

THE BOOLEAN SPACE OF \mathbf{R} -PLACES

KATARZYNA OSIĄK

ABSTRACT. We prove that every Boolean space is realized as a space of real places of some formally real field. This gives a partial answer to the problem posed in [1, 7].

1. Introduction. Let $\mathcal{X}(K)$ be the space of orders of a formally real field K , endowed with the Harrison topology introduced by subbasic sets of the form

$$H_K(a) := \{P \in \mathcal{X}(K) : a \in P\}, \quad a \in \dot{K} = K \setminus \{0\}.$$

It is known that $\mathcal{X}(K)$ is a Boolean space, i.e., compact, Hausdorff and totally disconnected. In [3] Craven presented a construction of a field K , whose space of orders $\mathcal{X}(K)$ is homeomorphic to a given Boolean space X . Spaces of orders are closely related to the spaces of \mathbf{R} -places, and some main results on this relationship can be found in [8]. We shall recall a part of this theory in the next section. In particular, spaces of \mathbf{R} -places are known to be compact and Hausdorff. An open problem posed in [1, 7] is:

Which compact and Hausdorff spaces occur as a spaces of real places?

It was pointed out in [1, Remark 2.16] that if K is a totally Archimedean field then the space of \mathbf{R} -places and the space of orders are homeomorphic and consequently the space of \mathbf{R} -places is Boolean. Thus, every finite discrete space is realized as a space of \mathbf{R} -places, since totally Archimedean fields exist with any finite number of orders. Our main theorem, presented in Section 4, states that every Boolean space is realized as a space of \mathbf{R} -places of some formally real field. Before we can get to this, we need to develop some new methods in the theory of extensions of \mathbf{R} -places; Section 3 includes these results.

2010 AMS *Mathematics subject classification.* Primary 12D15, Secondary 14P05.

Keywords and phrases. Real places, spaces of real places.

Received by the editors on November 14, 2007, and in revised form on May 20, 2008.

DOI:10.1216/RMJ-2010-40-6-2003 Copyright ©2010 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

2. Basic properties of $M(K)$. Let K be a formally real field with the space of orders $\mathcal{X}(K)$. Denote by \mathbf{Q}^+ the set of positive rational numbers. For an order P of K , the set

$$A(P) := \{a \in K : \exists_{q \in \mathbf{Q}^+} q \pm a \in P\}$$

is a valuation ring of K with the maximal ideal

$$I(P) := \{a \in K : \forall_{q \in \mathbf{Q}^+} q \pm a \in P\}.$$

Moreover, P induces an Archimedean order on the residue field $k(P) = A(P)/I(P)$. Therefore, $k(P)$ can be considered as a subfield of \mathbf{R} , and the map

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_P : K &\longrightarrow \mathbf{R} \cup \{\infty\}, \\ \xi_P(a) &= \begin{cases} a + I(P) & \text{if } a \in A(P) \\ \infty & \text{if } a \notin A(P) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

is the \mathbf{R} -place associated to P . Conversely, if ξ is any \mathbf{R} -place of K , then there exists an order P of K such that $\xi_P = \xi$. By [8, Corollary 2.13, Proposition 9.1], two orders P_1 and P_2 determine the same \mathbf{R} -place if and only if $A(P_1) = A(P_2)$ and the Archimedean orders induced by P_1 and P_2 coincide. We let $M(K)$ denote the set of all \mathbf{R} -places of the field K . Therefore, the map:

$$\lambda_K : \mathcal{X}(K) \longrightarrow M(K), \quad \lambda_K(P) = \xi_P$$

is onto and we can equip $M(K)$ with the quotient topology inherited from $\mathcal{X}(K)$. Since $\mathcal{X}(K)$ is compact, $M(K)$ is also compact. The ring

$$\mathcal{H}(K) = \{a \in K : \forall_{\xi \in M(K)} \xi(a) \neq \infty\}$$

is called *the real holomorphy ring of K* . We denote by $\mathbf{E}(K)$ the set of units of $\mathcal{H}(K)$. In fact [8, Theorem 9.11], the quotient topology on $M(K)$ coincides with the coarsest topology, such that the evaluation maps

$$e_a : M(K) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}, \quad a \in \mathcal{H}(K),$$

defined by

$$e_a(\xi) = \xi(a)$$

are continuous. Moreover, this topology is the same as the topology introduced by subbasic sets of the form

$$U_K(a) = \{\xi \in M(K) : \xi(a) > 0\}, \text{ for } a \in \mathcal{H}(K).$$

Note that the complement $U_K^c(a) = \{\xi \in M(K) : \xi(a) \leq 0\}$ does not need to be an open set. However, if a is a unit in $\mathcal{H}(K)$, then $U_K^c(a) = U_K(-a)$ (since $\xi(a) \neq 0$, for every $\xi \in M(K)$) and thus $U_K(a)$ is a clopen set. By [8, Lemma 9.8], the evaluation maps e_a separate points of $M(K)$, thus $M(K)$ is a Hausdorff space.

A *signature* of a field K is a character $\chi : \dot{K} \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$ with additively closed kernel. It is known that the sets $\mathcal{X}(K)$ and $\{\ker \chi : \chi \text{ is a signature of } K\}$ are, in fact, equal. By [2, pages 60–61], if $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{X}(K)$ with $\lambda_K(P_1) = \lambda_K(P_2)$ and χ_1, χ_2 are their signatures then we have a relationship

$$\chi_2 = \chi_1 \cdot \tau \circ v,$$

where v is the valuation corresponding to the valuation ring $A(P_1) = A(P_2)$ with value group Γ and τ is a character of Γ with values in $\{1, -1\}$.

If K is totally Archimedean, then the value group Γ_P of the valuation associated to $A(P)$ is trivial for every $P \in \mathcal{X}(K)$. Then the map λ_K is injective, and hence a homeomorphism. In this case $M(K)$ is Boolean. It is well known that, for a given positive integer k , there exists a subfield of \mathbf{R} with k Archimedean orders (see [6, page 582]). Thus every finite discrete space is realized as a space of \mathbf{R} -places of some formally real field K .

3. A Cantor cube as a space of \mathbf{R} -places. One can find the theory of extensions of orders and signatures in [2]. Suppose that L is a field with an order P^L , and K is a subfield of L . Then $P^K = P^L \cap K$ is an order of K . We call P^L an *extension* of P^K . The map

$$\rho_{L/K} : \mathcal{X}(L) \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}(K), \quad \rho_{L/K}(P^L) = P^L \cap K$$

is continuous, since $\rho_{L/K}^{-1}(H_K(a)) = H_L(a)$, for $a \in \dot{K}$.

If ξ^L is an \mathbf{R} -place of L , then the restriction $\xi^L|_K$ is an \mathbf{R} -place of K . Therefore, we have a map

$$\omega_{L/K} : M(L) \longrightarrow M(K), \quad \omega_{L/K}(\xi^L) = \xi^L|_K.$$

By [5], the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}(L) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_L} & M(L) \\ \rho_{L/K} \downarrow & & \downarrow \omega_{L/K} \\ \mathcal{X}(K) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_K} & M(K) \end{array}$$

commutes and all the maps are continuous.

Let D_m be a Cantor cube with weight m , i.e. the set $\{1, -1\}^m$ with the product topology. We are going to show that there exists a field with space of \mathbf{R} -places homeomorphic to D_m . We need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let P be an order of the field F , and let*

$$K = F(\{\sqrt{a}, a \in \mathcal{A}\}),$$

where $\mathcal{A} \subset \{a \in F : 0 < \lambda_F(P)(a) < \infty\}$. Then the restriction of λ_K to the set $\rho_{K/F}^{-1}(P)$ is injective.

Proof. By induction we shall first show that this lemma is true if \mathcal{A} is finite.

If $0 < \lambda_F(P)(a) < \infty$, then $a \in P$. Thus P has two extensions to orders of $F(\sqrt{a})$, call them P_1 and P_2 . We can assume that $\sqrt{a} \in P_1$ and $-\sqrt{a} \in P_2$. Moreover, $0 \neq \lambda_K(P_i)(\sqrt{a}) < \infty$ since $0 < \lambda_K(P_i)(a) < \infty$, for $i = 1, 2$. Therefore, $\lambda_K(P_1)(\sqrt{a}) > 0$ and $\lambda_K(P_2)(\sqrt{a}) < 0$. Thus, $\lambda_K(P_1) \neq \lambda_K(P_2)$.

Now take a set $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ of elements of F such that $0 < \lambda_F(P)(a_i) < \infty$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $K := F(\sqrt{a_1}, \dots, \sqrt{a_n})$, and suppose that the lemma is true for the field $K' := F(\sqrt{a_1}, \dots, \sqrt{a_{n-1}})$. Let Q_1 and Q_2 be two different orders of K that extend P . If $Q_1 \cap K' \neq Q_2 \cap K'$, then by the inductive hypothesis, $\lambda_{K'}(Q_1 \cap K') \neq \lambda_{K'}(Q_2 \cap K')$, and therefore $\lambda_K(Q_1) \neq \lambda_K(Q_2)$. If $Q_1 \cap K' = Q_2 \cap K' =: Q$, then we

can repeat the argument from the case $n = 1$ with $F = K'$, $P = Q$ and $a = a_n$.

Now, suppose that

$$K = F(\{\sqrt{a}, a \in \mathcal{A}\}),$$

where $\mathcal{A} \subset \{a \in F : 0 < \lambda_F(P)(a) < \infty\}$, and suppose that Q_1 and Q_2 are two different extensions of P in K . Then there exists an $\alpha \in K$ such that $\alpha \in Q_1$ and $-\alpha \in Q_2$. But α is in some $K' := F(\sqrt{a_1}, \dots, \sqrt{a_n})$, where $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$. Therefore, $Q_1 \cap K' \neq Q_2 \cap K'$, and then $\lambda_{K'}(Q_1 \cap K') \neq \lambda_{K'}(Q_2 \cap K')$, which implies that $\lambda_K(Q_1) \neq \lambda_K(Q_2)$. \square

Theorem 3.2. *For every infinite cardinal number \mathfrak{m} , the Cantor cube $D_{\mathfrak{m}}$ of weight \mathfrak{m} is homeomorphic to the space $M(K)$, for some formally real field K .*

Proof. Let F be a real closed field of cardinality \mathfrak{m} . Consider the field $F(X)$ and two of its orders:

$$P_+ = \left\{ \frac{f}{g} : \frac{\text{lc}(f)}{\text{lc}(g)} \in \dot{F}^2 \right\},$$

$$P_- = \left\{ \frac{f}{g} : (-1)^{\deg(f) - \deg(g)} \frac{\text{lc}(f)}{\text{lc}(g)} \in \dot{F}^2 \right\},$$

where $\text{lc}(f)$ and $\text{lc}(g)$ denote the leading coefficients of the polynomials f and g , respectively. Easy computations show that the valuation rings $A(P_+)$ and $A(P_-)$ coincide and both orders induce the same order on the residue field F (see [9, pages 79–80]). Therefore, $\lambda_{F(X)}(P_+) = \lambda_{F(X)}(P_-)$. Let

$$K = F(X)(\{\sqrt{\frac{X-a}{X}} : a \in \dot{F}\}).$$

In [9] it was shown that $\rho_{K/F(X)}^{-1}(P_+) = H_K(X) \cong D_{\mathfrak{m}} \cong H_K(-X) = \rho_{K/F(X)}^{-1}(P_-)$. Moreover, for every $P_+^K \in \rho_{K/F(X)}^{-1}(P_+)$, there exists exactly one order $P_-^K \in \rho_{K/F(X)}^{-1}(P_-)$ such that $\lambda_K(P_+^K) = \lambda_K(P_-^K)$. Since $\mathcal{X}(K) = H_K(X) \dot{\cup} H_K(-X)$, the map $\lambda_K|_{H_K(X)}$ is surjective.

Finally, observe that $(X - a)/X = 1 - (a/X) \in 1 + I(P_+)$ and therefore $\lambda_{F(X)}(P_+)((X - a)/X) = 1$. By the previous lemma, $\lambda_K|_{H_K(X)}$ is injective. Thus, $\lambda_K|_{H_K(X)}$ is a continuous bijection of a compact space onto a Hausdorff space, so it is a homeomorphism. \square

4. The main theorem. Every Boolean space is a closed subspace of some Cantor cube. In this section we shall show how we can eliminate **R**-places by field extensions. Of course, if we eliminate an **R**-place, then we eliminate all orders which determine this **R**-place.

We recall the following result by Craven [3] which allows us to eliminate orders:

Proposition 4.1 [3, Proposition 2]. *Let K be a formally real field, and let $Y \subset \mathcal{X}(K)$ be such that $Y = \cap_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} H_K(\alpha)$, where $\mathcal{A} \subset K$. Then there exists an algebraic extension L of K such that the map $\rho_{L/K} : \mathcal{X}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(K)$ is a homeomorphism onto Y .*

We note that the field L constructed in the proof of the proposition above is of the following form:

$$L = K(\{ \sqrt[n]{\alpha} : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}, n = 1, 2, \dots \}).$$

Proposition 4.2. *Let K be a formally real field. Suppose that H is a closed subset of $\mathcal{X}(K)$ such that $\lambda_K|_H$ is a bijection onto $M(K)$, and suppose that Y is a closed subset of $\mathcal{X}(K)$ such that $Y = \cap_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} H_K(\alpha)$, where $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbf{E}(K)$, i.e., \mathcal{A} is a subset of units of the real holomorphy ring of K . Let $Y_0 = H \cap Y$. Then there exists an extension L of K such that the map*

$$\rho_{L/K}^{-1}(Y_0) \xrightarrow{\lambda_L} M(L)$$

is a bijection.

Proof. Note that if $\alpha \in \mathbf{E}(K)$, $P, Q \in \mathcal{X}(K)$ and $\lambda_K(P) = \lambda_K(Q)$, then $\alpha \in P$ if and only if $\alpha \in Q$.

Since $\lambda_K|_H$ is a bijection, we have that for every $P \in Y$ there exists exactly one $Q \in H$ such that $\lambda_K(P) = \lambda_K(Q)$. But then $Q \in Y$ and

therefore $Q \in Y_0$. Thus, the mapping $\pi : Y \rightarrow Y_0$, which assigns to every $P \in Y$ the unique $Q \in H$ such that $\lambda_K(P) = \lambda_K(Q)$, is well defined.

Let L be the field constructed in Proposition 4.1 for Y . The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{X}(L) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_L} & M(L) \\
 \downarrow \rho_{L/K}(\text{bij.}) & \swarrow id \quad \searrow \lambda_L & \downarrow \omega_{L/K} \\
 & \rho_{L/K}^{-1}(Y_0) & \\
 & \downarrow \rho_{L/K}(\text{bij.}) & \\
 Y & \xrightarrow{\lambda_K} & M(K) \\
 & \nwarrow \pi \quad \nearrow \lambda_K(\text{inj.}) & \\
 & Y_0 &
 \end{array}$$

commutes. Let $\xi \in M(L)$. Choose an order $P^L \in \mathcal{X}(L)$ with $\lambda_L(P) = \xi$, and denote $P_0^L := \rho_{L/K}^{-1} \circ \pi \circ \rho_{L/K}(P^L)$. Then $P_0^L \in \rho_{L/K}^{-1}(Y_0)$ and $\lambda_L(P_0^L) = \xi$; hence, $\lambda_L|_{\rho_{L/K}^{-1}(Y_0)}$ is a surjection.

Now suppose that P_0^L and Q_0^L are two different orders in $\rho_{L/K}^{-1}(Y_0)$. Then $\rho_{L/K}(P_0^L) \neq \rho_{L/K}(Q_0^L)$ in Y_0 , and by the injectivity of $\lambda_K|_{Y_0}$ we have $\lambda_K(\rho_{L/K}(P_0^L)) \neq \lambda_K(\rho_{L/K}(Q_0^L))$. Thus, $\lambda_L(P_0^L) \neq \lambda_L(Q_0^L)$ which proves the injectivity of λ_L on $\rho_{L/K}^{-1}(Y_0)$. \square

Remark 4.3. Since Y_0 is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{X}(K)$ and $\rho_{L/K}$ is continuous, $\rho_{L/K}^{-1}(Y_0)$ is a compact space. Since $M(L)$ is Hausdorff and λ_L is continuous, $\lambda_L|_{\rho_{L/K}^{-1}(Y_0)}$ is a homeomorphism. Therefore, $\lambda_K \circ \rho_{L/K}^{-1}$ is a homeomorphism from Y_0 onto $M(L)$.

Now we are in the position to prove the main theorem.

Theorem 4.4. *Every Boolean space is realized as a space of \mathbf{R} -places of some formally real field L .*

Proof. Take Y_0 to be any Boolean space and view it as a closed subspace of the Cantor cube D_m .

Let K be the field constructed in Theorem 3.2, so that $M(K) \cong D_m \cong H_K(X)$ and the map $\lambda_K : H_K(X) \rightarrow M(K)$ is a bijection. Now we can consider Y_0 as a closed subset of $H_K(X)$. By [4], K is an SAP field, in particular the Harrison subbasis is a basis of $\mathcal{X}(K)$. The complement $[Y_0]_{H_K(X)}^c$ of Y_0 in $H_K(X)$ is an open set; thus, there exists a subset $\mathcal{B} \subset K$ such that

$$[Y_0]_{H_K(X)}^c = \bigcup_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} H_K(-\beta).$$

Take $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$. Note that

$$\lambda_K(H_K(\beta) \cap H_K(X)) \cap \lambda_K(H_K(-\beta) \cap H_K(X)) = \emptyset.$$

By the Separation Criterion [8, Proposition 9.13], there exists an $\alpha \in K$ which is a unit in the ring $A(P)$ for every $P \in [H_K(\beta) \cap H_K(X)] \cup [H_K(-\beta) \cap H_K(X)] = H_K(X)$ and $H_K(\beta) \cap H_K(X) \subset H_K(\alpha)$ and $H_K(-\beta) \cap H_K(X) \subset H_K(-\alpha)$. Since $\lambda_K : H_K(X) \rightarrow M(K)$ is a bijection, we have that α is a unit in $A(P)$ for every order P of K , and therefore $\alpha \in \mathbf{E}(K)$.

We shall show that $H_K(\beta) \cap H_K(X) = H_K(\alpha) \cap H_K(X)$. Since $H_K(-\beta) \cap H_K(X) \subset H_K(-\alpha)$ and $H_K(-\beta) \subset H_K(X)$, we have $H_K(-\beta) \subset H_K(-\alpha)$. Therefore, $H_K(\alpha) \subset H_K(\beta)$ and thus $H_K(\alpha) \cap H_K(X) \subset H_K(\beta) \cap H_K(X)$. The converse inclusion is obvious. Repeating the argument for arbitrary $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ we get a subset $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbf{E}(K)$ such that

$$Y_0 = \bigcap_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} H_K(\beta) \cap H_K(X) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} H_K(\alpha) \cap H_K(X).$$

Now it suffices to use Proposition 4.2, taking the set $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} H_K(\alpha)$ as Y , and the set $H_K(X)$ as H . \square

REFERENCES

1. E. Becker and D. Gondard, *Notes on the space of real places of a formally real field*, in *Real analytic algebraic geometry*, W. de Gruyter, Berlin, 1995.

2. E. Becker, J. Harman and A. Rosenberg, *Signatures of fields and extension theory*, J. Reine angew. Math. **330** (1982), 53–75.
3. T.C. Craven, *The Boolean space of orderings of the field*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **209**, (1975), 225–235.
4. ———, *The topological space of orderings of rational function field*, Duke Math. J. **41** (1974), 339–347.
5. D.W. Dubois, *Infinite primes and ordered field*, Dissert. Math. **69**, (1970), 1–43.
6. Y.L. Ershov, *The number of linear orders on a field*, Math. Z. **6**, (1969), 201–211; Math. Notes **6** (1969), 577–582 (in English).
7. D. Gondard and M. Marshall, *Towards an abstract description of the space of real places*, Contemp. Math. **253** (2000), 77–113.
8. T.Y. Lam, *Orderings, valuations and quadratic forms*, CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. Math. **52**, American Mathematical Society, Washington, D.C., 1983.
9. K. Osiak, *A Cantor cube as a space of higher level orderings*, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. **32** (2005), 71–84.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, SILESIAN UNIVERSITY, BANKOWA 14, 40-007 KATOWICE, POLAND

Email address: kosiak@ux2.math.us.edu.pl