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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the notion of strong differential superordination as a dual concept of strong differential subordination, introduced in [1]. The notion of strong differential superordination has recently been studied by many authors, see, for example, $[\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{5}]$. Let $q(z)$ be an analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ that satisfies the first order differential equation $$
\theta(q(z))+F(z) q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z))=h(z)
$$

Suppose that $p(z)$ is analytic and univalent in the closure of the open unit disk $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ with $p(0)=q(0)$. We shall find conditions on $h(z), G(z), \theta(z)$ and $\varphi(z)$ such that $$
h(z) \prec \prec \theta(p(z))+\frac{G(\xi)}{\xi} z p^{\prime}(z) \varphi(p(z)) \Longrightarrow q(z) \prec p(z) .
$$

Applications and examples of the main results are also considered.


1. Introduction. Let $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ be the class of all analytic functions in the open unit disk $\mathbb{D}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$, and let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the subclass of $\mathcal{H}$ consisting of functions $f(z)$ of the form

$$
f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+\cdots
$$

For two functions $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$ we say that $f$ is subordinate to $g$ (or $g$ is superordinate to $f$ ) and write $f \prec g$ or $f(z) \prec g(z)$ if there exists an analytic function $w(z)$ in $\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$
w(0)=0, \quad|w(z)|<1 \quad \text { and } \quad f(z)=g(w(z))
$$

see [4]. If $g$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}$, then

$$
f(z) \prec g(z) \Longleftrightarrow f(0)=g(0) \quad \text { and } \quad f(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq g(\mathbb{D}) .
$$

[^0]Suppose that $F(z)$ is analytic and univalent in $\mathbb{D}$ and $F(0)=0$. The class of $F$-starlike functions $F S^{*}$ is defined as follows:

$$
F S^{*}=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: \operatorname{Re}\left(F(z) \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>0\right\}
$$

see [1]. If we set $F(z)=z$, then we obtain the usual class of starlike functions.

Let $f(z)$ be analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and $g(z, \xi)$ analytic in $\mathbb{D} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. We say that $f(z)$ is strongly subordinate to $g(z, \xi)$, or $g(z, \xi)$ is strongly superordinate to $f(z)$, and use $f(z) \prec \prec g(z, \xi)$ if there exists an analytic function $w(z)$ in $\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$
w(0)=0, \quad|w(z)|<1 \quad \text { and } \quad f(z)=g(w(z), \xi)
$$

for all $\xi \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, see [5]. If $g(z, \xi)$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}$ for all $\xi \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, then

$$
f(z) \prec \prec g(z, \xi) \Longleftrightarrow f(0)=g(0, \xi), \quad \xi \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} \text { and } f(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq g(\mathbb{D} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}})
$$

A function $L: \mathbb{D} \times[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a subordination (or Loewner) chain if $L(z, t)$ as a function of $z$ is analytic and univalent in $\mathbb{D}$ and is a continuously differentiable function of $t$ on $[0,+\infty)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, and $L\left(z, t_{1}\right) \prec L\left(z, t_{2}\right)$ when $0 \leq t_{1} \leq t_{2}$.

Throughout this paper, we assume that $F(z)$ and $q(z)$ are analytic in $\mathbb{D}, F(0)=0$ and $p(z)$ is analytic and univalent in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ with $p(0)=q(0)$, $G(z)$ is analytic in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}, G(0)=0$ and that $\theta$ and $\varphi$ are analytic in a domain $D$ containing $p(\mathbb{D})$ and $q(\mathbb{D})$, unless expressly stated. We define the analytic function $g(z, \xi)$ in $\mathbb{D} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z, \xi)=\theta(p(z))+\frac{G(\xi)}{\xi} z p^{\prime}(z) \varphi(p(z)) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, we aim to find conditions on $h(z), Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z))$, $F(z)$ and $G(z)$ such that

$$
h(z) \prec \prec g(z, \xi) \Longrightarrow q(z) \prec p(z) .
$$

In order to prove our main results, we need the next lemmas.
Lemma $1.1([4])$. Let $L(z, t)=a_{1}(t) z+a_{2}(t) z^{2}+\cdots$, with $a_{1}(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|a_{1}(t)\right|=+\infty$. Suppose that $L(z, t)$ as a function of $z$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and a continuously differentiable function of $t$ on
$[0,+\infty)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then, $L(z, t)$ is a subordination chain if and only if $\operatorname{Re}[(z \partial L / \partial z) /(\partial L / \partial t)]>0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $t \geq 0$.

Lemma 1.2 ([5]). Let $h(z)$ be analytic in $\mathbb{D}, q(z) \in \mathcal{H}, q(z)=$ $a+a_{n} z^{n}+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}+\cdots, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\psi: \mathbb{C}^{2} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Suppose that

$$
\psi\left(q(z), t z q^{\prime}(z) ; \zeta, \xi\right) \in h(\mathbb{D})
$$

where $z \in \mathbb{D}, \zeta \in \partial \mathbb{D}, \xi \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and $0<t \leq 1 / n \leq 1$. If $p(z)$ is analytic and univalent in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}, p(0)=a$ and $\psi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z) ; z, \xi\right)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and univalent in $\mathbb{D}$ for all $\xi \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, then

$$
h(z) \prec \prec \psi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z) ; z, \xi\right) \Longrightarrow q(z) \prec p(z) .
$$

## 2. Main results.

Theorem 2.1. Let $h(z)$ be convex (univalent) in $\mathbb{D}$. Suppose that $q(z)$ is an analytic solution of the differential equation

$$
\theta(q(z))+F(z) q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z))=h(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

If $g(z, \xi)$ is given by equation (1.1) and is analytic in $\mathbb{D} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and univalent in $\mathbb{D}$ for all $\xi \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$,
(i) $\theta(q(z)) \prec h(z)$, and
(ii) $\theta(q(z))+(G(\xi) / \xi) Q(z) \in h(\mathbb{D}),\left(Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z)), z \in \mathbb{D}, \xi \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}\right)$, then

$$
h(z) \prec \prec g(z, \xi) \Longrightarrow q(z) \prec p(z) .
$$

Proof. Define the function $\psi: \mathbb{C}^{2} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$
\psi(r, s ; \xi)=\theta(r)+\frac{G(\xi)}{\xi} s \varphi(r)
$$

Then, we have $h(z) \prec \prec \psi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z) ; \xi\right)$. It is sufficient to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(q(z), t z q^{\prime}(z) ; \xi\right) \in h(\mathbb{D}), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \xi \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}, 0<t \leq 1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi\left(q(z), t z q^{\prime}(z) ; \xi\right) & =\theta(q(z))+\frac{G(\xi)}{\xi} t z q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z)) \\
& =(1-t) \theta(q(z))+t\left(\theta(q(z))+Q(z) \frac{G(\xi)}{\xi}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From (i), (ii) and the convexity of $h(\mathbb{D})$, we conclude that equation (2.1) is satisfied. Now, the result follows from Lemma 1.2.

Example 2.2. Let $A$ and $B$ be positive real numbers, and let $C<0$. Suppose that $B>4 A$ and $B+A C \leq-1$. Setting $q(z)=1-z$, $F(z)=2 C z /(1-z)^{2}, G(z)=z+z^{2}, \varphi(z)=A z$ and $\theta(z)=2 B / z$, we obtain

$$
h(z)=\theta(q(z))+F(z) q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z))=\frac{2 B-2 A C z}{1-z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

It is clear that $h(z)$ is convex (univalent) in $\mathbb{D}$ and that $\operatorname{Re}(h(z)) \geq$ $B+A C$. We have

$$
\frac{2 B}{1-z}=\theta(q(z)) \prec h(z)=\frac{2 B-2 A C z}{1-z},
$$

and Theorem 2.1 (i) is satisfied. Condition (ii) is

$$
\theta(q(z))+Q(z) \frac{G(\xi)}{\xi}=\frac{2 B}{1-z}+A\left(z^{2}+z^{2} \xi-z-\xi z\right)
$$

By an easy calculation we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\theta(q(z))+Q(z) \frac{G(\xi)}{\xi}\right) & =2 B \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right)+A \operatorname{Re}\left(z^{2}+z^{2} \xi-z-\xi z\right) \\
& >B-4 A>0
\end{aligned}
$$

and Theorem 2.1 (ii) is satisfied. Hence, if

$$
\frac{2 B}{p(z)}+A(1+\xi) z p^{\prime}(z) p(z)
$$

is analytic in $\mathbb{D} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and univalent in $\mathbb{D}$ for all $\xi \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, then

$$
\frac{2 B-2 A C z}{1-z} \prec \prec \frac{2 B}{p(z)}+A(1+\xi) z p^{\prime}(z) p(z) \Longrightarrow 1-z \prec p(z)
$$

In the case that $h(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$, but not convex, we have the next theorem.

Theorem 2.3. Let $h(z)$ and $q(z)$ be analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and

$$
\theta(q(z))+F(z) q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z))=h(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

Suppose that $g(z, \xi)$, given by equation $(1.1)$, is analytic in $\mathbb{D} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and univalent in $\mathbb{D}$ for all $\xi \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. If $\theta^{\prime}(q(0)) q^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$,
(i) $Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z))$ is starlike in $\mathbb{D}$;
(ii) $\operatorname{Re}\left[(G(\xi) / \xi)\left(\varphi(q(z)) / \theta^{\prime}(q(z))\right)\right]>0, z \in \mathbb{D}, \xi \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$; and
(iii) $\theta(q(z))+(G(\xi) / \xi) Q(z) \in h(\mathbb{D})$,
then

$$
h(z) \prec \prec g(z, \xi) \Longrightarrow q(z) \prec p(z) .
$$

Proof. The function $L: \mathbb{D} \times[0,+\infty) \times \overline{\mathbb{D}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$
L(z, t ; \xi)=\theta(q(z))+t \frac{G(\xi)}{\xi} Q(z)
$$

is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $\xi \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and is a continuously differentiable function of $t$ on $[0,+\infty)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $\xi \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. We have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{1}(t)=\left.\frac{\partial L}{\partial z}\right|_{z=0} & =\theta^{\prime}(q(0)) q^{\prime}(0)+t \frac{G(\xi)}{\xi} Q^{\prime}(0) \\
& =\theta^{\prime}(q(0)) q^{\prime}(0)\left(1+t \frac{G(\xi)}{\xi} \frac{\varphi(q(0))}{\theta^{\prime}(q(0))}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $t \geq 0$, from (ii), we deduce that $a_{1}(t) \neq 0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|a_{1}(t)\right|=+\infty$ for all $\xi \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. A simple calculation along with (i) and (ii) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z \partial L / \partial z}{\partial L / \partial t}\right) & =\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z\left(\theta^{\prime}(q(z)) q^{\prime}(z)+t(G(\xi) / \xi) Q^{\prime}(z)\right)}{(G(\xi) / \xi) Q(z)}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\xi \theta^{\prime}(q(z))}{G(\xi) \varphi(q(z))}\right)+t \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z Q^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\xi \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Hence, by Lemma 1.1, $L(z, t ; \xi)$ is a subordination chain for all $\xi \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Therefore, we have

$$
L(z, t ; \xi) \prec L(z, 1 ; \xi), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, 0<t \leq 1, \quad \xi \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} .
$$

Using (iii), the last relation gives

$$
\theta(q(z))+t \frac{G(\xi)}{\xi} Q(z) \in h(\mathbb{D}), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, 0<t \leq 1, \xi \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}
$$

Now, consider the function $\psi: \mathbb{C}^{2} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$
\psi(r, s ; \xi)=\theta(r)+\frac{G(\xi)}{\xi} s \varphi(r)
$$

Then, we have

$$
\psi\left(q(z), t z q^{\prime}(z) ; \xi\right) \in h(\mathbb{D}), \quad 0<t \leq 1, z \in \mathbb{D}, \xi \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} .
$$

Since all conditions of Lemma 1.2 are satisfied, we obtain $q(z) \prec p(z)$. This completes the proof.

Example 2.4. In this example, we investigate the conditions of Theorem 2.3. Let $0<C<A, B>1$ and

$$
\frac{B+1}{B-1}<M<\frac{(B-1)(A-C)}{B+1}-(C+1)
$$

Suppose that $q(z)=C /(B-z), F(z)=A z, G(z)=M z+z^{2}, \theta(z)=z$ and $\varphi(z)=1 / z$. From this, we obtain

$$
h(z)=\theta(q(z))+F(z) q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z))=\frac{C+A z}{B-z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

We also have

$$
Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z))=\frac{z}{B-z} \in S^{*} \quad(\text { or starlike })
$$

which satisfies (i). It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{G(\xi)}{\xi} \frac{\varphi(q(z))}{\theta^{\prime}(q(z))}\right) & =\frac{1}{C} \operatorname{Re}(M B-M z+B \xi-z \xi) \\
& >\frac{1}{C}(M(B-1)-(B+1))>0
\end{aligned}
$$

thus, condition (ii) is true. In order to satisfy (iii), it is sufficient to show that

$$
\left|\frac{C+(M+\xi) z}{B-z}-\frac{A+B C}{B^{2}-1}\right|<\frac{C+A B}{B^{2}-1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad \xi \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} .
$$

Note that

$$
\theta(q(z))+\frac{G(\xi)}{\xi} Q(z)=\frac{C+(M+\xi) z}{B-z}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{C+(M+\xi) z}{B-z}-\frac{A+B C}{B^{2}-1}\right| & \leq \frac{C+M+1}{B-1}+\frac{A+B C}{B^{2}-1} \\
& \leq \frac{(B-1)(A-C)+A+B C}{B^{2}-1} \\
& =\frac{C+A B}{B^{2}-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, (iii) is also satisfied. Hence, if

$$
p(z)+(M+\xi) \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}
$$

is analytic in $\mathbb{D} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and univalent in $\mathbb{D}$ for all $\xi \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, then

$$
\frac{C+A z}{B-z} \prec \prec p(z)+(M+\xi) \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)} \Longrightarrow \frac{C}{B-z} \prec p(z)
$$
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