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#### Abstract

The submodules of the polynomial Kronecker module are investigated. A pair of vector spaces ( $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{W}$ ) over an algebraically closed field $K$ is called a Kronecker module if there is a $K$ - bilinear map form $K^{2} \times V$ to $W$. Every module over $K[\xi]$ - the polynomial ring in one variable over $K$ may be viewed as a Kronecker module. The polynomial Kronecker module $\mathbf{P}$, is $K[\xi]$ so viewed. Every infinite-dimensional submodule of $\mathbf{P}$ of finite rank has a unique infinite-dimensional indecomposable direct summand. So attention is focussed on indecomposable submodules. In that direction the main result is: For each positive integer $n>1$, there is a family $\left\{V_{s}: s \in S\right.$ \}, Card $S=2^{\mathrm{N}_{0}}$, of indecomposable submodules of $\mathbf{P}$ of rank $n$ with the following properties:


(a) $\operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{s_{1}}, V_{s_{2}}\right)=0$ if $s_{1} \neq s_{2}$;
(b) End $\left(V_{s}\right)=K$ for every $s$ in $S$;
(c) $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}\left(V_{s_{1}}, V_{s_{2}}\right) \geqq 2^{\mathrm{N}_{0}}$ for any $s_{1}, s_{2}$ in S .

This result is proved by constructing extensions of finitedimensional modules by $\mathbf{P}$ using Liouville numbers. Each extension, $\mathbf{V}$, is shown to share with $\mathbf{P}$ a common submodule which reflects properties of $\mathbf{V}$. A consequence of this is that, for each positive integer $n>1, \mathbf{P}$ contains a nonterminating descending chain of nonisomorphic indecomposable submodules of rank $n$.

1. Completely decomposable submodules of $\mathbf{P}$. Throughout the paper $K$ is a fixed algebraically closed field and $(a, b)$ is a fixed basis of the twodimensional $K$-vector space $K^{2}$. Since the map from $K^{2} \times V$ to $W$ is bililinear it is enough to specify it on $(a, b)$ and a basis of $V$. In $P=(K[\xi]$, $K[\xi]$ ) the bilinear map is given by $a f=f, b f=\xi f$ for all polynomials $f$.

Each $e \in K^{2}$ gives rise to a linear transformation $T_{e}: V \rightarrow W$ defined by $T_{e}(v)=e v$, the image of $(e, v)$ under the bilinear map from $K^{2} \times V$ to $W$. If $T_{e}$ is one-to-one for every nonzero $e$ in $K^{2}, \mathbf{V}$ is said to be torsionfree. So $P$ is torsion-free. Observe that $P$ is an ascending union, $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{V}_{k}$, of finite-dimensional submodules where $\mathbf{V}_{1}=(0,[1])$; and, for $k \geqq 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{k}=\left[1, \xi, \ldots, \xi^{k-2}\right], W_{k}=\left[1, \ldots, \xi^{k-2}, \xi^{k-1}\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]All references to $\mathbf{V}_{k} \subset \mathbf{P}$ are to $\mathbf{V}_{k}$ in (1).
Here as elsewhere $[S]$ denotes the subspace spanned by $S$. (We are following the practice in [14] of using $\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{X}$, and $\mathbf{U}$ for the respective Kronecker modules ( $V, W$ ), $(X, Y)$ and $(U, Z)$.) The dimension of $\mathbf{V}=$ the sum of the dimensions of the vector spaces $V$ and $W . \mathbf{V}_{k}$ above is an example of a finite-dimensional module of type III $^{k}$. A Kronecker module is torsion-íree if and only if every finite-dimensional submodule is a direct sum of modules of type $\mathrm{III}^{m}$ for various positive integers $m$. This latter definition generalizes readily to modules over tame hereditary finitedimensional algebras. For details see [10]. There it is shown that torsionfree Kronecker modules may be viewed as flat, Z-graded $K[X, Y]$-modules, see [10, Proposition 2.4 and Remark 4.8]. See also [9, Proposition 2.2].

If $\mathbf{X}$ is a submodule of $\mathbf{V}$, i.e., $X \subset V, Y \subset W$ and $T_{e}(X) \subset Y$ for every $e \in K^{2}$, then $\mathbf{V} / \mathbf{X}$ is a module with $e(v+X)=e v+Y$. Let $\mathbf{V}_{k}$ be the submodule of $\mathbf{P}$ described above. Then, for $k \geqq 2, \mathbf{V}_{1} \subset \mathbf{V}_{k}$ and $\mathbf{V}_{k} / \mathbf{V}_{1}$ is of type $I_{\infty}^{k-1} ; \mathbf{V}_{k} /\left(\mathbf{V}_{1} \oplus\left(0,\left[\xi^{k-1}\right]\right)\right)$ is of type $I^{k-1}$. If $k \geqq 2$, then, for any $\theta \in K, V_{k}=\left[1, \xi-\theta, \ldots,(\xi-\theta)^{k-2}\right], W_{k}=\left[1, \xi-\theta, \ldots,(\xi-\theta)^{k-1}\right]$. $\mathbf{V}_{k} /\left(0,\left[(\xi-\theta)^{k-1}\right]\right)$ is of type $I_{\theta}^{k-1}$. As $m$ runs over the positive integers the types $\mathrm{III}^{m}, \mathrm{II}_{\infty}^{m}, \mathrm{II}_{\theta}^{m}, \mathrm{I}^{m}$ exhaust the finite-dimensional indecomposable isomorphism types, see [1] for details. We have recalled only as much as we need in the sequel. If $\mathbf{V}$ is a Kronecker module then there is a smallest submodule $\mathbf{X} \subset \mathbf{V}$ such that $\mathbf{V} / \mathbf{X}$ is torsion-free. $\mathbf{V}$ is torsion if $\mathbf{V}=\mathbf{X}$, e.g., the modules of type $\mathrm{II}_{\infty}^{m}, \mathrm{II}_{\theta}^{m}$ or $\mathrm{I}^{m}$ are torsion. A module $\mathbf{V}$ is divisible if $\mathbf{T}_{e}: V \rightarrow W$ is onto for every nonzero $e$ in $K^{2}$. Divisible Kronecker modules have a finished structure, while reduced torsion Kronecker modules are essentially torsion $K[\xi]$-modules. For a systematic treatment of these matters in a setting that includes Kronecker modules as a special case, we refer to [10], where many of the results in [1] and [16] are given a unified treatment. [10, Corollary 2.3] is particularly pertinent to us because it gives the results of this paper a free ride to the category of modules over any tame hereditary finite-dimensional algebra.

Even though the modules we deal with here have no analogues in abelian group theory our main result still bears a formal resemblance to several results on rigid families of abelian groups, see [5, p. 401], [8, §88], and [17]. We should point out that some of the results in [17] are beachheads of set theory.

While the rank of a Kronecker module can be defined in a manner analogous to rank for modules over domains we shall need the complicated version of [6] which we now recall. A submodule $\mathbf{X} \subset \mathbf{V}$ is said to be torsion-closed in $\mathbf{V}$ if $\mathbf{V} / \mathbf{X}$ is torsion-free. Let $\mathbf{V}$ be a torsion-free module and $X, Y$ respective subsets of $V$ and $W$. Then there is a smallest submodule $V^{1}$ of $\mathbf{V}$ with $X \subset V^{1}, Y \subset W^{1}$ such that $V / V^{1}$ is torsion-free. $\mathrm{V}^{1}$ is called the torsion-closure of $(X, Y)$ in $\mathbf{V}$ and is denoted by $\operatorname{tc}_{\mathrm{V}}(X, Y)$.

A subset $\left\{w_{i}\right\}_{i \in I} \subset W$ is said to generate $\mathbf{V}$ if $\mathbf{V}=\operatorname{tc}_{\mathbf{v}}\left(\varnothing,\left\{w_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}\right)$. It is linearly independent with respect to generation if, for every $i_{0} \in I, w_{i_{0}} \notin Y$ where $\mathbf{X}=(X, Y)=\operatorname{tc}_{\mathbf{V}}\left(\varnothing,\left\{w_{i}\right\}_{i \in I i_{0}}\right)$. If $\left\{w_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ has both of the above properties it is called a basis of $\mathbf{V}$ with respect to generation and card (I) is called the rank of $\mathbf{V}$. As shown in [6, p. 431 ff ], any subset of $W$ that generates $\mathbf{V}$ contains a basis of $\mathbf{V}$ with respect to generation and a subset of $W$ linearly independent with respect to generation can be extended to a basis of $\mathbf{V}$ with respect to generation. That is all we need to prove the following additive property of rank.
'Theorem A ([6, Theorem 2.4]). Let $\mathbf{X}$ be a submodule of $\mathbf{V}$. Then rank $\mathbf{V} \leqq \operatorname{Rank} \mathbf{X}+\operatorname{Rank} \mathbf{V} / \mathbf{X}$, with equality, if $\mathbf{X}$ is torsion-closed in $\mathbf{V}$.

Proof. Let $\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a basis of $\mathbf{X}$ with respect to generation and let $\left\{w_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ be coset representatives of $\left\{\bar{w}_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ a basis of $\mathbf{V} / \mathbf{X}$ with respect to generation. Since $\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i \in I} \cup\left\{w_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ clearly generates $\mathbf{V}$, it is enough to show that if $\mathbf{X}$ is torsion-closed in $\mathbf{V}$, then the set is linearly independent with respect to generation. Let $\mathbf{V}_{1}=\operatorname{tc} \mathbf{V}\left(\varnothing,\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i \in I} \cup\left\{w_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}\right)$. It is immediate that $\mathbf{X} \subset \mathbf{V}_{1}$. Also, $\mathbf{V}_{1} / \mathbf{X}$ is a torsion-closed submodule of $\mathbf{V} / \mathbf{X} . W_{1} / Y$ contains $\left\{\bar{w}_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$. Therefore, $\mathbf{V}=\mathbf{V}_{1}$. From the set $\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i \in I} \cup\left\{w_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ extract a basis $B$ of $\mathbf{V}$ with respect to generation that includes $\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$. Since $\left\{\bar{w}_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ is a basis of $\mathbf{V} / \mathbf{X}$ with respect to generation, no $w_{j}$ can be omitted. Hence $B=\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i \in I} \cup\left\{w_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ and we are done.

As a result of Theorem A, a torsion-closed submodule, $\mathbf{X}$, of a torsionfree module of finite rank is a proper submodule if and only if rank $\mathbf{X}<$ rank V .

The rank one torsion-free Kronecker modules, like rank one torsionfree abelian groups, are characterized by height functions, [6, §3]. Let $\tilde{K}=K \cup\{\infty\}$. Let $\mathbf{V}$ be a torsion-free module and let $w \in W$. Let $\mathbf{V}_{k}$ be the submodule of $\mathbf{P}$ described in (1). We shall define $H^{\mathbf{V}}(w)_{\theta}$-the height of $w$ in $\mathbf{V}$ at $\theta$ in terms of homomorphisms from $\mathbf{V}_{k}$ to $\mathbf{V}$. Recall that a homomorphism $(\varphi, \psi): \mathbf{V}_{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{2}$ is a pair of linear maps $\varphi: V_{1} \rightarrow$ $V_{2}$ and $\psi: W_{1} \rightarrow W_{2}$ such that, for all $e$ in $K^{2}$ and all $v$ in $V_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e \varphi(v)=\psi(e v) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H^{\mathbf{V}}(w)_{\infty} \geqq k-1$ if and only if there is a homomorphism $(\varphi, \psi)$ from $\mathbf{V}_{k}$ to $\mathbf{V}$ with $\psi(1)=w$. If $\theta \neq \infty$ then $H^{\mathbf{V}}(w)_{\theta} \geqq k-1$ if there is a homomorphism from $\mathbf{V}_{k}$ to $\mathbf{V}$ with $\psi(\xi-\theta)^{k-1}=w$. For $\theta \in \tilde{K}, H^{\mathbf{V}}(w)_{\theta}=$ $\infty$ if $H^{\mathbf{v}}(w)_{\theta}>k$ for all positive integers $k$. If $H^{\mathbf{v}}(w)_{\theta} \geqq m$ we shall say that at $\theta, w$ generates a submodule of $\mathbf{V}$ of type $\mathrm{III}^{k}, k \geqq m$. In $\S 3$ we shall repeatedly use the fact that if $(\varphi, \psi)$ is a homomorphism from $\mathbf{V}_{1}$ to $\mathbf{V}_{2}$, both assumed torsion-free, and at $\theta, w$ in $W_{1}$ generates a sub-
module of $\mathbf{V}_{1}$ of type $\mathrm{III}^{k}, k \geqq m$, then $\psi(w)$ does the same in $\mathbf{V}_{2}$ unless $\psi(w)=0$. So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathrm{III}^{m_{1}}, \mathrm{III}^{m_{2}}\right)=0 \text { if } m_{1}>m_{2} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall now see, mostly by quoting results from [15], why the study of submodules of $\mathbf{P}$ of finite rank may be restricted to studying extensions of ( $n-1$ ) III ${ }^{1}$ by $\mathbf{P}, n \geqq 2$. ( $n \mathbf{V}$ stands for $\mathbf{V} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbf{V}$ ( $n$ copies).) The next proposition disposes of the rank one submodules.

Proposition B. (a) A rank one torsion-free module $\mathbf{V}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}$ if and only if any nonzero element $w$ in $W$ has the property that

$$
\begin{align*}
H^{\mathrm{v}}(w)_{\theta}= & \infty \text { if and only if } \theta=\infty \text { and } H^{\mathrm{v}}(w)_{\theta}=0 \\
& \text { for all but finitely many } \theta \text { in } \tilde{K} . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

(b) Every infinite-dimensional submodule of $\mathbf{P}$ of rank one is isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}$.
(c) Every endomorphism $(\varphi, \psi)$ of $\mathbf{P}$ is given by multiplication by some polynomial $f$, i.e., $\varphi(p)=\psi(p)=p f$ for all polynomials $p$.

Proof. (a). This follows from [6, Theorem 3.7] or [8, Section 85].
(b). If $\mathbf{X} \subset \mathbf{P}$, then, for any $y$ in $Y, H^{\mathbf{X}}(y)_{\theta} \leqq H^{\mathbf{P}}(y)_{\theta}$ for all $\theta \in \tilde{K}$. If $\mathbf{X}$ is infinite-dimensional and of rank one, then $H^{X}(y)_{\theta}=\infty$ for some $\theta$. By (4), $\theta=\infty$. So (b) follows from (a).
(c). This follows from (2) with $f=\varphi(1)$.

The next result gives us some structure for infinite-dimensional submodules of $\mathbf{P}$ of finite rank $>1$.

Theorem C. (a) [15, Corollary 1.6, Proposition 1.11 and 11, Lemma 1.11]. Let $\mathbf{X}$ be an infinite-dimensional submodule of $\mathbf{P}$ of finite rank $n$. Then $\mathbf{P} / \mathbf{X}$ is finite-dimensional. Moreover, $\mathbf{X}$ is isomorphic to an extension of a module of type $(n-1) \mathrm{III}^{1}$ by $\mathbf{P}$.
(b) [15, Theorem 1.14]. An extension of a finite-dimensional torsion-free module by $\mathbf{P}$ is isomorphic to a submodule of $\mathbf{P}$.
(c) [15, Corollary 1.15]. An extension of a module of type $\mathrm{III}^{m}$ by $\mathbf{P}$ is isomorphic to a submodule $\mathbf{X}$ of $\mathbf{P}$ where $X$ is of codimension one in $K[\xi]$ and $Y=K[\xi]$.

Theorem $D$ below justifies zeroing in on the indecomposable submodules of $\mathbf{P}$. In $\mathbf{P}$ a submodule of finite rank is indecomposable if and only if it is purely simple, [15, Theorem 1.8] or [13, Theorem 4].

Theorem D. [15, Corollary 1.9]. An infinite-dimensional submodule $\mathbf{X}$ of $\mathbf{P}$ of finite rank is of the form

$$
\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{X}_{1} \dot{+} \mathbf{X}_{2}
$$

where $\mathbf{X}_{1}$ is finite-dimensional and $\mathbf{X}_{2}$ is a unique infinite-dimensional indecomposable submodule of $\mathbf{X}$. Moreover any infinite-dimensional indecomposable submodule of $\mathbf{X}$ is contained in $\mathbf{X}_{2}$.

The last sentence in Theorem $D$ is not in [15] but it is proved in the same manner as the uniqueness of $\mathbf{X}_{2}$.

A consequence of Theorem $D$ and Proposition $B(b)$ is that a completely decomposable submodule of $\mathbf{P}$ of finite rank $n$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of a finite-dimensional submodule of rank $n-1$ and a module isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}$. Moreover by the last sentence in Theorem $D$, its isomorphism type is determined by the isomorphism type of the finitedimensional component. Since by Kronecker's theorem [6, Theorem 4.3], a torsion-free finite-dimensional module of rank $n-1$ is of type $\mathrm{III}^{m_{1}} \oplus$ $\cdots \oplus$ III $^{m_{n}-1}$, we have

Theorem 1.1. The set $I N^{n-1}$ of unordered $(n-1)$-tuples of natural numbers is a parametrisation of the isomorphism classes of completely decomposable submodules of $\mathbf{P}$ of rank $n$.
2. Indecomposable submodules of $\mathbf{P}$ of finite rank. By Theorem $C$ (a), an infinite-dimensional submodule $\mathbf{V}$ of $\mathbf{P}$ of rank $n$ is isomorphic to an extension of a module of type $(n-1)$ III ${ }^{1}$ by $\mathbf{P}$. So we may assume that we have the extension

$$
\begin{equation*}
E: 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{P} \rightarrow 0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{X}^{\prime}=\left(0,\left[w_{2}, . ., w_{n}\right]\right), V=K[\xi], W=V \oplus\left[w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right] .
$$

The bilinear map from $K^{2} \times V$ to $W$ is given by $a f=f+y_{f}, b f=\xi f+$ $y_{f}^{\prime}$, where $y_{f}$ and $y_{f}^{\prime}$ are elements of $Y^{\prime}$ depending on the polynomial $f$. Fortunately there is no loss of generality if this unwieldy bilinear map is replaced by

$$
\begin{align*}
& a f=f \\
& b f=\xi f+\sum_{j=2}^{n} \ell_{j}(f) w_{j}, \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\iota_{2}, \ldots, \iota_{n}$ are linear functionals on $K[\xi]$, see [11, Theorem 1.8]. Since we may, by Theorem 1.1, restrict ourselves to indecomposable submodules of $\mathbf{P}$ we shall assume throughout that $\left\{\ell_{2}, \ldots, \ell_{n}\right\}$ is a linearly independent set of linear functionals [14, Lemma 2.2].

By setting $\ell_{i}\left(\xi^{k}\right)=\alpha_{i k}, \ell_{i}$ may be identified with $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i k} \xi^{k}$. Hence $L$ may be considered an element of $K[[\xi]]^{n-1}$, where $K[[\xi]]$ is the ring of
formal power series over $K$. Conversely any element of $K[[\xi]]^{n-1}$ gives some $L$ which can be used to get a bilinear map from $K^{2} \times V$ to $W$. Denote the corresponding extension by $E_{L}$ and the middle module by $\mathbf{V}_{L}$.

Throughout the paper all extensions of $(n-1)$ III ${ }^{1}$ by $\mathbf{P}$ will be constructed from the vector spaces in (5) using some $L$.

Proposition 2.1. $\operatorname{Ext}\left(\mathbf{P},(n-1) I I I^{1}\right)$ is isomorphic to $K[[\xi]]^{n-1}$ as $K[\xi]-$ modules.

Proof. We have the following short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow(n-1) \mathrm{III}^{1} \rightarrow$ $(n-1) I_{\infty}^{1} \rightarrow(n-1) I^{1} \rightarrow 0$. This induces an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{P},(n-1) I^{1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}\left(\mathbf{P},(n-1) \operatorname{III}^{1}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathrm{II}_{\infty}^{1}\right)=0=\operatorname{Ext}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathrm{II}_{\infty}^{1}\right)$ see, e.g., [7]. Given a power series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{k} \xi^{k}$ one gets an element of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathrm{I}^{1}\right)$ by setting $\varphi\left(\xi^{k}\right)=\alpha_{k} v$, $\phi\left(\xi^{k}\right)=0$, where $\{\nu\}$ is a basis of the top space of $\mathrm{I}^{1}$. Conversely if $(\varphi, \psi)$ is an element in $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathrm{I}^{1}\right)$, then $\varphi\left(\xi^{k}\right)=\alpha_{k} v$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{k} \xi^{k} \in K[[\xi]]$. Hence $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{P},(n-1) I^{1}\right)$, and so $\operatorname{Ext}\left(\mathbf{P},(n-1) \operatorname{III}^{1}\right)$ is isomorphic to $K[[\xi]]^{n-1}$. Since both $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{P},(n-1) \mathrm{I}^{1}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}\left(\mathbf{P},(n-1) \mathrm{III}^{1}\right)$ are modules over $\operatorname{End}(\mathbf{P})=K[\xi]$ it follows that the isomorphism in (7) is a $K[\xi]$-module isomorphism.

Remark 2.2. If $q \in K[\xi]$ and $q(0) \neq 0$, then $q K[[\xi]]=K[[\xi]]$. So $q$ acts as a unit on $\operatorname{Ext}\left(\mathbf{P},(n-1) I I I^{1}\right)$. This implies that the modules in (7) are modules over the discrete valuation ring $R=\{p / q \mid p, q \in K[\xi], q(0) \neq$ $0\}$. However $\mathbf{V}_{L}$ need not be isomorphic to $\mathbf{V}_{q L}$, even when $q$ is a unit in $R$. We illustrate this with an example when $n=2$. Let $L=(1$, $0,0, \ldots$ ) and $q=1 /\left(1-\xi^{2}\right)=1+\xi^{2}+\xi^{4} \ldots \in K[[\xi]]$. So in $\mathbf{V}_{L}$ (see (6) with $n=2$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
a \cdot \xi^{k} & =\xi^{k}, k=0,1, \ldots \\
\mathrm{~b} .1 & =\xi+w_{2} \\
\mathrm{~b} \xi^{k} & =0, k=1,2, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\mathbf{V}_{L}=\left([1],\left[1, \xi+w_{2}\right]\right) \dot{+}(\xi K[\xi], \xi K[\xi]), a 1=1, \mathrm{~b} .1=\xi+w_{2} ;(\xi K[\xi]$, $\xi K[\xi]) \subset \mathbf{P}$. That is, $\mathbf{V}_{L}$ is a module of type III $^{2} \oplus \mathbf{P}$.

Let $L^{\prime}=q L$. In $\mathbf{V}_{L^{\prime}}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
a \xi^{k}=\xi^{k}, k=0,1, \ldots \\
b \xi^{k}=\xi^{k+1}+w_{2}, \text { if } k \text { is even, } \\
b \xi^{k}=\xi^{k+1}, \text { if } k \text { is odd, } \\
\mathbf{V}_{L^{\prime}}=\left(\left[\xi, \xi^{2}\right],\left[\xi, \xi^{2}, \xi^{3}+w_{2}\right]\right) \dot{+}\left(\left(1-\xi^{2}\right) K[\xi],\left(1-\xi^{2}\right) K[\xi]\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
a \xi=\xi, b \xi=\xi^{2}, a \xi^{2}=\xi^{2}, b \xi^{2}=\xi^{3}+w_{2}
$$

and

$$
\left(1-\xi^{2}\right) K[\xi],\left(1-\xi^{2}\right) K[\xi] \subset \mathbf{P}
$$

That is, $\mathbf{V}_{L}$ is a module of type $\mathrm{III}^{3} \oplus \mathbf{P}$. Hence $\mathbf{V}_{L}$ is not isomorphic to $\mathbf{V}_{L^{\prime}}$, by Theorem 1.1.

Our indecomposable modules will come from judicious choices of $L$. As in the proof of Proposition 2.1, $L$ may be considered a homomorphism from $\mathbf{P}$ to $(n-1) I^{1}$. So we get the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{P} \rightarrow(n-1) I^{1} \rightarrow 0
$$

where

$$
X_{L}=\bigcap_{j=2}^{n} \operatorname{Ker} \iota_{j}, \quad Y=K[\xi]
$$

Since $X_{L} \subset V_{L}, Y \subset W, W$ as in (5), the module $\mathbf{X}_{L}=\left(X_{L}, Y\right)$ is also a submodule of $\mathbf{V}_{L}$. It shares many properties with $\mathbf{V}_{L}$. In particular $\mathbf{V}_{L}$ is indecomposable if and only if $\mathbf{X}_{L}$ it indecomposable; see Corollary 2.6.

Proposition 2.3. Rank $X_{L}=$ Rank $\mathbf{V}_{L}$.
Proof. Since $\left\{\iota_{2}, \ldots, \iota_{n}\right\}$ is a linearly independent set of functionals on $K[\xi], X_{L}$ is of codimension $n-1$ in $K[\xi]$. Let $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n-1}$ be representatives of a basis of $V / X_{L}$. In $\mathbf{V} / \mathbf{X}_{L}, a f_{1}=a f_{2}=\ldots=a f_{n-1}=0$ while $b f_{i}=\xi f_{i}+w_{i}^{\prime} \neq 0$ from (6) and the fact that $f_{i} \notin X_{L}$. So we have the exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{L} \rightarrow \mathrm{~V} \rightarrow(n-1) \mathrm{I}_{\infty}^{1} \rightarrow 0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is a surjective map $(\varphi, \psi)$ from $(n-1) I I I^{2}$ to $(n-1) I_{\infty}^{1}$ with kernel of type ( $n-1$ ) $\mathrm{II}^{1}$. Using (8) and pullback we get

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \rightarrow & \mathbf{X}_{L} \rightarrow \\
& \mathbf{V}_{L} \rightarrow(n-1) \mathrm{II}_{\infty}^{1} \rightarrow 0  \tag{9}\\
& \|\left(\varphi^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right) \uparrow(\varphi, \psi) \\
0 \rightarrow & \mathbf{X}_{L} \rightarrow \\
& \mathbf{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow(n-1) \mathrm{III}^{2} \rightarrow 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

From the middle part of (9) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow(n-1) I I I^{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{L} \rightarrow 0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Theorem A, (9), and (10) we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Rank} \mathbf{V}^{\prime}=(n-1)+\operatorname{Rank} \mathbf{X}_{L} \\
& \operatorname{Rank} \mathbf{V}^{\prime}=(n-1)+\operatorname{Rank} \mathbf{V}_{L}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence Rank $\mathbf{X}_{L}=\operatorname{Rank} \mathbf{V}_{L}$.

Let $L, L_{1}$ be two elements of $K[[\xi]]^{n-1}$ and let $(\varphi, \psi) \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{V}_{L}, \mathbf{V}_{L_{1}}\right)$. Since from (2), $a \varphi(f)=\psi(a f)$, we deduce from (6) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\psi \text { on } K[\xi] . \text { Moreover, } \varphi \text { determines } \psi \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

See (12) below for the justification of the last sentence in (11). $f \in X_{L}$ if and only if $b f=\xi f$, by (6). Since $a v=v$ for all $v \in V$, this implies that $f \in X_{L}$ if and only if there is a nonzero homomorphism $(\mu, \nu)$ from $\mathbf{V}_{3} \subset$ $\mathbf{P}$ in (1) to $\mathbf{V}_{L}$ with $\nu(1)=f$. From this and (2) we deduce that $\varphi\left(\mathbf{X}_{L}\right) \subset$ $\mathbf{X}_{L_{1}}$. So we have the homomorphism

$$
\begin{gathered}
\chi: \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{V}_{L}, \mathbf{V}_{L_{1}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{X}_{L}, \mathbf{X}_{L_{1}}\right) \\
(\varphi, \psi) \rightarrow\left(\varphi^{\prime}, \varphi\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\varphi^{\prime}$ denotes the restriction of $\varphi$ to $X_{L}$. The injectivity of $\chi$ follows from (11). $\chi$ is, in fact, bijective. Let $\left(\varphi^{\prime}, \varphi\right) \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{X}_{L}, \mathbf{X}_{L_{1}}\right)$. We want to extend this to $(\varphi, \psi)$, an element of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{V}_{L}, \mathbf{V}_{L_{1}}\right)$. On $K[\xi]$, put $\varphi=\psi$. So we need only define $\psi$ on $\left[w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right]$. Choose $f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}$ in $K[\xi]$ such that for each $j=2, \ldots, n, \ell_{j}\left(f_{j}\right) \neq 0$ but $\ell_{i}\left(f_{j}\right)=0$ for $i \neq j$. This is possible because $\left\{\ell_{2}, \ldots, \ell_{n}\right\}$ is a linearly independent set of functionals on $K[\xi]$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(w_{j}\right)=\frac{\xi \varphi\left(f_{j}\right)+L_{1}\left(\varphi\left(f_{j}\right)\right) \omega-\varphi\left(\xi f_{j}\right)}{\ell_{j}\left(f_{j}\right)} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega=\left[w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right]^{t}$ an $(n-1) \times 1$ matrix and $L\left(\varphi_{1}\left(f_{j}\right)\right)=\left(\ell_{2}^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(f_{j}\right)\right)\right.$, $\left.\ldots, \ell_{n}^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(f_{j}\right)\right)\right)-\mathrm{a} 1 \times(n-1)$ matrix. This proves.

THEOREM 2.4. The restriction map

$$
\chi: \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{V}_{L}, \mathbf{V}_{L_{1}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{X}_{L}, \mathbf{X}_{L_{1}}\right)
$$

is bijective.
From Theorem 2.4 we obtain the following corollaries.
Corollary 2.5. $\mathbf{X}_{L}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{X}_{L_{1}}$ if and only if $\mathbf{V}_{L}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{V}_{L_{1}}$.

Corollary 2.6. $\mathbf{X}_{L}$ is indecomposable if and only if $\mathbf{V}_{L}$ is indecomposable.
Remark 2.7. If $\mathbf{V}_{L}$ is completely decomposable then by Theorem $D$, $\mathbf{V}_{L}=\mathbf{X}_{1} \dot{+} \mathbf{X}_{2}$ where $\mathbf{X}_{1}$ is finite-dimensional and $\mathbf{X}_{2}$ is isormorphic to P. From Proposition $B\left(\right.$ a) and (6) we deduce that $\mathbf{X}_{2} \subset \mathbf{X}_{L}$. Hence $\mathbf{X}_{L}=$ $\mathbf{X}_{2}+\mathbf{X}_{3}$ where $\mathbf{X}_{3}$ is finite-dimensional. Since $\mathbf{X}_{L} \neq \mathbf{V}_{L}$, $\operatorname{dim} \mathbf{X}_{1} \neq \operatorname{dim}$ $\mathbf{X}_{3}$. Hence from Theorem 1.1, $\mathbf{X}_{L}$ is not isomorphic to $\mathbf{V}_{L}$. Also if $\mathbf{V}_{L}$ is a module in the set $\left\{\mathbf{V}_{s}: s \in S\right\}$ of Theorem 3.6 then $\mathbf{V}_{L}$ is not isomorphic to $\mathbf{X}_{L} \subset \mathbf{V}_{L}$ because $\operatorname{End}\left(\mathbf{V}_{L}\right)=K$. This implies that the modules in the chain in Proposition 2.8 are not isomorphic when $n>1$.

Proposition 2.8. For any positive integer n, $\mathbf{P}$ contains a nonterminating descending chain of indecomposable submodules of rank $n$.

Proof. If I is a nonzero ideal in $K[\xi]$ then (I, I) is a submodule of $\mathbf{P}$ isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}$. So if $n=1$ any nonterminating descending chain of ideals of $K[\xi], \mathrm{I}_{1} \supset \mathrm{I}_{2} \supset \ldots$, gives rise to a similar chain of submodules of $\mathbf{P}$ of rank one.

Let $\mathbf{V}$ be an indecomposable module of rank $n \geqq 2$ constructed as in Theorem 3.1. By Theorem $C(\mathrm{~b})$, for some $\mathbf{X}_{0} \subset \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{V} \cong \mathbf{X}_{0}$. We now show that every indecomposable submodule, $\mathbf{X}_{k}$, of $\mathbf{P}$ of rank $n \geqq 2$ contains an indecomposable submodule also of rank $n$. By Theorem $C$ (a), $\mathbf{X}_{k}$ is isomorphic to an extension of $(n-1) \mathrm{III}^{1}$ by $\mathbf{P}$. So for some $(n-1)$ tuple of linearly independent functionals $L$ on $K[\xi]$ we have an isomorphism $(\varphi, \psi): \mathbf{V}_{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_{k}$. By Proposition 2.3 and Corollary 2.6, $\mathbf{X}_{L}$ is a proper indecomposable submodule of $\mathbf{V}_{L}$ of rank $n$. Let $\mathbf{X}_{k+1}=(\varphi, \psi)\left(\mathbf{X}_{L}\right)$. The required nonterminating chain is $\mathbf{X}_{0} \supset \mathbf{X}_{1} \supset \ldots$.

The next proposition is an isomorphism criterion which will be used a lot in $\S 3$.

Proposition 2.9. If $(\varphi, \psi)$ is an isomorphism from $\mathbf{V}_{L}$ onto $\mathbf{V}_{L_{1}}$ then there exists a positive integer $M$ such that $\operatorname{deg} p(\xi)=\operatorname{deg} \varphi(p(\xi))$ whenever $p(\xi)$ is a polynomial of degree not less than $M$.

Proof. Let $(\varphi, \psi): \mathbf{V}_{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{L_{1}}$ be an isomorphism onto $\mathbf{V}_{L_{1}}$. From (11) we know that $\varphi=\psi$ on $K[\xi]$. Let $\varphi\left(\xi^{k}\right)=p_{k}=\psi\left(\xi^{k}\right)$. Using the notation in (12), we get from (6) that $b \xi^{k-1}=\xi^{k}+L\left(\xi^{k-1}\right) \omega$. So $\psi\left(b \xi^{k-1}\right)=\psi$ $\left(\xi^{k}\right)+L\left(\xi^{k-1}\right) \psi(\omega)=p_{k}+L\left(\xi^{k-1}\right) \psi(\omega)$. On the other hand, from (2) and (6), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi\left(b \xi^{k-1}\right)=b \varphi\left(\xi^{k-1}\right)=\xi p_{k-1}+L_{1}\left(\varphi\left(\xi^{k-1}\right)\right) \omega \\
\xi p_{k-1}+L_{1}\left(\varphi\left(\xi^{k-1}\right)\right) \omega=p_{k}+L\left(\xi^{k-1}\right) \psi(\omega)
\end{gathered}
$$

The components of both sides in $\left[w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right]$ are equal. So the equations below implicitly ignore them, because $p_{k}$ is a polynomial.

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{k}=\xi p_{k-1}-L\left(\xi^{k-1}\right) \psi(\omega) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{k}= & \xi^{k} p_{0}-\xi^{k-1} L(1) \psi(\omega)-\xi^{k-2} L(\xi) \psi(\omega) \cdots-\xi^{L}\left(\xi^{k-2}\right) \psi(\omega) \\
& -L\left(\xi^{k-1}\right) \psi(\omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varphi$ is an automorphism of $K[\xi],\left[p_{0}, p_{1}, \ldots\right]=K[\xi]$. So there exists an integer $m \geqq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg} p_{m} \geqq \max \left\{\operatorname{deg} \psi\left(w_{2}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{deg} \psi\left(w_{n}\right)\right\} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $p_{m+1}=\xi p_{m}-L\left(\xi^{m}\right) \psi(\omega)$ it follows that $\operatorname{deg} p_{m+1}=\operatorname{deg} p_{m}+1$. Similarly, for $k=1,2, \ldots$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg} p_{m+k}=\operatorname{deg} p_{m}+k \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\varphi$ is an automorphism of $K[\xi],\left[p_{m+1}, p_{m+2}, \ldots\right]$, like $\left[\xi^{m+1}, \xi^{m+2}\right.$, $\ldots$... is of codimension $m+1$ in $K[\xi]$. From that we deduce that $\operatorname{deg} p_{m}=$ $m$. Let $m^{\prime}=\max \left\{\operatorname{deg} p_{j}: j=1, \ldots, m-1\right\}$. The required $M$ of the proposition is $m+m^{\prime}$.

Remark 2.10. If $(\varphi, \psi)$ in Proposition 2.9 is only one-to-one then (14), hence (15), is still valid. So we can conclude that there are integers $M \geqq 0$ and $k_{0}$ such that for all polynomials of degree exceeding $M, \operatorname{deg} p(\xi)$ and $\operatorname{deg}(\varphi(p(\xi)))$ differ by at most $\left|k_{0}\right|$. In fact, $k_{0}=m-\operatorname{deg} p_{m}, m$ as in (15). We shall use this form of the proposition in the proof of Lemma 3.3.

Corollary 2.11. Let $\mathbf{V}_{L}$ be an indecomposable module. Then the group of automorphisms of $\mathbf{V}_{L}$ is isomorphic to the group of units of $K$.

Proof. Let $(\varphi, \psi)$ be an automorphism of $\mathbf{V}_{L}$. Let $M$ be the integer in Proposition 2.9. Then $\varphi$ maps the finite-dimensional subspace $V^{\prime}=[1$, $\xi, \ldots, \xi^{M}$ ] into itself. Since $K$ is algebraically closed, $\left.\varphi\right|_{V^{\prime}}$ has an eigenvalue $\alpha$ with corresponding eigenvector $v \neq 0$. Therefore the endormorphism $(\varphi, \psi)-\alpha \mathrm{I}$, I the identity map on $\mathbf{V}_{L}$, is not one-to-one. Since $\mathbf{V}_{L}$ is purely simple by [15, Theorem 1.8]; $(\varphi, \psi)=\alpha \mathrm{I}$ by Proposition 1.3 of [14].

Immediate from Corollary 2.11 is
Corollary 2.12. Let $\mathbf{V}_{L}, \mathbf{V}_{L_{1}}$ be two indecomposable modules. Then there is at most one isomorphism from $\mathbf{V}_{L}$ onto $\mathbf{V}_{L_{1}}$ up to a scalar multiple.

Corollary 2.12 was first proved in [3] for the case $n=2$.
We conclude this section with an example showing that for any positive integer $M$ there exist $\mathbf{V}_{L}, \mathbf{V}_{L_{1}}$ and an isomorphism from $\mathbf{V}_{L}$ onto $\mathbf{V}_{L_{1}}$ that does not preserve degree before $M$. If $\mathbf{V}_{L}$ is indecomposable, then Corollary 2.12 implies that no other isomorphism can do any better.

Example 2.13. In this example, $n=2$. So $L$ is a single sequence. Let $L=\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{M-1}, a_{M}, \ldots\right)$ with $a_{0}=1, a_{1}=\ldots=a_{M-1}=0$, $a_{M}=1$. $a_{M+k}$ can be arbitrary for $k=1,2, \ldots\left(L\left(\xi^{k}\right)=a_{k}\right)$. In particular we can start with a sequence $L^{\prime}$ that gives an indecomposable module $\mathbf{V}_{L^{\prime}}$ and then perturb the first $M$ entries as above. The new sequence still gives an indecomposable module, [15, Proposition 2.3]. Choose a basis $\left\{p_{0}, p_{1}, \ldots\right\}$ for $K[\xi]$ with $p_{0}=\xi^{M}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{k}=\xi p_{k-1}-a_{k-1} q \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q=\xi^{M+1}-1$. $q$ plays the role of $\psi(\omega)$ in (13). So, $p_{0}=\xi^{M}, p_{1}=$
$1, p_{2}=\xi, \ldots, p_{M}=\xi^{M-1}, p_{M+1}=\xi^{M}-\xi^{M+1}+1$, etc. Let $L_{1}\left(p_{k}\right)=$ $L\left(\xi^{k}\right)$. Since $\left\{p_{0}, p_{1}, \ldots\right\}$ is a basis of $K[\xi], L_{1}$ extends to a linear functional on $K[\xi]$. So we get the module $\mathbf{V}_{L_{1}}$. Now define $(\varphi, \psi): \mathbf{V}_{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{L_{1}}$ as follows: $\varphi\left(\xi^{k}\right)=\psi\left(\xi^{k}\right)=p_{k}$ and $\psi\left(w_{2}\right)=q+w_{2} . \varphi$ is a vector space automorphism of $K[\xi]$ and $\psi$ is onto $W=\mathbf{V} \oplus\left[w_{2}\right]$. So it remains only to check that $(\varphi, \psi)$ is a Kronecker module map, i.e., for the fixed basis $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$ of $K^{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a \varphi(f)=\psi(a f) \\
& b \varphi(f)=\psi(b f) \quad \text { for all } f \in K[\xi]
\end{aligned}
$$

It is enough to check this on $\left\{\xi^{k}: k=0,1,2 \ldots\right\}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
a \varphi\left(\xi^{k}\right) & =a \cdot p_{k}=p_{k}=\psi\left(a \xi^{k}\right) \\
b \varphi\left(\xi^{k}\right) & =b p_{k}=\xi p_{k}+L_{1}\left(p_{k}\right) w_{2} \text { in } \mathbf{V}_{L_{1}} \\
b \xi^{k} & =\xi^{k+1}+L\left(\xi^{k}\right) w_{2} \text { in } V_{L}  \tag{17}\\
\psi\left(b \xi^{k}\right) & =\psi\left(\xi^{k+1}\right)+L\left(\xi^{k}\right) \psi\left(w_{2}\right)=p_{k+1}+L\left(\xi^{k}\right)\left(q+w_{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

From (16), $p_{k+1}=\xi p_{k}-L\left(\xi^{k}\right) q$. So $\psi\left(b \xi^{k}\right)=\xi p_{k}-L\left(\xi^{k}\right) q+L\left(\xi^{k}\right) q+$ $L\left(\xi^{k}\right) w_{2}=\xi p_{k}+L\left(\xi^{k}\right) w_{2}$. Since $L\left(\xi^{k}\right)=L_{1}\left(p_{k}\right)$, we get from (17) that $\psi\left(b \xi^{k}\right)=b \varphi\left(\xi^{k}\right)$ as required. Since $\varphi$ does not preserve degree till $M+1$, we are done.

Remark 2.14. An important advance towards classifying rank two submodules of $\mathbf{P}$ would be a technique for constructing modules isomorphic to a given $\mathbf{V}_{L}$ that did not depend on computing recursively with the components of $L$, see (16). If $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right), \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3} \ldots \alpha_{n} \neq 0$, then, with $L^{\prime}=\left(\alpha_{2} \ell_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} \ell_{n}\right), \mathbf{V}_{L^{\prime}} \cong \mathbf{V}_{L}$, by Corollary 2.5.
3. Modules constructed from Liouville sequences. In this section the main results on indecomposable submodules of $\mathbf{P}$ are proved. We recall that the vector spaces remain as in (5). All we have to do is specify the sequence of linear functionals $L=\left(\ell_{2}, \ldots, \ell_{n}\right)$ on $K[\xi]$.

To that end let $A=\left(a_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ be the Liouville sequence $(1,0,1,0,0,1$, $0, \ldots, 0,1, \ldots$ ) where the number of zeros between successive 1 's is 1 !, 2!, 3!, etc. Let $A_{1}=\left(a_{k_{1}}, a_{k_{2}}, \ldots\right)$ be the subsequence of $A$ consisting of the l's in $A$, e.g., $k_{1}=0, k_{2}=2, k_{3}=5$. For $i=2, \ldots, n$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1 i}=\left(a_{k_{i}}, a_{k_{i+n}}, a_{k_{i}+2 n}, \ldots\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall now define $n-1$ linear functionals $\ell_{2}, \ldots, \ell_{n}$ on $K[\xi]$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \iota_{i}\left(\xi^{k_{i+j n}}\right)=1, j=0,1,2 \ldots \\
& \iota_{i}\left(\xi^{m}\right)=0 \text { if } m \neq k_{i+j n} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

What (19) says is that, for a fixed $i, \ell_{i}\left(\xi^{k}\right)=0$ if the component $a_{k}$ in
the sequence $A$ is 0 or if $a_{k}$ is a term outside $A_{1 i}$. So for any $\xi^{k}, \ell_{i}\left(\xi^{k}\right)=1$ for at most one element $i$ in $\{2,3, \ldots, n\}$. Using $L=\left(\iota_{2}, \ldots, \ell_{n}\right)$ from (19) we construct a module $\mathbf{V}_{L}$ of rank $n$ as in (6).

Theorem 3.1. The module $\mathbf{V}_{L}$ constructed from (19) is indecomposable.
Proof. By Theorem $C$ (b), $V_{L}$ is isomorphic to a submodule of $\mathbf{P}$. So, by Theorem $D$, it has the form $\mathbf{X}_{1} \oplus \mathbf{V}^{\prime}$ where $\mathbf{V}^{\prime}$ is a unique infinite-dimensional indecomposable submodule of $\mathbf{V}_{L}$. We shall show that $\mathbf{X}_{1}=0$. Suppose $\mathbf{X}_{1} \neq 0$. Then by Kronecker's theorem it is of type $I I I_{1}^{m_{1}^{\prime}} \oplus \cdots$ $\oplus I I I_{r}^{\prime}$ for some positive integers $m_{1}^{\prime}, m_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, m_{r}^{\prime}$. Since the length of zeros in the Liouville sequence $A$ keeps on increasing we can find some positive integer $j$ such that the number of zeros $m_{1}$ preceding $a_{k_{2+j n}}$ and the number of zeros $m_{2}$ following it are respectively greater than $\max \left\{m_{1}^{\prime}\right.$, $\left.m_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, m_{r}^{\prime}\right\}$. So there is a homomorphism $(\varphi, \psi)$ from $\mathbf{V}_{m_{1}+2} \subset \mathbf{P}$ (see (1)) to $\mathbf{V}_{L}$ with $\psi(1)=\xi_{k_{2+j n}-m_{1}}$ and $\psi\left(\xi^{m_{1}+1}\right)=\xi^{k_{2+j n}+1}+w_{2}$. Since $V_{m_{1}+2}$ is of type $I I I^{m_{1}+2}$ and $m_{1}+2>\max \left\{m_{1}^{\prime}, m_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, m_{r}^{\prime}\right\}$, it follows from (3) that the submodule of $\mathbf{V}_{L}$ generated by $\xi^{k_{2+j n}-m_{1}}$ is contained in $\mathbf{V}^{\prime}$. In particular, $\xi^{k_{2+j n}+1}+w_{2} \in W^{\prime}$. Similarly, by the choice of $m_{2}$, $\xi^{k_{2+j n}+1} \in W^{\prime}$. Hence $w_{2} \in W^{\prime}$. Replacing 2 by $i=3, \ldots, n$ in the above argument gives that $\left[w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right] \subset W^{\prime}$. Hence the torsion-closed finitedimensional submodule $\left(0,\left[w_{n}, \ldots, w_{n}\right]\right) \subset \mathbf{V}^{\prime}$. Since $\mathbf{V}^{\prime}$ is infinite-dimensional, the remark after Theorem $A$ gives that $\mathbf{V}^{\prime}=\mathbf{V}_{L}$. Hence $\mathbf{X}_{1}=0$ and $\mathbf{V}_{L}$ is indecomposable.

In order to get many isomorphism classes of $\mathbf{V}_{L}$ 's we shall now, as in [15], construct lots of Liouville sequences. Let $F$ be the field $\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}$. Choose a set of $S$ of representatives for a basis of the $F$-vector space $\Pi_{N_{0}} F / \oplus_{\mathbf{N}_{0}} F$. The set $S$ has the following properties.

Lemma 3.2. (a) Card ( $S$ ) $=2^{\mathrm{N}_{0}}$. (b) For $s=\left(s_{j}\right)_{j=0}^{\infty}$ in $S$ the set $\{j \in N$ : $\left.s_{j}=1\right\}$ is infinite. (c) For two distinct elements $s$, $t$ in $S$ the set $\{j \in N$ : $\left.s_{j} \neq t_{j}\right\}$ is infinite.

A typical sequence in $S$ may not have large enough lengths of zeros to qualify as a Liouville sequence. To introduce enough zeros we define a function, $g$, on nonnegative integers:

$$
\begin{align*}
& g(0)=0 \\
& g(r)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} i!+r \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

(For later use we note that $(r+1)$ ! $\geqq g(r)$ for all $r$.) If $s=\left(s_{j}\right)_{j=0}^{\infty}$ is in $S$, we construct a new sequence whose $n^{\text {th }}$ term (counting from 0) is $s_{r}$ if $n=g(r)$ and is 0 if $n \neq g(r)$, for any $r$. So $R_{s}=\left(s_{0} 0 s_{1} 00 s_{2} 0 \ldots\right)$
where the number of zeros between successive $s_{j}$ 's is 1 !, 2 !, 3 !, etc. By Lemma 3.2 (a) there are $2^{\aleph_{0}}$ distinct elements in $T=\left\{R_{s}: s \in S\right\}$.

Let $R_{s}^{1}=\left(a_{k_{1}} a_{k_{2}} \ldots\right)$ be the subsequence of $R_{s}$ consisting of 1's. For each $i=2, \ldots, n$, obtain $R_{s}^{1 i}$ from $R_{s}^{1}$ exactly as $A_{1 i}$ was obtained from $A_{1}$ in (18). Then, using these $R_{s}^{1 i}, i=2, \ldots, n$, we define $\iota_{2}, \ldots, \iota_{n}$ exactly as in (19). With these linear functionals we construct a module, $\mathbf{V}_{s}$, as in (6). Like $\mathbf{V}_{L}$ in Theorem 3.1, $\mathbf{V}_{s}$ is indecomposable. We shall now prove that if $s \neq s^{\prime}$ then $\mathbf{V}_{s}$ is not isomorphic to $\mathbf{V}_{s^{\prime}}$. This will follow from

Lemma 3.3. If $s$ and $s^{\prime}$ are distinct elements of $S$, then $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{V}_{s}, \mathbf{V}_{s^{\prime}}\right)=0$.
Proof. Since $\mathbf{V}_{s}$ and $\mathbf{V}_{s^{\prime}}$ are indecomposable, hence purely simple by [14, Theorem 1.8], [13, Proposition 1.3] says that any nonzero homomorphism is monic. We shall suppose $(\varphi, \psi)$ monic and then get a contradiction. ( $\varphi, \psi$ ) monic implies the existence of integers $M \geqq 0, k_{0}$ such that if $k>M$, then $\operatorname{deg} \xi^{k}$ and $\operatorname{deg} \varphi\left(\xi^{k}\right)$ differ by at most $\left|k_{0}\right|,\left(\left|k_{0}\right|=\right.$ absolute value of $k_{0}$ ), by Remark 2.10 . For an integer $r \geqq 4+\left|k_{0}\right|+M$,

$$
\begin{align*}
(r+1)! & >g(r)+\left|k_{0}\right|+M \\
g(r) & >M \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose, for some $r$ satisfying (21), we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{r+1}=0, \text { but } s_{r+1}^{\prime}=1 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$s_{r+1}=0$ implies the existence of a homomorphism $(\mu, \nu)$ from $\mathbf{V}_{k} \subset \mathbf{P}$ to $\mathbf{V}_{s}, k=r!+(r+1)$ !, with $\nu(1)=\xi^{g(r)+1}$. By the choice of $r, \psi\left(\xi^{g(r)+1}\right)$ $=c_{0}+c_{1} \xi+\ldots+c \xi^{g(r)+\left|k_{0}\right|+1}$. The presence of $s_{r+1}^{\prime}=1$ rules out the existence of a nonzero homomorphism ( $\mu^{\prime}, \nu^{\prime}$ ) from $\mathbf{V}_{k} \subset \mathbf{P}$ to $\mathbf{V}_{s^{\prime}}$ with $\nu^{\prime}(1)=\psi\left(\xi^{g(r)+1}\right)$. Hence $\psi\left(\xi^{g(r)+1}\right)=0$.

If (22) is not satisfied, then, for all $r$ satisfying (21), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{r+1}=0 \text { implies that } s_{r+1}^{\prime}=0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the components of $s$ and $s^{\prime}$ are either 0 or 1 , (23) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{r+1}^{\prime}=1 \text { implies that } s_{r+1}=1 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $s \neq s^{\prime}$, Lemma 3.2 (c) and (24) ensure the existence of a triple ( $r_{1}$, $\left.r_{2}, r_{3}\right), r_{1}<r_{2}<r_{3}$, such that each $r_{i}$ satisfies (21) and $s_{r_{1}}^{\prime}=1, s_{r_{2}}^{\prime}=0$, and $s_{r_{3}}^{\prime}=1$ while $s_{r_{1}}=1, s_{r_{2}}=1$, and $s_{r_{3}}=1$. Moreover, all entries (in $R_{s}$ ) between $s_{r_{1}}$ and $s_{r_{2}}, s_{r_{2}}$ and $s_{r_{3}}$ are zero.

There is a homomorphism $(\mu, \nu)$ from $\mathbf{V}_{k_{1}} \subset \mathbf{P}$ to $\mathbf{V}_{s}$ with $\nu(1)=\xi^{g\left(r_{1}\right)+1}$ and $\nu\left(\xi^{k_{1}-1}\right)=\xi^{g\left(r_{2}\right)+1}+w_{i_{0}}$ for some $i_{0}$ in $\{2,3, \ldots, n\}, k_{1}=\left(r_{1}+\right.$ $1)!+2$. Composing this with $(\varphi, \psi)$ gives a homomorphism $\left(\mu^{\prime}, \nu^{\prime}\right)$ from $\mathbf{V}_{k_{1}}$ to $\mathbf{V}_{s^{\prime}}$ with $\nu^{\prime}(1)=\psi\left(\xi^{g}\left(r_{1}\right)+1\right)$. If the latter is 0 , then we conclude from (2) that $(\varphi, \psi)=0$. So let $\psi\left(\xi^{g\left(r_{1}\right)+1}\right)=c_{0}+c_{1} \xi+\cdots+$
$c \xi^{g\left(r_{1}\right)+\left|k_{0}\right|+1} \neq 0$. Since $s_{r_{1}}^{\prime}=1$, the existence of ( $\mu^{\prime}, \nu^{\prime}$,) forces $c_{t}=0$ for $t \leqq g\left(r_{1}\right)$. Since $\left|k_{0}\right|$ is small relative to $\left(r_{2}+1\right)$ ! we conclude that $\nu^{\prime}\left(W_{k_{1}}\right) \subset W^{\prime}=\left[\xi^{g\left(r_{1}\right)+1}, \xi^{g\left(r_{1}\right)+2}, \ldots, \xi^{g\left(r_{3}\right)-1}\right]$. In particular $\psi\left(\xi^{g\left(r_{2}\right)+1}\right.$ $\left.+w_{i_{0}}\right) \in W^{\prime}$. Now, $\psi\left(\xi^{g\left(r_{2}\right)+1}\right)$ and $\xi^{g\left(r_{2}\right)+1}$ differ in degree by at most $\left|k_{0}\right|$. So the former is also in $W^{\prime}$. So $\psi\left(w_{i_{0}}\right) \in W^{\prime}$.

Now pick a positive integer $j$ such that the number of zeros $m_{1}$ preceding $a_{k_{i_{0}+j n}}$ and the number of zeros $m_{2}$ following it are respectively greater than $g\left(r_{3}\right)$. The same argument as above puts $\psi\left(w_{i_{0}}\right)$ in a subspace of $K[\xi]$ that intersects $W^{\prime}$ trivially. So $\psi\left(w_{i_{0}}\right)=0$. Hence $(\varphi, \psi)=0$ as required.

The idea necessary for the proof of the next lemma is already in the proof of the preceding one.

Lemma 3.4. For each $s \in S, \operatorname{End}\left(\mathbf{V}_{s}\right)=K$.
Proof. Let $(\varphi, \psi): \mathbf{V}_{s} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{s}$ be a nonzero homomorphism. Let $r_{1}, r_{2}$ satisfy (21) with $r_{1}<r_{2}, s_{r_{1}}=s_{r_{2}}=1$. With $k_{1}=\left(r_{1}+1\right)!+2$, there is a homomorphism $(\mu, \nu)$ from $V_{k_{1}} \subset \mathbf{P}$ to $\mathbf{V}_{s}$, where $\nu(1)=\xi^{g\left(r_{1}\right)+1}$. Let $\psi\left(\xi^{g\left(r_{1}\right)+1}\right)=c_{0}+c_{1} \xi+\ldots+c \xi^{g\left(r_{1}\right)+\left|k_{0}\right|+1}$. As in the last lemma, $c_{t}=0$ for $t \leqq g\left(r_{1}\right)$. Since $s_{r_{2}}=1$, the only way to have a nonzero homomorphism $\left(\mu^{\prime}, \nu^{\prime}\right)$ from $\mathbf{V}_{k}$ to $\mathbf{V}_{s}$ with $\nu^{\prime}(1)=\psi\left(\xi^{g\left(r_{1}\right)+1}\right)$ is for $c_{t}$ to be zero for $t \geqq g\left(r_{1}\right)+2$. Also, $c=0$. So $\psi$, hence $\varphi$, acts as multiplication by scalars on high powers of $\xi$. The scalars must be identical, otherwise $\psi\left(w_{i}\right)$ would not be well-defined; see the concluding argument in the proof of the last lemma. With the scalars identical on these high powers of $\xi^{k}$ we conclude that $\psi\left(\left[w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right]\right)=\left[w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right]$. Therefore, $(\varphi, \psi)$ induces an endomorphism $(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\psi})$ of $\mathbf{P}$ (see (5)). But, by Proposition $B(c),(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\psi})$ is multiplication by a polynomial which must therefore be a constant. So ( $\varphi, \psi$ ) is multiplication by a constant $\alpha$ on all of $K[\xi]$. From (2) and (6) we conclude that $\psi\left(w_{i}\right)=\alpha w_{i}, i=2,3, \ldots, n$.

Since the set $T=\left\{R_{s}: s \in S\right\}$ is uncountable we can now prove
Theorem 3.5. Let $n$ be any positive integer and let c be the cardinality of the continuum. Then (a) there are at least c isomorphism classes of indecomposable extensions of a module of type $(n-1) \mathrm{III}^{1}$ by $\mathbf{P}$; (b) there are at least $\mathfrak{c}$ isomorphism classes of indecomposable submodules of $\mathbf{P}$ of rank $n$.

Proof. (a) Each $\mathbf{V}_{s}$ is an extension of $(n-1)$ III ${ }^{1}$ by $\mathbf{P}$. So (a) follows from Lemma 3.2 (a), Theorem 3.1, and Lemma 3.3. (b) follows from (a) and Theorem C (b).

We now exhibit a set of rank 1 modules $\left\{\mathbf{V}_{i}: i \in I\right\}$, Card $(I)=$ Card $(K)$ and $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{V}_{i}, \mathbf{V}_{j}\right)=0$ if $i \neq j$. Write the field $K$ as a disjoint union $K=\dot{U}_{i \in I} K_{i}$, Card $\left(K_{i}\right)=\operatorname{Card}(\mathrm{I})=\operatorname{Card}(K)$. Let $V_{i}=[1 /(\xi-\theta)$ : $\left.\theta \in K_{i}\right]$ and $W_{i}=V_{i} \dot{+}[1]$.
$\mathbf{V}_{i}$ is a module with $a v_{i}=v_{i}$ and $b v_{i}=\xi v_{i}$ for all $v_{i}$ in $V_{i}$. Also rank $\mathbf{V}_{i}=1$. If $i \neq j$, then $V_{i}$ and $V_{j}$ have no poles in common. Hence Hom $\left(\mathbf{V}_{i}, \mathbf{V}_{j}\right)=0$. Moreover, by [13, Theorem 1], dim Ext $\left(\mathbf{V}_{i}, \mathbf{V}_{j}\right) \geqq 2^{\operatorname{Card}\left(\mathbf{N}_{0}\right)}$ for any $i, j$ in I. By Proposition $B(\mathrm{~b})$ no submodules of $\mathbf{P}$ of rank one can have such properties. It is quite a different story for higher ranks.

Theorem 3.6. Let $n$ be a fixed positive integer $>$ 1. The modules in $\left\{\mathbf{V}_{s}\right.$ : $s \in S\}$ are all of rank $n$ and have the following properties
(a) $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{V}_{s_{1}}, \mathbf{V}_{s_{2}}\right)=0$, if $s_{1} \neq s_{2}$
(b) $\operatorname{End}\left(\mathbf{V}_{s}\right)=K$ for each $s \in S$.
(c) $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}\left(\mathbf{V}_{s_{1}}, \mathbf{V}_{s_{2}}\right) \geqq \mathfrak{c}$ for any $s_{1}, s_{2}$ in $S$.

Proof. For (a) and (b) see Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4. (c) We have the exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow(n-1) \mathrm{III}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{s_{1}} \rightarrow \mathbf{P} \rightarrow 0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof consists of comparing dimensions from several long exact sequences obtained from (25). First we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}\left(\mathbf{V}_{s_{1}}, \mathbf{P}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}\left((n-1) I I I^{1}, \mathbf{P}\right)
$$

$\operatorname{Ext}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P})=0$ (see, e.g., the table in [7]) and $\operatorname{Ext}\left((n-1) I I I^{1}, \mathbf{P}\right)=0$ because a module of type $\mathrm{III}^{1}$ is projective. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ext}\left(\mathbf{V}_{s}, \mathbf{P}\right)=0 \text { for any } s \text { in } S \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also have the exact sequence

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{V}_{s_{2}},(n-1) \mathrm{III}^{1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{V}_{s_{2}}, \mathbf{V}_{s_{1}}\right) \\
& \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{V}_{s_{2}}, \mathbf{P}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}\left(\mathbf{V}_{s_{2}},(n-1) \mathrm{III}^{1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}\left(\mathbf{V}_{s_{2}}, \mathbf{V}_{s_{1}}\right)  \tag{27}\\
& \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}\left(\mathbf{V}_{s_{2}}, \mathbf{P}\right) \rightarrow 0
\end{align*}
$$

By the already-proved part (a) and part (b), $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{V}_{s_{2}}, \mathbf{V}_{s_{1}}\right) \leqq 1$. By (26), $\operatorname{Ext}\left(\mathbf{V}_{s_{2}}, \mathbf{P}\right)=0$. Therefore, from (27) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}\left(\mathbf{V}_{s_{2}},(n-1) \text { III }^{1}\right)  \tag{28}\\
& =\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}\left(\mathbf{V}_{s_{2}}, \mathbf{V}_{s_{1}}\right)+\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{V}_{s_{2}}, \mathbf{P}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

provided all the cardinal numbers are infinite.
Let us now compute the dimensions of $\operatorname{Ext}\left(\mathbf{V}_{s_{2}},(n-1) I I I^{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{V}_{s_{2}}, \mathbf{P}\right)$. From (25) again we obtain the long exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Hom}\left((n-1) \mathrm{III}^{1},(n-1) \mathrm{III}^{1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}\left(\mathbf{P},(n-1) \mathrm{III}^{1}\right) \\
\rightarrow & \operatorname{Ext}\left(\mathbf{V}_{s_{1}},(n-1) \mathrm{III}^{1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}\left((n-1) I I I^{1},(n-1) \mathrm{III}^{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\operatorname{Ext}\left((n-1) \mathrm{III}^{\mathbf{1}},(n-1) \mathrm{III}^{1}\right)=0$ and $\operatorname{Hom}\left((n-1) \mathrm{III}^{\mathbf{1}},(n-1) \mathrm{III}^{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ is
finite-dimensional. By Theorem 1 of [13], dim Ext $\left(\mathbf{P},(n-1) \mathrm{III}^{1}\right) \geqq 2^{\mathrm{N}_{0}}$. Therefore for any $s \in S$, in particular $s_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}\left(\mathbf{V}_{s_{2}},(n-1) I I I^{1}\right) \geqq 2^{\aleph_{0}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally from (25), with $s_{2}$ replacing $s_{1}$, we get

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{V}_{s_{2}}, \mathbf{P}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left((n-1) \operatorname{III}^{1}, \mathbf{P}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P})
$$

As already remarked, $\operatorname{Ext}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P})=0 . \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P})$ is countable-dimensional by Proposition $B(\mathrm{c})$, as is $\operatorname{Hom}\left((n-1) I I^{1}, \mathbf{P}\right)$. Therefore, $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{V}_{s_{2}}, \mathbf{P}\right)$ is also countable-dimensional. Going back to (28) with all the information gives that

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ent}\left(\mathbf{V}_{s_{2}}, \mathbf{V}_{s_{1}}\right)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}\left(\mathbf{V}_{s_{2}},(n-1) I I I^{1}\right) \geqq 2^{\mathrm{s}_{0}}
$$

by (29).
Remarks 3.7. (a) Theorem 3.6 is proved in [16, Theorem 6.9] for rank one modules over tame finite-dimensional hereditary algebras. In fact the example before Theorem 3.6 is merely the Kronecker module analogue of the modules in the proof of [16, Theorem 6.9]. Nevertheless, combining this example with [10, Corollary 2.3] gives a slight strengthening of Ringel's result in the rank 1 case.
(b) We conclude with the following observation on submodules of $\mathbf{P}$ of infinite rank. The module $\mathbf{V}$ in Lemma 1.3.2 of [12] is of infinite rank and has no direct summand of type III $^{m}$ for any $m$. However every submodule of $\mathbf{V}$ of finite rank is finite-dimensional. Therefore any direct summand of $\mathbf{V}$ is of infinite rank. It can be shown that for any integer $k>0, \mathbf{V}$ is a direct sum of $2^{k}$ submodules each of which has the same decomposition property. In the light of [4, Theorem B] it is still possible for $\mathbf{V}$ to have an indecomposable direct summand. Since we can embed $\mathbf{V}$ in $\mathbf{P}$ we can state: either $\mathbf{P}$ contains a superdecomposable submodule or an indecomposable submodule of infinite rank.
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