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#### Abstract

If $P_{n}(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} z^{k}, a_{1}=1, P_{n+1}(z)=P_{n}(z)+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}$, and if $P_{n+1}(z)$ is univalent for $|z|<1$, then $P_{n}(z / 2)<P_{n+1}(z)$, $n \geqq 1$, and the constant $1 / 2$ is best possible. If $f(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$, $a_{1}=1$, is analytic and univalent for $|z|<1, s_{n}(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} z^{k}$, then $s_{n}(z / 8)<s_{n+1}(z / 4) \prec f(z), n \geqq 1$ (and the constant $1 / 8$ is best possible), and $s_{n+1}(z / 8)<s_{n}(z / 4)<f(z)$.


Let $\gamma$ denote the disc $|z|<1$ and let $S$ denote the class of functions $f(z)$ analytic and univalent in $\gamma$ and normalized by the conditions $f(0)=0$, $f^{\prime}(0)=1$. For a function $g(z)$ analytic in $\gamma$, if $g(0)=0$ and $g(z)$ is subordinate to $f(z)$, we write $g(z) \prec f(z)$. Let $P_{n}(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} z^{k}, a_{1}=1$, and let $P_{n+1}(z)=P_{n}(z)+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}$.

Theorem 1. If $P_{n+1}(z) \in S$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(z / 2)<P_{n+1}(z), \quad n \geqq 1, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the constant $1 / 2$ is best possible.
The fact that the constant $1 / 2$ is best possible is shown by the function $P_{2}(z)=z+(1 / 2) z^{2} \in S$. We deduce Theorem 1 from the following more precise form.

Theorem 2. If $P_{n+1}(z) \in S$ then

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
P_{n}(z / 2)<P_{n+1}(z), & n=1,2, \\
P_{n}\left(\alpha_{n} z\right)<P_{n+1}(z), & n \geqq 3
\end{array}
$$

where $\alpha_{n}$ is the root of the equation

$$
\frac{\alpha^{n+1}}{n+1}-\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^{2}=0
$$

in the interval $(0,1) . \alpha_{n}>1 / 2$ for all $n \geqq 3, \alpha_{n}$ increases with $n$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=1$.

[^0]To prove this theorem we require two well-known inequalities which we state as lemmas.

Lemma 1. If $f(z) \in S$, then, for all real $\theta$,

$$
\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| \geqq \frac{1-r}{(1+r)^{3}}, \quad 0 \leqq r<1
$$

Lemma 2. If $\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{k} z^{k} \in S$, then $\left|b_{n}\right| \leqq 1 / n$.
For Lemma 1 see, for example, [2]. Lemma 2 follows from the fact that, with the given hypothesis, all the zeros of the derivative $\sum_{k=1}^{n} k b_{k} z^{k-1}$ lie outside $\gamma$.

Proof of theorem 2. With $n=1$, since $\left|a_{2}\right| \leqq 1 / 2$ by Lemma 2 , for $|z|=1$ we have $\left|z+a_{2} z^{2}\right| \geqq 1-\left|a_{2}\right| \geqq 1 / 2$, which implies that $(1 / 2) z \prec$ $z+a_{2} z^{2}$.

In the case $n=2$, let $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ be the zeros of $P_{3}^{\prime}(z)$. Then $P_{3}^{\prime}(z)=3 a_{3}$ $\left(z-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(z-\lambda_{2}\right),\left|\lambda_{1}\right| \geqq 1,\left|\lambda_{2}\right| \geqq 1$, and for $0 \leqq r<1$ and all real $\theta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P_{3}^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| \geqq 3\left|a_{3}\right|(1-r)^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Delta$ now denote the image of $\gamma$ under the mapping $w=P_{3}(z / 2)$, let $D$ denote the image of $r$ under the mapping $w=P_{3}(z)$, and let $d$ be the distance of the boundary of $\Delta$ from the boundary of $D$. Then by (2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \geqq \int_{1 / 2}^{1} \min _{\theta}\left|P_{3}^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d r \geqq\left|a_{3}\right| / 2^{3} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $a_{3}=0$ then the consequence $P_{2}(z / 2)<P_{3}(z)$ is trivial. If $a_{3} \neq 0$ then it follows from (3) and $\left|a_{3}(z / 2)^{3}\right|<\left|a_{3}\right| / 2^{3}$.

Let

$$
h_{n}(\alpha)=\frac{\alpha^{n+1}}{n+1}-\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^{2}
$$

Then $h_{n}(0)=-1 / 4, h_{n}(1)=1 /(n+1)$, and $h_{n}(\alpha)$ increases with $\alpha$. It follows that there is exactly one solution $\alpha=\alpha_{n}$ of the equation $h_{n}(\alpha)=$ 0 . Also, since $h_{n}(\alpha)$ is a decreasing function of $n$ for fixed $\alpha(0<\alpha<1)$, it is clear that $\alpha_{n}$ increases with $n$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=1$. In the case $n \geqq 3$, let $\Delta, D$ denote the images of $\gamma$ under the mappings $w=P_{n+1}\left(\alpha_{n} z\right), w=$ $P_{n+1}(z)$, respectively, and let $d$ be the distance of the boundary of $\Delta$ from the boundary of $D$. Then by Lemma 1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \geqq \int_{\alpha_{n}}^{1} \min _{\theta}\left|P_{n+1}^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d r \geqq \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1-\alpha_{n}}{1+\alpha_{n}}\right)^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2 we have

$$
\left|a_{n+1}\left(\alpha_{n} z\right)^{n+1}\right|<\frac{\alpha_{n}^{n+1}}{n+1}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1-\alpha_{n}}{1+\alpha_{n}}\right)^{2}
$$

and the rest of the theorem now follows from (4).
The relation (1) of Theorem 1 results from the fact that $\alpha_{n}>1 / 2, n \geqq 3$.
Theorem 3. If $P_{n}(z) \in S$ and $\left|a_{n+1}\right| \leqq 2 / 9$, then $P_{n+1}(z / 2) \prec P_{n}(z), n \geqq 1$.
Proof. The case $n=1$ is trivial. By an argument similar to that used in the proof of Theorem 2 for the case $n \geqq 3$, it is sufficient to note that, for $n \geqq 2$,

$$
\left|a_{n+1}\right| / 2^{n+1} \leqq\left|a_{n+1}\right| / 8 \leqq 1 / 36 .
$$

We remark that we have not attempted to prove a more precise form of this result, but it is clear that for a conclusion of the form $P_{n+1}(\beta z)<$ $P_{n}(z)$ some restriction on the size of $\left|a_{n+1}\right|$ is necessary.

Our last theorem indicates a reciprocal subordination relation between the successive partial sums of the Taylor series of a univalent function.

Theorem 4. If $f(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \in S$ and $s_{n}(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} z^{k}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{n}(z / 8) \prec s_{n+1}(z / 4) \prec f(z)  \tag{5}\\
& s_{n+1}(z / 8) \prec s_{n}(z / 4) \prec f(z) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

for $n \geqq 1$. The constants $1 / 4$ in (6) and $1 / 8$ in (5) are best possible.
Proof. It is known that if $f(z) \in S$, then $s_{n}(z / 4)$ is univalent [4], $s_{n}(z / 4)<$ $f(z)$ for all $n$ and the constant $1 / 4$ is sharp. [3] Statement (5) now follows from Theorem 1. The case $f(z)=z(1-z)^{-2}, n=1$, shows that the constant $1 / 8$ cannot be increased. To prove (6), we note first that, since $\left|a_{2}\right| \leqq 2$ (see, for example, [2]), $\left|s_{2}(z / 8)\right| \leqq 5 / 32<1 / 4$ in $\gamma$. Next, by Theorem 3, for $n \geqq 2$, it is sufficient to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n+1}\right| / 4^{n} \leqq 2 / 9 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n=2$ and 3, (7) follows from the inequalities $\left|a_{3}\right| \leqq 3$ (see, for example, [2]), $\left|a_{4}\right| \leqq 4$ (see, for example, [1]). Finally, by Lemma 2, since $4 s_{n+1}(z / 4) \in S$, (7) for the case $n \geqq 4$ follows from the inequality $4\left|a_{n+1}\right| /$ $4^{n+1} \leqq 1 /(n+1)$.
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