# $u=4$ AND QUADRATIC EXTENSIONS 

## JÁN MINÁČ AND MICHEL SPIRA

1. Introduction. Throughout this paper $L$ will denote a nonformally real field of characteristic $\neq 2$. By $u(L)$ we mean the $u$ invariant of $L$, i.e., $u(L)=\max \{n \in \mathbf{N}$ : there exists an $n$-dimensional anisotropic quadratic form over $L\}$ (see [16, Chapter X]).

The motivation for our work is the following conjecture, which is part of the folklore of quadratic forms theory.

CONJECTURE 1.1. If $a \in L$, then $u(L(\sqrt{a}))=u(L)$.

In $\S 2$ we will present a couple of examples related to this conjecture in the particular case when $u(L)=4$. Our strategy is to translate the condition $u(L)=4$ into the Galois theory of $L$ and then use some well known results on the cohomology of pro - 2-groups. For the basic concepts and notation we use, the reader may consult [16] and [28].

One of our main tools will be the following important result. We let $\operatorname{cd}_{p}(G)$ denote the cohomological $p$-dimensional of the pro-p-group $G$ (see [28, p. I-17]).

THEOREM 1.2. (SERRE [30]). Let $G$ be a pro-p-group that does not contain an element of order $p$ and let $H$ be an open subgroup of $G$. Then $\operatorname{cd}_{p}(G)=\operatorname{cd}_{p}(H)$.

We now let $L(2):=$ quadratic closure of $L$ and $G_{L}:=\operatorname{Gal}(L(2) / L)$. Then Theorem 1.2 (with $p=2$ ) applies to $G=G_{L}$ since nonformally real fields $L$ are characterized by the fact that $G_{L}$ does not contain nontrivial involutions [7, Chapter 2, Theorem 3].
To our knowledge the first explicit connection between $\operatorname{cd}_{2}\left(G_{L}\right)$ and $u(L)$ was found by Ware in [34], where it was shown that $u(L)=2 \Leftrightarrow$
$\operatorname{cd}_{2}(G)=1$. Notice that $u(L)=1 \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{cd}_{2}\left(G_{L}\right)=0$ is trivial since $u(L)=1 \Leftrightarrow L$ is quadratically closed $\Leftrightarrow G_{L}=\{1\}$. We can now give a quick proof of the following well known result.

Proposition 1.3. Let $L$ be a nonformally real field and let $a \in L$. Then
(1) $u(L)=2 \Rightarrow u(L(\sqrt{a}))=2$;
(2) $u(L)>2 \Rightarrow u(L(\sqrt{a}))>2$.

Thus $u(L)=2 \Leftrightarrow u(L(\sqrt{a}))=2$.

Proof. We let $G_{L(\sqrt{a})}$ be the subgroup of $G_{L}$ corresponding to $L(\sqrt{a})$. Then $G_{L(\sqrt{a})}$ is open in $G_{L}$, so $\operatorname{cd}_{2}\left(G_{L(\sqrt{a})}\right)=\operatorname{cd}_{2}\left(G_{L}\right)$ by Theorem 1.2. The result then follows by the preceding comments.

REmARK. Statement (1) above can easily be proved by standard methods in quadratic forms theory.

We denote by $H^{i}\left(G_{L}\right)$ the $i^{\text {th }}$ cohomology group of $G_{L}$ with coefficients in $\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}$. There exist canonical isomorphisms $\dot{L} / \dot{L}^{2} \cong H^{1}\left(G_{L}\right)$ and $B r_{2}(L) \cong H^{2}\left(G_{L}\right)$ given respectively by $a \dot{L}^{2} \mapsto(a)$ and $\left[\left(\frac{a, b}{L}\right)\right] \mapsto$ $(a) \cup(b)$ for $a, b \in \dot{L}[\mathbf{2 9}$, Chapter XIV]. Merkurjev's theorem ([20]; see also [2], [32]) states that the classes of quaternion algebras generate $B r_{2}(F)$, so the latter is indeed a good description of the isomorphism $B r_{2}(L) \cong H^{2}\left(G_{L}\right)$.
Our next lemma is a strengthening of [11, Theorem 4.7] using Merkurjev's theorem and results in [3] and [4]. Recall that a field is linked if the classes quaternion algebras form a subgroup of $B r_{2}(L)$. In view of the comments above this is the same as saying that the cup map $H^{1}\left(G_{L}\right) \times H^{1}\left(G_{L}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(G_{L}\right)$ is surjective.

Lemma 1.4. Let $L$ be a nonformally real field. Then $u(L)=4$ if and only if following two conditions hold:
(1) $\operatorname{cd}_{2}\left(G_{L}\right)=2$;
(2) the cup map $H^{1}\left(G_{L}\right) \times H^{1}\left(G_{L}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(G_{L}\right)$ is surjective.

Proof. Suppose $u(L)=4$. Then, by [11, Theorem 4.7] (see also [12]), $L$ is linked and $I^{3} L=\{0\}$; also $\operatorname{Br}_{2}(L) \neq\{1\}$ because $u(L) \geq 4$. From the preceding comments we see that (2) holds; and from $I^{3} L=\{0\}$ it follows by $\left[3\right.$, Property 5.16] that $\operatorname{cd}_{2}\left(G_{L}\right) \leq 2$. Therefore (1) holds.
Now suppose (1) and (2) hold. Then $H^{2}\left(G_{L}\right) \neq\{0\}$ and $H^{3}\left(G_{L}\right)=$ $\{0\}$. Since $\operatorname{cd}_{2}\left(G_{L}\right) \leq 3$ it follows from $\left[\mathbf{4}\right.$, Theorem 3] that $I^{2} L / I^{3} L \cong$ $H^{2}\left(G_{L}\right)$ and $I^{3} L / I^{4} L \cong H^{3}\left(G_{L}\right)$. Therefore $I^{2} L \neq\{0\}$ and $I^{3} L=$ $I^{4} L$; by the Arason - Pfister Korollar $2[6]$ we see that $I^{n} L=\{0\}$ for $n \geq 3$. Again by [11, Theorem 4.7], we conclude that $u(L)=4$.

We remark that there is another conjecture relating the notions of cohomological dimension and the $u$ - invariant:

CONJECTURE 1.5. If $L$ is a nonformally real field, then $u(L)=2^{\mathrm{cd}_{2}\left(G_{L}\right)}$.
(Note added in proof: Recently A.S. Merkurjev showed that $u(L)$ can be any even number. In particular, Conjecture 1.5 is false.)

Notice that Conjecture 1.5 implies Conjecture 1.1. Indeed, we have $\operatorname{cd}_{2}\left(G_{L(\sqrt{a})}\right)=\operatorname{cd}_{2}\left(G_{L}\right)$ for any $a \in i$ by Theorem 1.2, so, if we assume Conjecture 1.5, we get

$$
u(L)=2^{\operatorname{cd}_{2}\left(G_{L}\right)}=2^{\operatorname{cd}_{2}\left(G_{L(\sqrt{a})}\right)}=u(L(\sqrt{a}))
$$

Conjecture 1.5 is true in the following cases:
(1) $L=F(\sqrt{-1})$ where $F$ is a formally real pythagorean field of finite chain length [21], [22].
(2) $L$ is a finitely generated extension of a hereditarily quadratically closed field $F[13]$.
(3) $L$ is a finite nonformally real 2 - extension of a superpythagorean field. This follows easily from results in [33] (see Case 1 in the proof of Proposition 1.6).

We finish this section by giving one more example related to Conjecture 1.1. This example seems to be well known, but since we cannot find a reference, we provide a proof for the reader's convenience.
Recall that an element $a \in \dot{F} \backslash \pm \dot{F}^{2}$ is rigid if $D_{F}\langle 1, a\rangle=\dot{F}^{2} \cup a \dot{F}^{2}$, double rigid if both $a$ and $-a$ are rigid, and that $F$ is a $C$ - field if all $a \in \dot{F} \backslash \pm \dot{F}^{2}$ are rigid. In [8] it is shown that in the nonformally real field case the notions of rigid and double rigid coincide (see remarks after the next proposition).

Proposition 1.6. Let $L$ be a nonformally real field and $a \in \dot{L}$ a rigid element. Then $u(L(\sqrt{a}))=u(L)$.

Proof. Let $B$ be the set of non rigid elements of $L$. In particular $a \notin B$. We divide our proof into two cases:

Case 1. $B=\dot{L}^{2} \cup-\dot{L}^{2}$. In this case $L$ is a $C$-field and therefore so is $L(\sqrt{a})$ by [33, Corollary 2.8]. The square class exact sequence $[\mathbf{1 6}$, Theorem VII. 3.4] shows that $\left|\dot{L} / \dot{L}^{2}\right|=\left|\dot{L}(\sqrt{a}) / \dot{L}(\sqrt{a})^{2}\right|$ if $\left|\dot{L} / \dot{L}^{2}\right|<\infty$ and that if $\left|\dot{L} / \dot{L}^{2}\right|=\infty$, then $\left|\dot{L}(\sqrt{a}) / \dot{L}(\sqrt{a})^{2}\right|=\infty$, too. In the first case we have $u(L)=\left|\dot{L} / \dot{L}^{2}\right|=u(L(\sqrt{a}))[33$, Example 1.11(iii)]. In the second case we get $u(L)=u(L(\sqrt{a}))=\infty$ since it is easy to see that a $C$ - filed with infinitely many square classes has anisotropic forms of arbitrarily large dimension.

Case 2. $B \neq \dot{L}^{2} \cup-\dot{L}^{2}$. By [35, Theorem 2.16] there exists a 2 - Henselian valuation $v$ on $L$ such that $v(a)$ is not 2 - divisible in the value group $\Gamma_{v}$ of $v$. By [ 5 , Lemma 4.4] it follows that $v$ is non-dyadic. Denote by $L_{v}$, the residue field of $L$ with respect to $v$. Since $v$ is 2 Henselian, there exists a unique extension $w$ of $v$ to $K:=L(\sqrt{a})$. We denote by $\Gamma_{w}$, and $K_{w}$ the value group and residue field of $w$ respectively, and as usual we consider $\Gamma_{v} \subset \Gamma_{w}$ and $K_{v} \subset K_{w}$.

The fundamental inequality for extension of valuations [27, Proposition G.4] shows that

$$
\left[K_{w}: L_{v}\right]\left[\Gamma_{w}: \Gamma_{v}\right] \leq 2
$$

and, in particular,

$$
\left[\Gamma_{w}: \Gamma_{v}\right] \leq 2
$$

Now $w(a) \in \Gamma_{w}$ is 2 - divisible since $w(a)=2 w(\sqrt{a})$. Since $v(a) \in \Gamma_{v}$ is not 2 -divisible, we conclude that $\Gamma_{v} \subsetneq \Gamma_{w}$, and hence

$$
\left[\Gamma_{w}: \Gamma_{v}\right]=2 \text { and }\left[K_{w}: L_{v}\right]=1
$$

The second equality above shows that $L_{v} \cong K_{w}$. From the first one we see that $2 \Gamma_{w} \subset \Gamma_{v}$. Furthermore, $w(a) \in 2 \Gamma_{w} \backslash 2 \Gamma_{v}$ which shows that $2 \Gamma_{v} \subsetneq_{\subsetneq} 2 \Gamma_{w}$, i.e.,

$$
1 \neq\left[2 \Gamma_{w}: 2 \Gamma_{v}\right]
$$

Since

$$
\left[2 \Gamma_{w}: 2 \Gamma_{v}\right] \leq\left[\Gamma_{w}: \Gamma_{v}\right]=2
$$

we conclude that

$$
\left[2 \Gamma_{w}: 2 \Gamma_{v}\right]=2
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}}\left[\Gamma_{w} / 2 \Gamma_{w}\right] & =\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}}\left[\Gamma_{w} / \Gamma_{v}\right]+\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}}\left[\Gamma_{v} / 2 \Gamma_{w}\right] \\
& =\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}}\left[2 \Gamma_{w} / 2 \Gamma_{v}\right]+\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}}\left[\Gamma_{v} / 2 \Gamma_{w}\right] \\
& =\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}}\left[\Gamma_{v} / 2 \Gamma_{v}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $v$ is nondyadic we can use Springer theory (see [17, p. 36]). Set $m=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}}\left[\Gamma_{w} / 2 \Gamma_{w}\right]$; then

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(L) & =2^{m} u\left(L_{v}\right) \\
u(K) & =2^{m} u\left(K_{w}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

or $u(L)=u(K)=\infty$ if $m=\infty$. Since we have seen that $L_{v}=K_{w}$, we conclude that $u(L)=u(K)$.

REMARK 1.7. In [8] rigidity is defined as follows: an element $a \in \dot{L}$ is rigid if $D_{L}\langle 1, a\rangle=R(L) \cup a R(L)$ where $R(L)$ denotes the Kaplansky radical of $F . R(L)$ can be defined as

$$
R(L)=\cap_{b \in \dot{L}} D_{L}\langle 1, b\rangle
$$

It is then easy to check that the existence of a rigid element (according to our previous definition) forces the two definitions of "rigid" to coincide.

REMARK 1.8. Note that, in the proof of Proposition 1.6, we actually proved that $W(L(\sqrt{a})) \cong W(L)$. Indeed $W(L(\sqrt{a})) \cong$ $W\left(K_{w}\right)\left[\Gamma_{w} / 2 \Gamma_{w}\right] \cong W\left(L_{v}\right)\left[\Gamma_{v} / 2 \Gamma_{v}\right] \cong W(L)$, cf. [35]. (Added in proof: This was done earlier by L. Berman, see Pacific J. Math. 89 (1980), Corollary 26, p. 261.

## 2. Examples.

Definition 2.1. Let $F$ be a field and $b \in \dot{F}$. We say that $F$ is uniformly linked with slot $b$ if every quaternion algebra over $F$ is equivalent to ( $\frac{b, x}{F}$ ) for some $x \in \dot{F}$.

The preceding definition was suggested by B. Jacob. To him we also owe the statement of the next proposition, which is an improvement of our previous version. Recall that $R(L)$ denotes the Kaplansky radical of $L$ (cf. Remark 1.7).

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let $L$ be a nonformally real field such that
(1) $\operatorname{cd}_{2} G_{L}=2$,
(2) $L$ is uniformly linked with slot $b$.

Then $u(L)=4$. If $a \in R(L)$ then (1) and (2) also hold for $L(\sqrt{a})$ (in particular (2) holds with the same slot (b) and therefore $u(L(\sqrt{a}))=4$.

Proof. From Lemma 1.4 and Merkurjev's theorem, it follows immediately that $u(L)=4$. The fact that (1) holds for $L(\sqrt{a})$ follows from Theorem 1.2.

Now let $A \in \operatorname{Br}_{2}(L(\sqrt{a}))$ and let cor : $\mathrm{Br}_{2}(L(\sqrt{a})) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}_{2}(L)$ denote the corestriction homomorphism [29, Chapter VII.7]. From (2) we conclude that $\operatorname{cor} A=\left[\left(\frac{b, c}{L}\right)\right]$ for some $c \in \dot{L}$. Since $a \in R(L)$ there exists $d \in \dot{L}(\sqrt{a})$ such that $c=N_{L(\sqrt{a}) / L}(d)$. By the well known projection formula [32, (1.4)] we see that

$$
\operatorname{cor}\left[\left(\frac{b, d}{L(\sqrt{a})}\right)\right]=\left[\left(\frac{b, c}{L}\right)\right]
$$

and thus

$$
\operatorname{cor} A\left[\left(\frac{b, d}{L(\sqrt{a})}\right)\right]=1
$$

From Arason's long exact sequence [1, Satz 4.5], it then follows that

$$
A\left[\left(\frac{b, d}{L(\sqrt{a})}\right)\right]=\left[\left(\frac{b, e}{L(\sqrt{a})}\right)\right]
$$

for some $e \in \dot{L}$. Therefore $A=\left[\left(\frac{b, e d}{L(\sqrt{a})}\right)\right]$ and we are done.

Remark 2.3. Conditions (1) and (2) of Proposition 2.2 can be rephrased as follows:
$(1)^{\prime} I^{2} L \neq\{0\}, \quad I^{3} L=\{0\} ;$
(2) $I^{2} L=\langle\langle-b\rangle\rangle I L$ for some $b \in \dot{L}$.

One can actually give a completely analogous proof by using Scharlau's transfer and reciprocity [16, Chapter VII]. We leave this to the interested reader.

EXAMPLE 2.4. We now construct a field which satisfies the conditions of Proposition 2.2. Let $F$ be a formally real pythagorean field with order space $X_{F}=X_{1} \oplus X_{2}$, where
(1) $X_{1}=X_{3} \times H$ where $H=\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}$ and $X_{3}$ is a direct sum of $m 1-$ element spaces, $m>0$.
(2) $X_{2}$ is the direct sum of $n 1$ - element spaces, $n>0$.

For the concepts and notation needed above, see $[\mathbf{1 7}],[\mathbf{1 8}]$. We can also see $X_{F}$ by its graph ([24, Definition 0.3]; see also [10]).

m

Now let $L=F(\sqrt{-1})$. Note that $\operatorname{st}(F)=2[\mathbf{1 9}]$ and therefore $u(L)=2^{\text {st }(F)}=4$ by $[\mathbf{2 2}]$, so $\operatorname{cd}_{2}\left(G_{L}\right)=2$. If $\{b\}$ is a basis of $H$ over $\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}$, it is easily seen by the methods of $[\mathbf{2 3}]$ that $L$ is uniformly linked with slot $b$ and that $n>0$ implies that the Kaplansky radical $R(L)$ of $L$ is nontrivial.
We now recall some important facts that will be needed in the proof of the next proposition.
Let $G$ be a finitely generated pro-2-group and let $n=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}} H^{1}(G)$; then $n$ is the cardinality of any minimal set of generators of $G$. Let $J$ be the free pro-2-group in $n$ generators. We then get an exact sequence

$$
1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow J \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1
$$

where $R$ is the group of relations of $G$. We can make $H^{1}(R)$ into a $J$ module by defining, for $j \in J, u \in H^{1}(R)$ and $r \in R$,

$$
(j \cdot u)(r)=u\left(j^{-1} r j\right)
$$

Then there is an exact sequence (via spectral sequences)

$$
0 \rightarrow H^{1}(G) \xrightarrow{\inf } H^{1}(J) \xrightarrow{\text { res }} H^{1}(R)^{J} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{tg}} H^{2}(G) \rightarrow 0
$$

where inf $=$ inflation, res $=$ restriction and $\operatorname{tg}=$ transgression. $H^{1}(R)^{J}$ is the set of elements in $H^{1}(R)$ invariant under the action of $J$. Since inf is an isomorphism in our case, $\operatorname{tg}: H^{1}(R)^{J} \rightarrow H^{2}(G)$ is also an isomorphism.

Fix a set $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ of generators of $J$. Each $x_{i}$ induces a character $\chi_{i} \in H^{1}(J)$ by $\chi_{i}\left(x_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}\left(\delta_{i j}\right.$ is the Kronecker delta; $\delta_{i j}=1$ if $i=j$ and 0 otherwise). Since inf : $H^{1}(G) \rightarrow H^{1}(J)$ is an isomorphism, we can look at the $\chi_{i}$ 's as characters in $H^{1}(G)$. Then, for $1 \leq i, j \leq n, \chi_{i} \cup \chi_{j} \in H^{2}(G)$. We want to compute $\left[\operatorname{tg}^{-1}\left(\chi_{i} \cup \chi_{j}\right)\right](r)$ for $r \in R$.
We define a filtration $\left\{J_{i}\right\}$ of $J$ by

$$
J_{1}=J, \quad J_{i+1}=J_{i}^{2}\left[J_{i}, J\right] \quad(i \geq 1)
$$

where $\left[J_{i}, J\right]$ denotes the commutator of $J_{i}$ and $J$, i.e., the closure of the subgroup of $J$ generated by elements of the form $g^{-1} j^{-1} g j$ for $g \in J_{i}, j \in J$. Each $r \in R$ can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \equiv x_{1}^{2 a_{1}} \ldots x_{n}^{2 a_{n}} \prod_{i<j}\left[x_{i}, x_{j}\right]^{b_{i j}}\left(\bmod J_{3}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $a_{i}$ 's and $b_{i j}$ 's are in $\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}$. Then

$$
\left[\operatorname{tg}^{-1}\left(\chi_{i} \cup \chi_{j}\right)\right](r)= \begin{cases}a_{i} & \text { if } i=j  \tag{2}\\ b_{i j} & \text { if } i \neq j\end{cases}
$$

All these results can be found in [31]; see also [15] for an excellent exposition and explicit computations with tg.

PROPOSITION 2.5. Let $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{m}$ be finitely generated nontrivial pro-2-groups and let $G=*_{i=1}^{m} G_{i}$ be the free product of the $G_{i}$ 's in the category of pro-2-groups. Suppose that, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have
(i) $\operatorname{cd}_{2} G_{i} \leq 2$ (and hence $G_{i}$ has not nontrivial involution);
(ii) if $H$ is any open subgroup of $G_{i}$, then the cup map

$$
H^{1}(H) \times H^{1}(H) \rightarrow H^{2}(H)
$$

is surjective. Then conditions (i) and (ii) hold for $G$ in place of $G_{i}$. In particular we have $\mathrm{cd}_{2} G=\max _{1 \leq i \leq m}\left\{\operatorname{cd}_{2} G_{i}\right\}$.

Proof. Let $H$ be an open subgroup of $G$. By [9] we can write $H=M *\left(*_{i=1}^{m} H \cap G_{i j}\right)$ where $M$ is a free finitely generated pro - 2 - group and, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, the $G_{i j}$ 's run over a certain finite set of conjugates of $G_{i}$ (more precisely, $G_{i j}$ runs over the set $\left\{G_{i}^{\sigma_{i, \alpha}}\right\}_{\alpha}$ where $\left\{\sigma_{i, \alpha}\right\}_{\alpha}$ is a complete set of representatives of the double coset decomposition $G=\cup_{\alpha}\left(H \sigma_{i, \alpha} G_{i}\right)$. We will not need this specific description). Since $M$ is free, we have $\operatorname{cd}_{2} M=1$; from [25, Satz 4.1] we see that $\operatorname{cd}_{2} H=\max _{1 \leq i, j \leq m}\left\{\operatorname{cd}_{2}\left(H \cap G_{i j}\right) i\right\}$. Now each $H \cap G_{i j}$ is an open subgroup of $G_{i j}$. Since $G_{i j} \cong G_{i}$, Theorem 1.2 gives $\operatorname{cd}_{2}\left(H \cap G_{i j}\right)=\operatorname{cd}_{2}\left(G_{i j}\right)=\operatorname{cd}_{2}\left(G_{i}\right) \leq 2$. Hence $\operatorname{cd}_{2} H \leq 2$ and $\operatorname{cd}_{2} G=\max _{1 \leq i \leq m} \operatorname{cd}_{2} G_{i} \leq 2$.
For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, fix a minimal set $X_{i}=\left\{x_{i 1}, \ldots, x_{i n_{i}}\right\}$ of generators of $G_{i}$. Since $H^{1}(G)=\oplus_{i=1}^{m} H^{1}\left(G_{i}\right)$ [25, Satz 4.1], it follows that $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}=\cup_{i=1}^{m} X_{i}$ is a minimal set of generators of $G$ $\left(n=\sum_{i=1}^{m} n_{i}\right)$. Similarly, if $\tilde{R}_{i}$ is a minimal set of relations for $G_{i}$ then $\tilde{R}=\cup_{i=1}^{m} \tilde{R}_{i}$ is a minimal set of relations for $G$; this follows from $H^{2}(G)=\oplus_{i=1}^{m} H^{2}\left(G_{i}\right)[\mathbf{2 5}$, Satz 4.1] and from [28, Corollary, p. I.41] and subsequent remarks.

Now let $J$ be the free pro - 2 - group on $X$. Then $G \cong J / R$ where $R$ is the closed normal subgroup of $J$ generated by $\tilde{R}$. We are now in the situation described in the remarks preceding this proposition, and we use the notations explained therein. For each $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, we get characters $\chi_{i j} \in H^{1}\left(G_{i}\right), 1 \leq j \leq n_{i}$. We now claim that $\chi_{i j} \cup \chi_{k l}=0$ if $i \neq k$. Suppose the claim proved for the moment. Since $H^{2}(G)=\oplus_{i=1}^{m} H^{2}\left(G_{i}\right)$, any element $\chi \in H^{2}(G)$ can be written as

$$
\chi=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\left(\sum_{1 \leq r \leq n_{i}} a_{i r} \chi_{i r}\right) \cup\left(\sum_{1 \leq s \leq n_{i}} b_{i s} \chi_{i s}\right)\right]
$$

for some $a_{i r}, b_{i s} \in \mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}$. The claim then allows us to write

$$
\chi=\left[\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ 1 \leq r \leq n_{i}}}^{m} a_{i r} \chi_{i r}\right] \cup\left[\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ 1 \leq s \leq n_{i}}}^{m} b_{i s} \chi_{i s}\right]:=\chi_{1} \cup \chi_{2}
$$

where $\chi_{1}, \chi_{2} \in H^{1}(G)=\oplus_{i=1}^{m} H^{1}\left(G_{i}\right)$.
Since any open subgroup $H$ of $G$ has the form $M *\left(*_{i=1}^{m} H \cap G_{i j}\right)$ (see beginning of this proof) and $H \cap G_{i j}$ is an open subgroup of $G_{i j} \cong G_{i}$, the proof above also works for $H$ and therefore (ii) holds for $G$.
We now prove the claim. Choose $\tilde{r} \in \tilde{R}_{k}$. Expression (1) can be written as

$$
\tilde{r} \equiv x_{11}^{2 a_{11}} \cdots x_{n i_{n}}^{2 a_{n i n}} \prod_{\substack{i<j \\ r, s}}\left[x_{i r}, x_{j s}\right]^{b_{i r j s}} \prod_{\substack{i \\ t<v}}\left[x_{i t}, x_{i v}\right]^{b_{i t v}}\left(\bmod J_{3}\right)
$$

Our choice of $X_{k}$ and $\tilde{R}_{k}$ show that all the $b_{i r j s}$ are 0 . Hence, for $i \neq j$ and any $1 \leq r \leq n_{i}, 1 \leq s \leq n_{j}$, we have

$$
\left[\operatorname{tg}^{-1}\left(\chi_{i r} \cup \chi_{j s}\right)\right](\tilde{r})=0
$$

Since $\tilde{R}=\cup \tilde{R}_{k}$ generates $R$ we conclude that $\operatorname{tg}^{-1}\left(\chi_{i r} \cup \chi_{j s}\right)=0 \in$ $H^{1}(R, 2)^{J}$ for $i \neq j$. But $\operatorname{tg}: H^{1}(R)^{J} \rightarrow H^{2}(G)$ is an isomorphism and hence $\chi_{i r} \cup \chi_{j s}=0 \in H^{2}(G)$ for $i \neq j$.

COROLLARY 2.6. Let $L$ be a nonformally real field such that $G_{L}=$ ${ }^{* m}{ }_{i=1} G_{i}$ where the $G_{i}$ 's are finitely generated pro-2-groups satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) of Proposition 2.7 and such that $\operatorname{cd}_{2}\left(G_{i}\right)=2$ for at least one $i$ (here $*$ denotes free product in the category of pro-2groups). Then $u(K)=4$ for any finite 2 - extension $K$ of $L$.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Propositions 2.5 and 1.4.

We finish by exhibiting an example to which Corollary 2.6 can be applied.

ExAmple 2.7. Let $F$ be a formally real pythagorean field with $\operatorname{cl}(F)<\infty$. In [23] it is shown that $G_{F(\sqrt{-1})}$ is a Demushkin pro2 - group if and only if $F$ is of the type $\left(4,2^{3}\right)$ (i.e., $\left|X_{F}\right|=4$ and $\left|\dot{F} / \dot{F}^{2}\right|=2^{3}$ ). Since any open subgroup of a Demushkin group is again a Demushkin group [28, Corollary p. I-51] we see that the conditions of Proposition 2.5 hold for $G_{F(\sqrt{-1})}$.
Now let $K=\cap_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}$, where all $F_{i}$ 's are of type $\left(4,2^{3}\right)$ and assume $X_{F}=\oplus X_{F_{i}}$. Let $L=K(\sqrt{-1})$. From [14, Lemma 9$]$ it follows that $G_{F} \cong{ }_{* i=1}^{m} G_{F_{i}}$, and from [9] we get $G_{L} \cong M *\left[{ }_{*}^{m}\left(G_{L=1} \cap G_{F_{i}}\right)\right]$ where $M$ is some finitely generated free pro - 2-group and $*$ denotes free product in the category of pro-2-groups. Since $G_{L} \cap G_{F_{i}} \cong G_{F_{i}(\sqrt{-1})}$ we get $G_{L} \cong M *\left[*_{i=1}^{m} G_{F_{i}(\sqrt{-1})}\right]$ and therefore $G_{L}$ satisfies the hypothesis of Corollary 2.6.
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