

## CONTENT FORMULAS FOR POWER SERIES AND KRULL DOMAINS

HUAYU YIN, YOUHUA CHEN AND XIAOSHENG ZHU

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $R$  be an integral domain with quotient field  $K$ , and let  $X$  be an indeterminate over  $R$ . In this paper, we consider content formulae for power series in terms of  $*$ -operations for PVMDs, Krull domains and Dedekind domains, where  $*$  is the star-operation,  $d$ ,  $w$ ,  $t$ , or  $v$ . We prove that  $R$  is a Krull domain if and only if  $c(f/g)_w = (c(f)c(g)^{-1})_w$  for all  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$  with  $c(f/g)$  a fractional ideal if and only if  $c(f/g)_t = (c(f)c(g)^{-1})_t$  for all  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$  with  $c(f/g)$  a fractional ideal, and  $R$  is a Dedekind domain if and only if for all  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$  with  $c(f/g)$  a fractional ideal,  $c(f/g) = c(f)c(g)^{-1}$ .

**1. Introduction.** Throughout this paper,  $R$  denotes an integral domain with quotient field  $K$ . Let  $R^* = R - \{0\}$ , and let  $F(R)$  be the set of nonzero fractional ideals of  $R$ . For  $A \in F(R)$ , set  $A^{-1} = \{x \in K \mid xA \subseteq R\}$ . A star operation  $*$  on  $R$  is a mapping  $I \rightarrow I_*$  of  $F(R)$  into  $F(R)$  such that, for all  $0 \neq a \in K$  and all  $A, B \in F(R)$ ,

- (i)  $(a)_* = (a)$ ,  $(aA)_* = aA_*$ ,
- (ii)  $A \subseteq A_*$  and  $A \subseteq B$  implies  $A_* \subseteq B_*$ , and
- (iii)  $(A_*)_* = A_*$ .

An ideal  $A \in F(R)$  is called a  $*$ -ideal if  $A_* = A$  and is called  $*$ -invertible if  $(AA^{-1})_* = R$ . Examples of star-operations are the  $d$ -,  $t$ - and  $v$ -operations, which are well-known star operations and are defined

---

2010 AMS *Mathematics subject classification*. Primary 13A15, Secondary 13F05, 13F25.

*Keywords and phrases*. Content, completely integrally closed, Krull domain, Dedekind domain.

The authors are grateful to the referee for helpful comments that improved the final version of this paper. This research has been supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, grant Nos. 11571165 and 11671283 and the Scientific Research Fund of Sichuan Provincial Education Department of China, grant Nos. 14ZB0035 and 15ZB0030.

Received by the editors on January 13, 2014, and in revised form on February 27, 2015.

in the following way. The  $d$ -operation is  $A_d = A$ , the  $t$ -operation is  $A_t = \bigcup B_v$  and the  $v$ -operation is  $A_v = (A^{-1})^{-1}$ , where  $B$  ranges over nonzero finitely generated subideals of  $A$ . The  $w$ -operation on  $R$  is defined by

$$A_w = \{x \in K \mid Jx \subseteq A \text{ for some finitely generated ideal } J \text{ with } J^{-1} = R\},$$

and it gives another example of a star operation [10]. For  $A \in F(R)$ , we have  $A \subseteq A_w \subseteq A_t \subseteq A_v$ .

Let  $X$  be an indeterminate over  $R$ . For a Laurent power series  $f \in K[[X]][X^{-1}]$ , the content  $c(f)$  is the  $R$ -submodule of  $K$  generated by the coefficients of  $f$ . Note that  $c(f)$  is not necessarily a fractional ideal of  $R$ . In general,  $c(f)$  is a fractional ideal of  $R$  if and only if  $f \in R[[X]]_{R^*}[X^{-1}]$ . It is clear that  $f/g \in K[[X]][X^{-1}]$  for all nonzero  $f, g \in K[[X]][X^{-1}]$ , and so  $c(f/g)$  can be defined. Here  $c(f/g)$  need not be a fractional ideal. Recall that  $0 \neq f \in R[X]$  is called *\*-Gaussian* if  $c(fg)_* = (c(f)c(g))_*$  for all nonzero  $g \in R[X]$ . If each nonzero  $f \in R[X]$  is *\*-Gaussian*, we say that  $R$  is *\*-Gaussian*. It is well known that  $R$  is  $d$ -Gaussian if and only if  $R$  is a Prüfer domain and that  $R$  is  $v$ -Gaussian (equivalently,  $t$ -Gaussian) if and only if  $R$  is integrally closed. Recall that an integral domain  $R$  is a Prüfer  $v$ -multiplication domain (PVMD) if every nonzero finitely generated ideal of  $R$  is  $t$ -invertible (or equivalently,  $w$ -invertible). It was shown [5] that  $R$  is  $w$ -Gaussian if and only if  $R$  is a PVMD. In [2], Anderson and Kang considered the power series analogues of those results for  $d$ -,  $t$ - and  $v$ -operations, and gave the conditions equivalent to  $c(fg)_v = (c(f)c(g))_v$  for all  $f \in R[[X]]^*$  and (linear)  $g \in R[X]^*$  and  $c(fg)_v = (c(f)c(g))_v$  for all  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$ , respectively. They proved that:

- (1)  $R$  is completely integrally closed  $\Leftrightarrow c(fg)_v = (c(f)c(g))_v$  for all  $f \in R[[X]]^*$  and (linear)  $g \in R[X]^* \Leftrightarrow c(f/g)_v = (c(f)c(g)^{-1})_v$  for all  $f \in R[[X]]^*$  and (linear)  $g \in R[X]^*$  with  $c(f/g)$  a fractional ideal.
- (2)  $c(fg)_v = (c(f)c(g))_v$  for all  $f, g \in R[[X]]^* \Leftrightarrow c(f/g)_v = (c(f)c(g)^{-1})_v$  for all  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$  with  $c(f/g)$  a fractional ideal.

Motivated by these results, we consider the  $*$ -version of each of the above content formulas, where  $*$  is the star-operation,  $d$ ,  $t$ ,  $v$  or  $w$ . We give new characterizations of Krull domains and Dedekind domains:  $R$  is a Krull domain  $\Leftrightarrow c(f/g)_w = (c(f)c(g)^{-1})_w$  for all  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$

with  $c(f/g)$  a fractional ideal  $\Leftrightarrow c(f/g)_t = (c(f)c(g)^{-1})_t$  for all  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$  with  $c(f/g)$  a fractional ideal;  $R$  is a Dedekind domain  $\Leftrightarrow$  for all  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$  with  $c(f/g)$  a fractional ideal,  $c(f/g) = c(f)c(g)^{-1}$ . As a consequence, it follows that the equivalence in (2) does not hold for  $*$  =  $d$ ,  $t$ , or  $w$ . However, it is shown that the second equivalence in (1) holds for  $*$  =  $d$  or  $w$ .

**2. Content formulae on star operations.** Recall that a star operation  $*$  is said to have finite character if, for each  $A \in F(R)$ ,

$$A_* = \bigcup \{B_* \mid 0 \neq B \subseteq A \text{ is finitely generated}\},$$

and that the  $d$ -operation, the  $t$ -operation and the  $w$ -operation all have finite character while the  $v$ -operation need not have finite character.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $*$  be a star operation on an integral domain  $R$ . If  $c(f/g)_* = (c(f)c(g)^{-1})_*$  for all  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$  with  $c(f/g)$  a fractional ideal, then every nonzero countably generated ideal of  $R$  is  $*$ -invertible.*

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be a nonzero countably generated ideal of  $R$ . Then there exists a power series  $g \in R[[X]]^*$  such that  $I = c(g)$ . Since  $c(g/g) = R$  is a fractional ideal of  $R$ , we clearly have  $(c(g)c(g)^{-1})_* = R$ . Hence,  $I = c(g)$  is  $*$ -invertible.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $*$  be a finite character star operation on an integral domain  $R$ . Then every nonzero ideal of  $R$  is  $*$ -invertible if and only if every nonzero countably generated ideal of  $R$  is  $*$ -invertible.*

*Proof.* The necessity is clear.

Conversely, suppose that every nonzero countably generated ideal of  $R$  is  $*$ -invertible. It suffices to show that every  $*$ -ideal of  $R$  is  $*$ -invertible. Suppose that  $A$  is a  $*$ -ideal of  $R$ . If  $A \neq I_*$  for any finitely generated ideal  $I \subseteq A$ , then there is an infinite ascending chain

$$(a_1)_* \subset (a_1, a_2)_* \subset (a_1, a_2, a_3)_* \subset \cdots,$$

where each  $a_n \in A - (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1})_*$ . Note that

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)_* = \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \right)_*$$

is a  $*$ -ideal of countable type and so is  $*$ -invertible. Thus,

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)_* = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m)_*$$

for some  $m$ , a contradiction. Therefore, we have  $A = I_*$  for some finitely generated ideal  $I$  of  $R$ , and so  $A$  is  $*$ -invertible.  $\square$

By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we have the following:

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $*$  be a finite character star operation on an integral domain  $R$ . If  $c(f/g)_* = (c(f)c(g)^{-1})_*$  for all  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$  with  $c(f/g)$  a fractional ideal, then every nonzero ideal of  $R$  is  $*$ -invertible.*

**Corollary 2.4.** *If  $c(f/g) = c(f)c(g)^{-1}$  for all  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$  with  $c(f/g)$  a fractional ideal, then  $R$  is a Dedekind domain.*

**Corollary 2.5.** *If  $c(f/g)_w = (c(f)c(g)^{-1})_w$  (or  $c(f/g)_t = (c(f)c(g)^{-1})_t$ ) for all  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$  with  $c(f/g)$  a fractional ideal, then  $R$  is a Krull domain.*

It is well known that, if  $*$  is a star operation on an integral domain  $R$ , then every nonzero finitely generated ideal of  $R$  is  $*$ -invertible if and only if every nonzero two-generated ideal of  $R$  is  $*$ -invertible. Using an argument similar to Lemma 2.1, we can state the following result.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $*$  be a star operation on an integral domain  $R$ . If  $c(f/g)_* = (c(f)c(g)^{-1})_*$  for all (linear)  $f, g \in R[X]^*$  with  $c(f/g)$  a fractional ideal, then every nonzero finitely generated ideal of  $R$  is  $*$ -invertible.*

**Corollary 2.7.** *If  $c(f/g) = c(f)c(g)^{-1}$  for all (linear)  $f, g \in R[X]^*$  with  $c(f/g)$  a fractional ideal, then  $R$  is a Prüfer domain.*

**Corollary 2.8.** *If  $c(f/g)_w = (c(f)c(g)^{-1})_w$  (or  $c(f/g)_t = (c(f)c(g)^{-1})_t$ ) for all (linear)  $f, g \in R[X]^*$  with  $c(f/g)$  a fractional ideal, then  $R$  is a PVMD.*

**3. Krull domains and formally integrally closed domains.** It is well known that an integral domain  $R$  is integrally closed if and only if, for  $f, g \in R[X]^*$  and  $a \in R^*$ ,  $c(fg) \subseteq aR$  implies  $c(f)c(g) \subseteq aR$  [4, Lemma 3.1]. In this section, we are able to extend the result to power series and begin with reviewing the result on the content of power series from [2].

**Theorem 3.1.** *The following conditions are equivalent for an integral domain  $R$ :*

- (1)  $R$  is completely integrally closed.
- (2)  $c(fg)_v = (c(f)c(g))_v$  for all  $f \in R[[X]]^*$  and (linear)  $g \in R[X]^*$ .
- (3)  $c(f/g)_v = (c(f)c(g)^{-1})_v$  for all (linear)  $f, g \in R[X]^*$  with  $c(f/g)$  a fractional ideal.
- (4)  $c(f/g)_v = (c(f)c(g)^{-1})_v$  for all  $f \in R[[X]]^*$  and (linear)  $g \in R[X]^*$  with  $c(f/g)$  a fractional ideal.
- (5) For  $f \in R[[X]]^*$ , (linear)  $g \in R[X]^*$  and  $a \in R^*$ ,  $c(fg) \subseteq aR$  implies  $c(f)c(g) \subseteq aR$ .

*Proof.*

(1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4). See [2, Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.2].

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (5). Trivial.

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $\alpha = a/b$  be almost integral over  $R$  where  $a, b \in R^*$ . Set  $g = b - \alpha X = b(1 - \alpha X)$ . Now we have  $(1 - \alpha X)(1 + \alpha X + \alpha^2 X^2 + \cdots) = 1$ , and so  $g(1 + \alpha X + \alpha^2 X^2 + \cdots) = b$ . Put  $f = (1 + \alpha X + \alpha^2 X^2 + \cdots)$ . Since  $\alpha$  is almost integral over  $R$ , there exists a nonzero element  $r \in R$  such that  $rf \in R[[X]]$ . Then  $c(rfg) \subseteq rbR$ . It follows that  $c(rf)c(g) \subseteq rbR$ . Hence,  $a \in (1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots)(b, a) \subseteq bR$ . Therefore,  $\alpha = a/b \in R$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *The following conditions are equivalent for an integral domain  $R$ :*

- (1)  $c(fg)_v = (c(f)c(g))_v$  for all  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$ .
- (2)  $c(f/g)_v = (c(f)c(g)^{-1})_v$  for all  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$  with  $c(f/g)$  a fractional ideal.
- (3) For  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$  and  $a \in R^*$ ,  $c(fg) \subseteq aR$  implies  $c(f)c(g) \subseteq aR$ .

*Proof.*

(1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) is given in [2, Theorem 2.3], and

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) is clear.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$ . Obviously,  $c(fg)_v \subseteq (c(f)c(g))_v$ . Suppose that  $c(fg) \subseteq a/bR$  where  $a, b \in R^*$ . Then,  $c(bfg) = bc(fg) \subseteq aR$ . Hence,  $c(bf)c(g) = bc(f)c(g) \subseteq aR$ . Therefore,  $c(f)c(g) \subseteq a/bR$ . It follows that  $(c(f)c(g))_v \subseteq c(fg)_v$ .  $\square$

Recall that, if  $R$  is a PVMD with  $t\text{-dim}(R) = 1$ , then  $c(fg)_t = (c(f)c(g))_t$  for all  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$  (see [3, Proposition 3.3]). We next consider the question of which integral domains  $R$  satisfies  $c(fg)_w = (c(f)c(g))_w$  for all  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *Consider the following conditions on an integral domain  $R$ .*

- (1)  $R$  is a PVMD with  $w\text{-dim}(R) = 1$ .
- (2)  $c(fg)_w = (c(f)c(g))_w$  for all  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$ .
- (3)  $c(fg)_w = (c(f)c(g))_w$  for all  $f \in R[[X]]^*$  and (linear)  $g \in R[X]^*$ .
- (4)  $c(f/g)_w = (c(f)c(g)^{-1})_w$  for all  $f \in R[[X]]^*$  and (linear)  $g \in R[X]^*$  with  $c(f/g)$  a fractional ideal.
- (5)  $c(f/g)_t = (c(f)c(g)^{-1})_t$  for all  $f \in R[[X]]^*$  and (linear)  $g \in R[X]^*$  with  $c(f/g)$  a fractional ideal.

Then (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (5).

*Proof.*

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). It follows from [3, Proposition 3.3] since every  $w$ -ideal is a  $t$ -ideal in a PVMD.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Trivial.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4). Suppose that  $f \in R[[X]]^*$  and  $g \in R[X]^*$  with  $c(f/g)$  a fractional ideal. Then there exists an element  $r \in R^*$  and an  $n \geq 0$  such that  $rX^n f/g \in R[[X]]$ . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c(f)_w &= (1/(rX^n))(c(rX^n f/g))_w \\ &= (1/(rX^n))(c(rX^n f/g)c(g))_w \\ &= (c(f/g)c(g))_w. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $c(g)$  is  $w$ -invertible by [5, Corollary 1.6],  $(c(f)c(g)^{-1})_w = (c(f/g)c(g)c(g)^{-1})_w = c(f/g)_w$ .

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Suppose  $f \in R[[X]]^*$  and  $g \in R[X]^*$ . Now  $c(fg/g) = c(f)$  is a fractional ideal of  $R$ . It follows that  $c(f)_w = c(fg/g)_w = (c(fg)c(g)^{-1})_w$ . Since  $R$  is a PVMD by Corollary 2.8, we have  $(c(f)c(g))_w = (c(fg)c(g)^{-1}c(g))_w = c(fg)_w$ .

(4)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (5). It follows from Corollary 2.8.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $R$  be a Krull domain. Then  $c(fg)_w = (c(f)c(g))_w$  for all  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$ .*

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $R$  be a PVMD with  $w\text{-dim}(R) = 1$ . Then  $R$  is completely integrally closed.*

**Example 3.6.** Take a ring of entire function  $R$  which is a completely integrally closed Bezout domain. Here  $R$  does not satisfy  $c(fg)_w = (c(f)c(g))_w$  for all  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$  since every ideal is a  $w$ -ideal in a Bezout domain, but  $R$  satisfies  $c(fg)_v = (c(f)c(g))_v$  for all  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$  (consult [2, Example 2.10]).

Theorem 3.2 stated that  $c(fg)_v = (c(f)c(g))_v$  for all  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$  if and only if  $c(f/g)_v = (c(f)c(g)^{-1})_v$  for all  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$  with  $c(f/g)$  a fractional ideal. Next we consider the case of  $t$ - and  $w$ -operations and give conditions equivalent to a Krull domain.

**Theorem 3.7.** *The following conditions are equivalent for an integral domain  $R$ :*

- (1)  $R$  is a Krull domain.
- (2) For all  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$  with  $c(f/g)$  a fractional ideal,  $c(f/g)_w = (c(f)c(g)^{-1})_w$ .
- (3) For all  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$  with  $c(f/g)$  a fractional ideal,  $c(f/g)_t = (c(f)c(g)^{-1})_t$ .

*Proof.*

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Note that a Krull domain is a completely integrally closed domain in which every  $w$ -ideal is a  $v$ -ideal [10, Theorem 5.4]. Then the result follows from Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.4.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) is clear, while

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is given in Corollary 2.5.  $\square$

**Remark 3.8.** By Theorems 3.3 and 3.7, we have that the analogues of (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) of Theorem 3.2 for  $t$ - and  $w$ -operations are false, since a PVMD with  $w\text{-dim}(R) = 1$  need not be Krull. Recall that an integral domain  $R$  is formally integrally closed if  $c(fg)_t = (c(f)c(g))_t$  for all  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$ . We can also define a formally  $w$ -Gaussian domain. An integral domain  $R$  is called a formally  $w$ -Gaussian domain if  $c(fg)_w = (c(f)c(g))_w$  for all  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$ . By Corollary 3.4, we have the implications: Krull domain  $\Rightarrow$  formally  $w$ -Gaussian domain  $\Rightarrow$  formally integrally closed domain.

Next we show some necessary and sufficient conditions for  $R$  to be a Krull domain in terms of these two classes of domains, but first we give the following result.

**Lemma 3.9.** *The following conditions are equivalent for an integral domain  $R$ :*

- (1)  $R$  is a Krull domain.
- (2) For any two countably generated ideals  $A, B$  with  $A_w \subseteq B_w$ , there exists a countably generated ideal  $C$  such that  $A_w = (BC)_w$ .
- (3) For any two countably generated ideals  $A, B$  with  $A_t \subseteq B_t$ , there exists a countably generated ideal  $C$  such that  $A_t = (BC)_t$ .

*Proof.*

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). If  $R$  is a Krull domain and  $A, B$  are two countably generated ideals with  $A_w \subseteq B_w$ , then  $(B(B^{-1}A))_w = A_w$ . Note that  $B^{-1}A \subseteq R$  and  $B^{-1}$  is a  $w$ -ideal of finite type. Set  $B^{-1} = H_w$  for some finitely generated fractional ideal  $H$  of  $R$ . Set  $C = HA$ , as required.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $I$  be a nonzero countably generated ideal of  $R$ . Pick  $0 \neq a \in I$ . Then  $(aR)_w \subseteq I_w$ , and thus,  $aR = (II')_w$  for some ideal  $I'$  of  $R$ . Therefore,  $I$  is  $w$ -invertible. By Lemma 2.2, it follows that  $R$  is a Krull domain.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) and (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) using a similar proof as that above.  $\square$



**Theorem 3.10.** *The following conditions are equivalent for an integral domain  $R$ :*

- (1)  $R$  is a Krull domain.
- (2)  $R$  is formally  $w$ -Gaussian and, for any  $f \in R[[X]]^*$ , there exists  $g \in R[[X]]_{R^*}$  such that  $c(fg)_w = R$ .
- (3)  $R$  is formally integrally closed and, for any  $f \in R[[X]]^*$ , there exists  $g \in R[[X]]_{R^*}$  such that  $c(fg)_t = R$ .
- (4)  $R$  is formally  $w$ -Gaussian and, for any  $f \in R[[X]]^*$ ,

$$fR[[X]]_{R^*} \bigcap R[[X]]$$

contains an element  $g$  such that  $c(g)_w = R$ .

- (5)  $R$  is formally integrally closed and, for any  $f \in R[[X]]^*$ ,

$$fR[[X]]_{R^*} \bigcap R[[X]]$$

contains an element  $g$  such that  $c(g)_t = R$ .

- (6)  $R$  is formally  $w$ -Gaussian and, for any  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$  with  $c(f)_w \subseteq c(g)_w$ , there exist  $h, k \in R[[X]]^*$  such that  $fh = gk$  with  $c(h)_w = R$ .
- (7)  $R$  is formally integrally closed and, for any  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$  with  $c(f)_t \subseteq c(g)_t$ , there exist  $h, k \in R[[X]]^*$  such that  $fh = gk$  with  $c(h)_t = R$ .

*Proof.*

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). For  $f \in R[[X]]^*$ ,  $c(f)$  is  $w$ -invertible. Hence, there exists  $g \in R[[X]]_{R^*}$  such that  $c(g)_w = c(f)^{-1}$ , and thus,  $c(fg)_w = (c(f)c(g))_w = R$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Trivial.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $I$  be a nonzero countably generated ideal of  $R$ . Then there exists  $f \in R[[X]]^*$  such that  $I = c(f)$ . Thus, we have  $c(fg)_t = (c(f)c(g))_t = R$  for some  $g \in R[[X]]_{R^*}$ . Therefore,  $I = c(f)$  is  $t$ -invertible. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that  $R$  is a Krull domain.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (4). For  $f \in R[[X]]^*$ , we have  $fR[[X]]_{R^*} \bigcap R[[X]] = fc(f)^{-1}[[X]]$  by [2, Theorem 2.3] and  $c(f)^{-1} = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)_w$ , where each  $a_i \in K$ . Set  $g = f(a_0 + a_1X + \dots + a_nX^n)$ . Then we have

$$c(g)_w = (c(f)(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n))_w = R.$$

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5). Trivial.

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). If  $f \in R[[X]]^*$ , then there exists  $g \in fR[[X]]_{R^*} \cap R[[X]]$  such that  $c(g)_t = R$ . Let  $g = fh/b$  where  $h \in R[[X]]$  and  $b \in R^*$ . Then  $h/b \in R[[X]]_{R^*}$  and  $c(f(h/b))_t = R$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (6). Let  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$  with  $c(f)_w \subseteq c(g)_w$ . Then  $c(gg')_w = R$  for some  $g' \in R[[X]]_{R^*}$ , and thus, we have

$$c(fg')_w = (c(f)c(g'))_w \subseteq (c(g)c(g'))_w = c(gg')_w = R.$$

Therefore,  $fg' \in R[[X]]$ . Set  $h = gg'$  and  $k = fg'$ , as required.

(6)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $A, B$  be two countably generated ideals of  $R$  where  $A_w \subseteq B_w$ . Then there exist  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$  such that  $c(f) = A$  and  $c(g) = B$ . Therefore, there also exist  $h, k \in R[[X]]^*$  such that  $fh = gk$  with  $c(h)_w = R$ . Put  $C = c(k)$ . Then we have

$$A_w = (c(f)c(h))_w = c(fh)_w = (c(g)c(k))_w = (BC)_w.$$

Thus, by Lemma 3.9,  $R$  is a Krull domain.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (7)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). The proof is similar to the implications (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (6)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) above.  $\square$

**4. Dedekind domains.** Using arguments similar to those in Section 3, we obtain companion results for some of the results in Section 4 for the  $d$ -operation. Firstly, we give the  $d$ -analogue for (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4) of Theorem 3.1.

**Proposition 4.1.**  $c(fg) = c(f)c(g)$  for all  $f \in R[[X]]^*$  and (linear)  $g \in R[X]^*$  if and only if  $c(f/g) = c(f)c(g)^{-1}$  for all  $f \in R[[X]]^*$  and (linear)  $g \in R[X]^*$  with  $c(f/g)$  a fractional ideal.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $c(fg) = c(f)c(g)$  for all  $f \in R[[X]]^*$  and (linear)  $g \in R[X]^*$ . If  $c(f/g)$  is a fractional ideal of  $R$ , then there exists an element  $r \in R^*$  and an  $n \geq 0$  such that  $rX^n f/g \in R[[X]]$ . Thus,

$$c(f) = (1/(rX^n))c(rX^n fg/g) = (1/(rX^n))c(rX^n f/g)c(g) = c(f/g)c(g).$$

Since  $R$  is a Prüfer domain, we have

$$c(f)c(g)^{-1} = c(f/g)c(g)c(g)^{-1} = c(f/g).$$

Conversely, assume that  $f \in R[[X]]^*$  and  $g \in R[X]^*$ . Note that  $c(fg/g) = c(f)$  is a fractional ideal of  $R$ . It follows that  $c(f) =$

$c(fg)c(g)^{-1}$ . Since  $R$  is a Prüfer domain by Corollary 2.7, we have

$$c(f)c(g) = c(fg)c(g)^{-1}c(g) = c(fg). \quad \square$$

**Theorem 4.2.**  *$R$  is a Dedekind domain if and only if, for all  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$  with  $c(f/g)$  a fractional ideal,  $c(f/g) = c(f)c(g)^{-1}$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from Corollary 2.4 and Theorem 3.7.  $\square$

We call  $R$  a formally Gaussian domain if  $c(fg) = c(f)c(g)$  for all  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$ . Recall that a one-dimensional Prüfer domain is formally Gaussian [2, Corollary 2.6]. Note that the  $d$ -analogue of Theorem 3.2 (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) is not true since a Prüfer domain with  $\dim(R) = 1$  need not be a Dedekind domain.

Next, we show when a formally Gaussian domain is a Dedekind domain. Using a similar proof as that of Lemma 3.9 and Theorem 3.10, respectively, we have the following.

**Theorem 4.3.**  *$R$  is a Dedekind domain if and only if, for any two countably generated ideals  $A, B$  with  $A \subseteq B$ , there exists a countably generated ideal  $C$  such that  $A = BC$ .*

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $R$  be a formally Gaussian domain. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  *$R$  is a Dedekind domain.*
- (2) *For any  $f \in R[[X]]^*$ , there exists  $g \in R[[X]]_{R^*}$  such that  $c(fg) = R$ .*
- (3) *For any  $f \in R[[X]]^*$ ,  $fR[[X]]_{R^*} \cap R[[X]]$  contains an element  $g$  such that  $c(g) = R$ .*
- (4) *For any  $f, g \in R[[X]]^*$  with  $c(f) \subseteq c(g)$ , there exist  $h, k \in R[[X]]^*$  such that  $fh = gk$  with  $c(h) = R$ .*

## REFERENCES

1. D.D. Anderson, GCD domains, Gauss' lemma, and contents of polynomials, in *Non-Noetherian commutative ring theory, mathematics and its applications*, S.T. Chapman and S. Glaz, eds., Volume 520, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2000.

2. D.D. Anderson and B.G. Kang, *Content formulas for polynomials and power series and complete integral closure*, J. Algebra **181** (1996), 82–94.
3. ———, *Formally integrally closed domains and the rings  $R((X))$  and  $R\{\{X\}\}$* , J. Algebra **200** (1998), 347–362.
4. D.D. Anderson, D.J. Kwak and M. Zafrullah, *Agreeable domains*, Comm. Alg. **23** (1995), 4861–4883.
5. D.F. Anderson, M. Fontana and M. Zafrullah, *Some remarks on Prüfer  $\star$ -multiplication domains and class groups*, J. Algebra **319** (2008), 272–295.
6. R. Gilmer, *Multiplicative ideal theory*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1972.
7. B.G. Kang, *Prüfer  $v$ -multiplication domains and the ring  $R[X]_{N_v}$* , J. Algebra **123** (1989), 151–170.
8. M.H. Park, *Group rings and semigroup rings over strong Mori domains*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **163** (2001), 301–318.
9. J. Querre, *Idéaux divisoriels d'un anneau de polynômes*, J. Algebra **64** (1980), 270–284.
10. F.G. Wang and R.L. McCasland, *On  $w$ -modules over strong Mori domains*, Comm. Alg. **25** (1997), 1285–1306.
11. ———, *On strong Mori domains*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **135** (1999), 155–165.

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND SOFTWARE SCIENCE, SICHUAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU, SICHUAN, 610068, P.R. CHINA

**Email address:** `hyyin520@163.com`

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND SOFTWARE SCIENCE, SICHUAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU, SICHUAN, 610068, P.R. CHINA

**Email address:** `yhchen520@163.com`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING, JIANGSU, 210093, P.R. CHINA

**Email address:** `zhuxs@nju.edu.cn`