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#### Abstract

In this article, we show that, under suitable assumptions, the generalized $p$-Laplacian boundary value problem has at least one solution.


1. Introduction. We are interested in the existence of solutions of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+k(t) \phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)+f\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad a<t<b \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(a)=u(b)=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $k:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f:[a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an increasing homomorphism, i.e., it satisfies the following conditions:
(i) if $x \leq y$, then $\phi(x) \leq \phi(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$;
(ii) $\phi$ is a continuous bijection and its inverse mapping is also continuous;
(iii) $\phi(x y)=\phi(x) \phi(y)$, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Equation (1.1) with $\phi(s)=|s|^{p-2} s$, where $p>1$, and $k(t)=(n-1) / t$ arises in the study of radial solutions for the $p$-Laplacian equation on the annular domain in $n$ dimensions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)+f(|x|, u,|\nabla u|)=0, a<|x|<b, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Problem (1.1) has been investigated a good deal in the last 20 years or so under the general heading of the $p$-Laplacian. The application most authors cite nowadays is to highly viscid fluid flow (cf., Ladyzhenskaya [10] and Lions [12]). This involves partial differential equations,

[^0]but for symmetric flows, the ordinary differential operator (perhaps in radial form) is involved, see, e.g., Kusano and Swanson [9], del Pino and Manasevich [4], Rabinowitz [14] and Walter [15]. For the interesting results of this problem, we refer the reader to Dang and Oppenheimer [2], Bobisud [1], Guo [6], Herrero and Vazquez [7], Kaper, Knapp and Kwong [8] and Mawhin [13].

In this paper, we shall establish existence results for (1.1) with the boundary condition (1.2) under various growth conditions on $f$. In particular, our results give the existence of radial solutions to (1.3) on the annulus $\{x \in \mathbb{R}: a<|x|<b\}$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions with suitable growth conditions on $f$. The results obtained may be considered as extensions of results in Bobisud [1] and Mawhin [13]. Our approach is based on a direct application of the Leray-Schauder alternative theorem.
2. Main results. We shall denote the norms in $C^{r}, L^{p}$ and $W^{1, p}$ by $|\cdot|_{r},\|\cdot\|_{p}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{1, p}$, respectively. Here $L^{p}=L^{p}(a, b), C^{r}=C^{r}[a, b]$ and $W^{1, p}=W^{1, p}(a, b)$. We assume throughout that $\phi$ is an odd, increasing homomorphism on $\mathbb{R}$ and that $k \geq 0$ is a continuous function on $[a, b]$ with the primitive $K(t)=\int_{a}^{t} k(s) d s$.

In order to discuss our results, we need the following lemmas:

Lemma 2.1. (Lasalle's inequality [11]). Let $G \in C([0, \infty] ;[0, \infty))$ be continuous and increasing, the functions $h \in L^{1}([a, b] ;[0, \infty))$, $y \in C([a, b] ;[0, \infty))$. Then the inequality

$$
y(t) \leq \int_{a}^{t} h(s) G(y(s)) d s \quad \text { on }[a, b]
$$

implies

$$
\int_{0}^{y(t)} \frac{d s}{G(s)} \leq \int_{a}^{t} h(s) d s \quad \text { on }[a, b]
$$

Theorem 2.2. (Leray-Schauder alternative cf., [5], Theorem (5.4)). Let $C$ be a convex subset of a normed linear space $E$, and assume $0 \in C$. Let $F: C \rightarrow C$ be a completely continuous operator, and let

$$
\varepsilon(F)=\{x \in C \mid x=\lambda F(x) \quad \text { for some } 0<\lambda<1\}
$$

Then either $\varepsilon(F)$ is unbounded or $F$ has a fixed point.

Lemma 2.3. For each $v \in L^{1}$, there exists a unique solution $u=A v$ of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+k(t) \phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)=v  \tag{2.1}\\
u(a)=u(b)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. For each $v \in L^{1}$, let $u=A v$ be the solution of (2.1). Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(e^{K(t)} \phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=e^{K(t)} v \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (2.2) on $[a, t]$ gives

$$
\phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)=e^{-K(t)} \phi\left(u^{\prime}(a)\right)+e^{-K(t)} \int_{a}^{t} e^{K(s)} v d s
$$

and so

$$
u(t)=\int_{a}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left[e^{-K(s)} \phi\left(u^{\prime}(a)\right)+e^{-K(s)} \int_{a}^{s} e^{K(\tau)} v d \tau\right] d s
$$

Since $u(b)=0$ and $\phi^{-1}$ is increasing, we have that $\phi\left(u^{\prime}(a)\right)=C$, where $C$ is the unique number such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} \phi^{-1}\left[C e^{-K(s)}+e^{-K(s)} \int_{a}^{s} e^{K(\tau)} v d \tau\right] d s=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $|C|<\int_{a}^{b}\left|e^{K(s)} v\right| d s$. Conversely, if

$$
u(t)=\int_{a}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left[C e^{-K(s)}+e^{-K(s)} \int_{a}^{s} e^{K(\tau)} v d \tau\right] d s
$$

where $C$ satisfies (2.3), then it is easy to see that $u$ is a solution of (2.1).

Theorem 2.4. (Existence theorem). Let $f:[a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the Caratheodory conditions, i.e., $f(\cdot, u, v)$ is measurable for every $u, v \in \mathbb{R} ; f(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ is continuous for almost every $t \in(a, b)$, and for every $r>0$, there exists $p_{r} \in L^{1}$ such that

$$
|f(t, u, v)| \leq p_{r}(t)
$$

for almost every $t \in(a, b)$, and all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|u| \leq r,|v| \leq r$. Suppose that

$$
0 \leq k(t) \leq k_{1}, \quad t \in[a, b]
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(t, u, v)| \leq F(\phi(|u|), \phi(|v|)), \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F(x, y)$ is increasing in $x$ and $y$, respectively. Then, the boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one solution.

Proof. Since $u$ is a solution of (1.1) if and only if $v(t)=u(a+b-t)$ is a solution of

$$
\left(\phi\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}-k(t) \phi\left(v^{\prime}\right)+g\left(t, v, v^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

where $g(t, u, v)=f(a+b-t, u,-v)$. Since $k(t) \equiv 0$ is obvious, we can assume that $k(t)>0$ for some $t \in(a, b)$. For each $v \in C^{1}$, let $u=B v$ be the solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+k(t) \phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)=N v \\
u(a)=u(b)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $N v(t)=-f\left(t, v(t), v^{\prime}(t)\right)$. Since $B=A N, B: C^{1} \rightarrow C^{1}$ is completely continuous. We shall apply the Leray-Schauder alternative theorem to show that $B$ has a fixed point. Let $u \in C^{1}$ and $\lambda \in(0,1)$ be such that $u=\lambda B u$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi\left(\frac{u^{\prime}}{\lambda}\right)\right)^{\prime}+k(t) \phi\left(\frac{u^{\prime}}{\lambda}\right)=-f\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left|u_{0}\right|=\left|u\left(t_{0}\right)\right|=\max _{t \in[a, b]}|u(t)|$ for some $t_{0} \in[a, b]$. Without loss of generality, we consider $t \in\left[t_{0}, b\right]$. Multiplying (2.5) by $e^{K(t)}$ and integrating over $\left[t_{0}, t\right]$ gives

$$
\phi\left(\frac{u^{\prime}(t)}{\lambda}\right)=-e^{-K(t)} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{K(s)} f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right) d s
$$

Since

$$
\phi(|x|)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\phi(1) \phi(x), \quad x \geq 0 \\
\phi(-1) \phi(x), \quad x \leq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\phi(|x|)| & =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
|\phi(1) \phi(x)|, \quad x \geq 0 \\
|\phi(-1) \phi(x)|, \quad x \leq 0
\end{array}\right. \\
& \leq \max \{|\phi(-1)|,|\phi(1)|\}|\phi(x)| .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, it follows from $\phi(1)=\phi(1) \phi(1), \phi(1)=\phi(-1) \phi(-1)$ and $\phi$ is a bijection that $\phi(1)=1$ and $\phi(-1)=-1$. Thus, (2.4) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|\right) & \leq \phi\left(\left|\frac{u^{\prime}(t)}{\lambda}\right|\right) \leq\left|\phi\left(\left|\frac{u^{\prime}(t)}{\lambda}\right|\right)\right| \\
& \leq \max \{|\phi(-1)|,|\phi(1)|\}\left|\phi\left(\frac{u^{\prime}(t)}{\lambda}\right)\right| \\
& \leq e^{-K(t)} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left|e^{K(s)} f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right)\right| d s \\
& \leq \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{K(s)}\left|f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right)\right| d s \\
& \leq \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{K(s)} F\left(\phi(|u(s)|), \phi\left(\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right|\right)\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
|u(t)|=\left|\int_{t}^{b} u^{\prime}\right| \leq(b-a) \sup _{t_{0} \leq s \leq b}\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right| \equiv(b-a) \delta \quad \text { for } t \geq t_{0}
$$

it follows from (2.6) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|\right) \leq \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{K(s)} F\left(\phi((b-a) \delta), \phi\left(\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right|\right)\right) d s \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Lemma 2.1 imply that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\phi\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|\right)} \frac{d s}{F(\phi((b-a) \delta), s)} & \leq \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{K(s)} d s=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{\int_{a}^{s} k(u) d u} d s \\
& \leq \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{\int_{a}^{s} k_{1} d u} d s=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{k_{1}(b-a)} d s \\
& \leq(b-a) e^{k_{1}(b-a)} \quad \text { for } t \in\left[t_{0}, b\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\int_{0}^{\phi(\delta)} \frac{d s}{G(s)} \leq(b-a) e^{k_{1}(b-a)}
$$

where $G(t) \equiv F(\phi((b-a) \delta), t)$ on $[0, \infty)$. That is,
(2.8) $\phi(\delta) \leq H^{-1}\left((b-a) e^{k_{1}(b-a)}\right) \equiv M_{1}, \quad\left(\right.$ independent of $u$ and $\left.u^{\prime}\right)$, where $H(u)=\int_{0}^{u} d s / G(s)$ is increasing on $[0, \infty)$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u\left(t_{0}\right)\right|=\left|\int_{t_{0}}^{b} u^{\prime}\right| \leq(b-a) \delta \leq(b-a) \phi^{-1}\left(M_{1}\right) \equiv M_{2} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(independent of $u$ and $u^{\prime}$ ).
Combining (2.7) and (2.9), we obtain

$$
\phi\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|\right) \leq \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{K(s)} F\left(\phi\left(M_{2}\right), \phi\left(\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right|\right)\right) d s
$$

which along with Lemma 2.1 implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\phi\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|\right)} \frac{d s}{F\left(\phi\left(M_{2}\right), s\right)} & \leq \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{K(s)} d s=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{\int_{a}^{s} k(u) d u} d s \\
& \leq \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{\int_{a}^{s} k_{1} d u} d s=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{k_{1}(b-a)} d s \\
& \leq(b-a) e^{k_{1}(b-a)} \quad \text { for } t \in\left[t_{0}, b\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\int_{0}^{\phi\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|\right)} \frac{d s}{G^{*}(s)} \leq(b-a) e^{k_{1}(b-a)}
$$

where $G^{*}(t) \equiv F\left(\phi\left(M_{2}, t\right)\right.$ on $[0, \infty)$, that is,
$\phi\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|\right) \leq H^{*^{-1}}\left((b-a) e^{k_{1}(b-a)}\right) \equiv M_{3}, \quad\left(\right.$ independent of $u$ and $\left.u^{\prime}\right)$, where $H^{*}(u)=\int_{0}^{u} d s / G^{*}(s)$ is increasing on $[0, \infty)$.

This implies

$$
\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq \phi^{-1}\left(M_{3}\right) \equiv M_{4} \quad\left(\text { independent of } u \text { and } u^{\prime}\right) .
$$

By the Leray-Schauder alternative theorem, $B$ has a fixed point $u$, which is a solution of (1.1)-(1.2). Thus, we obtain the desired results.
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