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#### Abstract

Let $E$ be a compact subset of a metric space $X$ and $f$ a Lipschitzian function on $X$. It is shown that $d(f(E)) \leqq M d(E)$, where $d$ is the generalized transfinite diameter of Hille [2,3] and $M$ is the Lipschitz constant. Also, upper and lower bounds are obtained for the transfinite diameter of the union $E$ of two "widely separated" compact sets, $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$, in terms of the diameters of $E, E_{1}$, and $E_{2}$, the transfinite diameters of $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$, and the distance between $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$.


1. Preliminaries. The transfinite diameter in the complex plane was first introduced by Fekete in 1923. Pólya and Szegö extended the concept to three - dimensional space and showed that the transfinite diameter coincides with the capacity. Further generalizations of this concept were made by them and by Leja. Finally Hille, in two papers [2, 3], summarize d and unified the previous generalizations. His papers contain bibliographies of previous work.

The present paper extends, in Theorem 1, a result of the author [6] from the complex plane to a metric space. Also inequalities are obtained for the transfinite diameter of the union of two "widely separated" sets.
2. Averaging processes. Let $A$ be a function whose domain is all finite sequences of positive numbers. $A$ is called an averaging process if it satisfies the following four axioms of Kolmogoroff [4]:
(i) $A\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]>0$ for all finite sequence $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ of positive numbers;
(ii) $A$ is a continuous, symmetric function of its arguments and is a strictly increasing function of each of them;
(iii) $A[x, x, \ldots, x]=x$; and
(iv) $A\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]=A\left[y, y, \ldots, y, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ if $y=A\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right]$.
In addition, we will assume a fifth axiom:
(v) $A\left[k x_{1}, \ldots, k x_{n}\right]=k A\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ for any $k>0$.

We shall sometimes use the notation $A_{1 \leqq i \leqq n} x_{i}$ for $A\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$.
It can be proved [7] that an averaging process which satisfies $(v)$ is of

[^0]the form $\left(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{r}\right)^{1 / r}, r$ a real number $\neq 0$, or is the geometric mean. These are the mean values $\mathfrak{M}_{r}$ of Hardy, Littlewood, and Pólya [1, pp. 12-32]. With one exception we shall not use these formulas but will reason directly from the axioms.
3. Transfinite diameters. Let $X$ be a metric space with distance function $\rho$, and let $E$ be a compact subset of $X$. Let $n \geqq 2$, and let $A$ be an averaging process satisfying axioms (i)-(v). Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in X$. We define
$$
d_{n}(E)=\max _{x_{i}, x_{j} \in E}\left[\underset{1 \leq i<j \leq n}{A} \rho\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)\right] .
$$

It is shown in [2] that the sequence $d_{n}(E)$ is non-increasing. The transfinite diameter of $E$ (with respect to the averaging process $A$ ) is defined as $d(E)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{n}(E)$.

The most familiar examples of the transfinite diameter are the Newtonian capacity ( $X=\mathbf{R}^{3}, A$ is the harmonic mean) and the logarithmic capacity ( $X=\mathbf{C}$ or $\mathbf{R}^{2}, A$ is the geometric mean). Further examples are the elliptic capacity and the hyperbolic capacity. [8, pp. 89-96]
4. Theorem 1. Let $E$ be a compact subset of a metric space $X$, and let $A$ be an averaging process satisfying (i)-(v). Let $f$ be a function from $X$ to $X$ satisfying the Lipschitz condition: there exists a constant $M$ such that for every $x, y \in X$, we have $\rho(f(x), f(y)) \leqq M \rho(x, y)$. Let $E^{*}=f(E)$. Then $d\left(E^{*}\right) \leqq M d(E)$.

Proof. Choose $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n} \in E^{*}$ such that

$$
d_{n}\left(E^{*}\right)=\underset{1 \leqq i<j \leqq n}{A} \rho\left(y_{i}, y_{j}\right)
$$

Then there exist $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in E$ such that

$$
d_{n}\left(E^{*}\right)=A\left[\rho\left(f\left(x_{i}\right), f\left(x_{j}\right)\right] \leqq A\left[M \rho\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)\right]=M A\left[\rho\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)\right] \leqq M d_{n}(E)\right.
$$

Now, let $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality.
Theorem 2. Let $X$ be a Banach space, and let $E$ be a compact, convex subset of $X$. Let $f: E \rightarrow X$ be a $C^{1}$ mapping. Let $E^{*}=f(E)$. Then $d\left(E^{*}\right) \leqq$ $M d(E)$, where $M=\sup _{v \in E}\left\|f^{\prime}(v)\right\|$.

Proof. Since $E$ is convex, the line segment joining two points of $E$ lies in $E$. Hence, by Corollary 1 on p. 314 of [5], $f$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition with $M=\sup _{v \in E}\left\|f^{\prime}(v)\right\|$. Now, apply Theorem 1.

## 5. Widely separated sets.

Definition. Let $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ be compact subsets of a metric space $X$. Let $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ be the diameters of $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$, respectively, and let $D_{0}$ be the
(minimum) distance from $E_{1}$ to $E_{2}$. The sets $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ are said to be widely separated if $D_{0}>\max \left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right)$.

Theorem 3. Let $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ be widely separated infinite compact subsets of a metric space $X$, and let $E=E_{1} \cup E_{2}$. Let $A$ be an averaging process satisfying (i)-(v). Let $D, D_{1}$, and $D_{2}$ be the diameters of $E, E_{1}$, and $E_{2}$, respectively, and let $D_{0}=\rho\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)$. Then

$$
A\left[D_{0}, D^{\prime}\right] \leqq d(E) \leqq A\left[D, D^{*}\right]
$$

where $D^{\prime}=A\left[d\left(E_{1}\right), d\left(E_{2}\right)\right]$ and $D^{*}=\max \left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right)$.
Proof. We adopt the notation $A[(x ; m),(y ; n)]$ for $A[x, \ldots, x, y, \ldots, y]$, where $x$ is repeated $m$ times and $y$ is repeated $n$ times.

Choose $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n} \in E$ such that $d_{2 n}(E)=A_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n} \rho\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)$. Suppose, without loss of generality, that $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}$ are in $E_{1}$ and $x_{n+k+1}, \ldots$, $x_{2 n}$ are in $E_{2}$, where $0 \leqq k<n$. Then, using Axiom (iv) repeatedly and noting that $D^{*} \leqq D$,

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{2 n}(E) \leqq & A\left[\left(D_{1} ;(n+k)(n+k-1) / 2\right)\right.  \tag{1}\\
& \left.\left(D_{2} ;(n-k)(n-k-1) / 2\right),\left(D ; n^{2}-k^{2}\right)\right] \\
\leqq & A\left[\left(D^{*} ; n^{2}-n+k^{2}\right),\left(D ; n^{2}-k^{2}\right)\right] \\
\leqq & A\left[\left(D^{*} ; n^{2}-n\right),\left(D ; n^{2}\right)\right]=A\left[\left(B ; 2 n^{2}-2 n\right),(D ; n)\right],
\end{align*}
$$

where $B=A\left[D, D^{*}\right]$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $d(E) \leqq B$. The limit of the right hand side of (1) is seen to be $B$ if the specific formulas for $A, \mathfrak{M}_{r}$ and the geometric mean, are used.

Choose $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n} \in E_{1}$ and $y_{n+1}, \ldots, y_{2 n} \in E_{2}$ such that $d_{n}\left(E_{1}\right)=$ $A_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} \rho\left(y_{i}, y_{j}\right)$ and $d_{n}\left(E_{2}\right)=A_{n+1 \leqq i<j \leq 2 n} \rho\left(y_{i}, y_{j}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{2 n}(E) & \geqq A_{1 \leqq i<j \leqq 2 n} \rho\left(y_{i}, y_{j}\right) \\
& =A\left[\left(d_{n}\left(E_{1}\right) ; n(n-1) / 2\right),\left(d_{n}\left(E_{2}\right) ; n(n-1) / 2\right),\left(D_{0} ; n^{2}\right)\right] \\
& \left.\geqq A\left[d\left(E_{1}\right) ; n(n-1) / 2\right),\left(d\left(E_{2}\right) ; n(n-1) / 2\right),\left(D_{0} ; n^{2}\right)\right] \\
& =A\left[\left(D^{\prime} ; n^{2}-n\right),\left(D_{0} ; n^{2}\right)\right]=A\left[\left(D_{0} ; n\right),\left(B_{1} ; 2 n^{2}-2 n\right)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B_{1}=A\left[D_{0}, D^{\prime}\right]$. Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $d(E) \geqq B_{1}$.
5. Examples. 1. We will estimate the logarithmic capacity of the union of two unit circles with centers 98 units apart. Then $D=100, D_{1}=D_{2}=$ $2, D_{0}=96, d\left(E_{1}\right)=d\left(E_{2}\right)=1, A$ is the geometric mean.

$$
9.80 \approx \sqrt{96.1} \leqq d(E) \leqq \sqrt{100 \cdot 2} \approx 14.14
$$

2. We will estimate the Newtonian capacity of the union of two unit spheres with centers 98 units apart. In this problem all quantities appear-
ing in Theorem 3 are exactly the same as in Example 1, except that $A$ is the harmonic mean. We obtain $1.98 \leqq d(E) \leqq 3.92$.
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