon R^{r-1} \to Q_{mr}(R)$  are (r-1)-additive maps satisfying

(3.1) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_i E_i^i(\overline{x}_r) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} x_\ell^{\sigma} F_\ell^{\ell}(\overline{x}_r) \in C$$

for all  $\overline{x}_r \in R^r$ . Then there exists a nonzero ideal I of R such that  $E_i^i = 0 = F_\ell^\ell$  on  $I^r$  for  $1 \le i, \ell \le r$ .

*Proof.* If R is not a GPI-ring, it follows from Theorem 3.1 that  $E_i^i = 0 = F_\ell^\ell$  on  $R^r$  for  $1 \le i, \ell \le r$ . The lemma is proved.

Suppose now that R is a GPI-ring but not a PI-ring. Let  $A := \{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$  and

 $L := \{ \ell \in A \mid \text{there exists } 0 \neq J \triangleleft R \text{ such that } F_{\ell}^{\ell} = 0 \text{ on } J^r \}.$ 

We proceed with the proof by induction on r - |L|. First, suppose that L = A. Then,  $F_{\ell}^{\ell} = 0$  on  $J^r$  for  $1 \leq \ell \leq r$ , where J is a nonzero ideal of R. Thus,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_i E_i^i(\overline{x}_r) \in C$$

for all  $\overline{x}_r \in J^r$ . Note that  $Q_{mr}(J) = Q_{mr}(R)$  and  $\deg(R) = \infty$ . In view of [2, Theorem 2.4],  $E_i^i = 0$  on  $J^r$  for  $1 \le i \le r$ , as asserted.

Next, suppose that  $r - |L| \ge 1$ . We may assume without loss of generality that  $r \notin L$ , that is,  $F_r^r \neq 0$  on  $U^r$  for any nonzero ideal U of R. Since  $\sigma$  is X-outer and R is a GPI-ring, it follows from Theorem 3.2 that  $\sigma(\beta) \neq \beta$  for some  $\beta \in C$ . Choose a nonzero ideal K satisfying  $\beta K \subseteq R$ . Then, by (3.1), we have

(3.2) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} x_i \Big( E_i^i(\overline{x}_r; \{\beta x_r\}_r) - \beta E_i^i(\overline{x}_r) \Big) \\ + \sum_{\ell=1}^{r-1} x_\ell^\sigma \Big( F_\ell^\ell(\overline{x}_r; \{\beta x_r\}_r) - \beta F_\ell^\ell(\overline{x}_r) \Big) \\ + x_r^\sigma(\sigma(\beta) - \beta) F_r^r(\overline{x}_r) \in C$$

for all  $\overline{x}_r \in K^r$ . Choose a nonzero ideal  $K_1$  of R contained in K such

that  $K_1^{\sigma^{-1}} \subseteq K$ . Then, by (3.2), we have

(3.3) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} x_i \widetilde{E}_i^i(\overline{x}_r) + x_r F_r^r(\overline{x}_r) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{r-1} x_\ell^\sigma \widetilde{F}_\ell^\ell(\overline{x}_r) \in C$$

for all  $\overline{x}_r \in K_1^r$ , where

$$\widetilde{E}_i^i(\overline{x}_r) = (\sigma(\beta) - \beta)^{-1} \left( E_i^i(\overline{x}_r; \{\beta x_r^{\sigma^{-1}}\}_r) - \beta E_i^i(\overline{x}_r; \{x_r^{\sigma^{-1}}\}_r) \right)$$

and

$$\widetilde{F}_{\ell}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_r) = (\sigma(\beta) - \beta)^{-1} \left( F_{\ell}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_r; \{\beta x_r^{\sigma^{-1}}\}_r) - \beta F_{\ell}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_r; \{x_r^{\sigma^{-1}}\}_r) \right).$$

Set

 $L_1 := \{\ell \mid 1 \le \ell \le r-1 \text{ there exists } 0 \ne J \triangleleft R \text{ such that } \widetilde{F}_{\ell}^{\ell} = 0 \text{ on } J^r \}.$ 

Let  $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, r-1\}$  be such that  $\ell \in L$ . Then, there exists a nonzero ideal N of R such that  $F_{\ell}^{\ell} = 0$  on  $N^r$ . Clearly, there exists a nonzero ideal M of R contained in N such that  $\widetilde{F}_{\ell}^{\ell} = 0$  on  $M^r$ , that is,  $\ell \in L_1$ . Since  $r \notin L$ , we have  $|L| \leq |L_1|$ , and so,  $r - |L| \geq r - |L_1| > r - 1 - |L_1|$ . By the inductive hypothesis, it follows from (3.2) that  $F_r^r = 0$  on  $W^r$ , where W is a nonzero ideal of R. This is a contradiction.

*Proof of Theorem* 2.3. We divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1. R is not a GPI-ring. We let  $E_{i2} = 0$  for  $1 \le i \le r$ , where  $E_{i2} : R^{r-1} \to Q_{mr}(R)$  and rewrite (2.2) as

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{r} E_{i1}^{i}(\overline{x}_{r})x_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{r} E_{i2}^{i}(\overline{x}_{r})x_{i}^{\sigma} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} x_{\ell}F_{\ell1}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_{r}) \\ + \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} x_{\ell}^{\sigma}F_{\ell2}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_{r}) \in C$$

for all  $\overline{x}_r \in R^r$ . By Theorem 3.1, there exist unique additive maps  $p_{ij\ell s} \colon R^{r-2} \to Q_{mr}(R)$  and  $\lambda_{is} \colon R^{r-1} \to C$ ,  $1 \leq i, \ell \leq r$  and s = 1, 2, such that

$$(3.5) \qquad E_{ij}^{i}(\overline{x}_{r}) = \sum_{\substack{1 \le \ell \le r\\ \ell \ne i}} x_{\ell} p_{ij\ell1}^{i\ell}(\overline{x}_{r}) + \sum_{\substack{1 \le \ell \le r\\ \ell \ne i}} x_{\ell}^{\sigma} p_{ij\ell2}^{i\ell}(\overline{x}_{r}) + \lambda_{ij}^{i}(\overline{x}_{r})$$

and

$$(3.6) F_{\ell s}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_r) = -\sum_{\substack{1 \le i \le r \\ i \ne \ell}} p_{i1\ell s}^{i\ell}(\overline{x}_r) x_i - \sum_{\substack{1 \le i \le r \\ i \ne \ell}} p_{i2\ell s}^{i\ell}(\overline{x}_r) x_i^{\sigma} - \lambda_{\ell s}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_r)$$

for all  $\overline{x}_r \in \mathbb{R}^r$ , where  $1 \leq i, \ell \leq r$  and  $1 \leq j, s \leq 2$ . Since  $E_{i2} = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , it follows from (3.5) with j = 2 that

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \le \ell \le r\\ \overline{\ell} \ne i}} x_{\ell} p_{i2\ell 1}^{i\ell}(\overline{x}_r) + \sum_{\substack{1 \le \ell \le r\\ \overline{\ell} \ne i}} x_{\ell}^{\sigma} p_{i2\ell 2}^{i\ell}(\overline{x}_r) + \lambda_{i2}^{i}(\overline{x}_r) = 0.$$

But, R is not a PI-ring. By Lemma 3.3, there exists a nonzero ideal I of R such that

$$p_{i2\ell 1}^{i\ell}=0=p_{i2\ell 2}^{i\ell}\quad\text{and}\quad\lambda_{i2}^i=0\text{ on }I^r,$$

where  $1 \leq i, \ell \leq r$  with  $i \neq \ell$ . Hence, (3.6) is reduced to

$$F_{\ell s}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_r) = -\sum_{\substack{1 \le i \le r\\ i \ne \ell}} p_{i1\ell s}^{i\ell}(\overline{x}_r) x_i - \lambda_{\ell s}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_r)$$

for all  $\overline{x}_r \in I^r$ , where  $1 \leq \ell \leq r$  and  $1 \leq s \leq 2$ , as asserted.

Case 2. R is a GPI-ring. Let  $A := \{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$  and

 $L:=\{\ell\in A \ | \ \text{there exists} \ 0\neq J \triangleleft R \text{ such that } F_{\ell 2}^\ell=0 \text{ on } J^r\}.$ 

We proceed with the proof by induction on r - |L|. Suppose first that L = A. Then,  $F_{\ell 2}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_r) = 0$  for all  $\overline{x}_r \in U^r$  for  $1 \leq \ell \leq r$ , where U is a nonzero ideal of R. Thus, (2.2) is reduced to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} E_{i1}^{i}(\overline{x}_{r})x_{i} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} x_{\ell} F_{\ell 1}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_{r}) \in C$$

for all  $\overline{x}_r \in U^r$ . Note that  $Q_{mr}(U) = Q_{mr}(R)$ . Since R is not a PI-ring,  $\deg(R) = \infty$ . In view of [2, Corollary 2.11], there exist additive maps  $p_{i1\ell 1} \colon R^{r-2} \to Q_{mr}(R)$  and  $\lambda_{i1} \colon R^{r-1} \to C$ ,  $1 \leq i, \ell \leq r$ , such that

$$E_{i1}^{i}(\overline{x}_{r}) = \sum_{\substack{1 \le \ell \le r \\ \ell \ne i}} x_{\ell} p_{i1\ell1}^{i\ell}(\overline{x}_{r}) + \lambda_{i1}^{i}(\overline{x}_{r})$$

and

$$F_{\ell 1}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_r) = -\sum_{\substack{1 \le i \le r\\ i \ne \ell}} p_{i1\ell 1}^{i\ell}(\overline{x}_r) x_i - \lambda_{\ell 1}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_r)$$

for all  $\overline{x}_r \in \mathbb{R}^r$ , where  $1 \leq i, \ell \leq r$ , as asserted.

Suppose next that  $r - |L| \ge 1$ . We may assume without loss of generality that  $r \notin L$ , that is,  $F_{r2}^r \ne 0$  on  $U^r$  for any nonzero ideal U of R. Since  $\sigma$  is X-outer and R is a GPI-ring, it follows from Theorem 3.2 that  $\sigma(\beta) \ne \beta$  for some  $\beta \in C$ . Choose a nonzero ideal Jof R such that  $\beta J \subseteq R$ . By (2.2), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} x_i \left( E_i^i(\overline{x}_r; \{\beta x_r\}_r) - \beta E_i^i(\overline{x}_r) \right) \\ + \sum_{\ell=1}^{r-1} x_\ell \left( F_{\ell 1}^\ell(\overline{x}_r; \{\beta x_r\}_r) - \beta F_{\ell 1}^\ell(\overline{x}_r) \right) \\ + \sum_{\ell=1}^{r-1} x_\ell^\sigma \left( F_{\ell 2}^\ell(\overline{x}_r; \{\beta x_r\}_r) - \beta F_{\ell 2}^\ell(\overline{x}_r) \right) \\ + x_r^\sigma(\sigma(\beta) - \beta) F_{r 2}^r(\overline{x}_r) \in C$$

for all  $\overline{x}_r \in J^r$ . Then,

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} x_i \widetilde{E}_i^i(\overline{x}_r) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{r-1} x_\ell \widetilde{F}_{\ell 1}^\ell(\overline{x}_r) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{r-1} x_\ell^\sigma \widetilde{F}_{\ell 2}^\ell(\overline{x}_r) + x_r^\sigma F_{r2}^r(\overline{x}_r) \in C$$

for all  $\overline{x}_r \in J^r$ , where

$$\widetilde{E}_{i1}^{i}(\overline{x}_{r}) = (\sigma(\beta) - \beta)^{-1} \big( E_{i}^{i}(\overline{x}_{r}; \{\beta x_{r}\}_{r}) - \beta E_{i}^{i}(\overline{x}_{r}) \big), \widetilde{F}_{\ell 1}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_{r}) = (\sigma(\beta) - \beta)^{-1} \big( F_{\ell 1}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_{r}; \{\beta x_{r}\}_{r}) - \beta F_{\ell 1}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_{r}) \big),$$

and

$$\widetilde{F}_{\ell 2}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_r) = (\sigma(\beta) - \beta)^{-1} \big( F_{\ell 2}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_r; \{\beta x_r\}_r) - \beta F_{\ell 2}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_r) \big).$$

Choose a nonzero ideal  $J_1$  of R contained in J such that  $J_1^{\sigma^{-1}} \subseteq J$ . It

522

follows from (3.7) that

(3.8) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} x_i \widetilde{E}_i^i(\overline{x}_r; \{x_r^{\sigma^{-1}}\}_r) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{r-1} x_\ell \widetilde{F}_{\ell 1}^\ell(\overline{x}_r; \{x_r^{\sigma^{-1}}\}_r) + x_r F_{r2}^r(\overline{x}_r) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{r-1} x_\ell^{\sigma} \widetilde{F}_{\ell 2}^\ell(\overline{x}_r; \{x_r^{\sigma^{-1}}\}_r) \in C$$

for all  $\overline{x}_r \in J_1^r$ . Set  $H_{\ell 2}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_r) := \widetilde{F}_{\ell 2}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_r; \{x_r^{\sigma^{-1}}\}_r)$  for  $\overline{x}_r \in J_1^r$ ,  $1 \leq \ell \leq r-1$  and

 $L_1 := \{ \ell \mid 1 \le \ell \le r - 1, \text{ there exists } 0 \ne J \triangleleft R \text{ such that } H_{\ell 2}^{\ell} = 0 \text{ on } J^r \}.$ 

Let  $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, r-1\}$  be such that  $\ell \in L$ . Then, there exists a nonzero ideal N of R such that  $F_{\ell}^{\ell} = 0$  on  $N^{r}$ . Clearly, there exists a nonzero ideal M of R contained in N such that  $H_{\ell 2}^{\ell} = 0$  on  $M^{r}$ , that is,  $\ell \in L_{1}$ . Since  $r \notin L$ , we have  $|L| \leq |L_{1}|$ , and so,  $r - |L| \geq r - |L_{1}| > r - 1 - |L_{1}|$ .

By the inductive hypothesis, the  $F_{r2}^r$  in (3.8) can be solved, that is, there exists a nonzero ideal  $J_2$  of R contained in  $J_1$ , (r-2)-additive maps  $p_{i1\ell 2} \colon J_2^{r-2} \to Q_{mr}(R)$  such that

(3.9) 
$$F_{r2}^r(\overline{x}_r) = -\sum_{\substack{1 \le i \le r \\ i \ne r}} p_{i1r2}^{ir}(\overline{x}_r) x_i$$

for all  $\overline{x}_r \in J_2^r$ . It follows from (2.2) together with (3.9) that

(3.10) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left( E_{i1}^{i}(\overline{x}_{r}) - x_{r}^{\sigma} p_{i1r2}^{ir}(\overline{x}_{r}) \right) x_{i} + E_{r1}^{r}(\overline{x}_{r}) x_{r} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} x_{\ell} F_{\ell 1}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_{r}) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{r-1} x_{\ell}^{\sigma} F_{\ell 2}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_{r}) \in C$$

for all  $\overline{x}_r \in J_2^r$ . By induction, these  $F_{\ell 2}^{\ell}$  in (3.10) can be solved as follows:

(3.11) 
$$F_{\ell 2}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_r) = -\sum_{\substack{1 \le i \le r \\ i \ne \ell}} p_{i1\ell 2}^{i\ell}(\overline{x}_r) x_i$$

for all  $\overline{x}_r \in I^r$  and  $1 \leq \ell \leq r-1$ , where I is a nonzero ideal of R

contained in  $J_2$ . By (2.2), (3.9) and (3.11), we have

$$(3.12) \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{r} \left( E_{i1}^{i}(\overline{x}_{r}) - \sum_{\substack{1 \le \ell \le r \\ \ell \ne i}} x_{\ell}^{\sigma} p_{i1\ell_{2}}^{i\ell}(\overline{x}_{r}) \right) x_{i} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} x_{\ell} F_{\ell 1}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_{r}) \in C$$

for all  $\overline{x}_r \in I^r$ . Note that  $Q_{mr}(I) = Q_{mr}(R)$ . We now apply [2, Corollary 2.11] to solve (3.10). Then (r-2)-additive maps  $p_{i1\ell 1} \colon I^{r-2} \to Q_{mr}(R)$  and additive maps  $\lambda_{i1} \colon I^{r-1} \to C$  exist such that

$$(3.13) \qquad E_{i1}^{i}(\overline{x}_{r}) - \sum_{\substack{1 \le \ell \le r\\ \ell \ne i}} x_{\ell}^{\sigma} p_{i1\ell_{2}}^{i\ell}(\overline{x}_{r}) = \sum_{\substack{1 \le \ell \le r\\ \ell \ne i}} x_{\ell} p_{i1\ell_{1}}^{i\ell}(\overline{x}_{r}) + \lambda_{i1}^{i}(\overline{x}_{r})$$

and

(3.14) 
$$F_{\ell 1}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_r) = -\sum_{\substack{1 \le i \le r\\ i \ne \ell}} p_{i1\ell 1}^{i\ell}(\overline{x}_r) x_i - \lambda_{\ell 1}^{\ell}(\overline{x}_r)$$

for all  $\overline{x}_r \in I^r$ , where  $1 \leq i, \ell \leq r$ . The theorem is now proved by (3.9), (3.11), (3.13) and (3.14).

## REFERENCES

 K.I. Beidar, M. Brešar and M.A. Chebotar, Generalized functional identities with (anti-)automorphisms and derivations on prime rings, I, J. Algebra 215 (1999), 644–665.

2. K.I. Beidar and W.S. Martindale, III, On functional identities in prime rings with involution, J. Algebra 203 (1998), 491–532.

**3**. K.I. Beidar, W.S. Martindale, III, and A.A. Mikhalev, *Rings with generalized identities*, in *Monographs and textbooks in pure and applied mathematics*, **196**, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1996.

4. M. Brešar, M.A. Chebotar and W.S. Martindale, III, *Functional identities*, in *Frontiers in mathematics*, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 2007.

5. V. De Filippis, A. Mamouni and L. Oukhtite, *Generalized Jordan semideriva*tions in prime rings, Canad. Math. Bull. 58 (2015), 263–270.

6. I.N. Herstein, Jordan derivations of prime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 1104–1110.

 V.K. Kharchenko, Generalized identities with automorphisms, Alg. Logik. 14 (1975), 215–237.

8. T.-K. Lee, Functional identities and Jordan  $\sigma$ -derivations, Linear Multilin. Alg. **64** (2016), 221–234.

**9**. T.-K. Lee and J.-H. Lin, Jordan derivations of prime rings with characteristic two, Lin. Alg. Appl. **462** (2014), 1–15.

10. T.-K. Lee and K.-S. Liu, The Skolem-Noether theorem for semiprime rings satisfying a strict identity, Comm. Alg. 35 (2007), 1949–1955.

11. W.S. Martindale, III, Prime rings satisfying a generalized polynomial identity, J. Alg. 12 (1969), 576–584.

12. S. Montgomery, Fixed rings of finite automorphism groups of associative rings, Lect. Notes Math. 818, Springer, Berlin, 1980.

13. L. Rowen, Some results on the center of a ring with polynomial identity, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 79 (1973), 219–223.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL TAIWAN UNIVERSITY, TAIPEI 106, TAIWAN

#### Email address: tklee@math.ntu.edu.tw