GENERALIZED ORDER-k FIBONACCI AND LUCAS FUNCTIONS

EMRAH KILIÇ AND DURSUN TASCI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the usual Lucas numbers and the generalized order-k Fibonacci numbers. Then we give a new definition for generalization of the Lucas numbers. Therefore, we give the generalized order-k Fibonacci and Lucas functions. Further, we derive new relationships between these functions.

1. Introduction. In [2], Er defined k sequences of the generalized order-k Fibonacci numbers as shown:

$$g_n^i = \sum_{i=1}^k g_{n-j}^i$$
, for $n > 0$ and $1 \le i \le k$,

with initial conditions for $1 - k \le n \le 0$,

$$g_n^i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = 1 - n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where g_n^i is the *n*th term of the *i*th sequence. For example, when i=2, then $\{g_n^2\}$ is the Fibonacci sequence $\{F_n\}$ and k=4, then the generalized order-4 Fibonacci sequence is

$$1, 1, 2, 4, 8, 15, 29, 56, 108, 208, 401, 773, 1490, \dots$$

Also Er showed that

$$(1.1) G_n = A^n$$

²⁰⁰⁰ AMS Mathematics subject classification. Primary 11B39, 11B37, 33E,

Keywords and phrases. Generalized order-k Fibonaccci and Lucas numbers, generalized Fibonaccci and Lucas functions.

Received by the editors on March 28, 2005, and in revised form on December

Received by the editors on March 28, 2005, and in revised form on December 20, 2005.

where

(1.2)
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{k \times k}$$

and

$$G_n = \begin{bmatrix} g_n^1 & g_n^2 & \cdots & g_n^k \\ g_{n-1}^1 & g_{n-1}^2 & \cdots & g_{n-1}^k \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ g_{n-k+1}^1 & g_{n-k+1}^2 & \cdots & g_{n-k+1}^k \end{bmatrix}.$$

The matrix A is said to be a generalized order-k Fibonacci matrix. Also, the following identities can be found in [2]:

(1.3)
$$g_{n+1}^i = g_n^1 + g_n^{i+1} \text{ for } 1 \le i \le k-1$$

$$(1.4) g_{n+1}^k = g_n^1.$$

In [6], the authors defined k sequences of the generalized order-k Lucas numbers as shown:

$$l_n^i = \sum_{j=1}^k l_{n-j}^i$$
, for $n > 0$ and $1 \le i \le k$,

with initial conditions for $1 - k \le n \le 0$,

$$l_n^i = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i = 1 - n, \\ 2 & \text{if } i = 2 - n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where l_n^i is the *n*th term of the *i*th sequence. For example, if i=k=2, then $\{l_n^2\}$ is the usual Lucas sequence.

Further, in [3], the following formulas can be found for all m, n and p > 0,

$$g_{n+m+p}^i = \sum_{j=1}^k g_n^j g_{m+p+1-j}^i$$
 and $g_{n+m}^i = \sum_{j=1}^k g_{n-p}^j g_{m+p-j}^i$.

In [1], Elmore introduced the Fibonacci function as follows:

$$f_0(x) = \frac{e^{\lambda_1 x} - e^{\lambda_2 x}}{\sqrt{5}}, \quad f_n(x) = f_0^{(n)}(x) = \frac{\lambda_1^n e^{\lambda_1 x} - \lambda_2^n e^{\lambda_2 x}}{\sqrt{5}},$$

and hence $f_{n+1}(x) = f_n(x) + f_{n-1}(x)$, where

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$$
 and $\lambda_2 = \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$.

In [4], the authors gave a generalization of the Fibonacci function for k-Fibonacci numbers.

For positive integers k and n with $k \leq n$, let $Q_{k,n}$ denote the set of all strictly increasing k-sequences from $\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$. For an $n \times n$ matrix V and for $\alpha, \beta \in Q_{k,n}$, let $V[\alpha \mid \beta]$ denote the matrix lying in rows α and columns β , and let $V(\alpha \mid \beta)$ denote the matrix complementary to $V[\alpha \mid \beta]$ in V.

Note that the generalized order-k Fibonacci numbers can be expressed by powers of 2 for some n. For $1 \leq i < k$, we see that $g_1^i = 2^0 = 1$, $g_2^i = 2^1 = 2$, $g_3^i = 2^2 = 4, \ldots, g_{k-i+1}^i = 2^{k-i}$. In general, for $1 \leq i < k$ and $1 \leq n \leq k-i+1$, $g_n^i = 2^{n-1}$. When i = k, $g_1^k = g_2^k = 2^0$ and $g_n^k = 2^{n-2}$ for $3 \leq n \leq k+1$.

2. Generalized order-k Fibonacci functions. In this section, we define generalized Fibonacci functions and then we investigate some properties of these functions.

We define a function F(i, k, x) by, for $1 \le i \le k$,

$$F(i, k, x) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{g_t^i}{t!} x^t.$$

Since

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{g_n^i\left(n+1\right)}{g_{n+1}^i}\to\infty,$$

the function F(i, k, x) is convergent for any real number x.

The power series F(i, k, x) satisfies the differential equation

(2.1)
$$F^{(k)}(i,k,x) - F^{(k-1)}(i,k,x) - \cdots - F''(i,k,x) - F'(i,k,x) - F(i,k,x) = 0.$$

From [5, 7], we have that the characteristic equation, $x^k - x^{k-1} - \cdots - x - 1 = 0$, of matrix A does not have multiple roots. If $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k$ are the roots of $x^k - x^{k-1} - \cdots - x - 1 = 0$, then $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k$ are distinct.

Define V to be a $k \times k$ Vandermonde matrix as

(2.2)
$$V = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & \lambda_k \\ \lambda_1^2 & \lambda_2^2 & \cdots & \lambda_k^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \lambda_1^{k-1} & \lambda_2^{k-1} & \cdots & \lambda_k^{k-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Theorem 1. Then, the initial-value problem $\sum_{r=0}^{k-1} F^{(r)}(i,k,x) = F^{(k)}(i,k,x)$, where $F^{(r)}(i,k,0) = g_r^i$ for $r=0,1,2,\ldots,k-1$ has the unique solution $F(i,k,x) = \sum_{r=1}^k c_r e^{\lambda_r x}$, where

(2.3)
$$c_r = (-1)^{k+r} \frac{\det V(k \mid r)}{\det V}, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, k$$

and λ_i 's are as before.

Proof. Since the characteristic equation of A is $x^k - x^{k-1} - \cdots - x - 1 = 0$, it is clear that $c_1 e^{\lambda_1 x} + c_2 e^{\lambda_2 x} + \cdots + c_k e^{\lambda_k x}$ is a solution of (2.1). Since $F(i, k, x) = c_1 e^{\lambda_1 x} + c_2 e^{\lambda_2 x} + \cdots + c_k e^{\lambda_k x}$ and $F^{(r)}(i, k, 0) = g_r^i$ for $r = 1, 2, \ldots, k-1$ and $1 \le i \le k$, we have

$$F(i, k, 0) = c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_k = g_0^i$$

$$F'(i, k, 0) = c_1 \lambda_1 + c_2 \lambda_2 + \dots + c_k \lambda_k = g_1^i$$

$$F''(i, k, 0) = c_1 \lambda_1^2 + c_2 \lambda_2^2 + \dots + c_k \lambda_k^2 = g_2^i$$

$$\vdots$$

$$F^{(k-1)}(i, k, 0) = c_1 \lambda_1^{k-1} + c_2 \lambda_2^{k-1} + \dots + c_k \lambda_k^{k-1} = g_{k-1}^i.$$

Let $c = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k)^T$ and $u = (g_0^i, g_1^i, \ldots, g_{k-1}^i)^T$. We have that Vc = u. Since the matrix V is a Vandermonde matrix and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k$ are distinct, V is nonsingular. The matrix $V(k \mid r)$

is a Vandermonde matrix and nonsingular for $r=1,2,\ldots,k$. Thus, we obtain by Cramer's rule

$$c_r = (-1)^{k+r} \frac{\det V(k \mid r)}{\det V}.$$

So the proof is complete.

We can rewrite (2.1) as in the form

$$F^{(k)}(i,k,x) = F^{(k-1)}(i,k,x) + F^{(k-2)}(i,k,x) + \cdots + F''(i,k,x) + F'(i,k,x) + F(i,k,x).$$

Here we use the notation $F_0(i,k,x) = F(i,k,x)$ and, for $t \geq 1$, $F_t(i,k,x) = F^{(t)}(i,k,x)$. Thus,

$$F_n(i,k,x) = F^{(n)}(i,k,x) = c_1 \lambda_1^n e^{\lambda_1 x} + c_2 \lambda_2^n e^{\lambda_2 x} + \dots + c_k \lambda_k^n e^{\lambda_k x}$$

gives us the sequence of functions $\{F_n(i,k,x)\}$ with

$$(2.4) F_n(i,k,x) = F_{n-1}(i,k,x) + F_{n-2}(i,k,x) + \dots + F_{n-k}(i,k,x)$$

where c_i is as in (2.3). We refer to the above functions as generalized order-k Fibonacci functions. Also, when i = k, we denote F(i, k, x) by F(k, x). If k = 2, $F(2, x) = f_0(x)$ is the Fibonacci function as in [1].

Theorem 2. For the generalized order-k Fibonacci function F(i, k, x),

$$F_{0}(i, k, 0) = g_{0}^{i}, F_{1}(i, k, 0) = g_{1}^{i},$$

$$F_{2}(i, k, 0) = g_{2}^{i}, \dots, F_{k-1}(i, k, 0) = g_{k-1}^{i},$$

$$F_{k}(i, k, 0) = F_{1}(i, k, 0) + F_{2}(i, k, 0) + \dots + F_{k-1}(i, k, 0) = g_{k}^{i},$$

$$g_{n}^{i} = F_{n}(i, k, 0) = c_{1}\lambda_{1}^{n} + c_{2}\lambda_{2}^{n} + \dots + c_{k}\lambda_{k}^{n}$$

$$= g_{n-1}^{i} + g_{n-2}^{i} + \dots + g_{n-k}^{i}, \quad n > k,$$

where each c_i is given by (2.3).

Let $\mathcal{F}_n(i,k,x) = (F_{n+k-1}(i,k,x), F_{n+k-2}(i,k,x), \dots, F_n(i,k,x))^T$. By (2.4), we can write that $\mathcal{F}_{n+1}(i,k,x) = A\mathcal{F}_n(i,k,x)$. Generalizing, we derive

$$T_{n+1} = AT_n.$$

Since $A^n = G_n$, inductively we get

$$T_{n+1} = A^n T_1 = G_n T_1$$

where A is given by (1.2) and

$$T_{n} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{n+k-1}(1, k, x) & F_{n+k-1}(2, k, x) & \cdots & F_{n+k-1}(k, k, x) \\ F_{n+k-2}(1, k, x) & F_{n+k-2}(2, k, x) & \cdots & F_{n+k-2}(k, k, x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ F_{n}(1, k, x) & F_{n}(2, k, x) & \cdots & F_{n}(k, k, x) \end{bmatrix}.$$

From the definition of $T_n = [t_{ij}]$, we have $t_{ij} = F_{n+k-i}(j, k, x)$.

Theorem 3. For all m, n, p > 0 and $1 \le i \le k$,

$$F_{n+m+p}(i, k, x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} g_{n-k+1}^{j} F_{m+p+k-j}(i, k, x).$$

Proof. Since $T_{n+m+p} = G_{n+m+p-1}T_1$, $T_{n+m+p} = G_nT_{m+p}$ and $F_{n+m+p}(i,k,x) = (T_{n+m+p})_{p+1,i}$, so the proof is complete.

Theorem 4. For all m, n > 0 and $1 \le i \le k$,

$$F_{n+m}(i,k,x) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} g_{n-p-k+1}^{i} F_{m+p+k-j}(i,k,x).$$

In particular,

$$F_k(i, k, x) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{g_{k+t}^i}{t!} x^t.$$

Proof. Since $T_{n+m}=G_{n+m-1}T_1$ and $T_{n+m}=G_{n-p}G_{m+p-1}T_1=G_{n-p}T_{m+p}$, we have the conclusion. Especially since $\sum_{t=0}^{k-1}F_t(i,k,x)=F_k(i,k,x)$ and

$$\sum_{t=0}^{k-1} F_t(i,k,x) = g_k^i + g_{k+1}^i x + \frac{g_{k+2}^i}{2!} x^2 + \dots + \frac{g_{k+n}^i}{n!} x^n + \dots,$$

we obtain

$$F_k(i, k, x) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{g_{k+t}^i}{t!} x^t.$$

The theorem is proved.

Lemma 1. For $n \geq k > 0$,

$$\lambda^n = \sum_{j=1}^k g_{n-k+1}^j \lambda^{k-j}$$

where $G_n = [t_{ij}] = g_{n-i+1}^j$ and λ_i 's are as before.

Proof. (Induction on n). First we assume that n=k. Since $t_{ij}=g_{n-i+1}^j$ and $g_1^j=1$ for all j, we write

$$\lambda^{k} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} g_{1}^{j} \lambda^{k-j} = g_{1}^{1} \lambda^{k-1} + g_{1}^{2} \lambda^{k-2} + \dots + g_{1}^{k-1} \lambda + g_{1}^{k}$$
$$= \lambda^{k-1} + \lambda^{k-2} + \dots + \lambda + 1.$$

Now we suppose that n > k. Thus

(2.5) $\lambda^{n+1} = \lambda^n \lambda$

$$\begin{split} &= \lambda^{n} \lambda \\ &= \bigg(\sum_{j=1}^{k} g_{n-k+1}^{j} \lambda^{k-j} \bigg) \lambda \\ &= \bigg(g_{n-k+1}^{1} \lambda^{k-1} + g_{n-k+1}^{2} \lambda^{k-2} + \dots + g_{n-k+1}^{k-1} \lambda + g_{n-k+1}^{k} \bigg) \lambda \\ &= g_{n-k+1}^{1} \lambda^{k} + g_{n-k+1}^{2} \lambda^{k-1} + \dots + g_{n-k+1}^{k-1} \lambda^{2} + g_{n-k+1}^{k} \lambda. \end{split}$$

Since $\lambda^k = \lambda^{k-1} + \lambda^{k-2} + \cdots + \lambda + 1$, we rewrite (2.5) as

$$\begin{split} \lambda^{n+1} &= g_{n-k+1}^1 \left(\lambda^{k-1} + \lambda^{k-2} + \dots + \lambda + 1 \right) + \\ &\quad g_{n-k+1}^2 \lambda^{k-1} + \dots + g_{n-k+1}^{k-1} \lambda^2 + g_{n-k+1}^k \lambda \\ &= \left(g_{n-k+1}^1 + g_{n-k+1}^2 \right) \lambda^{k-1} + \left(g_{n-k+1}^1 + g_{n-k+1}^3 \right) \lambda^{k-2} + \dots \\ &\quad + \left(g_{n-k+1}^1 + g_{n-k+1}^{k-1} \right) \lambda^2 + \left(g_{n-k+1}^1 + g_{n-k+1}^k \right) \lambda + g_{n-k+1}^1. \end{split}$$

From (1.3), we have

$$\begin{split} g^1_{n-k+1} + g^2_{n-k+1} &= g^1_{n-k+2}, \\ g^1_{n-k+1} + g^3_{n-k+1} &= g^2_{n-k+2}, \\ & \vdots \\ g^1_{n-k+1} + g^k_{n-k+1} &= g^{k-1}_{n-k+2}. \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$(2.6) \quad \lambda^{n+1} = g_{n-k+2}^1 \lambda^{k-1} + g_{n-k+2}^2 \lambda^{k-2} + \dots + g_{n-k+2}^{k-1} \lambda + g_{n-k+1}^1.$$

By (1.4), we have that $g_{n-k+1}^1 = g_{n-k+2}^k$; thus, we write (2.6) as

$$\lambda^{n+1} = g_{n-k+2}^1 \lambda^{k-1} + g_{n-k+2}^2 \lambda^{k-2} + \dots + g_{n-k+2}^{k-1} \lambda + g_{n-k+2}^k.$$

So the proof is complete.

Theorem 5. For n > 0,

$$F_n(i, k, \lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_{j_n} \lambda^{j-1}$$

where

$$\gamma_{j_n} = \frac{g_{n-1+j}^i}{(j-1)!} + \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} g_t^{k+1-j} \frac{g_{n+k-1+t}^i}{(k-1+t)!}.$$

Proof. Since $\lambda^k = \lambda^{k-1} + \lambda^{k-2} + \cdots + \lambda + 1$ and by Lemma 1, we have

$$F_{n}(i,k,\lambda) = g_{n}^{i} + \frac{g_{n+1}^{i}}{1!}\lambda + \frac{g_{n+2}^{i}}{2!}\lambda^{2} + \frac{g_{n+3}^{i}}{3!}\lambda^{3} + \cdots$$

$$+ \frac{g_{n+k-1}^{i}}{(k-1)!}\lambda^{k-1} + \frac{g_{n+k}^{i}}{k!}\lambda^{k} + \cdots + \frac{g_{2n}^{i}}{n!}\lambda^{n} + \cdots$$

$$= \left(g_{n}^{i} + g_{1}^{k}\frac{g_{n+k}^{i}}{k!} + g_{2}^{k}\frac{g_{n+k+1}^{i}}{(k+1)!} + \cdots + g_{n-k+1}^{k}\frac{g_{2n}^{i}}{n!} + \cdots\right)$$

$$\begin{split} + \left(\frac{g_{n+1}^i}{1!} + g_1^{k-1} \frac{g_{n+k}^i}{k!} + g_2^{k-1} \frac{g_{n+k+1}^i}{(k+1)!} + \cdots \right. \\ & + g_{n-k+1}^{k-1} \frac{g_{2n}^i}{n!} + \cdots \right) \lambda + \cdots \\ + \left(\frac{g_{n+k-1}^i}{(k-1)!} + g_1^1 \frac{g_{n+k}^i}{k!} + g_2^1 \frac{g_{n+k+1}^i}{(k+1)!} + \cdots \right. \\ & + g_{n-k+1}^1 \frac{g_{2n}^i}{n!} + \cdots \right) \lambda^{k-1} \\ = \gamma_{1_n} + \gamma_{2_n} \lambda + \gamma_{3_n} \lambda^2 + \cdots + \gamma_{k_n} \lambda^{k-1} \\ = \sum_{j=1}^k \gamma_{j_n} \lambda^{j-1}, \end{split}$$

where

$$\gamma_{j_n} = \frac{g_{n-1+j}^i}{(j-1)!} + \sum_{t-1}^{\infty} g_t^{k+1-j} \frac{g_{n+k-1+t}^i}{(k-1+t)!};$$

thus, the proof is complete.

From Theorem 4 by taking p = m = 0 and Theorem 6, we have

$$F_{n}(i,k,x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} g_{n-k+1}^{j} F_{k-j}(i,k,x)$$

$$= g_{n-k+1}^{1} F_{k-1}(i,k,x) + g_{n-k+1}^{2} F_{k-2}(i,k,x) + \cdots$$

$$+ g_{n-k+1}^{k} F_{0}(i,k,x)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_{j_{n}} \lambda^{j-1}.$$

3. Generalized order-k Lucas numbers. In this section we give a more convenient definition for generalization of the Lucas numbers. Then we define generalized Lucas functions and derive some properties of them in the next section. In [6], the authors defined the generalized order-k Lucas numbers. However, we see that this definition is not convenient for further steps. So we give the following new definition.

Define k sequences of the generalized order-k Lucas numbers as shown:

$$v_n^i = \sum_{i=1}^k v_{n-j}^i$$
, for $n \ge 0$ and $1 \le i \le k$,

with boundary conditions

$$v_n^i = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } n = -i, \\ -1 & \text{if } n = 1-i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \text{ for } -k \le n < 0,$$

where v_n^i is the *n*th term of the *i*th sequence. When i=2, the generalized order-2 Lucas sequence is reduced to the Lucas sequence $\{L_n\}$. When i=4, the generalized order-4 Lucas sequence is

$$1, 3, 6, 12, 22, 43, 83, 160, 308, 594, \dots$$

By a property of matrix multiplication, we have

$$(3.1) \quad [v_{n+1}^{i} \quad v_{n}^{i} \quad \dots \quad v_{n-k+2}^{i}]^{T} = A [v_{n}^{i} \quad v_{n-1}^{i} \quad \dots \quad v_{n-k+1}^{i}]^{T},$$

where A is given by (1.2). To deal with k sequences of the generalized order-k Lucas series simultaneously, we define a $k \times k$ square matrix B_n as follows:

$$B_n = \begin{bmatrix} v_n^1 & v_n^2 & \cdots & v_n^k \\ v_{n-1}^1 & v_{n-1}^2 & \cdots & v_{n-1}^k \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ v_{n-k+1}^1 & v_{n-k+1}^2 & \cdots & v_{n-k+1}^k \end{bmatrix}.$$

Generalizing (3.1), we derive $B_{n+1} = AB_n$. We inductively rewrite it as

$$B_{n+1} = A^n B_1 = A^{n+1} B_0 = A^{n+2} B,$$

where by the definition of sequence $\{v_n^i\}$,

$$B_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 4 & 4 & \cdots & 4 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 2 & \cdots & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 & \ddots & \vdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 3 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 2 & \cdots & 2 \\ 3 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 & & 0 \\ & 3 & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & -1 \\ 0 & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, we infer by $A^n = G_n$,

(3.2)
$$B_{n+1} = A^{n+2}B = G_{n+2}B.$$

Theorem 6. Then

$$v_n^i = -g_{n+1}^{i-1} + 3g_{n+1}^i$$
 for $2 \le i \le k$, $v_n^1 = 3g_{n+1}^1$,

where v_n^i and g_n^i are as before.

Proof. The proof follows from (3.2).

In particular, when k = 2 in (3.2), then

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_{n+1}^1 & v_{n+1}^2 \\ v_n^1 & v_n^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} g_{n+2}^1 & g_{n+2}^2 \\ g_{n+1}^1 & g_{n+1}^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix},$$

and since $g_n^1 = g_{n+1}^2$ for n > 0, see [6], and $v_n^2 = L_n$, $g_n^2 = F_n$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} L_n &= -g_{n+1}^1 + 3g_{n+1}^2 = -g_{n+2}^2 + 3g_{n+1}^2 \\ &= -F_{n+2} + 3F_{n+1} \\ &= F_{n+1} + F_{n-1}, \end{split}$$

which is a well-known relation between the Fibonacci and Lucas numbers (see [8]).

Theorem 7. Then, for n, m > 0,

$$v_{n+m}^i = \sum_{j=1}^k g_n^j v_{m+1-j}^i$$
.

Proof. From (3.2), we have $B_n = G_{n+1}B = A^{n+1}B$. Thus, $B_{n+m} = A^{n+m+1}B = A^nA^{m+1}B = G_nB_m$. The theorem is obtained from a property of matrix multiplication. \square

Since $B_{n+m} = A^{n+m+1}B = A^{n-p}A^{m+p+1}B = G_{n-p}B_{m+p}$, we have the following result.

Corollary 1. For n, m, p > 0,

$$v_{n+m}^{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} g_{n-p}^{j} v_{m+p+1-j}^{i}.$$

For example, when i = 2, then

$$v_{n+m}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^2 g_{n-p}^j v_{m+p+1-j}^2$$

= $g_{n-p}^1 v_{m+p}^2 + g_{n-p}^2 v_{m+p-1}^2$,

and since $g_n^1=g_{n+1}^2=F_{n+1}$ and $v_n^2=L_n,$ $L_{n+m}=F_{n-p+1}L_{m+p}+F_{n-p}L_{m+p-1}$

and for p = 0, we obtain $L_{n+m} = F_{n+1}L_m + F_nL_{m-1}$, see [8, page 176].

4. Generalized order-k **Lucas functions.** We define the generalized Lucas function L(i, k, x) by, for $1 \le i \le k$,

$$L(i, k, x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{v_r^i}{r!} x^r.$$

Since

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{v_n^k\left(n+1\right)}{v_{n+1}^k}\longrightarrow\infty,$$

the function L(i, k, x) is convergent for real number x. The power series L(i, k, x) satisfies the differential equation

(4.1)
$$L^{(k)}(i,k,x) - L^{(k-1)}(i,k,x) - \cdots - L''(i,k,x) - L'(i,k,x) - L(i,k,x) = 0.$$

Let the matrices A and V be as in (1.2) and (2.2), respectively.

Theorem 8. Then the initial-value problem $\sum_{r=0}^{k-1} L^{(r)}(i,k,x) = L^{(k)}(i,k,x)$, where $L^{(r)}(i,k,0) = v_r^i$ for $r=0,1,2,\ldots,k-1$ has the unique solution $L(i,k,x) = \sum_{r=1}^k s_r e^{\lambda_r x}$ where

(4.2)
$$s_r = (-1)^{k+r} \frac{\det V(k \mid r)}{\det V}, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, k,$$

where the λ_i 's are as before.

Proof. Since the characteristic equation of A, $s_1e^{\lambda_1x} + s_2e^{\lambda_2x} + \cdots + s_ke^{\lambda_kx}$ is a solution of (4.1) and since $L(i,k,x) = s_1e^{\lambda_1x} + s_2e^{\lambda_2x} + \cdots + s_ke^{\lambda_kx}$ and $L^{(r)}(i,k,0) = v_r^i$ for $r = 0,1,2,\ldots,k-1$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$, we have

$$L(i, k, 0) = s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_k = v_0^i$$

$$L'(i, k, 0) = s_1 \lambda_1 + s_2 \lambda_2 + \dots + s_k \lambda_k = v_1^i$$

$$L''(i, k, 0) = s_1 \lambda_1^2 + s_2 \lambda_2^2 + \dots + s_k \lambda_k^2 = v_2^i$$

$$\vdots$$

$$L^{(k-1)}(i, k, 0) = s_1 \lambda_1^{k-1} + s_2 \lambda_2^{k-1} + \dots + s_k \lambda_k^{k-1} = v_{k-1}^i.$$

Let $s = (s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k)^T$ and $z = (v_0^i, v_1^i, \ldots, v_{k-1}^i)^T$. Then we have Vs = z. Since matrix V is a Vandermonde matrix and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k$ are distinct, matrix V is nonsingular. Also matrix $V(k \mid r)$ is a Vandermonde matrix and nonsingular for $r = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. Thus, we obtain by Cramer's rule

$$s_r = (-1)^{k+r} \frac{\det V(k \mid r)}{\det V}.$$

So the proof is complete.

We may rewrite (4.1) as

$$L^{(k)}(i, k, x) = L^{(k-1)}(i, k, x) + L^{(k-2)}(i, k, x) + \cdots + L''(i, k, x) + L'(i, k, x) + L(i, k, x).$$

Using the notation $L_0(i, k, x) = L(i, k, x)$ and for $t \geq 1$, $L_t(i, k, x) = L^{(t)}(i, k, x)$, we may write

$$L_n(i, k, x) = L^{(n)}(i, k, x) = s_1 \lambda_1^n e^{\lambda_1 x} + s_2 \lambda_2^n e^{\lambda_2 x} + \dots + s_k \lambda_k^n e^{\lambda_k x},$$

which gives us the sequence of functions $\{L_n(i,k,x)\}$ with

$$(4.3) L_n(i,k,x) = L_{n-1}(i,k,x) + L_{n-2}(i,k,x) + \dots + L_{n-k}(i,k,x),$$

where s_i is as in (4.2). We refer to the above functions as generalized order-k Lucas functions.

Theorem 9. For the generalized order-k Lucas function L(i, k, x),

$$L_{0}(i,k,0) = v_{0}^{i}, \quad L_{1}(i,k,0) = v_{1}^{i},$$

$$L_{2}(i,k,0) = v_{2}^{i}, \dots, L_{k-1}(i,k,0) = v_{k-1}^{i},$$

$$L_{k}(i,k,0) = L_{1}(i,k,0) + L_{1}(i,k,0) + \dots + L_{k-1}(i,k,0) = v_{k}^{i},$$

$$v_{n}^{i} = L_{n}(i,k,0) = s_{1}\lambda_{1}^{n} + s_{2}\lambda_{2}^{n} + \dots + s_{k}\lambda_{k}^{n}$$

$$= v_{n-1}^{i} + v_{n-2}^{i} + \dots + v_{n-k}^{i}, \quad n > k,$$

where each s_i is given by (4.2).

Let $\mathcal{L}_n(i, k, x) = (L_{n+k-1}(i, k, x), L_{n+k-2}(i, k, x), \dots, L_n(i, k, x))^T$. By (4.3), we can write that $\mathcal{L}_{n+1}(i, k, x) = A\mathcal{L}_n(i, k, x)$, that is,

(4.4)
$$\begin{bmatrix} L_{n+k}(i,k,x) \\ L_{n+k-1}(i,k,x) \\ \vdots \\ L_{n+1}(i,k,x) \end{bmatrix} = A \begin{bmatrix} L_{n+k-1}(i,k,x) \\ L_{n+k-2}(i,k,x) \\ \vdots \\ L_{n}(i,k,x) \end{bmatrix}.$$

where A is given by (1.2). Generalizing (4.4), we derive

$$H_{n+1} = AH_n,$$

where

$$H_{n} = \begin{bmatrix} L_{n+k-1} (1, k, x) & L_{n+k-1} (2, k, x) & \cdots & L_{n+k-1} (k, k, x) \\ L_{n+k-2} (1, k, x) & L_{n+k-2} (2, k, x) & \cdots & L_{n+k-2} (k, k, x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ L_{n} (1, k, x) & L_{n} (2, k, x) & \cdots & L_{n} (k, k, x) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Inductively, we obtain $H_{n+1}=A^nH_1$ and since $A^n=G_n,\ H_{n+1}=G_nH_1.$

We can generalize the result of Theorem 7 for generalized order- $\!k$ Lucas functions.

Theorem 10. For m, n > 0 and $1 \le i \le k$,

$$L_{n+m+p}(i,k,x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} g_{n-k+1}^{j} L_{m+p+k-j}(i,k,x),$$

where $H_n = [h_{ij}] = L_{n+k-i}(j, k, x)$.

Proof. Since $H_{n+1} = G_n H_1$ and so $H_{n+m+p} = G_{n+m+p-1} H_1$, $H_{n+m+p} = G_n H_{m+p}$ and $L_{n+m+p}(i,k,x) = (H_{n+m+p})_{p+1,i}$. Thus, the theorem is proved from a property of matrix multiplication. \square

Theorem 11. For m, n > 0 and $1 \le i \le k$,

$$L_{n+m}(i,k,x) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} g_{n-p-k+1}^{j} L_{m+p+k-j}(i,k,x).$$

In particular,

$$L_k(i, k, x) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{v_{k+t}^i}{t!} x^t.$$

Proof. Since $H_{n+m}=G_{n+m-1}H_1$ and $H_{n+m}=G_{n-p}G_{m+p-1}H_1=G_{n-p}H_{m+p}$, we have the conclusion. Since also $\sum_{t=0}^{k-1}L_t(i,k,x)=L_k(i,k,x)$ and

$$\sum_{t=0}^{k-1} L_t(i,k,x) = v_k^i + v_{k+1}^i x + \frac{v_{k+2}^i}{2!} x^2 + \dots + \frac{v_{n+k}^i}{n!} x^n + \dots,$$

we obtain

$$L_k(i, k, x) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{v_{k+t}^i}{t!} x^t. \qquad \Box$$

From Theorem 10, we have

$$L_{n}(i,k,x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} g_{n-k+1}^{j} L_{k-j}(i,k,x)$$

$$= g_{n-k+1}^{1} L_{k-1}(i,k,x) + g_{n-k+1}^{2} L_{k-2}(i,k,x) + \cdots$$

$$+ g_{n-k+1}^{k} L_{0}(i,k,x).$$

By Theorem 11, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2. Let $L_n(i, k, x)$ and $F_n(i, k, x)$ be the generalized orderk Lucas and Fibonacci functions, respectively. Then

$$L_n(i,k,x) = 3F_{n+1}(i,k,x) - F_{n+1}(i-1,k,x)$$
 for $2 \le i \le k$
 $L_n(1,k,x) = 3F_{n+2}(k,x)$.

Proof. From Theorems 6 and 11, we write for $2 \le i \le k$,

$$L_n(i, k, x) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{v_{n+t}^i}{t!} x^t$$

$$= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(-g_{n+t+1}^{i-1} + 3g_{n+t+1}^i\right)}{t!} x^t$$

$$= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{-g_{n+t+1}^{i-1}}{t!} x^t + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{3g_{n+t+1}^i}{t!} x^t$$

$$= 3F_{n+1}(i, k, x) - F_{n+1}(i - 1, k, x).$$

By Theorem 6 and since $g_n^1 = g_{n+1}^k$, we write

$$L_n(1,k,x) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{v_{n+t}^1}{t!} x^t$$

$$= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{3g_{n+1+t}^1}{t!} x^t = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{3g_{n+2+t}^k}{t!} x^t$$

$$= 3F_{n+2}(k,k,x) = 3F_{n+2}(k,x).$$

So the proof is complete.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the referee for a number of helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- 1. M. Elmore, Fibonacci functions, Fibonacci Quart. 4 (1967), 371–382.
- 2. M.C. Er, Sums of Fibonacci numbers by matrix methods, Fibonacci Quart. 22 (1984), 204-207.
- 3. E. Kiliç and D. Tascı, On the generalized order-k Fibonacci and Lucas numbers, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 36 (2006), 1915–1926.
- 4. G.Y. Lee, J.S. Kim and T.H. Cho, Generalized Fibonacci functions and sequences of generalized Fibonacci functions, Fibonacci Quart. 41 (2003), 108-121.
- 5. E.P. Miles, Jr., Generalized Fibonacci numbers and associated matrices, Amer. Math. Monthly 67 (1960), 745-752.
- 6. D. Tascı and E. Kiliç, On the order-k generalized Lucas numbers, Appl. Math. Comp. 155 (2004), 637–641.
- 7. O. Taussky, Bounds for characteristic roots of matrices, Duke Math. J. 15 (1948), 1043-1044.
- 8. S. Vajda, Fibonacci & Lucas numbers and the golden section, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1989.

TOBB UNIVERSITY OF ECONOMICS AND TECHNOLOGY, MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, 06560 SOGUTOZU ANKARA TURKEY

Email address: ekilic@etu.edu.tr

GAZI UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 06500 ANKARA, TURKEY Email address: dtasci@gazi.edu.tr