# EXTENSIONS OF SEVERAL SUMMATION FORMULAE OF RAMANUJAN USING THE CALCULUS OF RESIDUES 

## PETER J. FORRESTER

1. Introduction. Using the theory of modular transformations, Berndt [1, 2] has recently generalized many of Ramanujan's summation formulae, showing them to be particular examples within a large class of similar results. Berndt's approach is of further interest for the fact that most of the large number of summation theorems contained in [1] and [2] are consequences of a few main theorems which thus provides a unification of many summation theorems that had in the past been established using a variety of unrelated methods.
Our aim in this paper is similar to that of Berndt in that Ramanujan's summation formulae will be rederived and generalized using a few main theorems. However, as our chief tool will be Cauchy's theorem, our extensions will mostly be in a different direction to that of Berndt. To illustrate the extensions obtained here, consider Ramanujan's formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{4 M+1}}{e^{2 \pi n}-1}=\frac{B_{4 M+2}}{2(4 M+2)}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{j}$ denotes the $j^{\text {th }}$ Bernoulli number, and $M$ is used here and throughout to denote any positive integer. We will show (1.1) results from the same summation formula as do previously unknown sums such as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{4(2 M+1)-1}\left(e^{-\pi n} \cosh \sqrt{2} \pi n+e^{\pi n} \cos \sqrt{2} \pi n\right)}{\sinh \pi n(\cosh \sqrt{2} \pi n-\cos \sqrt{2} \pi n)}=\frac{B_{4(2 M+1)}}{4(2 M+1)} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(take $k=2,4$ in (2.15) to obtain (1.1), (1.2) respectively).
A curious result deducible immediately from (1.1) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{4 M+1}}{e^{2 \pi n}-1}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{4 M+1}}{e^{2 \pi x}-1} d x . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall deduce (1.3) without using (1.1). From this derivation we discover other equalities between series and integrals, of which
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{4 M+1} \cos \pi n \frac{e^{-2 \pi n}}{\sinh \pi n}=2 \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{4 M+1} \cos \pi x \frac{e^{-2 \pi x}}{\sinh \pi x} d x \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is typical (take $\ell=1,2$ in (3.8) to obtain (1.3), (1.4) respeciively).
2. One-dimensional summation formulae. We will formulate our main summation theorem immediately.

Theorem 2.1. Suppose the following hypotheses are satisfied:
(i) $f(z)$ is an even function with a countably infinite number of zeros, all simple, except maybe at the origin where higher order zeros are allowed, and $1 / f(z)$ is analytic except for poles occurring at the zeros of $f$. We label the zeros $z_{0}(=0$, if appropriate $), \pm z_{1}, \pm z_{2}, \ldots$.
(ii) If $w=e^{\pi i / k}(k$ an integer $>1)$, then the zeros of $f\left(w^{\prime} z\right)(\iota=0,1$, $\ldots, k-1)$ do not intersect, except maybe at the origin.
(iii) There exists a sequence of contours $\Gamma_{K}$ such that as $K \rightarrow \infty, \Gamma_{K}$ is unbounded in all directions, and $1 / \prod_{\ell=0}^{k-1} f\left(w^{\prime} z\right)=O\left(e^{-c|z|}\right)(c>0)$ on $\Gamma_{K}$ for all $K$ large enough.

Let $N_{0}$ be the smallest integer such that

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow 0} z^{2 k N_{0}-1} / \prod_{\ell=0}^{k-1} f\left(w^{\prime} z\right)=0
$$

the existence of $N_{0}$ following from hypothesis (i). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_{n}^{2 k N-1} / f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)\left(\prod_{f=1}^{k-1} f\left(w^{\prime} z_{n}\right)\right)=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all integers $N \geqq N_{0}$.
Proof. Consider the integral

$$
I_{K}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{K}} z^{2 k N-1} / \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} f\left(w^{\prime} z\right) d z
$$

By hypothesis (iii)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{K \rightarrow \infty} I_{K}=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By hypotheses (i) and (ii) the poles of the integrand are simple for $N \geqq N_{0}$ and occur at $\pm w^{2 k-s} z_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$, with corresponding residue

$$
z_{n}^{2 k N-1} / f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)\left(\prod_{l=1}^{k-1} f\left(w^{\prime} z_{n}\right)\right)
$$

Hence by Cauchy's residue theorem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{K \rightarrow \infty} I_{K}=2 k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_{n}^{2 k N-1} / f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)\left(\prod_{l=1}^{k-1} f\left(w^{\prime} z_{n}\right)\right), N \geqq N_{0} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating (2.3) and (2.2), (2.1) is immediate.

A choice of function particularly well suited to application in theorem 2.1 is $f(z)=z^{-\nu} J_{\nu}(z), \nu>-1$, where $J_{\nu}$ denotes the Bessel function of order $\nu$. For then it is known [3, Ch. 17] that all the zeros are real and unequal and $f(z)$ is an even analytic function so hypotheses (i) and (ii) are satisfied. Furthermore, from the large $z$ asymptotic expansion of $J_{\nu}(z)$, hypothesis (iii) is satisfied by selecting a circle with circumference bisecting the $K^{\text {th }}$ and $(K+1)^{\text {th }}$ zero, and since at $z=0 z^{-\nu} J_{\nu}(z)=1 / 2^{\nu} \Gamma(\nu+1)$, $N_{0}=1$. Recalling $(d / d z)\left\{z^{-\nu} J_{\nu}(z)\right\}=-z^{-\nu} J_{\nu+1}(z)$, we have from theorem 2.1

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_{n}^{2 k M+k \nu-1} / J_{\nu+1}\left(z_{n}\right)\left(\prod_{l=1}^{k-1} J_{\nu}\left(z_{n} w^{\prime}\right)\right)=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{n}$ denotes that $n^{\text {th }}$ positive zero of $J_{\nu}(z)$. For example, when $\nu=$ $-1 / 2$ so that $J_{\nu}=(2 / \pi z)^{1 / 2} \cos z$, and $k$ is odd, (2.4) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}(n-1 / 2)^{2 k M-1}}{\prod_{l=1}^{(k-1) / 2}\left(\cosh \left\{2 \pi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) \sin \frac{\pi \iota}{k}\right\}+\cos \left\{2 \pi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) \cos \frac{\pi l}{k}\right\}\right)}=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

while if $k$ is even

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\frac{(-1)^{n}(n-1 / 2)^{2 k M-1}}{\cosh \pi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{(k / 2)-1}\left(\cosh \left\{2 \pi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) \sin \frac{\pi l}{k}\right\}+\cos \left\{2 \pi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) \cos \frac{\pi l}{k}\right\}\right)}=0$
When $\nu=1 / 2$ so that $J_{\nu}(z)=(2 / \pi z)^{1 / 2} \sin z$, (2.4) shows for $k$ odd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} n^{k(2 M+1)-1}}{\prod_{\ell=1}^{(k-1) / 2}(\cosh \{2 \pi n \sin \pi \iota / k\}-\cos \{2 \pi n \cos \pi / / k\})}=0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $k$ even

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} n^{k(2 M+1)-1}}{\sinh \pi n \prod_{\ell=1}^{(k / 2)-1}(\cosh \{2 \pi n \sin \pi \iota / k\}-\cos \{2 \pi n \cos \pi \iota / k\})}=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The case $k=2$ in (2.6) was stated as a problem by Ramanujan [7] (see also [8, p. 326]), and the cases $k=2$ of (2.8) and $k=3$ of (2.5) are due to Cauchy [3, p. 362 and p. 317 resp.] who also used the calculus of residues to obtain the results.

To obtain a generalization of (1.1), we choose $f(z)=\sin \pi z / z \cos \pi \mu z$, $0<\mu<1$ in theorem 2.1. By subtracting then adding the term $(-1)^{n} n^{k(2 M+1)-1} \cos \pi \mu n\left(\prod_{\stackrel{k}{k}=1}^{k i n} \pi \mu n w^{\prime}\right)$ in the numerator of the resulting equation, we deduce, if $k$ is odd
$-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{k(2 M+1)-1}(-1)^{n} \cos \pi \mu n \prod_{\ell=1}^{(k-1) / 2}\left(\cosh \left\{2 \pi \mu n \sin \frac{\pi \ell}{k}\right\}-\cos \left\{2 \pi \mu n \cos \frac{\pi \iota}{k}\right\}\right)}{\prod_{\ell=1}^{(k-1) / 2}(\cosh \{2 \pi n \sin \pi \iota / k\}-\cos \{2 \pi n \cos \pi \iota / k\})}$
$=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k(2 M+1)-1}(-1)^{n} \cos \pi \mu n\left(\prod_{\ell=1}^{(k-1) / 2}\left(\cosh \left\{2 \pi \mu n \sin \frac{\pi \iota}{k}\right\}\right.\right.$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.+\cos \left\{2 \pi \mu n \cos \frac{\pi \iota}{k}\right\}\right)-\prod_{\ell=1}^{(k-1) / 2}(\cosh \{2 \pi \mu n \sin \pi \iota / k\}  \tag{2.9}\\
& -\cos \{2 \pi \mu n \cos \pi \iota / k\})) \times\left(\prod_{\ell=1}^{(k-1) / 2}(\cosh \{2 \pi n \sin \pi \iota / k\}\right. \\
& -\cos \{2 \pi n \cos \pi \iota / k\}))^{-1}
\end{align*}
$$

while if $k$ is even
$-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$
$\frac{n^{(2 M+1)-1}(-1)^{n} \cos \pi \mu n \sinh \pi \mu n \prod_{l=1}^{(k / 2)-1}\left(\cosh \left\{2 \pi \mu n \sin \frac{\pi \iota}{k}\right\}-\cos \left\{2 \pi \mu n \cos \frac{\pi \iota}{k}\right\}\right)}{\sinh n \pi \prod_{\ell=1}^{(k / 2)-1}(\cosh \{2 \pi n \sin \pi \iota / k\}-\cos \{2 \pi n \cos \pi \iota / k\})}$
(2.10) $=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k(2 M+1)-1}(-1)^{n} \cos \pi \mu n\left(\cosh \pi \mu n \prod_{\ell=1}^{(k / 2)-1}\left(\left(\cosh \left\{2 \pi \mu n \sin \frac{\pi \iota}{k}\right\}\right.\right.\right.$
$\left.+\cos \left\{2 \pi \mu n \cos \frac{\pi \iota}{k}\right\}\right)-\sinh \pi \mu n \prod_{\ell=1}^{(k / 2)-1}(\cosh \{2 \pi \mu n \sin \pi \iota / k\}$ $-\cos \{2 \pi \mu n \cos \pi \iota / k\})) \times\left(\sinh \pi n \prod_{\ell=1}^{(k / 2)-1}(\cosh \{2 \pi \mu n \sin \pi \iota / k\}\right.$ $-\cos \{2 \pi n n \cos \pi t / k\}))^{-1}$.

We propose to take the limit $\mu \rightarrow 1^{-}$in both (2.9) and (2.10). Since the right hand sides of both equations converge uniformly in $\mu$ for $0 \leqq \mu$ $\leqq 1$ (at least) we merely put $\mu=1$ there. It remains to take the limit on the left hand sides. We do this using the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\gamma_{j}$ be arbitrary, $\alpha_{0}>\alpha_{j}, \alpha_{j}>0$ and $\beta_{j}$ real $(j=1,2$, ..., X). Let

$$
B(\mu)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k(2 N+1)-1}(-1)^{n} \cos \pi \mu n C(\mu),
$$

where

$$
C(\mu)=\frac{e^{-\alpha_{0}(1-\mu) n}+\sum_{j=1}^{X} \gamma_{j} e^{-\alpha_{j} n \mu} e^{\beta_{j} n \mu i}}{1+\sum_{j=1}^{X} \gamma_{j} e^{-\alpha_{j} n^{\beta} e_{j} n i}}
$$

Then

$$
\lim _{\mu \rightarrow 1^{-}} B(\mu)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\zeta(1-k(2 N+1)), & \alpha_{0}=\pi \cot \pi L / 2 k \\
\infty, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

where it is assumed $k>1$ and fixed (not necessarily an integer), $N=0$, $1,2, \ldots$, and $L$ is any positive odd integer such that $L / k<1$.

Proof, Since $\alpha_{j}>0$ for each $j$ there exists an integer $N_{0}$ such that for $n \geqq N_{0},\left|\sum_{j=1}^{X} \gamma_{j} e^{-\alpha_{j} n} e^{\beta_{j} n i}\right|<1$. We can thus write for $n \geqq N_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(\mu)= & e^{-\alpha_{0}(1-\mu) n}+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{j} e^{-\alpha_{0}(1-\mu) n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{X} \gamma_{k} e^{-\alpha_{k} n \mu} e^{\beta_{k} n \mu i}\right)^{j} \\
& +\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{j}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{X} \gamma_{k} e^{-\alpha_{k} n} e^{\beta_{k} n i}\right)^{j}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{X} \gamma_{k} e^{-\alpha_{k} n \mu} e^{\beta_{k} n \mu i}\right) \\
\equiv & e^{-\alpha_{0}(1-\mu) n}+C_{1}(\mu)+C_{2}(\mu)
\end{aligned}
$$

say.
Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{\mu \rightarrow 1^{-}} B(\mu)=\sum_{n=1}^{N_{0}-1} n^{k(2 N+1)-1} \\
& \quad+\lim _{\mu \rightarrow 1^{-}} \sum_{n=N_{0}}^{\infty} n^{k(2 N+1)-1}(-1)^{n} \cos \pi \mu n e^{-\alpha_{0}(1-\mu) n}  \tag{2.11}\\
& \quad+\lim _{\mu \rightarrow 1^{-}} \sum_{n=N_{0}}^{\infty} n^{k(2 N+1)-1}(-1)^{n} \cos \pi \mu n\left(C_{1}(\mu)+C_{2}(\mu)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

But the last term in (2.11) converges uniformly for $0 \leqq \mu \leqq 1$ (at least), and since $C_{1}(1)=-C_{2}(1)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\mu \rightarrow 1^{-}} B(\mu)=\lim _{\mu \rightarrow 1^{-}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k(2 N+)-1}(-1)^{n} \cos \pi \mu n e^{-\alpha_{0}(1-\mu) n} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the Poisson summation formula to (2.12), which is valid for $\mu<1$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{\mu \rightarrow 1^{-}} B(\mu) \\
& =\Gamma(k(2 N+1)) \lim _{\mu \rightarrow 1^{-}} \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty \\
n \neq 0}}^{\infty} \frac{\cos \left\{k(2 N+1) \operatorname{artan}\left(\frac{2 \pi n+\pi(1-\mu)}{\alpha_{0}(1-\mu)}\right)\right\}}{\left(\alpha_{0}^{2}(1-\mu)^{2}+(2 \pi n+\pi(1-\mu))^{2}\right)^{(k(2 N+1)) / 2}}  \tag{2.13}\\
& \quad+\Gamma(k(2 N+1)) \lim _{\mu \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{\cos \left\{k(2 N+1) \operatorname{artan} \pi / \alpha_{0}\right\}}{\left(\alpha_{0}^{2}(1-\mu)^{2}+\pi^{2}(1-\mu)^{2}\right)^{(k(2 N+1)) / 2}}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have separated off the $n=0$ term. Thus for the limit to exist we require $\cos \left\{k(2 N+1) \operatorname{artan} \pi / \alpha_{0}\right\}=0$, i.e., $\alpha_{0}=\pi \cot \pi L / 2 k$. Assuming this condition, and using the uniform convergence with respect to $\mu$ of the first term in (2.13), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{\mu \rightarrow 1^{-}} B(\mu) & =\frac{\Gamma(k(2 N+1))}{(2 \pi)^{k(2 N+1)}} 2 \cos \left(\frac{k(2 N+1) \pi}{2}\right) \zeta(k(2 N+1)) \\
& =\zeta(1-k(2 N+1))
\end{aligned}
$$

where to obtain the last line we have used the functional equation of the Riemann zeta function [9, p. 269].

Return to (2.9) and (2.10) we see both can be written in the form of theorem 2.2 with $\alpha_{0}=2 \pi \sum_{\ell=1}^{(k-1) / 2} \sin \pi \ell / k$ if $k$ is odd and $\alpha_{0}=\pi+2 \pi$ $\sum_{\ell=1}^{(k / 2)-1} \sin \pi \iota / k$ if $k$ is even. It is a simple exercise in summing geometric series to show that in both cases $\alpha_{0}=\pi \cot \pi / 2 k$. Hence applying theorem 2.2 and recalling that if $k$ is odd $\zeta(1-k(2 N+1))=0[9$, p. 268] we deduce from (2.9)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k(2 M+1)-1} \\
& \quad\left(\frac{\prod_{l=1}^{(k-1) / 2}\left(\cosh \left\{2 \pi n \sin \frac{\pi l}{k}\right)+\cos \left\{2 \pi n \cos \frac{\pi l}{k}\right\}\right)}{\prod_{l=1}^{(k-1) / 2}\left(\cosh \left\{2 \pi n \sin \frac{\pi l}{k}\right\}-\cos \left\{2 \pi n \cos \frac{\pi l}{k}\right\}\right)}-1\right)=0 \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

while if $k$ is even, $\zeta(1-k(2 M+1))=-B_{k(2 M+1)} / k(2 M+1)[9$, p. 268], so from (2.10) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k(2 M+1)-1} \\
& \left(\frac{\cosh \pi n \prod_{l=1}^{(k / 2)-1}\left(\cosh \left\{2 \pi n \sin \frac{\pi \ell}{k}\right\}+\cos \left\{2 \pi n \cos \frac{\pi \ell}{k}\right\}\right)}{\sinh \pi n \prod_{\ell=1}^{(k / 2)-1}\left(\cosh \left\{2 \pi n \sin \frac{\pi \ell}{k}\right\}-\cos \left\{2 \pi n \cos \frac{\pi \ell}{k}\right\}\right)}-1\right)  \tag{2.15}\\
& \quad=B_{k(2 M+1)} / k(2 M+1)
\end{align*}
$$

As commented in $\S 1$ the case $k=2$ in (2.15) is the summation theorem generally attributed to Ramanujan (it was pointed out by Berndt [1] that (1.1) was in fact discovered by Glaisher [5]).

Summation formulae similar to (2.14) and (2.15) can be deduced from theorem 2.1 by choosing $f(z)=\cos \pi z / z \sin \pi \mu z, 0<\mu<1$, and then establishing the analogues of (2.9) and (2.10). To take the limit $\mu \rightarrow 1^{-}$ on the left hand side we require the following theorem:

Theorem 2.3. Let $\gamma_{j}$ be arbitrary, $\alpha_{0}>\alpha_{j}, \alpha_{j}>0$ and $\beta_{j}$ real $(j=1$, $2, \ldots, X)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\mu \rightarrow 1^{-}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n}(n-1 / 2)^{k(2 N+1)-1} \sin \pi \mu(n-1 / 2) \\
& \times\binom{ e^{-\alpha_{0}(1-\mu)(n-1 / 2)}+\sum_{j=1}^{X} \gamma_{j} e^{-\alpha_{j}(n-1 / 2) \mu} e^{\beta j(n-1 / 2) \mu i}}{\hdashline 1+\sum_{j=1}^{X} \gamma_{j} e^{-\alpha_{j}(n-1 / 2)} e^{\beta(n-1 / 2) i}} \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\left(-2^{1-k(2 N+1)}+1\right) \zeta(1-k(2 N+1)), & \alpha_{0}=\pi \cot \pi L / 2 k \\
\infty, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where it is assumed $k>1$ and fixed, $N=0,1,2, \ldots$, and $L$ is any positive odd integer such that $L / k<1$.

Since the proof of theorem 2.3 is substantially similar to that of theorem 2.2 it will not be given. Employing theorem 2.3 then shows, if $k$ is odd

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k(2 M+1)-1} \\
& \left(\frac{\prod_{l=1}^{(k-1) / 2}\left(\cosh \left\{2 \pi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) \sin \frac{\pi \iota}{k}\right\}-\cos \left\{2 \pi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) \cos \frac{\pi \iota}{k}\right\}\right)}{\left(\prod_{l=1}^{(k-1) / 2}\left(\cosh \left\{2 \pi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) \sin \frac{\pi \ell}{k}\right\}+\cos \left(2 \pi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) \cos \frac{\pi \iota}{k}\right\}\right)\right.}-1\right)=0 \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

while if $k$ is even

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k(2 M+1)-1} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(1-\frac{\sinh \pi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) \prod_{l=1}^{(k / 2)-1}\left(\cosh \left\{2 \pi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) \sin \frac{\pi \iota}{k}\right\}-\cos \left\{2 \pi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) \cos \frac{\pi \iota}{k}\right\}\right)}{\cosh \pi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{(k / 2)-1}\left(\operatorname{losh}\left(2 \pi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) \sin \frac{\pi \iota}{k}\right\}+\cos \left\{2 \pi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) \cos \frac{\pi \iota}{k}\right\}\right)}\right) \\
=\left(1-2^{1-k(2 M+1)}\right) B_{k(2 M+1)} / k(2 M+1) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The case $k=2$ of (2.17) was first derived by Glaisher [5, p. 82].
3. Some formulae relating infinite series to definite integrals. In this section we will derive the cases $k=2$ of (2.15) and (2.17) from theorem 2.1 in another way which leads us to formulae relating definite integrals to series. By selecting $k=2$ and $f(z)=z^{-\nu} J_{\nu}(z) / z^{\nu} J_{-\nu}(\mu z), 0<\mu<1$, $\nu>-1$ in theorem 2.1 and then subtracting and adding $z_{n}^{4(M+\nu)-1} J_{-\nu}\left(\mu z_{n}\right)$ $I_{\nu}\left(\mu z_{n}\right)$ in the numerator of the resulting expression (where $I_{\nu}$ denotes the Bessel function of order $\nu$ of pure imaginary argument, and $z_{n}$ denotes the $n^{\text {th }}$ positive zero of $J_{\nu}$ ), we conclude

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_{n}^{4(M+\nu)-1} J_{-\nu}\left(\mu z_{n}\right) I_{\nu}\left(\mu z_{n}\right) / J_{\nu+1}\left(z_{n}\right) I_{\nu}\left(z_{n}\right)  \tag{3.1}\\
& \quad=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_{n}^{4(M+\nu)-1} J_{-\nu}\left(\mu z_{n}\right)\left(I_{-\nu}\left(\mu z_{n}\right)-I_{\nu}\left(\mu z_{n}\right)\right) / J_{\nu+1}\left(z_{n}\right) I_{\nu}\left(z_{n}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

From the asymptotic expansion of $I_{ \pm \nu}\left[9\right.$, p. 373] $I_{-\nu}(x)-I_{\nu}(x) \sim(2 / \pi x)^{1 / 2}$ $e^{-x} \sin \pi \nu$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Hence the right hand side of (3.1) is convergent for $\mu>0$ while the left hand side diverges for $\mu \geqq 1$. However, we can express the left hand side as a contour integral which is convergent for all $\mu>0$. Consider the contour integral

$$
K=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{i \varepsilon-\infty}^{i \varepsilon+\infty} \frac{z^{4(M+\nu)-1} I_{-\nu}(\mu z) J_{\nu}(\mu z)}{I_{\nu}(z) J_{\nu}(z)} d z
$$

where $0<\varepsilon<z_{1}, z_{1}$ denoting the first positive zero of $J_{\nu}$, and the manyvalued function $z^{4(M+\nu)-1}$ is made definite by selecting $\arg (z)$ to assume its principal value. Let $\tau_{N}$ denote the semi-circle with centre $i \varepsilon$, radius $R_{N}$, beginning at $i \varepsilon+R_{N}$ and being enscribed in the positive direction, $R_{N}$ being chosen so that $z_{N}<R_{N}-\varepsilon<z_{N+1}$. Then for $0<\mu<1$ we can add to the contour of integration the contour $\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{N}$ without changing the value of $K$, since the integrand is $O\left(e^{-c|z|}\right), c>0$ on $\tau_{N}$. We now have a closed contour and further, the integrand is analytic within this region apart from simple poles at the zeros of $I_{\nu}(z)$. Evaluating the residues at these poles, we have by Cauchy's theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=-e^{\pi i \nu} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_{n}^{4(M+\nu)-1} J_{-\nu}\left(\mu z_{n}\right) I_{\nu}\left(\mu z_{n}\right) / J_{\nu+1}\left(z_{n}\right) I_{\nu}\left(z_{n}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, deforming the path of integration so that it touches the origin, and then on the path from $i \varepsilon-\infty$ to 0 changing variables $z=e^{\pi i} z^{\prime}$ (which is permissible since the integrand is one-valued in the cut plane from $-\infty$ to 0 ) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \pi i K= & \left(\int_{0}^{i \varepsilon+\infty}-e^{2 \pi i \nu} \int_{0}^{-i \varepsilon+\infty}\right) \frac{z^{4(M+\nu)-1} J_{\nu}(\mu z)\left(I_{-\nu}(\mu z)-I_{\nu}(\mu z)\right)}{J_{\nu}(z) I_{\nu}(z)} d z \\
& +\left(\int_{0}^{i \varepsilon+\infty}-e^{2 \pi i \nu} \int_{0}^{-i \varepsilon+\infty}\right) \frac{z^{4(M+\nu)-1} J_{\nu}(\mu z) I_{\nu}(\mu z)}{J_{\nu}(z) I_{\nu}(z)} d z \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have subtracted and added $z^{4(M+\nu)-1} J_{\nu}(\mu z) I_{\nu}(\mu z)$ in the numerator of the integrand. Consider the last integral in (3.3). Changing variables $z=e^{-\pi i / 2} z^{\prime}$, we see

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{-i \varepsilon+\infty} & \frac{z^{4(M+\nu)-1} J_{\nu}(\mu z) I_{\nu}(\mu z)}{J_{\nu}(z) I_{\nu}(z)} d z \\
\quad & =e^{-2 \pi i \nu} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon+i \infty} \frac{z^{4(M+\nu)-1} J_{\nu}(\mu z) I_{\nu}(\mu z)}{J_{\nu}(z) I_{\nu}(z)} d z
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the last term in (3.3) can be written

$$
\left(\int_{0}^{i \varepsilon+\infty}+\int_{\varepsilon+i \infty}^{0}\right) \frac{z^{4(M+\nu)-1} J_{\nu}(\mu z) I_{\nu}(\mu z)}{J_{\nu}(z) I_{\nu}(z)} d z \equiv J
$$

On the path from $i \varepsilon+\infty$ to $\varepsilon+i \infty$ the integrand is $O\left(e^{-c|z|}\right), c>0$, so we can add this path of integration to $J$ without changing its value. But we then have a closed contour, and since the integrand is analytic within the enclosed region, we have by Cauchy's theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.4) into (3.3) and then equating (3.3) and (3.2), we see we have the desired contour integral representation convergent for $\mu>0$. Substituting (3.1) into the resulting equation, we then have

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \pi i e^{\pi i \nu} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z_{n}^{4(M+\nu)-1} J_{-\nu}\left(\mu z_{n}\right)\left(I_{-\nu}\left(\mu z_{n}\right)-I_{\nu}\left(\mu z_{n}\right)\right)}{J_{\nu+1}\left(z_{n}\right) I_{\nu}\left(z_{n}\right)}  \tag{3.5}\\
& =\left(\int_{0}^{i \varepsilon+\infty}-e^{2 \pi i \nu} \int_{0}^{-i \varepsilon+\infty}\right) \frac{z^{4(M+\nu)-1} J_{\nu}(\mu z)\left(I_{-\nu}(\mu z)-I_{\nu}(\mu z)\right)}{J_{\nu}(z) I_{\nu}(z)} d z
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, when $\mu=1$, we can collapse the contours of integration onto the real axis. Equating real or imaginary parts shows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z_{n}^{4(M+\nu)-1} J_{-\nu}\left(z_{n}\right)\left(I_{-\nu}\left(z_{n}\right)-I_{\nu}\left(z_{n}\right)\right)}{J_{\nu+1}\left(z_{n}\right) I_{\nu}\left(z_{n}\right)} \\
& \quad=-\frac{\sin \pi \nu}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{4(M+\nu)-1}\left(I_{-\nu}(x)-I_{\nu}(x)\right)}{I_{\nu}(x)} d x . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

We can also collapse the path of integration onto the real axis when $\nu=-1 / 2$ or $1 / 2$ and $\mu$ is a positive odd or positive integer respectively. In these cases we conclude from (3.5) after some simple manipulation

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n-1 / 2)^{4 M-3} \sin (\pi p(n-1 / 2)) e^{-\pi p(n-1 / 2)}}{\sin (\pi(n-1 / 2)) \cosh \pi(n-1 / 2)} \\
\quad=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{4 M-3} \cos (\pi p x) e^{-\pi p x}}{\cos (\pi x) \cosh \pi x} d x \tag{3.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{4 M+1} \cos (\pi / n) e^{-\pi / n}}{\cos (\pi n) \sinh \pi n}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{4 M+1} \sin (\pi / x) e^{-\pi / x}}{\sin (\pi x) \sinh \pi x} d x \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ denotes an odd positive integer, and $/$ a positive integer. We note that in the cases $p=1$ and $\ell=1$ we can evaluate the integrals, reclaiming summation formulae (2.17) and (2.15) in the case $k=2$.
4. A second class of one-dimensional summation formulae. When $M=0$, summation formula (1.1) assumes the modified form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n /\left(e^{2 \pi n}-1\right)=1 / 24-1 / 8 \pi \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be derived from a modified form of theorem 2.1.
TheOrem 4.1. Suppose the three hypotheses of theorem 2.1 are satisfied, and let $N_{0}$ be defined as in the statement of that theorem. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z_{n}^{2 k\left(N_{0}-M\right)-1}}{f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)\left(\prod_{l=1}^{k-1} f\left(w^{\prime} z_{n}\right)\right)}=-\frac{1}{2 k}\left(\text { residue at the origin of } \frac{z^{2 k\left(N_{0}-M\right)-1}}{\prod_{l=0}^{k-1} f\left(w^{\prime} z_{n}\right)}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is any integer $>1$ and, as always, $M$ denotes any positive integer.
Proof. Apply Cauchy's theorem to the integral

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{K}}\left(z^{2 k\left(N_{0}-M\right)-1} / \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} f\left(w^{\prime} z\right)\right) d z
$$

in the limit $K \rightarrow \infty$.
We will restrict our attention to the cases in which $z^{2 k\left(N_{0}-1\right)-1} / \prod_{\gamma=0}^{k-1} f\left(w^{\prime} z\right)$ has a simple pole at $z=0$. Thus (4.2) assumes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z_{n}^{2 k\left(N_{0}-1\right)-1}}{f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)\left(\prod_{l=1}^{k-1} f\left(w^{\prime} z_{n}\right)\right)}=-\frac{1}{2 k} \lim _{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{z^{2 k\left(N_{0}-1\right)}}{\prod_{l=0}^{k-1} f\left(w^{\prime} z\right)} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By choosing $f(z)=z^{-\nu} J_{\nu}(z), \nu>-1$, we can apply (4.3) with $N_{0}=1$ since $z^{-\nu} J_{\nu}(z)=1 / 2^{\nu} \Gamma(\nu+1) \neq 0$ for $\nu>-1$. Hence with this choice of $f,(4.3)$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{1=n}^{\infty} z_{n}^{k \nu-1} / J_{\nu+1}\left(z_{n}\right)\left(\prod_{\ell=1}^{k-1} J_{\nu}\left(w^{\prime} z_{n}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{2 k}\left(2^{\nu} \Gamma(\nu+1)\right)^{k} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{n}$ denotes the $n^{\text {th }}$ positive zero of $J_{\nu}$. If we further specialize, selecting $k=2, \nu=1 / 2$ in (4.4), we see

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} n / \sinh \pi n=-1 / 4 \pi \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

while $\nu=1 / 2$ and $k=3$ shows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2} /\left(1-(-1)^{n} \cosh \pi \sqrt{3} n\right)=1 / 12 \pi^{2} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summation formula (4.5) was first proved by Cauchy [3, p. 361], while (4.6) can be found in Berndt [1, p. 163].

To establish (4.1) we choose $f(z)=\sin \pi z / z \cos \pi \mu z, 0<\mu<1$, then after deducing the analogues of (2.9) and (2.10) and taking the limit on the left hand side using theorem 2.2 with $N=0$, we conclude, for $k$ odd

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1}\left(\frac{\prod_{l=1}^{(k-1) / 2}\left(\cosh \left\{2 \pi n \sin \frac{\pi \iota}{k}\right\}+\cos \left\{2 \pi n \cos \frac{\pi \ell}{k}\right\}\right)}{\prod_{\ell=1}^{(k-1) / 2}\left(\cosh \left\{2 \pi n \sin \frac{\pi \iota}{k}\right\}-\cos \left\{2 \pi n \cos \frac{\pi \ell}{k}\right\}\right)}-1\right)  \tag{4.7}\\
& \quad=-\frac{1}{2 k}\left(\frac{1}{\pi}\right)^{k-1}
\end{align*}
$$

and for $k$ even

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1}\left(\frac{\cosh \pi n \prod_{l=1}^{(k / 2)-1}\left(\cosh \left(2 \pi n \sin \frac{\pi \iota}{k}\right)+\cos \left(2 \pi n \cos \frac{\pi \iota}{k}\right)\right)}{\sinh \pi n \prod_{\ell=1}^{(k / 2-1}\left(\cosh \left(2 \pi n \sin \frac{\pi \iota}{k}\right)-\cos \left(2 \pi n \cos \frac{\pi \ell}{k}\right)\right)}-1\right)  \tag{4.8}\\
& \quad=\frac{B_{k}}{k}-\frac{1}{2 k}\left(\frac{1}{\pi}\right)^{k-1}
\end{align*}
$$

On choosing $k=2$ in (4.8) and recalling $B_{2}=1 / 6$, we reclaim (4.1). As our final conclusion from (4.3) we choose $k=2$ and $f(z)=z^{-\nu} J_{\nu}(z) /$ $(\mu z)^{\nu} J_{-\nu}(\mu z), 0<\mu<1$. We then have, analogous to (3.1)

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\mu^{2 \nu} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z_{n}^{4 \nu-1} J_{-\nu}\left(\mu z_{n}\right) I_{\nu}\left(z_{n}\right)}{J_{\nu+1}\left(z_{n}\right) I_{\nu}\left(z_{n}\right)}+\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{2^{2 \nu} \Gamma(\nu+1)}{\Gamma(-\nu+1)}\right)  \tag{4.9}\\
=\mu^{2 \nu} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z_{n}^{4 \nu-1} J_{-\nu}\left(\mu z_{n}\right)\left(I_{-\nu}\left(\mu z_{n}\right)-I_{\nu}\left(\mu z_{n}\right)\right)}{J_{\nu+1}\left(z_{n}\right) I_{\nu}\left(z_{n}\right)} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Recalling our analysis of the integral denoted by $K$ in section 3, we note (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) are valid for $M=0$ providing $\nu>0$ (this ensures the validity of deforming the contour to touch the origin). We thus have the contour integral representation

$$
\begin{align*}
- & 2 \pi i e^{\pi i \nu} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z_{n}^{4(\nu-1)} J_{-\nu}\left(\mu z_{n}\right) I_{\nu}\left(\mu z_{n}\right)}{J_{\nu+1}\left(z_{n}\right) I_{\nu}\left(z_{n}\right)}  \tag{4.10}\\
& =\left(\int_{0}^{i \varepsilon+\infty}-e^{2 \pi i \nu} \int_{0}^{-i \epsilon+\infty}\right) \frac{z^{4 \nu-1} J_{\nu}(z \mu)\left(I_{-\nu}(\mu z)-I_{\nu}(\mu z)\right)}{J_{\nu}(z) I_{\nu}(z)} d z .
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (4.10) in (4.9) and then choosing $\mu=1$ we can collapse the contours of integration onto the real axis, with the result

$$
-\frac{\sin \pi \nu}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{4 \nu-1}\left(I_{-\nu}(x)-I_{\nu}(x)\right)}{I_{\nu}(x)} d x+\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{2^{2 \nu} \Gamma(\nu+1)}{\Gamma(-\nu+1)}\right)^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z_{n}^{4 \nu-1} J_{-\nu}\left(z_{n}\right)\left(I_{-\nu}\left(z_{n}\right)-I_{\nu}\left(z_{n}\right)\right)}{J_{\nu+1}\left(z_{n}\right) I_{\nu}\left(z_{n}\right)} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

valid for $\nu>0$, and where $z_{n}$ denotes the $n^{\text {th }}$ positive zero of $J_{\nu}$. We can also collapse the path of integration onto the real axis when $\nu=1 / 2$ and $\mu$ is a positive integer. In this case we conclude from (4.9) and (4.10) the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x \sin (\pi / x) e^{-\pi / x}}{\sin (\pi x) \sinh \pi x} d x-\frac{1}{4 \pi}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n \cos (\pi / n) e^{-\pi / x}}{\cos (\pi n) \sinh \pi n} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\ell$ denotes any positive integer. Notice that when $\ell=1$ we can evaluate the integral to deduce (4.1).
5. Multidimensional summation formulae. We will now formulate some $d$-dimensional summation formulae using Cauchy's theorem. This aproach to evaluating multiple series is due to Glasser and Zucker [6, p. 132], who proved theorem 5.1(b) below in the special case $f(z)=\sin z, k=1$, $d=2$.

THEOREM 5.1. Let $f$ be and odd function with a countably infinite number of zeros, all real, and suppose $1 / f$ is analytic except at the zeros of $f$. Label the zeros $z_{0}(=0$ if appropriate $), \pm z_{1}, \pm z_{2}, \ldots$. Further, suppose hypothesis (iii) of theorem 2.1 is satisfied. Then
(a) iff does not have a zero at the origin,

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{d, k} \equiv \sum_{Y=1}^{k} \sum_{\ell_{1}, \iota_{2}, \ldots, \ell_{d}=1}^{\infty} e^{((2 Y-1) \pi i) / 2 k} \\
& \quad \times \frac{\left(z_{\ell_{1}}^{2 k}+z_{\ell_{2}}^{2 k}+\cdots+z_{\ell_{d}}^{2 k}\right)^{1 / 2 k}}{\left.\prod_{j=1}^{d} f^{\prime}\left(z_{\ell_{j}}\right)\right) f\left(e^{((2 Y-1) \pi i / 2 k}\left(z_{\ell_{1}}^{2 k}+z_{\ell_{2}}^{2 k}+\cdots+z_{\ell_{d}}^{2 k}\right)^{1 / 2 k}\right)}=0 \tag{5.1}
\end{align*}
$$

(b) if $f$ has a first order zero at the origin,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{d, k}=\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(0)}\left(\frac{-1}{2 f^{\prime}(0)}\right)^{d}\left(\prod_{j=0}^{d-2} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{d+1-j}{d-j}+k-1\right)}\right) \frac{k}{(2+k-1)} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d$ and $k$ are any positive integers.
Proof. Consider the integral

$$
L_{K}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{K}} \frac{z^{2 k}}{\left(z^{2 k}+a^{2 k}\right)^{(2 k-1) / 2 k} f\left(e^{((2 X-1) \pi i) / 2 k}\left(z^{2 k}+a^{2 k}\right)^{1 / 2 k}\right) f(z)} d z
$$

where $k$ and $X$ are positive integers such that $X \leqq k$ and it is assumed $a>0$. By hypothesis (iii) of theorem 2.1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{K \rightarrow \infty} L_{K}=0 \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case (a). The integrand is analytic apart from poles which occur at $z= \pm z_{n}, \pm e^{((2 Y-1) / 2 k) \pi i}\left(z_{n}^{2 k}+a^{2 k}\right)^{1 / 2 k}, \quad Y=1,2, \ldots, k$.

The sum of the residues from the poles at $z= \pm z_{n}$ is, in the limit $K \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z_{n}^{2 k}}{\left(z_{n}^{2 k}+a^{2 k}\right)^{(2 k-1) / 2 k} f\left(e^{((2 X-1) / 2 k) \pi i}\left(z_{n}^{2 k}+a^{2 k}\right)^{1 / 2 k}\right) f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the sum of the residues from the poles at $z= \pm e^{((2 Y-1) \pi i) / 2 k}$ $\left(z_{n}^{2 k}+a^{2 k}\right)^{1 / 2 k}$ equals, in the limit $K \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \sum_{Y=1}^{k} e^{((Y-X) \pi i) / k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(z_{n}^{2 k}+a^{2 k}\right)^{1 / 2 k}}{f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right) f\left(e^{(2 Y-1) \pi i) / 2 k}\left(z_{n}^{2 k}+a^{2 k}\right)^{1 / 2 k}\right)} . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating the sum of (5.4) and (5.5) to (5.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(z_{n}^{2 k}+a^{2 k}\right)^{(2 k-1) / 2 k} f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right) f\left(e^{2 k}+a^{2 k}\right. \\
& \quad+\sum_{\substack{((2 X-1) \pi i) / 2 k \\
Y \neq X}}^{k} e^{((Y-X) \pi i) / k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left.\left(a^{2 k}\right)^{1 / 2 k}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right) f\left(e^{((2 Y-1) \pi i) / 2 k}\left(z_{n}^{2 k}+a^{2 k}\right)^{1 / 2 k}\right)}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By choosing $a=\left(z_{c_{2}}^{2 k}+z_{f_{3}}^{2 k}+\cdots+z_{l_{d}}^{2 k}\right)^{1 / 2 k}$, multiplying through by $f^{\prime}\left(z_{/ 2}\right) f^{\prime}\left(z_{/ 3}\right) \cdots f^{\prime}\left(z_{\ell_{d}}\right)$ and then summing over $\iota_{i}$ from 1 to $\infty$, we have after a little manipulation of the first sum

$$
\begin{align*}
& \times \frac{\left(z_{l 1}^{2 k}+z_{l 2}^{2 k}+\cdots+z_{l d}^{2 k}\right)^{1 / 2 k}}{\left(\prod_{j=1}^{d} f^{\prime}\left(z_{f_{j}}\right) f\left(e^{(2 Y-1) \pi i) / 2 k\left(z_{l 1}^{2 k}\right.}+z_{l 2}^{2 k}+\cdots+z_{l d}^{2 k}\right)^{1 / 2 k}\right)}=0 . \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

To obtain (5.1) from (5.6), multiply through by $e^{((2 X-1) \pi i) / 2 k}$ and then sum over $X$ from 1 to $k$. This shows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d+1}{d}+k-1\right) S_{d, k}=0 \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which (5.1) is immediate.
Case (b). We must now consider the pole of the integrand occurring at $z= \pm e^{((2 Y-1) \pi i) / 2 k} a, Y=1,2, \ldots, k$. The sum of the residues at these points is

$$
\left(1 / k f^{\prime}(0)\right) \sum_{Y=1}^{k} e^{((Y-X) \pi i) / k} a / f\left(e^{((2 Y-1) \pi i) 2 k} a\right) .
$$

If we include this extra term in the steps leading to (5.7), we see the term $-\left(1 / 2 f^{\prime}(0)\right) S_{d-1, k}$ must replace zero on the right hand side of (5.7). Solving the resulting difference equation, we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{d, k}=\left(\frac{-1}{2 f^{\prime}(0)}\right)^{d-1}\left(\prod_{j=0}^{d-2} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{d+1-j}{d-j}+k-1\right)}\right) S_{1, k} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is straightforward to evaluate $S_{1, k}$ by considering the contour integral

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{I_{K}} \frac{z}{f(z) f\left(e^{((2 X-1) \pi i) / 2 k} z\right)} d z
$$

We find

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1, k}=-\left(1 / 2\left(f^{\prime}(0)\right)^{2}\right)(k /(2+k-1)) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (5.9) in (5.8) gives (5.2).
We will restrict our applications of theorem 5.1 to the case $k=1$. Since $f(z)=\cos \pi z / \sin \pi \mu z, 0<\mu<1$, satisfies the hypotheses for the validity of (5.1), we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l}(-1)^{\kappa_{1}+\ell_{2}+\cdots+\ell_{d}}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{d} \sin \pi \mu\left(\iota_{j}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)\left|\iota+\mathbf{g}_{-1 / 2}\right| \frac{\cosh \pi \mu\left|\iota+\mathbf{g}_{-1 / 2}\right|}{\cosh \pi\left|\iota+\mathbf{g}_{-1 / 2}\right|} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
=2 \sum_{l}(-1)^{\iota_{1}+\iota_{2}+\cdots+\iota_{d}}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{d} \sin \pi \mu\left(\ell_{j}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right) \frac{\left|\iota+\mathbf{g}_{-1 / 2}\right|}{e^{\pi(1+\mu|\iota+\mathbf{g}-1 / 2|}+1}
$$

where the sum is over the $d$-dimensional integer lattice, and $\mathbf{g}_{x}$ denotes the $d$-dimensional vector with all components $x$. Taking the limit $\mu \rightarrow 1^{-}$ on both sides of (5.10), we will obtain the $d$-dimensional analogue of (2.17) in the case $k=2, M=1$. To take the limit on the left hand side we first require some notation. Let

$$
Z\left|\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{g} \\
\mathbf{h}
\end{array}\right|(T ; s)=\sum_{l} \frac{e^{-2 \pi i \mathbf{h} \cdot /}}{((\iota+\mathbf{g}), T(\iota+\mathbf{g}))^{s / 2}}, \operatorname{Re}(s)>d
$$

denote the Epstein zeta function and its analytic continuation, where $T$ is a positive definite matrix, and the sum is over the $d$-dimensional integer lattice, omitting $\ell=-\mathbf{g}$ if $\mathbf{g}$ is a liattce vector. We then have the following result.

Theorem 5.2.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\mu \rightarrow 1^{-}} \sum_{l}(-1)^{\iota_{1}+\iota_{2}+\cdots+\iota_{d}}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{d} \sin \pi \mu\left(\iota_{i}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)\left|\iota+\mathbf{g}_{-1 / 2}\right| \frac{\cosh \pi \mu\left|\iota+\mathbf{g}_{1 / 2}\right|}{\cosh \pi\left|\iota+\mathbf{g}_{1 / 2}^{-}\right|} \\
& \quad=(-1)^{d} Z\left|\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{g}_{1 / 2} \\
\mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right|(I ; 1)
\end{aligned}
$$

where I denotes the identity matrix.
Proof. Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(\mu)=(-1)^{d} & \sum_{l}(-1)^{\iota 1+/ \iota^{2}+\cdots+\iota_{d}} \\
& \left(\prod_{j=1}^{d} \sin \pi \mu\left(\iota_{j}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left|\iota+\mathbf{g}_{-1 / 2}\right| \frac{\cosh \pi \mu\left|\iota+\mathbf{g}_{-1 / 2}\right|}{\cosh \pi\left|\iota+\mathbf{g}_{-1 / 2}\right|}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then proceeding as in (2.11) and (2.12) of the proof of theorem 2.2 we have
(5.11) $\lim _{\mu \rightarrow 1^{-}} D(\mu)=\lim _{\mu \rightarrow 1^{-}} \sum_{l}\left|/+\mathbf{g}_{-1 / 2}\right| e^{-\pi(1-\mu) \mid \kappa+\mathbf{g}-1 / 21} e^{\pi i \mathbf{g}(1-\mu) \cdot(\kappa+\mathbf{g}-1 / 2)}$.

By using the $d$-dimensional Poisson summation formula and then adopting a simple change of variables, (5.11) shows
(5.12) $\lim _{\mu \rightarrow 1^{-}} D(\mu)=\lim _{\mu \rightarrow 1^{-}} \sum_{l}(-1)^{\iota_{1}+/ \iota_{2}+\cdots / d} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}}|\mathbf{h}| e^{-\pi(1-\mu)|\mathbf{h}|} e^{\pi i \mathbf{h} \cdot(2 \kappa+\mathbf{g}(1-\mu))} d \mathbf{h}$.

If we denote the integral in (5.12) by $K$ and rewrite the factor $e^{-\pi(1-\mu)|h|}$ using the integral identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-\alpha R}=(2 / \sqrt{\pi}) R \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-R^{2} t^{2}} e^{-\alpha^{2} / 4 t^{2}} d t \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
K=\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\left(-\pi^{2}(1-\mu)^{2}\right) / 4 t^{2}} d t \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} \mathbf{h}^{2} e^{-\mathbf{h}^{2} t^{2}+\pi i \mathbf{h} \cdot(\Omega+1 / 2 \mathbf{g}(1-\mu))} d \mathbf{h} .
$$

The integral over $h$ is now essentially of the Gaussian type, and can be computed immediately. We then have

$$
\begin{gather*}
K=d \pi^{(d-1) / 2} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-d-2} e^{-\pi^{2}\left(\left(\kappa+1 / 2 \mathrm{~g}(1-\mu)^{2}+1 / 4(1-\mu)^{2}\right) t^{-2}\right.} d t \\
-2 \pi^{(d+3) / 2}\left(\iota+\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}_{(1-\mu)}\right)^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-d-4} e^{-\pi^{2}\left(\left(\kappa+1 / 2 \mathbf{g}_{(1-\mu)}\right)^{2}+1 / 4(1-\mu)^{2}\right) t^{-2}} d t \tag{5.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

The integrals in (5.14) are straightforward. We find

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{1}{2} \pi^{-(d+3) / 2} \Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{(d / 4)(1-\mu)^{2}-\left(\iota+(1 / 2) \mathbf{g}_{(1-\mu)}\right)^{2}}{\left(\left(/+(1 / 2) \mathbf{g}_{(1-\mu)}\right)^{2}+(1 / 4)(1-\mu)^{2}\right)^{(d+3) / 2}}\right) \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that since $\left((1 / 2) \mathbf{g}_{(1-\mu)}\right)^{2}=(d / 4)(1-\mu)^{2}, K=0$ when $\ell=\mathbf{0}$. Hence, we can substitute (5.15) into (5.12), exclude the $\ell=0$ term from the sum, and then take the limit by putting $\mu=1$. This shows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{\mu \rightarrow 1^{-}} D(\mu) & =\frac{-1}{2} \pi^{-(d+3) / 2} \Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right) Z\left|\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{0} \\
-\mathbf{g}_{-1 / 2}
\end{array}\right|(I ; d+1) \\
& =Z\left|\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{g}_{-1 / 2} \\
\mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right|(I ;-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

where to obtain the last line we have used the functional equation of the Epstein zeta function [4, p. 625].

Applying theorem 5.2 to (5.10), we conclude

$$
\sum_{/} \frac{\left|/+\mathbf{g}_{-1 / 2}\right|}{\exp \left\{2 \pi\left|/+\mathbf{g}_{-1 / 2}\right|\right\}+1}=(1 / 2) Z\left|\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{g}_{-1 / 2}  \tag{5.16}\\
\mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right|(I ; 1) .
$$

Closed form evaluations of

$$
Z\left|\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{g}_{-1 / 2} \\
0
\end{array}\right|(I ; s)
$$

are known in 2, 4, 6 and 8 dimensions [10]. Thus, denoting the sum in (5.16) by $A_{d}, d$ indicating the dimension, we have the following results:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{1}=1 / 24, \quad A_{2}=\left(1 / 2 \pi^{2}\right) \eta(3 / 2) \beta(3 / 2) \\
& A_{4}=\left(3 / 2 \pi^{3}\right) \eta(3 / 2) \eta(5 / 2), A_{6}=\left(15 / 8 \pi^{4}\right)(4 \eta(3 / 2) \beta(7 / 2)-\beta(3 / 2) \eta(7 / 2))  \tag{5.17}\\
& A_{8}=\left(105 / 4 \pi^{5}\right) \eta(3 / 2) \zeta(9 / 2)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta(s)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} /(2 n+1)^{s}$ and $\eta(s)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} /(n+1)^{s}=$ $\left(1-2^{1-s}\right) \zeta(s)$.

Finally, to illustrate (5.2), we take $f(z)=J_{1}(z)$ and $k=1$ to obtain the $d$-dimensional summation formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\ell_{1}, \iota_{2}, \ldots, \ell_{d}=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(z_{\ell_{1}}^{2}+z_{\ell_{2}}^{2}+\cdots+z_{\ell_{d}}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}{I_{1}\left(\left(z_{\ell_{1}}^{2}+z_{\ell_{2}}^{2}+\cdots+z_{\ell_{d}}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)\left(\prod_{j=1}^{d} J_{0}\left(z_{\ell_{j}}\right)\right)}=\frac{2(-1)^{d}}{d+1} \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{\iota_{i}}$ denotes the $\ell^{\text {th }}$ positive zero of $J_{1}$.
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