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Introduction. Suppose $G$ is a reduced abelian group and $I$ and $J$ are infinite sets. When can the direct product $G^{I}$ equal the direct sum $A^{(J)}$ for some subgroup $A$ ? If $G$ is a torsion group, then $G$ must be torsion by Corollary 2.4 in [3] and the answer is easy to determine. In Theorem 1 we provide an answer for all cases where $|G|$ or $|I|$ is nonmeasurable. We then present, in Example 2, a group decomposition $G^{I}=A^{(J)}$ where $G$ is reduced and unbounded. There is another unusual decomposition of $G^{I}$ which occurs whenever $|I|$ is measurable and seems worth mentioning. We do this in Example 3.
In this paper all groups are abelian. By $G^{I}$ and $G^{(I)}$ we mean the direct product and direct sum respectively of copies of $G$ indexed by $I$. If $I$ is a set, then $|I|$ is measurable if there is a $\{0,1\}$-valued countably additive function $\mu$ on $P(I)$, the power set of $I$ such that $\mu(I)=1$ and $\mu(\{i\})=0$ for each $i \in I$. The letter $N$ denotes the set of natural numbers. Unexplained terminology may be found in [2].

Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a reduced group and let $I$ and $J$ be infinite sets. If $|G|$ or $|I|$ is non-measurable, then $G^{I}=A^{(J)}$ for some subgroup $A$ if and oniy if $G=B \oplus C$, where $B^{I} \cong T^{(J)}$ for some bounded subgroup $T$ and $C^{I} \cong C^{(J)} \cong C^{k}$ for some positive integer $k$.

Proof. Sufficiency is clear so we assume $G^{I}=A^{(J)}$ and derive the stated conditions. Write $X=\prod_{I} G_{i}=\oplus_{J} A_{j}$ where $\phi_{i}: G_{i} \rightarrow G$ is an isomorphism for each $i$ and $A_{J} \cong A$ for each $j$.
(A) Suppose $|G|$ is non-measurable. Let $f_{j}: X \rightarrow A_{j}$ be the obvious protection and let ( $S,+, \cdot$ ) be the Boolean ring on $S=P(I)$. Also let $K=\left\{s \in S\right.$ : there is an $n_{s}$ in $N$ such that $n_{s} f_{j}\left(\prod_{s} G_{i}\right)=0$ for almost all $j\}$ and set $H=\left\langle\Pi_{s} G_{i}: s \in K\right\rangle$. Clearly $K$ is an ideal in $S$. Thus $H$ consists of the elements in $G$ with support in $K$. The crucial fact for our proof is that $K$ is a $\gamma$-ideal in $S$ (i.e., if $\left\{s_{n}: n \in N\right\}$ is an

[^0]orthogonal family in $S$, then $\sum_{n>k} s_{n} \in K$ for some $k$ in $N$ ). For a proof of this fact, based on the work of S. Chase, see Theorem 1.5 in [3]. From Theorem 1.5 and Lemma 1.2 in [3] then we deduce: (a) $S / K$ is finite and there are orthogonal elements $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}$ in $S$ which map onto the atoms of $S / K$; (b) if $\left\{s_{m}: m \in M\right\}$ is a set of orthogonal elements in $S$ and $|M|$ is non-measurable, then $\sum_{M^{\prime}} s_{m} \in K$ for some cofinite subset $M^{\prime}$ of $M$; (c) if $K=\cup_{n} K_{n^{\prime}}$ where $K_{1} \subseteq K_{2}, \subseteq \ldots$, then $K=K_{k}$ for some $k$. From (c) we conclude that $m H \subseteq \oplus_{J_{1}} A_{j}$ for some $m$ in $N$ and some finite subset $J_{1}$ in $J$. For each $u_{n}$ in (a) above let $L_{n}=\left\{x_{n}(g): g \in G\right\}$ where $x_{n}(g)=\sum_{u_{n}} \phi_{i}^{-1}(g)$. Plainly $L_{n}$ is a subgroup of $X$ isomorphic to $G$. We claim $X=L_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{k} \oplus H$. Let $x=\sum_{I} x_{i}$ be an element in $X$ and write $x=\sum_{G}\left(\sum_{s_{g}} x_{i}\right)$ where $s_{g}=\left\{i \in I: \phi_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)\right\}=g$. Since the family $\left\{s_{g}: g \in G\right\}$ partitions $I$ by (b) above $\sum_{G^{\prime}}\left(\sum_{s_{g}} x_{i}\right) \in H$ for some cofinite subset $G^{\prime}$ in $G$. Moreover, for $g \in G \backslash G^{\prime}, s_{g}=\sum a_{n} u_{n}+v$ with $a_{n}=0$ or 1 and $v \in k$; thus $\sum_{s_{g}} x_{i}=\sum_{n} a_{n} x_{n}(g)+\sum_{v} x_{i}-\sum_{n} a_{n}\left(\sum_{u_{n} v} x_{i}\right)$, which is in $\sum L_{n}+H$. Therefore $x \in \sum L_{n}+H$ and $X=\sum L_{n}+H$. Suppose that $y_{1}+\cdots+y_{k}+z=0$ where each $y_{n}$ is in $L_{n}$ and $z$ is in $H$. Since $u_{n}$ is not in $K$ but the support of $z$ is in $K$, there is an $i_{n}$ in $u_{n}$ at which $z$ has 0 component. Since the $u_{n}$ are orthogonal, the definition fo $L_{n}$ implies each $Y_{n}$ is 0 . Therefore $z=0$ also and $X=L_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{k} \oplus H$, as desired. Let $I_{1}$ be a set of $k$ elements, one from each $u_{n}$. Then $X=\oplus_{1}^{k} L_{n} \oplus \prod_{I \backslash I_{1}} G_{i}=\oplus_{1}^{k} L_{n} \oplus H$ so $H \cong \prod_{I \backslash I_{l}} G_{i}$. We may then assume $m \prod_{I \backslash I_{l}} G_{i} \subseteq \oplus_{J_{1}} A_{j}$. Let $r=\left|J_{1}\right|$ and let $G=B \oplus C$ and $A=T \oplus U$ where $B$ and $T$ are maximal $m$-bounded direct summands of $G$ and $A$. We can now write
(1) $X=B^{I} \oplus D \oplus E=T^{(J)} \oplus V \oplus W$ where $D \cong C^{k}, E \cong C^{I}, V \cong$ $U^{r}, W \cong U^{(J)}$ and $m E \subseteq W$.

Now $B^{I}$ and $T^{(J)}$ are maximal $m$-bounded summands of $X$ so
(2) $B^{I} \cong T^{(J)}$ and $C^{I} \cong U^{(J)}$. By the Exchange Property (Theorem 72.1 in [2]) for maximal $m$-bounded summands $B^{I} \oplus D \oplus E=B^{I} \oplus$ $V \oplus W$. We may assume (replace $D \oplus E$ by its projection to $V \oplus W$ ) that $D \oplus E$ equals $X \oplus W$. Since $m E$ is still in $W$, by Lemma 1.7 in [3] we have $D \oplus E=V \oplus W^{\prime}$ where $W \cong W^{\prime} \subseteq D$. By the modular law,
(3) $D=D \cap V \oplus W^{\prime}$ and $V=K \oplus D \cap V$ for some $K$.

By (1) and (3) we obtain
(4) $C^{k} \cong D \cap V \oplus U^{(J)} \cong D \cap V \oplus V^{(J)}=D \cap V \oplus(K \oplus D \cap V)^{(J)} \cong$ $V^{(J)} \cong U^{(J)} \cong\left(U^{(J)}\right)^{(J)} \cong\left(C^{k}\right)^{(J)} \cong C^{(J)}$.
Now (2) and (4) yield $C^{I} \cong C^{(J)} \cong C^{k}$.
(B) Suppose $|I|$ is non-measurable. By Corollary 1.9 in $[3]$ there are positive integers $k$ and $r$ and decompositions $G=B \oplus C, A=T \oplus U$ with $B$ bounded such that: $B^{I} \cong T^{(J)}, C^{I} \cong U^{(J)}$, and $U^{r}=K \oplus L$ where $C^{k} \cong L \oplus U^{(J)}$. We can show, as in (3) of part (A), that $C^{k} \cong U^{(J)} \cong C^{(J)}$ and the proof is complete.

We now show that $G$ need not be bounded to satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1.

Example 2. If $I$ and $J$ are infinite sets, there exists a reduced unbounded group $G$ such that $G \cong G^{I} \cong G^{(J)}$.

Proof. Consider the cartesian product $(I \times J)^{N}$ with typical element $\left(i_{1}, j_{1}, i_{2}, j_{2}, \ldots\right)$. Let $H$ be any unbounded reduced group. Let $G$ be the set of all functions $f:(I \times J)^{N} \rightarrow H$ such that, for each $k$ and each fixed $i_{1}, j_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}, f\left(i_{1}, j_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}, j_{k}, i_{k+1}, j_{k+1}, \ldots\right)=0$ for almost all $j_{k}$ (one can think of $G$ as $\Pi_{I} \oplus_{J} \Pi_{I} \oplus_{J} \ldots H$ ). Now $G$ is a group under component-wise addition and it is easy to see that $G \cong\left(G^{(J)}\right)^{I}$. But this implies $G \cong G^{I} \cong G^{(J)}$.
If $|I|$ is measurable, then $G^{I}$, for any group $G$, has an unusual decomposition we would like to mention. This decomposition generalizes examples found on page 184 in [1] and page 161, vol. II, of [2].

Example 3. Let $I$ be a set of measurable cardinality and let $G$ be a group. There is a decomposition $G^{I}=L \oplus M$ where $L \cong G$ and $G^{(I)} \varsubsetneqq M \cong G^{I}$.

Proof. Write $G^{I}=\prod_{I} G_{i}$ where $\phi_{i}: G_{i} \rightarrow G$ is an isomorphism for each $i$. Let $\mu: P(I) \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ be a countably additive function such that $\mu(I)=1$ and $\mu(\{i\})=0$ for each $i \in I$. If $x=\sum_{I} x_{i}$ is an element in $G^{I}$, write $x=\sum_{G}\left(\sum_{s_{g}} x_{i}\right)$ where $s_{g}=\left\{i \in I: \phi_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)=g\right\}$. The $s_{g}$ 's partition $I$ and $\mu\left(s_{g}\right)=1$ for at most one $g$. Define $f: G^{I} \rightarrow G$ by $f(x)=\sum_{G} \mu\left(s_{g}\right) g$ for each $x$ in $G^{I}$. If two subsets of $P(I)$ have measure 1 , so does their intersection. It follows that, for each $x, y$ in $G^{I}, f(x+y)=f(x)+f(y)$ so $f$ is a homomorphism. Let $M$ be the kernel of $f$ and let $L=\left\{\sum_{I} \phi_{i}^{-1}(g): g \in G\right\}$, the diagonal subgroup of $G^{I}$. It is easy to see that $G^{I}=L \oplus M, L \cong G$ and $G^{(I)} \nsubseteq M$. If $j \in I$, then $G^{I}=L \oplus \prod_{i \neq j} G_{i}$ and $M \cong \prod_{i \neq j} G_{i} \cong G^{I}$.

I would like to thank Professor R.S. Pierce for his many helpful com-
ments on an earlier version of this paper.
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